

TEST REPORT

Applicant : Sharp Corporation, Communication Systems Division
Address : 2-13-1, Iida Hachihonmatsu, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima,
739-0192, JAPAN

Products : Cellular Phone
Model No. : SH-07F
Serial No. : 004401115055234

FCC ID : APYHRO00209

Test Standard : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 22

Test Results : **Passed**

Date of Test : April 2 ~ 10, 2014



A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'K. Shibata', is positioned above a horizontal line.

Kousei Shibata
Manager
Japan Quality Assurance Organization
KITA-KANSAI Testing Center
SAITO EMC Branch
7-3-10, Saito-asagi, Ibaraki-shi, Osaka 567-0085, Japan

-
- The measurement values stated in Test Report was made with traceable to National Institute of Advanced Industrial Science and Technology (AIST) of Japan and National Institute of Information and Communications Technology (NICT) of Japan.
 - The applicable standard, testing condition and testing method which were used for the tests are based on the request of the applicant.
 - The test results presented in this report relate only to the offered test sample.
 - The contents of this test report cannot be used for the purposes, such as advertisement for consumers.
 - This test report shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of JQA.
 - VLAC does not approve, certify or warrant the product by this test report.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
1 Description of the Equipment Under Test	3
2 Summary of Test Results	4
3 Test Procedure.....	5
4 Test Location	5
5 Recognition of Test Laboratory	5
6 Details of the Equipment Under Test	6
7 Details of the Test Item	8

DEFINITIONS FOR ABBREVIATION AND SYMBOLS USED IN THIS TEST REPORT**EUT** : Equipment Under Test**AE** : Associated Equipment**N/A** : Not Applicable**N/T** : Not Tested**EMC** : Electromagnetic Compatibility**EMI** : Electromagnetic Interference**EMS** : Electromagnetic Susceptibility☒ - indicates that the listed condition, standard or equipment is applicable for this report.☐ - indicates that the listed condition, standard or equipment is not applicable for this report.

1 Description of the Equipment Under Test

1. Manufacturer : Sharp Corporation, Communication Systems Division
2-13-1, Iida Hachihonmatsu, Higashi-Hiroshima City, Hiroshima,
739-0192, JAPAN
2. Products : Cellular Phone
3. Model No. : SH-07F
4. Serial No. : 004401115055234
:
5. Product Type : Pre-production
6. Date of Manufacture : March, 2014
7. Power Rating : 4.0VDC (Lithium-ion Battery SH39 820mAh)
8. EUT Grounding : None
9. Transmitting Frequency : 826.4 MHz(4132CH) – 846.6MHz(4233CH)
10. Receiving Frequency : 871.4 MHz(4357CH) – 891.6MHz(4458CH)
11. Emission Designations : 4M16F9W
12. Max. RF Output Power : 0.407W (ERP)
13. Category : WCDMA850
14. EUT Authorization : Certification
15. Received Date of EUT : March 27, 2014

16. Channel Plan

The carrier spacing is 200 kHz.

The carrier frequency is designated by the absolute frequency channel number (ARFCN).

The carrier frequency is expressed in the equation shown as follows:

$$\text{Transmitting Frequency (in MHz)} = 826.4 + 0.2 \times (n - 4132)$$

where, n : channel number ($4132 \leq n \leq 4233$)

$$\text{Receiving Frequency (in MHz)} = 871.4 + 0.2 \times (n - 4357)$$

where, n : channel number ($4357 \leq n \leq 4458$)

2 Summary of Test Results

Applied Standard : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 22
Subpart H – Cellular Radiotelephone Service

The EUT described in clause 1 was tested according to the applied standard shown above.
Details of the test configuration is shown in clause 6.

The conclusion for the test items of which are required by the applied standard is indicated under the test result.

☒ - The test result was **passed** for the test requirements of the applied standard.

☐ - The test result was **failed** for the test requirements of the applied standard.

☐ - The test result was **not judged** the test requirements of the applied standard.

In the approval of test results,

- Determining compliance with the limits in this report was based on the results of the compliance measurement, not taking into account measurement instrumentation uncertainty.
- No deviations were employed from the applied standard.
- No modifications were conducted by JQA to achieve compliance to the limitations.

