Certificate Number: 1449-02





### **CGISS EME Test Laboratory**

8000 West Sunrise Blvd Fort Lauderdale, FL. 33322

# S.A.R. EME Compliance Test Report

Part 3 of 3

**Date of Report:** November 24, 2003

**Report Revision:** Rev. O **Manufacturer:** Motorola

**Product Description:** Portable 435-480 MHz, 4W, 32 CH

w/ display/Limited Keypad

FCC ID: ABZ99FT4065 Device Model: PMUE2138A

**Test Period:** 11/17/03 - 11/20/03

**EME Technician:** Clint Miller

**Responsible Engineer:** Kim Uong (Sr. EME Engineer) **Author:** Kim Uong (Sr. EME Engineer)

**Review By:** Michael Sailsman

Global EME Regulatory Affairs Liaison

Note: Based on the information and the testing results provided herein, the undersigned certifies that when used as stated in the operating instructions supplied, said product complies with all applicable national and international reference standards and guidelines.

Deanna Zakharia Signature on File 11/24/03

Ken Enger Date Approved

Senior Resource Manager, Product Safety and EME Director, Phone: 954-723-6299

Note: Consistent with the ISO/IEC 17025 recommendation this report shall not be reproduced in part without written approval from an officially designated representative of the Motorola EME Laboratory.

# APPENDIX D

## **Calibration Certificates**

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

Client

Motorola CGISS

CALIBRATION C	ERTIFICATE		
Object(s)	ET3DV6 - SN:1383		
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v2 Calibration procedure	for dosimetric E-field probe	S
Calibration date:	February 26, 2003		
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance (according	ig to the specific calibration	document)
17025 international standard.	d in the closed laboratory facility: er	calibration procedures and conformity of t	
	onada ioi danni andiy		
Model Type	ID#	Cal Date	Scheduled Calibration
RF generator HP 8684C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Aug-02)	In house check: Aug-05
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	8-Mar-02	Mar-03
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092180	18-Sep-02	Sep-03
Power meter EPM E4419B	GB41293874	13-Sep-02	Sep-03
Network Analyzer HP 8753E Fluke Process Calibrator Type 702	US38432426 SN: 6295803	3-May-00 3-Sep-01	In house check: May 03 Sep-03
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Nico Vetterli	Technician	D.Veller
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Laboratory Director	Alen Wat
			Leadon a May =
			Date issued: February 26, 2003
This calibration certificate is issued a Calibration Laboratory of Schmid &		accreditation process (based on ISO/IEC	17025 International Standard) for

880-KP0301061-A

# DASY - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1383

### Sensitivity in Free Space

### **Diode Compression**

NormX	1.80 μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	DCP X	93	mV
NormY	1.55 $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	DCP Y	93	mV
NormZ	1.62 μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	DCP Z	93	mV

### Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head	900 MHz $\epsilon_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$		$\sigma =$	0.97 ± 5%	mho/m	
Head	835 MHz		$\epsilon_{\rm r}$ = 41.5 ± 5%	σ=	$0.90 \pm 5\%$	mho/m
	ConvF X	6.5	±9.5% (k=2)		Boundary 6	effect:
	ConvF Y	6.5	± 9.5% (k=2)		Alpha	0.59
	ConvF Z	6.5	± 9.5% (k=2)		Depth	1.97
Head	1800 MHz		$\varepsilon_r$ = 40.0 ± 5%	σ=	1.40 ± 5%	mho/m
Head	1900 MHz		$\varepsilon_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	0 =	1.40 ± 5%	mho/m
	ConvF X	5.2	±9.5% (k=2)		Boundary 6	effect:
	ConvF Y	5.2	±9.5% (k=2)		Alpha	0.57
	ConvF Z	5.2	±9.5% (k=2)		Depth	2.54

## **Boundary Effect**

Head	900 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 5 % per mm
------	---------	----------------------------------

Probe Tip t	o Boundary	1 mm	2 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	10.0	5.2
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.1	0.5

Head	1800 MHz	Typical SAR gradient: 10 % per mm

Probe Tip	to Boundary	1 mm	2 mm
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	Without Correction Algorithm	15. <b>1</b>	9.9
SAR <sub>be</sub> [%]	With Correction Algorithm	0.2	0.0

### Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	mm

# Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

### **Additional Conversion Factors**

for Dosimetric E-Field Probe

Type:	ET3DV6		
Serial Number:	1383		
Place of Assessment:	Zurich		
Date of Assessment:	February 28, 2003		
Probe Calibration Date:	February 26, 2003		

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. Since the evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors, it has to be recalculated yearly, i.e., following the re-calibration schedule of the probe. The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 900 MHz or at 1800 MHz.

