

- 1.) The identical document is uploaded as both Operational Description and Tune-Up Procedure. This is not permitted. Kindly segregate the Operational Description from the Tune-Up Procedure. In addition, this document incorrectly identifies this as an instruction set for a user to "tune-up" the 2910 phone.
Please correct
[Please see the attached "\(F12C\)Operational Description" File](#)
- 2.) The supplied Tune-Up procedure does not identify target power values and their tolerances in either dBm or in watts. Tune-Up values are supplied in some sort of "magic number", but without any associated units I cannot properly evaluate this document.
Not ready yet
- 3.) The Block Diagram appears to indicate this device can operate as CDMA 1900, 1800, 800, 450 MHz, AMPS 800MHz, or GPRS. Is this true?
[No, Only CDMA 800, Please see the attached "\(F12C\)BlkDia-update" File](#)
- 4.) Two similar manuals were supplied: one is identified as (F12C)UserMan and the other as (J9)UserManualnew. Which one should be evaluated?
[Please see the revised manual](#)
- 5.) Regardless of your response to item #4, neither manual correctly identifies the SAR values shown in the SAR report. These values must be consistent and match throughout the entire filing. Please correct.
[Please see the revised manual](#)
- 6.) The Form 731 does not correctly identify the RF power. Kindly recall that RF power for all Cellphones under Part 22 devices must report their power in units relative to a dipole (dBd). Kindly revise form 731. In addition, please confirm the frequency tolerance as .042 and identify the units as either ppm, Hz, %, etc. Please confirm that all units manufactured will adhere to your Applicant's tolerance as specified on the Grant.
[Please see the attached "\(F12C\)731 Form-update" File](#)
- 7.) Please also note that if any additional emission signatures are operable in USA (see item #3) then they also must be listed on Form 731.
[Please see the attached "\(F12C\)731 Form-update" File](#)
- 8.) Please identify the type and gain (measured or theoretical) of the antenna.
[Please see the attached "\(F12C\)Antenna specification--update" File](#)
- 9.) Was EUT tuned up to its maximum power target value at the beginning of testing?
Yes
- 10.) Kindly review the body-worn setup photograph on pp.79 of the SAR report. Is the device plugged into the bottom of the EUT the headphones or the charger?
[The device plugged into the bottom of the EUT is headphones, see page 80](#)
- 11.) Please review the validation plots on pp.26/27 of the SAR report. I expect to see a more symmetrical distribution of SAR values centered directly upon the axis of the dipole. This makes me question if the mechanical scanning function may be set to too high a speed. Please review and comment.
[see page 27, 28](#)
- 12.) The FCC logo actually only applies when this device is attached to a computer and the phone acts as a computer peripheral. In addition, the one-part statement of 15.19(a)(1) should ideally appear on the label:
 - a. *"This device complies with part 15 of the FCC Rules. Operation is subject to the condition that this device does not cause harmful interference."*
[Please see the revised manual](#)