Reviewed by:

Tested by:



Shigeru Kinoshita
Deputy Manager
JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center
SAITO EMC Branch



Shigeru Osawa
Deputy Manager
JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center
SAITO EMC Branch

3 Test Procedure

Test Requirements : CFR 47 FCC Rules and Regulations Part 2
§2.1046, §2.1047, §2.1049, §2.1051, §2.1053, §2.1055 and §2.1057

Test Procedure : ANSI C63.4-2003, TIA/EIA-603-C-2004
FCC KDB 971168 D01 Licensed DTS Guidance v02r01, released June 7, 2013

4 Test Location

Japan Quality Assurance Organization (JQA)
KITA-KANSAI Testing Center
7-7, Ishimaru, 1-chome, Minoh-shi, Osaka, 562-0027, Japan
SAITO EMC Branch
7-3-10, Saito-asagi, Ibaraki-shi, Osaka 567-0085, Japan

5 Recognition of Test Laboratory

JQA KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch is accredited under ISO/IEC 17025 by following accreditation bodies and the test facility is registered by the following bodies.

VLAC Accreditation No. : VLAC-001-2 (Expiry date : March 30, 2016)
VCCI Registration No. : A-0002 (Expiry date : March 30, 2016)
BSMI Registration No. : SL2-IS-E-6006, SL2-IN-E-6006, SL2-R1/R2-E-6006, SL2-A1-E-6006
(Expiry date : September 14, 2016)
IC Registration No. : 2079E-3, 2079E-4 (Expiry date : July 20, 2014)

Accredited as conformity assessment body for Japan electrical appliances and material law by METI.
(Expiry date : February 22, 2016)

6 Details of the Equipment Under Test

6.1 Operating Condition

The test were carried under worst modulation type shown as follows:

Mode : 12.2 kbps RMC

The Radiated Emission test were carried under 2 test configurations shown in clause 6.3.

In all tests, the fully charged battery is used for the EUT.

Other Clock Frequency

32.768 kHz, 13.56 MHz, 27.456 MHz, 40.95 MHz, 48 MHz, 52 MHz

The EUT was rotated through three orthogonal axis (X, Y and Z axis) in radiated measurement.

The EUT with temporary antenna port was used in conducted measurement.

6.2 Test Configuration

The equipment under test (EUT) consists of :

	Item	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	FCC ID
A	Cellular Phone	Sharp	SH-07F	004401115055234	APYHRO00209
B	Flat-plug Stereo Earphone Set	NTT DoCoMo	P01	--	N/A
C	Arib Connector Adaptor	SMK	--	--	N/A

The auxiliary equipment used for testing :


None

Type of Cable:


No.	Description	Identification (Manu. etc.)	Connector Shielded	Cable Shielded	Ferrite Core	Length (m)
1	Stereo Earphone Cable	--	--	NO	NO	1.8
2	Arib Connector Cable	--	--	NO	NO	0.1

6.3 Test Arrangement (Drawings)

a) Single Unit



b) Earphone used



7 Details of the Test Item

7.0 Summary of the Test Results

Test Item	FCC Specification	Reference of the Test Report	Results	Remarks
RF Power Output	Section 22.913(a)(2)	Section 7.1	Passed	-
ERP / EIRP RF Power Output	Section 22.913(a)(2)	Section 7.2	Passed	-
Modulation Characteristics	-	-	-	-
Occupied Bandwidth	Section 22.917	Section 7.4	Passed	-
Spurious Emissions at Antenna Terminals	Section 22.917	Section 7.5	Passed	-
Band-Edge Emission	Section 22.917	Section 7.6	Passed	-
Field Strength of Spurious Radiation	Section 22.917	Section 7.7	Passed	-
Frequency Stability	Section 22.355	Section 7.8	Passed	-

7.1 RF Power Output (§2.1046)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.1.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

Transmitter Power is 447.7 mW at 826.400 MHz(Peak)
208.4 mW at 836.400 MHz(Average)

Uncertainty of Measurement Results at Amplitude +/-0.7 dB(2σ)

Remarks : _____

7.1.2 Test Site and Instruments

7.1.2.1 Test Site

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO ☐ - Anechoic chamber (A1) ☐ - Measurement room (M1)
☐ - Measurement room (M2) ☐ - Measurement room (M3)
☐ - Shielded room (S1) ☐ - Shielded room (S2)
☐ - Shielded room (S3) ☒ - Shielded room (S4)

7.1.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2013/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2013/7	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2013/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2013/7	1 Year

7.1.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

The Conducted RF Power Output was measured with a power meter, one 10dB attenuator and a short, low loss cable.



