

**Appendix A – SAR MEASUREMENT DATA**

### **Mid Ch Face Held with Stubby Antenna**

Date/Time: 4/3/2006 11:45:57 AM

### **DUT: Kenwood; Type: TK-3200; Serial: Not Specified**

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 23 deg C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 deg C

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz head Medium parameters used:  $f = 450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.83$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 45.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

 $k\text{g/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.6, 7.6, 7.6); Calibrated: 9/20/2005 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/22/2005 Phantom: Twin Box HSL; Type: HSL; Serial: 001 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

**Area Scan (61x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $3.10 \text{ mW/g}$ 

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 59.4 V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.254$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $4.54$  W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 2.92 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.1 mW/g** Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $3.04 \text{ mW/g}$ 





### **Mdd Ch Face Held With Whip Antenna**

Date/Time: 4/3/2006 11:19:57 AM

### **DUT: Kenwood; Type: TK-3200; Serial: Not Specified**

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 23 deg C; Fluid Temp: 22.6 deg C

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz head Medium parameters used:  $f = 450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.83$  mho/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 45.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

 $k\text{g/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.6, 7.6, 7.6); Calibrated: 9/20/2005 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/22/2005 Phantom: Twin Box HSL; Type: HSL; Serial: 001 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

**Area Scan (61x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $1.64$  mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $42.5$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.235$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $2.46$  W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 1.56$  mW/g;  $SAR(10 g) = 1.12$  mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $1.63$  mW/g



### **Mid Ch Body Worn with stubby Antenna and speaker mic**

Date/Time: 4/3/2006 10:47:20 AM

### **DUT: Kenwood; Type: TK-3202; Serial: Not Specified**

Medium Notes: Fluid Temp: 22.0 deg C; Ambient Temp: 23.1 deg C

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz Body Medium parameters used:  $f = 450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.9$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 57.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

 $k\text{g/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 9/20/2005 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/22/2005 Phantom: Twin Box HSL; Type: HSL; Serial: 001 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

**Area Scan (61x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $4.58 \text{ mW/g}$ 

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $70.9$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.306$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $7.30$  W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 4.35$  mW/g;  $SAR(10 g) = 2.98$  mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $4.56$  mW/g





m

### **Mid Ch Body Worn with Whip Antenna and speaker mic**

Date/Time: 4/3/2006 10:20:15 AM

### **DUT: Kenwood; Type: TK-3200; Serial: Not Specified**

Medium Notes: Fluid Temp: 22.0 deg C; Ambient Temp: 23.1 deg C

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz Body Medium parameters used:  $f = 450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.9$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 57.1$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

 $k\text{g/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.5, 7.5, 7.5); Calibrated: 9/20/2005 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/22/2005 Phantom: Twin Box HSL; Type: HSL; Serial: 001 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

**Area Scan (61x201x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $2.10 \text{ mW/g}$ 

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $48.4$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.335$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $3.28$  W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 1.98$  mW/g;  $SAR(10 g) = 1.38$  mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $2.06$  mW/g





**Appendix B – SYSTEM VALIDATION**

### **450MHz Validation**

Date/Time: 04/03/2006 09:28:25 AM

### **DUT: Dipole 450 MHz; Type: D450V2; Serial: D450V2 -SN:004**

Medium Notes: Ambient Temp: 23.0 deg C; Fluid Temp: 22.0 deg C

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz HSL Medium parameters used:  $f = 450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.83$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 45.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000$ 

 $k\text{g/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.6, 7.6, 7.6); Calibrated: 9/20/2005 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/22/2005 Phantom: Validation Phantom in front of RX90; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 001 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.6 Build 23; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 160

**Area Scan (101x61x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $1.34$  mW/g

**Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $39.9$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.02$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $2.24$  W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 1.27 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.815 mW/g** Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $1.33 \text{ mW/g}$ 







**Appendix C – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

 $15244/$ 

**Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura **Swiss Calibration Service** 

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

**MET Laboratories** Client

Certificate No: ET3-1793\_Sep05

# **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**



Certificate No: ET3-1793\_Sep05

### **Calibration Laboratory of**

Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst** S Service suisse d'étalonnage

C Servizio svizzero di taratura

S **Swiss Calibration Service** 

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Federal Office of Metrology and Accreditation The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

### Glossary:



### **Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3) GHz), July 2001

### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- $NORMx, y, z$ : Assessed for E-field polarization  $9 = 0$  ( $f \le 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 wavequide). NORMx, y, z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx, y, z does not effect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x, y,  $z = NORMx, y, z * frequency response$  (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx, y, z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or  $\bullet$ Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx, y, z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm$  50 MHz to  $\pm$  100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a  $\bullet$ flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center  $\bullet$ from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ET3DV6

# **SN:1793**

Manufactured: Last calibrated: Recalibrated:

May 28, 2005 September 15, 2003 September 20, 2005

**Calibrated for DASY Systems** 

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

**ET3DV6 SN:1793** 

**September 20, 2005** 

# DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1793

Sensitivity in Free Space<sup>A</sup>

### Diode Compression<sup>B</sup>



Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid (Conversion Factors)

Please see Page 8.

### **Boundary Effect**

#### **TSL 900 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm



#### **TSL**

**1810 MHz** Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm



### **Sensor Offset**

Probe Tip to Sensor Center

 $2.7$  mm

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX, Y, Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 8).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.



