



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA  
Tel. +1.410.290.6652 / Fax +1.410.290.6654  
<http://www.pctestlab.com>



## SAR COMPLIANCE EVALUATION REPORT

**Applicant Name:**

NEC Corporation of America  
Radio Communications Systems Division  
6535 N. State Highway 161  
Irving, TX 75039-2402 USA

**Date of Testing:**

12/05/11 - 12/10/11

**Test Site/Location:**

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

**Test Report Serial No.:**

0Y1112012065.A98

**FCC ID:****A98-BKJ4142****APPLICANT:****NEC Corporation of America****EUT Type:**

Portable Handset

**Application Type:**

Certification

**FCC Rule Part(s):**

CFR §2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [June 2001]

**Model(s):**

KMP7R4B1-1A

**Test Device Serial No.:**

Pre-Production [S/N: IMEI 004401200830657]

Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	Conducted Power [dBm]	SAR		
			1 gm Head (W/kg)	1 gm Body-Worn (W/kg)	1 gm Hotspot (W/kg)
GSM/GPRS 850	824.20 - 848.80 MHz	32.41	0.56	0.77	0.80
WCDMA/HSPA 850	826.40 - 846.60 MHz	22.87	0.54	0.89	0.89
GSM/GPRS 1900	1850.20 - 1909.80 MHz	29.54	0.29	0.33	0.33
2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2462 MHz	12.51		N/A	
Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz	0.79		N/A	
<b>Simultaneous SAR per KDB 690783 D01:</b>			0.56	0.89	0.89

Note: Powers in the above table represent output powers for the SAR test configurations and may not represent the highest output powers for all capabilities.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001), IEEE 1528-2003 and in applicable Industry Canada Radio Standards Specifications (RSS); for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.

*PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been subject to a denial of Federal benefits that includes FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.*

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Randy Ortanez  
President



FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 1 of 36

## T A B L E   O F   C O N T E N T S

---

1	INTRODUCTION .....	3
2	TEST SITE LOCATION .....	4
3	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP .....	5
4	DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM .....	7
5	PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS .....	8
6	PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES .....	9
7	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS .....	10
8	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS .....	11
9	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS .....	12
10	FCC RF EXPOSURE LIMITS .....	15
11	FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES .....	16
12	RF CONDUCTED POWERS .....	19
13	FCC PERSONAL WIRELESS ROUTER CONFIGURATIONS .....	23
14	SYSTEM VERIFICATION .....	25
15	SAR DATA SUMMARY .....	27
16	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS .....	31
17	EQUIPMENT LIST .....	32
18	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES .....	33
19	CONCLUSION .....	34
20	REFERENCES .....	35

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 2 of 36

# 1 INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [24]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

## 1.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1-1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

**Figure 1-1**  
**SAR Mathematical Equation**

**SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).**

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

$\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)  
 $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)  
E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 3 of 36

## 2 TEST SITE LOCATION


### 2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC.


These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles north of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.

### 2.2 Test Facility / Accreditations:

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.



- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025-2005 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) in Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, Hearing-Aid Compatibility (HAC), Battery Safety, CTIA Test Plans, and wireless testing for FCC and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC-2451).
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for AMPS and CDMA, and EVDO mobile phones.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for Over-the-Air (OTA) Antenna Performance testing for AMPS, CDMA, GSM, GPRS, EGPRS, UMTS (W-CDMA), CDMA 1xEVDO Data, CDMA 1xRTT Data



**Figure 2-1**  
Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
	PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.		
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Page 4 of 36

## 3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP


### 3.1 Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of a high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, desktop computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM phantom containing the head or body equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot, performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure 3-1).

### 3.2 System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, A/D conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal from the DAE and transfers data to the PC card.