7.1.4 Test Data

(WCDMA850)

Test Date: April 3, 2014
Temp.: 23 °C, Humi: 45 %

Transmitting Frequency CH	[MHz]	Correction Factor [dB]	Meter Reading (Peak) [dBm]	Results (Peak) [dBm]	[mW]
4132	826.400	10.90	15.61	26.51	447.7
4182	836.400	10.92	15.46	26.38	434.5
4233	846.600	10.93	15.31	26.24	420.7

Transmitting Frequency CH	[MHz]	Correction Factor [dB]	Meter Reading (Average) [dBm]	Results (Average) [dBm]	[mW]
4132	826.400	10.90	12.26	23.16	207.0
4182	836.400	10.92	12.27	23.19	208.4
4233	846.600	10.93	12.11	23.04	201.4

Calculated result at 826.400 MHz, as the maximum level point shown on underline:

Correction Factor	=	10.90	dB
+) Meter Reading	=	15.61	dBm
Result	=	26.51	dBm = 447.7 mW

NOTE : The correction factor shows the attenuation pad loss including the short, low loss cable or adapter.

7.2 ERP / EIRP RF Power Output

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.2.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

Min. Limit Margin 12.4 dB at 826.400 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results +/-1.4 dB(2 σ)

Remarks : The maximum ERP is 0.407 W at 826.400 MHz.

7.2.2 Test Site and Instruments**7.2.2.1 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch

☐ - Anechoic chamber A1 ☒ - Anechoic chamber A2

7.2.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Test Receiver	ESU26	Rohde & Schwarz	A-6	2013/4	1 Year
Signal Generator	E8257D	Agilent	B-39	2013/8	1 Year
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2013/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2013/7	1 Year
Attenuator(TX)	2-10	Weinschel	D-79	2013/11	1 Year
Log-periodic Antenna	UHALP9108-A1	Schwarzbeck	C-31	2013/5	1 Year
Dipole Antenna(TX)	KBA-611	Kyoritsu	C-20	2013/5	1 Year

7.2.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

Step 1:

In order to obtain the maximum emission, the EUT was placed at the height 1.5 m on the non-conducted support and was varying at three orthogonal axes, at the distance 3 m from the receiving antenna and rotated around 360 degrees.

The receiving antenna height was varied from 1 m to 4 m.

The EUT on the table was placed to be maximum emission against at the receiving antenna polarized (vertical and horizontal).

Then the meter reading of the spectrum analyzer at the maximum emission was A dB(μ V).

Step 2:

The EUT was replaced to substitution antenna at the same polarized under the same condition as step 1.

The RF power was fed to the transmitting antenna through the RF amplifier from the signal generator.

In order to obtain the maximum emission level, the height of the receiving antenna was varied from 1 m to 4 m.

The level of maximum emission was A dB(μ V), same as the recorded level in the step 1.

Then the RF power into the substitution horn antenna was P (dBm).


The ERP/EIRP output power was calculated in the following equation.

$$\text{ERP (dBm)} = \text{P (dBm)} - \text{Balun loss of the tuned dipole antenna (dB)} + \text{Cable loss (dB)}$$


$$\text{EIRP (dBm)} = \text{P (dBm)} + \text{Gh (dBi)}$$

where, Gh (dBi) : Gain of the substitution horn antenna.

