

June 30, 2003

RE: Brother Industries, Ltd.

FCC ID: B3Q5V6102

Dear Mr. Timothy R. Johnson,

Regarding the above referenced application, please find our reply to the comments dated June 24, 2003:

1. Please refer to the revised test report which we uploaded. Page 8 of test report was replaced.
2. In order to measure highest level, we conducted testing with BT function and printer operated. Therefore, for peak data, the highest level of EUT couldn't be swept in printing. We took enough time to confirm QP data with test receiver and QP data is higher than Peak Data. Please regard this QP data is correct.
3. Compliance with the 54dBuV/m Average limit is shown on page 27 and 29 of the revised test report. We confirmed it for spurious emission test.
4. We confirm that the device was not hopping for spurious emission >1GHz.
5. & 6. As we used low gain amplifier for testing, floor noise seems to have been high. We retested with higher gain amplifier. Please refer to page 27, 28, and 29 of the revised test report.

We hope the above reply is satisfactory.

If any problems, please let us know.

Best regards,

Yukari Ito (Ms.)

UL Apex Co., Ltd.