### 3.3 System Electronics



**Figure 3-1**  
**SAR Measurement System Setup**

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade auto-zeroing preamplifier, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 5 of 36

### 3.4 Automated Test System Specifications

Test Software: SPEAG DASY4 version 4.7 Measurement Software  
Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot RX60L  
Repeatability: 0.02 mm  
No. of Axes: 6

Data Acquisition Electronic System (DAE)

#### Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter & control logic  
Software: SEMCAD software  
Connecting Lines: Optical Downlink for data and status info  
Optical upload for commands and clock

#### PC Interface Card

Function: Link to DAE  
16-bit A/D converter for surface detection system  
Two Serial & Ethernet link to robotics  
Direct emergency stop output for robot

#### Phantom

Type: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0 and V5.0)  
Shell Material: Composite  
Thickness:  $2.0 \pm 0.2$  mm



**Figure 3-2**  
**SAR Measurement System**

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 6 of 36

## 4 DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

### 4.1 Probe Measurement System



Figure 4-1  
SAR System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Figure 4-3) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation [9]. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multi-fiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface, the coupling is zero. The distance of the coupling maximum to the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the maximum using a 2nd order curve fitting (see Figure 5-1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

### 4.2 Probe Specifications

<b>Model(s):</b>	ES3DV2, ES3DV3, EX3DV4
<b>Frequency Range:</b>	10 MHz – 6.0 GHz (EX3DV4) 10 MHz – 4 GHz (ES3DV3, ES3DV2)
<b>Calibration:</b>	In head and body simulating tissue at Frequencies from 300 up to 6000MHz
<b>Linearity:</b>	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz) for EX3DV4 ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 4 GHz) for ES3DV3, ES3DV2
<b>Dynamic Range:</b>	10 mW/kg – 100 W/kg
<b>Probe Length:</b>	330 mm
<b>Probe Tip Length:</b>	20 mm
<b>Body Diameter:</b>	12 mm
<b>Tip Diameter:</b>	2.5 mm (3.9mm for ES3DV3)
<b>Tip-Center:</b>	1 mm (2.0 mm for ES3DV3)
<b>Application:</b>	SAR Dosimetry Testing Compliance tests of mobile phones Dosimetry in strong gradient fields



Figure 4-2  
Near-Field Probe




Figure 4-3  
Triangular Probe Configuration

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	 NEC	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 7 of 36

## 5 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS

### 5.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. A TEM cell calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>) using an RF Signal generator, TEM cell, and RF Power Meter.

### 5.2 Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

### 5.3 Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

$$\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

$\Delta t$  = exposure time (30 seconds),

$C$  = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

$\Delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|\mathbf{E}|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

$\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,

$\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.




Figure 5-1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [9]




Figure 5-2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [9]

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 8 of 36

## 6 PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES

### 6.1 SAM Phantoms



Figure 6-1  
SAM Phantoms

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to represent the 90<sup>th</sup> percentile of the population [12][13]. The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of SAR for both left and right handed handset usage, as well as body-worn usage using the flat phantom region. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. The shell phantom has a 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6 mm).

### 6.2 Tissue Simulating Mixture Characterization



Figure 6-2  
SAM Phantom with  
Simulating Tissue

The mixture is characterized to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue of interest. The tissue dielectric parameters recommended in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209 have been used as targets for the compositions, and are to match within 5%, per the FCC recommendations.

Table 6-1  
Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (MHz)	835	835	1900	1900
Tissue	Head	Body	Head	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)				
Bactericide	0.1	0.1		
DGBE			44.92	29.44
HEC	1	1		
NaCl	1.45	0.94	0.18	0.39
Sucrose	57	44.9		
Water	40.45	53.06	54.9	70.17

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	 <b>NEC</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 9 of 36

## 7.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during testing the 1 gram cube. This fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual for more details):
  - a. The data was extrapolated to the surface of the outer-shell of the phantom. The combined distance extrapolated was the combined distance from the center of the dipoles 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe housing plus the 1.2 mm distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
  - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
  - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was completed. If the value deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.



**Figure 7-1**  
**Sample SAR Area Scan**

## 7.2 Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Figure 7-2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimize reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15 cm.