– Side View –



(a)EUT



(b) Substitution Half-wave Dipole Antenna

7.2.4 Test Data

(WCDMA850)

Test Date: April 2, 2014
Temp.: 22 °C, Humi: 45 %

1. Measurement Results

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Emission Measurement [dB(uV)]		Substitution Measurement [dB(uV)]		Supplied Power to Substitution Antenna [dBm]	Balun Loss of Substitution Antenna [dB]
		Hori. (Mh)	Vert. (Mv)	Hori. (Msh)	Vert. (Msv)		
4132	826.400	99.6	97.6	67.0	65.8	- 5.0	1.5
4182	836.400	99.2	97.0	66.7	65.6	- 5.0	1.5
4233	846.600	99.0	96.3	66.4	65.3	- 5.0	1.6

2. Calculation Results

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Peak ERP [dBm]		Maximum Peak ERP [W]	Limits [dBm]	Margin [dB]
		Hori. (ERPh)	Vert. (ERPv)			
4132	826.400	26.1	25.3	0.407	38.5	+12.4
4182	836.400	26.0	24.9	0.398	38.5	+12.5
4233	846.600	26.0	24.4	0.398	38.5	+12.5

Calculated result at 826.400 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:

Emission Measurement (Mh)	=	99.6 dB(uV)
Substitution Measurement (Msh)	=	-67.0 dB(uV)
Supplied Power to Substitution Antenna	=	-5.0 dBm
+) Balun Loss of Substitution Antenna	=	-1.5 dB
Result (ERPh)	=	26.1 dBm = 0.407 W

Minimum Margin: 38.5 - 26.1 = 12.4 (dB)

NOTE : Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

Detector Function	Resolution B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
Peak	5 MHz	5 MHz	AUTO

7.3 Modulation Characteristics (§2.1047)

For the requirements, ☐ - Applicable ☐ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☒ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☐ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.4 Occupied Bandwidth (§2.1049)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.4.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

The 99% Bandwidth is 4.16 MHz at 836.4/846.6 MHz
The 26dB Bandwidth is 4.65 MHz at 836.40 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results +/-0.9 %(2σ)

Remarks : _____

7.4.2 Test Site and Instruments

7.4.2.1 Test Site

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO ☐ - Anechoic chamber (A1) ☐ - Measurement room (M1)
☐ - Measurement room (M2) ☐ - Measurement room (M3)
☐ - Shielded room (S1) ☐ - Shielded room (S2)
☐ - Shielded room (S3) ☒ - Shielded room (S4)

7.4.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2013/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2013/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2013/7	1 Year

7.4.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

The test system is shown as follows:



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

Res. Bandwidth	30 kHz
Video Bandwidth	100 kHz
Span	5 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold

7.4.4 Test Data


The resolution bandwidth was set to about 1% of emission bandwidth, -26dBc display line was placed on the screen (or 99% bandwidth), the occupied bandwidth is the delta frequency between the two points where the display line intersects the signal trace.

Test Date : April 4, 2014


Temp.:21°C, Humi:46%

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	99% Bandwidth (MHz)	-26dBc Bandwidth (MHz)
4132	826.40	4.15	4.63
4182	836.40	4.16	4.65
4233	846.60	4.16	4.63


Low Channel



Middle Channel



High Channel



7.5 Spurious Emissions at Antenna Terminals (§2.1051)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.5.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

Min. Limit Margin >31.4 dB at 8466.000 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results	9 kHz – 1GHz	<u>+/-1.0</u>	dB(2 σ)
	1GHz – 18GHz	<u>+/-1.2</u>	dB(2 σ)
	18GHz – 40GHz	<u>+/-1.6</u>	dB(2 σ)

Remarks : _____

7.5.2 Test Site and Instruments

7.5.2.1 Test Site

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center

Test site : SAITO

☐ - Anechoic chamber (A1)	☐ - Measurement room (M1)
☐ - Measurement room (M2)	☐ - Measurement room (M3)
☐ - Shielded room (S1)	☐ - Shielded room (S2)
☐ - Shielded room (S3)	☒ - Shielded room (S4)


7.5.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2013/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2013/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2013/7	1 Year
HPF	HPM5010S	MICRO-TRONICS	D-94	2014/2	1 Year

7.5.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

The Antenna Conducted Emission was measured with a spectrum analyzer. The test system is shown as follows:

a) Frequency Range : 9kHz - 1.2GHz



b) Frequency Range : 1.2GHz - 10GHz



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

Frequency Range	9 kHz - 150 kHz	150 kHz - 30 MHz	30 MHz - 10 GHz
Res. Bandwidth	200 Hz	10 kHz	1 MHz
Video Bandwidth	1 kHz	30 kHz	3 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO	AUTO	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold	Maxhold	Maxhold

7.5.4 Test Data

(WCDMA850)

