



Tim Dwyer <rfspectrum@gmail.com>

---

## answer B6BZM-931PA Nihon Kohden Corporation, FCC ID: B6BZM-931PA, Assessment NO.: AN10T1051, Notice#1

1 message

---

Claire Hoque <claire.hoque@ccsemc.com>

Thu, Oct 21, 2010

To: Tim Dwyer - TCB <Timothy\_Dwyer@ieee.org>, "Timothy M. Dwyer" <tim.dwyer@ccsemc.com>

Cc: Mika Kaneko <mika.kaneko@ccsemc.com>

Hi Tim,

Here are the answers.

Answer #1:

This device is Part 95 Subpart H WMTS.

Answer #2:

Since only the antenna manufacturer is changed, the antenna gain and output power have not changed, SAR evaluation result of original filing is still effective. Per the original SAR evaluation result, the highest SAR Value was 0.013 (mW/g).

Answer #3:

Pls see revised report, setup photos.

Thanks,

Claire Hoque

UL CCS

47173 Benicia Street

Fremont, CA 94538, USA

---

**From:** Claire Hoque

**Sent:** 2010/10/22 (金) 4:35

**To:** Thu Chan; Mika Kaneko

**Subject:** pls address TCB questions B6BZM-931PA  
AN10T1051, Notice#1

Nihon Kohden Corporation, FCC ID: B6BZM-931PA, Assessment N

-----Original Message-----

From: Timothy M. Dwyer

Sent: Thursday, October 21, 2010 12:30 PM

To: Thu Chan; Claire Hoque

Cc: Tim Dwyer - TCB

Subject: Nihon Kohden Corporation, FCC ID: B6BZM-931PA, Assessment NO.: AN10T1051, Notice#1

Hello Thu, Claire

Review of this application is complete. Please reply to the following items.

1. Part 95(G) LPRS checklist was selected for this application. The applicable section and checklist is Part 95(H) WMTS. This has been revised in the application. No further action is needed.

2. SAR evaluation or a technical attestation/justification statement is needed confirming compliance with the routine evaluation requirement of FCC paragraphs 1.1307, 2.1093 and 95.1115. Refer to the original filing. Note that Part 95H devices are not exempt or categorically excluded from routine evaluation requirements regardless of output power.

3. Measurements results on page 11 of the test report are in terms of peak dBµV/m. 95.1115 specifies the limit for this band as "740 mV/m as measured at a distance of 3 meters, using measuring equipment with an averaging detector and a 1 MHz measurement bandwidth." The limit in the test report is 20 dB higher than the average limit specified in the 95.1115, however there is no reference in the Part 95 rules to peak limits or measurements. Please revise the report to show the correct limits and measured power or provide further explanation.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of the original e-mail date may result in application dismissal and forfeiture of the filing fee. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted. Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the e-mail address listed below the name of the sender. Revised documentation should not be emailed, but instead should be submitted through the "Add Attachment" function at the UL-CCS website. Please have your Assessment Number and FCC Certification number handy. You may use the following link: <https://cert.ccsemc.com/filing/>


Best regards,

Tim Dwyer

Technical Reviewer

---

**2 attachments**

 **10J13419-4A ZM-931PA setup photos.pdf**  
74K

 **10J13419-4A ZM-931PA FCC 95H Report\_Final revised.pdf**  
787K

---