Assessed by:

# Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1383

Conversion factor (± standard deviation)


150 MHz >	ConvF	$8.1 \pm 8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 61.9$ $\sigma = 0.80 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
236 MHz	ConvF	7.9 ± 8%	$\epsilon_r = 59.8$ $\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
300 MHz -	ConvF	7.8 ± 8%	$\epsilon_r = 58.2$ $\sigma = 0.92 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
350 MHz	ConvF	7.8 ± 8%	$\epsilon_r = 57.7$ $\sigma = 0.93 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.5 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 56.7$ $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
784 MHz   ✓	ConvF	$6.5\pm8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 55.4$ $\sigma = 0.97 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)
1450 MHz 🗸	ConvF	$5.3\pm8\%$	$\epsilon_r = 54.0$ $\sigma = 1.30 \text{ mho/m}$ (body tissue)


# Dosimetric E-Field Probe ET3DV6 SN:1383


Conversion factor (± standard deviation)

J			2000
150 MHz V	ConvF	$9.0 \pm 8\%$	$e_r = 52.3$
			$\sigma = 0.76 \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
236 MHz 🗸	ConvF	$8.2 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 48.3$
CONCRETE CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR			$\sigma = 0.82 \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
300 MHz ✓	ConvF	$7.7 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 45.3$
JOU WILLS	Convi	(11 = 5 /2	g = 0.87 mho/m
			(head tissue)
			(nead dissue)
350 MHz <sup>∀</sup>	ConvF	$7.7 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 44.7$
			$\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
400 MHz	ConvF	$7.5 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 44.4$
TOO ITELES	Convi	7.5 = 0.0	g = 0.87  mho/m
			(head tissue - CENELEC)
			(nead tissue - CENTELLE)
450 MHz	ConvF	$7.5\pm8\%$	$\varepsilon_r = 43.5$
			$\sigma = 0.87 \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
784 MHz 🗸	ConvF	$6.7 \pm 8\%$	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 41.8$
1 O A TANKE	Court	VII - 0 /0	$\sigma = 0.90 \text{ mho/m}$
			(head tissue)
			(nead ussue)


# Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta$ = 0°








# Isotropy Error ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^{\circ}$




# Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110, Waveguide R22)



# Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>brain</sub>)


(Waveguide R22)






# Deviation from Isotropy in HSL


Error (θ,φ), f = 900 MHz



ET3DV6 SN:1383 February 26, 2003


## **Conversion Factor Assessment**






Head	900 MHz	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 41$	.5 ± 5% σ	= 0.97 ± 5% mhc	o/m
Head	835 MHz	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 41$	.5 ± 5% σ	= 0.90 ± 5% mhc	m/c
	ConvF X	6.5 ± 9.5% (k=2)		Boundary effect	et:
	ConvF Y	6.5 ± 9.5% (k=2)		Alpha	0.59
	ConvF Z	<b>6.5</b> ± 9.5% (k=2)		Depth	1.97
	****		20.20	The second design of the second	The same of the sa
Head	1800 MHz	$\varepsilon_{\rm r} = 40$	<b>0.0 ± 5</b> % σ	= 1.40 ± 5% mhc	)/m
Head	1900 MHz	$\epsilon_r = 40$	0.0 ± 5% σ	= 1.40 ± 5% mhc	o/m
	ConvF X	<b>5.2</b> ± 9.5% (k=2)		Boundary effect	at:
	ConvF Y	<b>5.2</b> ± 9.5% (k=2)		Alpha	0.57
	ConvF Z	5.2 ± 9.5% (k=2)		Depth	2.54


# **Conversion Factor Assessment**



nead	1450 MHZ		E <sub>r</sub> = 40.4 I 5%	G = 1.23 ± 5% II	nno/m
	ConvF X	5.8	± 8.9% (k=2)	Boundary ef	fect:
	ConvF Y	5.8	±8.9% (k=2)	Alpha	0.75
	ConvF Z	5.8	±8.9% (k=2)	Depth	1.91

## **Conversion Factor Assessment**





Head	2450	MHz	$\varepsilon_{\rm f} = 39.2 \pm 5\%$	$\sigma$ = 1.80 ± 5% mho/m	
	ConvF X	5	.0 ± 8.9% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y	5	.0 ±8.9% (k=2)	Alpha 1.15	
	ConvF Z	5	.0 ± 8.9% (k=2)	Depth <b>1.76</b>	il i
Body	2450	MHz	$\epsilon_r$ = 52.7 ± 5%	α = 1.95 ± 5% mho/m	
	ConvF X	4	.7 ±8.9% (k=2)	Boundary effect:	
	ConvF Y	4	.7 ±8.9% (k=2)	Alpha 2.00	
	ConvF Z	4	.7 ± 8.9% (k=2)	Depth 1.24	

# Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

### **Calibration Certificate**

450 MHz System Validation Dipole

Type:	D450V2	
Serial Number:	1002	
Place of Calibration:	Zurich	
Date of Calibration:	April 5, 2002	
Calibration Interval:	24 months	

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies, that this device has been calibrated on the date indicated above. The calibration was performed in accordance with specifications and procedures of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG.

Wherever applicable, the standards used in the calibration process are traceable to international standards. In all other cases the standards of the Laboratory for EMF and Microwave Electronics at the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology (ETH) in Zurich, Switzerland have been applied.