Test Date: April 4, 2014
Temp.: 21 °C, Humi: 46 %

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Measured Frequency [MHz]	Corr. Factor [dB]	Meter Readings [dBm]	Limits [dBm]	Results [dBm]	Margin [dB]	Remarks
4132	826.400	1652.800	12.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.2	> +37.2	C
		2479.200	14.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -49.0	> +36.0	C
		3305.600	14.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.9	> +35.9	C
		4132.000	14.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.2	> +35.2	C
		4958.400	15.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.9	> +34.9	C
		5784.800	15.9	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.1	> +34.1	C
		6611.200	16.9	< -63.0	-13.0	< -46.1	> +33.1	C
		7437.600	17.7	< -63.0	-13.0	< -45.3	> +32.3	C
		8264.000	18.5	< -63.0	-13.0	< -44.5	> +31.5	C
4182	836.400	1672.800	12.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.2	> +37.2	C
		2509.200	14.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.9	> +35.9	C
		3345.600	14.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.9	> +35.9	C
		4182.000	14.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.2	> +35.2	C
		5018.400	15.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.9	> +34.9	C
		5854.800	16.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.0	> +34.0	C
		6691.200	17.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -46.0	> +33.0	C
		7527.600	17.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -45.2	> +32.2	C
		8364.000	18.5	< -63.0	-13.0	< -44.5	> +31.5	C
4233	846.600	1693.200	12.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -50.2	> +37.2	C
		2539.800	14.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -49.0	> +36.0	C
		3386.400	14.2	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.8	> +35.8	C
		4233.000	14.7	< -63.0	-13.0	< -48.3	> +35.3	C
		5079.600	15.2	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.8	> +34.8	C
		5926.200	16.0	< -63.0	-13.0	< -47.0	> +34.0	C
		6772.800	17.1	< -63.0	-13.0	< -45.9	> +32.9	C
		7619.400	17.8	< -63.0	-13.0	< -45.2	> +32.2	C
		8466.000	18.6	< -63.0	-13.0	< -44.4	> +31.4	C

Calculated result at 8466.0 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:

Corr. Factor	=	18.6 dB
+) Meter Reading	=	<-63.0 dBm
Result	=	<-44.4 dBm


Minimum Margin: -13.0 - (<-44.4) = >31.4 (dB)

NOTES


- The spectrum was checked from 9 kHz to 10 GHz.
- Applied limits : -13.0 [dBm] = $10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + 10\log(tp[W])) = 10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + (10\log(TP[mW]) - 30))$
where, $tp[W] = TP[mW] / 1000$: Transmitter power at antenna terminal
- The correction factor is shown as follows:
Corr. Factor [dB] = Cable Loss + 10dB Pad Att. [dB] (9 kHz ~ 2 GHz)
Corr. Factor [dB] = Cable Loss + 10dB Pad Att. + High Pass Filter Loss (D-96) [dB] (over 2 GHz)
- The symbol of "<" means "or less".
- The symbol of ">" means "more than".
- Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

	Detector Function	RES B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
A	Peak	200 Hz	1 kHz	AUTO
B	Peak	10 kHz	30 kHz	AUTO
C	Peak	1 MHz	3 MHz	AUTO


Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 1.2 GHz)




Low Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (1.2 GHz – 10 GHz)




Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)




Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 1.2 GHz)




Middle Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (1.2 GHz – 10 GHz)




High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (9 kHz – 150 kHz)




High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (150 kHz – 30 MHz)



High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (30 MHz – 1.2 GHz)



High Channel, Out-Of-Band Emissions (1.2 GHz – 10 GHz)



7.6 Band-Edge Emission (§2.1051)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.6.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

Min. Limit Margin 4.5 dB at 849.000 MHz

The Band-Edge level is -17.5 dBm at 849.000 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results +/-1.2 dB(2 σ)

Remarks : _____

7.6.2 Test Site and Instruments**7.6.2.1 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center


Test site : SAITO ☐ - Anechoic chamber (A1) ☐ - Measurement room (M1)
☐ - Measurement room (M2) ☐ - Measurement room (M3)
☐ - Shielded room (S1) ☐ - Shielded room (S2)
☐ - Shielded room (S3) ☒ - Shielded room (S4)

