From: Stephen Stegner [mailto:Stephen.Stegner@microsoft.com]

Sent: Monday, July 24, 2006 2:27 PM

To: steve.cheng

**Cc:** janet\_wang@chic.com.tw; betty@adt.com.tw; vickie@adt.com.tw; William Hilleary; Scott Brown (HARDWARE); Sheri Brassell; Felicia Chu

Subject: RE: C3K1069-FCC COMMENT (FCC has responded!)

Importance: High

Hi Steve,

I contacted the FCC about this particular situation. Please find the attached response (Response to Inquiry FCC (Tracking Number 912309)).

### From attached e-mail:

### Response:

The proposal to place the label inside the battery compartment is acceptable in this case. Please assure that all labeling requirements are addressed including Part 15.

Is there anything else you need to proceed with the submittal?

Regards,

Stephen Stegner

EMC/Safety Engineer

**Microsoft Corporation** 

(425) 706-2697

From: steve.cheng [mailto:steve.cheng@nacsemc.com]

Sent: Wednesday, July 19, 2006 6:12 AM

To: Stephen Stegner

Cc: janet\_wang@chic.com.tw; betty@adt.com.tw; vickie@adt.com.tw; William Hilleary; Scott Brown (HARDWARE); Sheri Brassell; 'Felicia Chu'

Subject: RE: C3K1069-FCC COMMENT

Hi Stephen,

Sorry for the late response to your question (was occupied by couple of dated urgent applications). As you have observed that there are many devices that do not have label on the product surface.

Generally speaking, all products have to follow 2.925 (d) (1) & (2) i.e. have their label visible on the product surface, except product fall into one of the following categories:

- 1. Cell phone product
- 2. product size smaller than normal palm size
- 3. has special approval from FCC (Cell phone is one of the sample)

Unfortunately, your product seems not fit in the first 2 categories and hence the only way to be exempted from this requirement is get an approval from FCC.

Hope this help and please feel free to contact me if I can be of further help. Thank you for contact me.

Best regards,

## Steve Cheng

Curtis-Straus LLC

From: Stephen Stegner [mailto:Stephen.Stegner@microsoft.com]

**Sent:** Tuesday, July 18, 2006 2:15 PM **To:** steve.cheng@nacsemc.com

Cc: janet\_wang@chic.com.tw; betty@adt.com.tw; vickie@adt.com.tw; William Hilleary; Scott Brown (HARDWARE); Sheri Brassell; Felicia Chu

Subject: RE: C3K1069-FCC COMMENT

Hi Steve,

I understand that you are the TCB reviewer for the C3K1069 product and had some concerns about the labeling with respect to the FCC ID#.

CFR 47 section 2.925 (d)(1) indicates that the FCC ID shall be readily visible and permanently affixed to the equipment.

From (d)(2) of the same section readily visible means that the nameplate or nameplate data must be visible from the outside of the equipment enclosure. However there a millions of cell phones sold that have their FCC ID numbers inside the battery door (inside the equipment enclosure) so I'm

assuming there must be a justification for this route. The space on this product for labeling on the exterior (the exterior labeling contains the Class 2 laser statement as prescribed by the FDA) is so limited that we adopted the cell phone's labeling approach by putting the FCC ID# (along with other regulatory information) inside the battery door which the user will see when putting the batteries into the device.

Because the battery door is detachable we attached this label to the product with one of the screws that holds the device together.

Is there additional information or steps we need to take to make this approach acceptable?

Best regards, Stephen Stegner EMC/Safety Engineer Microsoft Corporation (425) 706-2697

## TCB Review Comments for (C06-0714a-

# C3K1069- Microsoft Presenter)

-EMC-

Question #1: Per FCC policy, the label must be applied on the surface of EUT. However, the label location indicated that the label will go into the battery chamber and it is not allowed by the FCC policy. Please correct.

Best regards,

Steve Cheng

Curtis-Straus LLC