# **Frequency Response of E-Field**

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)

Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

**September 20, 2005** 



# Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ),  $\theta = 0^{\circ}$



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)

**September 20, 2005** 



# **Dynamic Range f(SAR**<sub>head</sub>)

(Waveguide R22,  $f = 1800$  MHz)

Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)

### **ET3DV6 SN:1793**



# **Conversion Factor Assessment**



<sup>C</sup> The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

**September 20, 2005** 





Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Page 9 of 9

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

S  $a \, q$ e p

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland Phone +41 1 245 9700, Fax +41 1 245 9779 info@speag.com, http://www.speag.com

### Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1793

Conversion factor  $(\pm$  standard deviation)





**Appendix D – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

### **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**



This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in a closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(21 \pm 3)$  °C and humidity < 70%

Calibration equipment used



Calibrated by: Shawn McMillen Senior Engineer

Name Function Signature

 $\mu$ 

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full

Date of Issue: December 9, 2004

### **Calibration procedure for validation dipole**

Calibration is performed according to the following standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300MHz – 3GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Bulletin 65 Supplement C (Edition01- 01).

Additional Documents

d) DASY4 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All Figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna flatness: The antenna is checked for straightness using a straight edge place parallel to the dipole arms.
- Antenna Parameters with Tissue Simulating Liquid (TSL): The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Vector Network Analyzer: The network analyzer is calibrated as per the user's manual.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. A Return Loss >20dB ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No Uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1W at the antenna connector. No Uncertainty required
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the SAR results.

### **Measurement Conditions**





### **Head TSL Parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied



# **Measurement Uncertainty of Dipole Calibration**



### **SAR results with Head TSL and system uncertainty**







:

# **450 MHz System Validation Dipole**









**MET Laboratories, Inc certifies that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above.** 

**Approved By:** Shawn McMillen

**SAR Compliance Manager**



### **1. Measurement Conditions**

The DASY4 System with a dosimetric E-Field probe ET3DV6 (SN1793, Conversion factor 7.6 at 450 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The target dielectric parameters for the head simulating solution used for the calibration at 450MHz is:



The measurements were performed in an 82x40x22cm flat Plexiglas Phantom filled with head stimulant tissue.

The dipole was mounted so that the dipole feed point was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom section and the dipole was oriented parallel to the body axis (the long side of the phantom). The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to solution surface. A loss-less dielectric spacer was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The course grid with a grid spacing of 15mm was aligned with the dipole. The 7x7x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. The dipole input power (forward power) was  $250 \text{mW} \pm 3\%$ . The results are normalized to 1W input power.

### **2. SAR Measurement with DASY4 System**

Standard SAR measurement were performed according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The resulting average SAR values measured with the dosimetric probe ET3DV6 (SN1793) and applying advanced extrapolation are:



### **3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss**

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 with the 15mm spacer. The impedance and return loss measurements are





### **4. SAR Measurement**

The SAR measurement was performed with the E-field probe in mechanical detection mode only. The setup and determination of the forward power into the dipole was performed using the following procedures.



First the power meter PM1 (including attenuator Att1) is connected to the RF cable to measure the forward power at the location of the dipole connector (X). The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power at the dipole connector (taking into account the attenuation of Att1) as read by power meter PM2. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2. If the signal generator does not allow adjustment in 0.01dB steps, the remaining difference at PM2 must be taken into consideration. The matching of the dipole should be checked using a network analyzer to ensure that the reflected power is at least 20 dB below the forward power.



### **4. Handling**

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feed point leading to a damage of the dipole.

### **5. Design**

The validation dipole is made of standard semi ridged coaxial cable and is constructed in accordance with the IEEE Std "Recommended Practice for Determining the Spatial-Peak Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques". The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.



<b>Frequency (MHz)</b>	$L$ (mm)	$h$ (mm)	$d$ (mm)
300	396.0	250.0	6.35
450	270.0	166.7	6.35
835	161.0	89.8	3.6
900	149.0	83.3	3.6
1450	89.1	51.7	3.6
1800	72.0	41.7	3.6
1900	68.0	39.5	3.6
2000	64.5	37.5	3.6
2450	51.8	30.4	3.6
3000	41.5	25.0	3.6

**Validation Dipole Dimensions** 



### **6. Determination of Target SAR number**

A total of 10 test runs were carried out. The fluid dielectric parameters were measured prior to each run. After each run the dipole was removed from the phantom surface, the forward dipole power reset and the dipole repositioned next to the phantom surface.









### DUT: Dipole 450 MHz; Type: D450V2; Serial: D450V2 - SN:004

Communication System: CW; ; Frequency: 450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 450MHz HSL Medium parameters used: f = 450 MHz; σ = 0.87 mho/m;  $ε_r = 44.5$ ;  $ρ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup>$ Phantom section: Flat Section

- Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1793; ConvF(7.1, 7.1, 7.1); Calibrated: 9/15/2003

- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

- Electronics: DAE3 Sn584; Calibrated: 9/16/2003

- Phantom: Validation Phantom in front of RX90; Type: Plexiglas; Serial: 001

- Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.4 Build 3; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 130

Area Scan (151x71x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) =  $1.4 \text{ mW/g}$ 

**/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $40.1$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.004$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $2.01$  W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 1.32 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.877 mW/g** Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $1.41 \text{ mW/g}$ 



 $0 dB = 1.41mW/g$ 



**Appendix E – MEASURED FLUID DIELECTRIC PARAMETERS**

### **450MHz Head**

**April 3, 2006 08:50 AM**



### **450MHz Body**

**April 3, 2006 08:56 AM**