7.6.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Spectrum Analyzer	E4446A	Agilent	A-39	2013/9	1 Year
Attenuator	54A-10	Weinschel	D-28	2013/9	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX102	SUHNER	C-52	2013/7	1 Year

7.6.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

The test system is shown as follows:



The setting of the spectrum analyzer are shown as follows:

TX Frequency	826.40 MHz / 846.60 MHz
Band-Edge Frequency	824.00 MHz / 849.00 MHz
Res. Bandwidth	51 kHz
Video Bandwidth	51 kHz
Span	5 MHz
Sweep Time	AUTO
Trace	Maxhold


7.6.4 Test Data

Test Date : April 4, 2014


Temp.:21°C, Humi:46%

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Band-Edge Frequency (MHz)	Band-Edge Level (dBm)	Limits (dBm)	Margin (dB)
4132	826.4	824.0	-21.7	-13.0	+8.7
4233	846.6	849.0	-17.5	-13.0	+4.5

Low Channel, Band-Edge Emission



High Channel, Band-Edge Emission



7.7 Field Strength of Spurious Radiation (§2.1053)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.7.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

Min. Limit Margin >34.5 dB at 6611.200 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results 30 MHz – 1000 MHz +/-1.4 dB(2 σ)
above 1 GHz +/-2.2 dB(2 σ)

Remarks : _____

7.7.2 Test Site and Instruments**7.7.2.1 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center SAITO EMC Branch

☐ - Anechoic chamber A1 ☒ - Anechoic chamber A2

7.7.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Test Receiver	ESU26	Rohde & Schwarz	A-6	2013/4	1 Year
Signal Generator	E8257A	Agilent	B-39	2013/8	1 Year
Power Meter	N1911A	Agilent	B-63	2013/7	1 Year
Power Sensor	N1921A	Agilent	B-64	2013/7	1 Year
Horn Antenna	91888-2	EATON	C-41-1	2013/6	1 Year
Horn Antenna	91889-2	EATON	C-41-2	2013/6	1 Year
Horn Antenna	3160-05	EATON	C-56	2013/7	1 Year
Horn Antenna	3160-06	EATON	C-57	2013/7	1 Year
Horn Antenna	3160-07	EATON	C-58	2013/7	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-66	2014/1	1 Year
RF Cable	SUCOFLEX104	SUHNER	C-67	2014/1	1 Year
Attenuator	2-10	Weinschel	D-79	2013/11	1 Year
Attenuator	54-10	Weinschel	D-29	2013/9	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	WJ-6611-513	Watkins Johnson	A-23	2014/1	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	WJ-6882-824	Watkins Johnson	A-21	2014/1	1 Year
Pre-Amplifier	DBL-0618N515	DBS Microwave	A-33	2014/1	1 Year
HPF	HPM5010S	MICRO-TRONICS	D-94	2014/2	1 Year

7.7.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

Step 1) The spurious radiation for transmitter were measured at the distance 3 m away from the EUT which was placed on a non-conducted support 0.8 m in height and was varying at three orthogonal axes. The receiving antenna was oriented for vertical polarization and varied from 1 m to 4 m until the maximum emission level was detected on the measuring instrument. The EUT was rotated 360 degrees until the maximum emission was received. The measurement was also repeated with the receiving antenna in the horizontal polarization.

This test was carried out using the half-wave dipole antenna for up to 1GHz and using the horn antenna for above 1 GHz.

Step 2)

A) Up to 1 GHz

The ERP measurement was carried out with according to Step 2 in Clause 7.2.4. Then the RF power in the substitution antenna half-wave dipole antenna for up to 1 GHz and the substitution horn antenna for above 1 GHz.

