
From: Kwok Chan <kchan@fcc.gov>, on 12/4/00 10:19 AM:
To: Mary Washington@EMC@PSSDG

It is categorically excluded from routine RF exposure evaluation to show compliance. If SAR evaluation is needed with respect to 1.1307(d), it will need to be determined based on information in the filing

>>> Mary Washington <mashington@tuvps.com> 12/04/00 12:40PM >>>
The rule part is part 90. The device is worn around the user's neck.

Mary Washington
EMC Engineer/Telecommunication Certification Body Technical Certifier
1-858-546-3999 Phone
1-858-546-0364 Fax
<http://www.tuvglobal.com/tcb>
----- Original Text -----

From: Kwok Chan <kchan@fcc.gov>, on 12/4/00 9:29 AM:

Looking at the frequency, it appears this device could be one of those on the categorically excluded list of 2.1093. Without knowing the exact rule part this device will operate, I cannot give you an exact answer. Even if categorically excluded, we will need info on operating configurations and exposure conditions in the filing to determine if compliance can be ensured or SAR will need to be requested with respect to 1.1307(d), mainly for the 50 mW output.

>>> Mary Washington <mashington@tuvps.com> 12/04/00 12:15PM >>>
Dear Mr. Chan,
We have a client that manufactures a collar communicator that is used in fast food restaurants operating on a frequency of 468 to 469 MHz and output transmit power of 1, 10, 50 mW (factory selectable). Does this device require SAR measurements?

If you need additional information or have any questions, contact me.

Thanking you in advance for your assistance in this matter,

Mary Washington
EMC Engineer
1-858-546-3999 Phone
1-858-546-0364 Fax

<http://www.tuvglobal.com>