



American Telecommunications Certification Body Inc.  
6731 Whittier Ave, McLean, VA 22101

October 8, 2003

RE: Kenwood USA Corporation

FCC ID: ALH36033110

After a review of the submitted information, I have a few comments on the above referenced Application.

- 1) The confidentiality letter is missing the FCC ID. Please correct.
- 2) Please provide a parts list of the TX/RX portion of the device.
- 3) It is uncertain if the device contains additional boards. Please provide a few additional internal photographs to show the general construction and placement in the chassis of the boards pursuant to 1.1033(c)(12).
- 4) Please provide DC voltages/currents applied into the several elements of the final radio frequency amplifying device for normal operation over the power range as required by 2.1033(c)(8).
- 5) The test report table 7-3 does not appear to list antennas necessary to measure above 2 GHz. Please explain.
- 6) The masks shown in Plot 8-1 are place based on the channel spacing (25 kHz) and not the authorized bandwidth (20 kHz) as given in 90.210(b). Note that other sections of the rules such as Part 74 do show an authorized bandwidth of 25 kHz. The masks are therefore shown wider than they should be. Note that compliance can still be seen but if possible, please adjust. Additionally, to make review of these reports easier, we recommend the test report stating what subparts of each rule part are being applied. Additionally, it is helpful if the limits for all subparts are also show to keep the review time and questions to a minimum.
- 7) 90.213 shows that the frequency stability for stations using 12.5 channel bandwidths in the frequency range of 421-512 must meet 2.5 ppm (see note 8 of the table). The 731, test report (page 1, 6, etc), MPE exhibit (page 1) all state 5.0 ppm. Note that the emissions designator 11K0F3E would require 2.5 ppm. This may be used for both emissions designators if desired. Note that from the data provided, compliance with 2.5 ppm is shown. However, it is recommended to adjust the appropriate sections of the report to match. Please explain.
- 8) The users manual mentions 60 cm distances for RF exposure purposes for occupational/controlled exposure conditions at 50% duty factor, while the MPE exhibit shows 32 cm for 50% duty cycle, 46 cm for 100%. Please help explain and/or correct the affected exhibits for consistency. Additionally, please note that the device does not appear to contain any warning signs or labels to establish awareness regarding occupational exposure conditions. Please provide any information regarding this issue. Note that the user is not necessarily the same as the one who installed the radio.
- 9) Please provide an attestation regarding compliance to Part 22.313 for this device.

Timothy R. Johnson  
Examining Engineer

[mailto: tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com](mailto:tjohnson@AmericanTCB.com)

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information may result in application termination. Correspondence should be considered part of the permanent submission and may be viewed from the Internet after a Grant of Equipment Authorization is issued.

Please do not respond to this correspondence using the email reply button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must submit your documents through the AmericanTCB.com website. Also, please note that partial responses increase processing time and should not be submitted.

Any questions about the content of this correspondence should be directed to the sender.