The ERP is calculated in the following equation.

$$\text{ERP(dBm)} = P(\text{dBm}) - (\text{Balun Loss of the half-wave dipole Ant. (dB)}) + \text{Cable Loss(dB)}$$

B) Above 1 GHz

The ERP is calculated from the maximum emission level by the following formula.

$$\frac{e^2}{120\pi} = \frac{\text{eirp}}{4\pi d^2} \quad \text{---(Eq.1)}$$

$$\text{erp} = \text{eirp} - Gd \quad \text{---(Eq.2)}$$

Where, $e[V/m]$: Field Strength at measuring distance($d=3m$)

$\text{eirp}[W]$: Equivalent Isotropic Radiated Power

$\text{erp}[W]$: Effective Radiated Power

$Gd(dBi)$: Gain of the substitution half-wave dipole antenna(2.15dBi)

$$\text{eirp} = \frac{(de)^2}{30} = \frac{3}{10}e^2$$

$$\therefore 10\log(\text{eirp}) = 20\log(e) + 10\log(3/10) = 20\log(e) - 5.23$$

$$10\log(\text{eirp}) = \text{EIRP[dBm]} - 30$$


$$20\log(e) = E[dB(\mu V/m)] - 120$$

$$\therefore \text{EIRP} = E - 120 + 30 - 5.23 = E - 95.23$$


$$\therefore \text{ERP[dBm]} = \text{EIRP} - 2.15 = E - 97.38$$

The respective calculated ERP of the spurious and harmonics were compared with the ERP of fundamental frequency by specified attenuation limits, $43+10\log_{10}(TP \text{ in watt})[dB]$. Where, TP = Transmitter power at the ANT OUT under test configuration as the hands free unit used.

Radiated Emission 30 MHz to 1000 MHz




Radiated Emission above 1 GHz



NOTE

The antenna height is scanned depending on the EUT's size and mounting height.

Radiated Emission 30 to 1000 MHz – Substitution Method



7.7.4 Test Data

(WCDMA850)

Test Configuration : Single Unit

Test Date: April 2, 2014
Temp.: 22 °C, Humi: 45 %

CH	Transmitting Frequency [MHz]	Measured Frequency [MHz]	ERP [dBm]		Limits [dBm]	Margin [dB]	Remarks
			Hori.	Vert.			
4132	826.400	1652.800	< -53.2	< -53.2	-13.0	> +40.2	C
		2479.200	< -57.6	< -57.6	-13.0	> +44.6	C
		3305.600	< -56.7	< -56.7	-13.0	> +43.7	C
		4132.000	< -50.9	< -50.9	-13.0	> +37.9	C
		4958.400	< -51.7	< -51.7	-13.0	> +38.7	C
		5784.800	< -50.6	< -50.6	-13.0	> +37.6	C
		6611.200	< -47.5	< -47.5	-13.0	> +34.5	C
		7437.600	< -57.3	< -57.3	-13.0	> +44.3	C
		8264.000	< -51.3	< -51.3	-13.0	> +38.3	C
4182	836.400	1672.800	< -53.5	< -53.5	-13.0	> +40.5	C
		2509.200	< -57.9	< -57.9	-13.0	> +44.9	C
		3345.600	< -56.5	< -56.5	-13.0	> +43.5	C
		4182.000	< -50.9	< -50.9	-13.0	> +37.9	C
		5018.400	< -51.7	< -51.7	-13.0	> +38.7	C
		5854.800	< -50.5	< -50.5	-13.0	> +37.5	C
		6691.200	< -47.6	< -47.6	-13.0	> +34.6	C
		7527.600	< -57.3	< -57.3	-13.0	> +44.3	C
		8364.000	< -51.4	< -51.4	-13.0	> +38.4	C
4233	846.600	1693.200	< -53.7	< -53.7	-13.0	> +40.7	C
		2539.800	< -58.2	< -58.2	-13.0	> +45.2	C
		3386.400	< -56.5	< -56.5	-13.0	> +43.5	C
		4233.000	< -50.9	< -50.9	-13.0	> +37.9	C
		5079.600	< -51.6	< -51.6	-13.0	> +38.6	C
		5926.200	< -50.1	< -50.1	-13.0	> +37.1	C
		6772.800	< -47.6	< -47.6	-13.0	> +34.6	C
		7619.400	< -55.2	< -55.2	-13.0	> +42.2	C
		8466.000	< -51.5	< -51.5	-13.0	> +38.5	C

Calculated result at 6611.2 MHz, as the worst point shown on underline:

Minimum Margin: $-13.0 - (<-47.5) = >34.5$ (dB)

NOTES

1. Test Distance : 3 m
2. The spectrum was checked from 30 MHz to 10 GHz.
3. All emissions not reported were more than 20 dB below the applied limits.
4. Applied limits : -13.0 [dBm] = $10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + 10\log(tp[W])) = 10\log(TP[mW]) - (43 + (10\log(TP[mW]) - 30))$
where, $tp[W] = TP[mW] / 1000$: Transmitter power at antenna terminal
5. The symbol of "<" means "or less".
6. The symbol of ">" means "more than".
7. Setting of measuring instrument(s) :

	Detector Function	RES B.W.	V.B.W.	Sweep Time
A	Peak	10 kHz	30 kHz	20 msec.
B	Peak	100 kHz	300 kHz	20 msec.
C	Peak	1 MHz	3 MHz	20 msec.