Calibrated by:	Volione Waty a	
A	1/10 :	
Approved by:	1 V. 1 XX	

### 1. Measurement Conditions

The measurements were performed in the flat phantom filled with head simulating liquid of the following electrical parameters at 450 MHz:

Relative Dielectricity 44.5  $\pm 5\%$ Conductivity 0.86 mho/m  $\pm 5\%$ 

The DASY3 System (Software version 3.1d) with a dosimetric E-field probe ET3DV6 (SN:1507, Conversion factor 7.2 at 450 MHz) was used for the measurements.

The dipole was mounted on the small tripod so that the dipole feedpoint was positioned below the center marking of the flat phantom and the dipole was oriented parallel to the longer side of the phantom. The standard measuring distance was 15mm from dipole center to the liquid surface including the 6mm thick phantom shell. The included distance holder was used during measurements for accurate distance positioning.

The coarse grid with a grid spacing of 20mm was aligned with the dipole. The 5x5x7 fine cube was chosen for cube integration. Probe isotropy errors were cancelled by measuring the SAR with normal and 90° turned probe orientations and averaging.

The dipole input power (forward power) was 389 mW  $\pm$  3 %. The results are normalized to 1W input power.

### 2. SAR Measurement

Standard SAR-measurements were performed with the phantom according to the measurement conditions described in section 1. The results have been normalized to a dipole input power of 1W (forward power). The resulting averaged SAR-values are:

averaged over 1 cm<sup>3</sup> (1 g) of tissue: 4.81 mW/g (Advanced Extrapolation)

averaged over 10 cm<sup>3</sup> (10 g) of tissue: 3.19 mW/g (Advanced Extrapolation)

Advanced extrapolation has been applied to the measured SAR values to compensate for the probe boundary effect (see DASY User Manual for details).

Note: If the liquid parameters for validation are slightly different from the ones used for initial calibration, the SAR-values will be different as well.

### 3. Dipole Impedance and Return Loss

The impedance was measured at the SMA-connector with a network analyzer and numerically transformed to the dipole feedpoint. The transformation parameters from the SMA-connector to the dipole feedpoint are:

Electrical delay:

1.347 ns (one direction)

Transmission factor:

0.997

(voltage transmission, one direction)

The dipole was positioned at the flat phantom sections according to section 1 and the distance holder was in place during impedance measurements.

Feedpoint impedance at 450 MHz:

 $Re{Z} = 57.2 \Omega$ 

Im  $\{Z\} = -5.2 \Omega$ 

Return Loss at 450 MHz

-21.7 dB

### 4. Handling

Do not apply excessive force to the dipole arms, because they might bend. Bending of the dipole arms stresses the soldered connections near the feedpoint leading to a damage of the dipole.

### 5. Design

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.


### Power Test


After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

# Validation Dipole D450V2 SN:1002, d = 15 mm

Frequency, 450 MHz, Antenna Input Power: 389 [mW] Phantom Name: Calibration, Grid Spacing: Dx = 20.0, Dy = 20.0, Dz = 10.0

Probe: ET3DV6 - SN1507, ConvF(7.20, 7.20), Crest factor: 1.0, Head 450 MHz:  $\sigma = 0.86$  mho/m s, = 44.5  $\rho = 1.00$  g/cm<sup>3</sup> Cubes (2); Peak: 2.84 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, SAR (10g): 1.24 mW/g ± 0.03 dB, (Advanced extrapolation) Penetration depth: 13.0 (11.9, 14.4) [mm]





Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Zurich, Switzerland

# **APPENDIX E Illustration of Body-Worn Accessories**

The purpose of this appendix is to illustrate the body-worn carry accessories for FCC ID: ABZ99FT4065. The sample that was used in the following photos represents the product used to obtain the results presented herein and was used in this section to demonstrate the different body-worn accessories.



Photo 1. Model PMLN4467A Back View



Photo 2. Model PMLN4467A Side View



Photo 3. Model PMLN4467A Front View



Photo 4. Model HLN9844A Back View



Photo 5. Model HLN9844A Side View













Photo 5. Model PMLN4468A Back View

Photo 6. Model PMLN4468A Side View

Photo 7. Model PMLN4468A Front View

Photo 8. Model PMLN4469A Back View

Photo 9. Model PMLN4468A Side View

Photo 10. Model PMLN4468A Front View



Photo 11. Model RLN4815A

# Appendix F Applicable Accessories and options test status and separation distances

The following tables present a summary of the test status of the applicable offered options and accessories as well as separation distances provided by each of the body-worn accessories:

Carry Case Models	Tested ?	Closest Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
HLN9844A	Yes	37-49	
PMLN4467A	Yes	42-55	
PMLN4468A	Yes	37-45	
RLN4815A	Yes	46-49	
			Same as PMLN4468A
PMLN4469A	No	37-45	except for color.
			Water proof bag. DUT
			does not transmit
HLN9985B	No	NA	while using the bag.

Audio Attachments	Tested ?	Closest Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
PMLN4294C	Yes	NA	NA
PMLN4425A	Yes	NA	NA
HMN9030A	Yes	NA	NA
HMN9013A	Yes	NA	NA

Other Attachments	Tested ?	Closest Separation distances between DUT antenna and phantom surface. (mm)	Comments
		,	Tested w/
4285820Z01	Yes	NA	PMLN4468A