7.8 Frequency Stability(\$2.1055)

For the requirements, ☒ - Applicable ☒ - Tested. ☐ - Not tested by applicant request.]
☐ - Not Applicable

For the limits, ☒ - Passed ☐ - Failed ☐ - Not judged

7.8.1 Worst Point and Measurement Uncertainty

The Frequency Stability level is -0.02 ppm at 836.400 MHz

Uncertainty of Measurement Results +/-0.02 ppm(2σ)

Remarks : _____

7.8.2 Test Site and Instruments**7.8.2.1 Test Site**

KITA-KANSAI Testing Center

Test site : SAITO ☐ - Measurement room (M4) ☒ - Shielded room (S4)
MINOH ☐ - Environment Testing Room

7.8.2.2 Test Instruments

Type	Model	Manufacturer	ID No.	Last Cal.	Interval
Radio Communication Analyzer	MT8815B	Anritsu	B-69	2013/9	1 Year
DC Voltage Meter	2011-39	YEW	B-33	2013/4	1 Year
Environmental Chamber	SH-641	ESPEC	F-32	2013/7	1 Year
DC Power Supply	NL035-10	TAKASAGO	F-4	N/A	N/A


7.8.3 Test Method and Test Setup (Diagrammatic illustration)

Frequency Stability versus Temperature

The EUT was placed in an environmental chamber and was tested in the range from –30 to +50 degrees Celsius. The EUT was stabilized at each temperature. The power (4.0VDC) supplied was applied to the transmitter and allowed to stabilize for 10 minutes. The transmitting frequency was measured at startup and 2 minutes, 5 minutes and 10 minutes after startup. This procedure was repeated from –30 to +50 degrees Celsius at the interval of 10 degrees.

Frequency Stability versus Power Supply Voltage

The EUT was placed in an environmental chamber and was tested at the temperature of +20 degrees Celsius. The EUT was stabilized at the temperature. The power (4.0VDC) and the power (3.7VDC, the ending voltage) was applied to the EUT allowed to stabilize for 10 minutes. The transmitting frequency was measured at startup and 2 minutes, 5 minutes and 10 minutes after startup.



7.8.4 Test Data

(WCDMA850)

Test Date: April 9, 2014
- April 10, 2014

1. Frequency Stability Measurement versus Temperature

Transmitting Frequency : 836.400 MHz (4182 ch)
DC Supply Voltage : 4.0 VDC

Ambient Temperature [°C]	Startup	Deviation [ppm]			Limits [ppm]	Margin [ppm]
		2 minutes	5 minutes	10 minutes		
-30	+ 0.01	- 0.01	+ 0.00	- 0.01	2.50	2.49
-20	- 0.01	- 0.01	+ 0.00	- 0.01	2.50	2.49
-10	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
0	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
10	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
20	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
30	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
40	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	+ 0.00	2.50	2.48
50	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	+ 0.00	2.50	2.49

2. Frequency Stability Measurement versus Power Supply Voltage

Transmitting Frequency : 836.400 MHz (4182 ch)
Ambient Temperature: : 20 °C

DC Supply Voltage [V]	Startup	Deviation [ppm]			Limits [ppm]	Margin [ppm]
		2 minutes	5 minutes	10 minutes		
4.0	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48
3.7(Ending)	<u>- 0.02</u>	- 0.01	- 0.01	- 0.01	2.50	2.48

Test condition example as the maximum deviation point shown on underline:

Ambient Temperature : -10 °C / Startup

DC Supply Voltage : 4 VDC

Minimum Margin: 2.50 - 0.02 = 2.48 (ppm)

NOTE : The measurement were made after all of components of the oscillator sufficiently stabilized at each temperature.