**Figure 7-2**  
**SAM Twin Phantom Shell**

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 10 of 36

## 8 DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

### 8.1 EAR REFERENCE POINT

Figure 8-1 shows the front, back and side views of the SAM Twin Phantom. The point "M" is the reference point for the center of the mouth, "LE" is the left ear reference point (ERP), and "RE" is the right ERP. The ERP is 15mm posterior to the entrance to the ear canal (EEC) along the B-M line (Back-Mouth), as shown in Figure 8-1. The plane passing through the two ear canals and M is defined as the Reference Plane. The line N-F (Neck-Front) is perpendicular to the reference plane and passing through the RE (or LE) is called the Reference Pivoting Line (see Figure 8-2). Line B-M is perpendicular to the N-F line. Both N-F and B-M lines are marked on the external phantom shell to facilitate handset positioning [5].




Figure 8-1  
Close-Up Side view  
of ERP

### 8.2 HANDSET REFERENCE POINTS

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Figure 8-3). The "test device reference point" was than located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.



Figure 8-2  
Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom




Figure 8-3  
Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 11 of 36

## 9 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

### 9.1 Device Holder

The DASY device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ .

### 9.2 Positioning for Cheek/Touch

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 9-1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.




Figure 9-1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position


2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek) (See Figure 9-2).

### 9.3 Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt


With the test device aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15 degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 9-2).

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 12 of 36



**Figure 9-2 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position**




**Figure 9-3  
Side view w/ relevant markings**



**Figure 9-4 Body SAR Sample Photo  
(Not Actual EUT)**

#### **9.4 SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom**

Antennas located near the bottom of a phone may require SAR measurements around the mouth and jaw regions of the SAM head phantom. This typically applies to clam-shell style phones that are generally longer in the unfolded normal use positions or to certain older style long rectangular phones. It has been known for some time that there are SAR measurement difficulties in these regions of the SAM phantom. SAR probes are calibrated in tissue equivalent liquids with sufficient separation between the probe sensors and nearby physical boundaries to ensure scattering does not affect probe calibration. When the probe tip is moved into tight regions with multiple boundaries surrounding its sensors, probe calibration and measurement accuracy can become questionable. In addition, these measurement locations often require a probe to be tilted at steep angles, where it may no longer comply with calibration requirements and measurement protocols, or satisfy the required measurement uncertainty. In some situations it is not feasible to tilt the probe or rotate the phantom, as suggested by measurement standards, to conduct these measurements.



**Figure 9-5  
SAR Scans near the Jaw/Mouth**

In order to ensure there is sufficient conservativeness for ensuring compliance until practical solutions are available, additional measurement considerations are necessary to address these technical difficulties. When measurements are required near the mouth, nose, jaw or similar tight regions of the SAM phantom,

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>		<b>NEC</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 13 of 36

area or zoom scans are often unable to fully enclose the peak SAR location as required by IEEE 1528 and Supplement C, due to probe orientation and positioning difficulties. Even when limited measurements are possible, the test results could be questionable due to probe calibration and measurement uncertainty issues. Under these circumstances, the following procedures apply, adopted from the FCC guidance on SAR handsets document publication 648474. The SAR required in these regions of SAM should be measured using a flat phantom. **Rectangular shaped phones** should be positioned with its bottom edge positioned from the flat phantom with the same distance provided by the cheek touching position using SAM. The ear reference point (ERP, as defined for SAM) of the phone should be positioned ½ cm from the flat phantom shell. **Clam-shell phones** should be positioned with the hinge against a smooth edge of the flat phantom where the upper half of the phone is unfolded and extended beyond the phantom side wall. The lower half of the phone is secured in the test device holder at a fixed distance below the flat phantom determined by the minimum separation along the lower edge of the phone in the cheek touching position using SAM. Any case with substantial variation in separation distance along the lower edge of a clam shell is discussed with the FCC for best-to-use methodology.

The flat phantom data should allow test results to be compared uniformly across measurement systems, until suitable solutions are available in measurement standards to address certain probe calibration and positioning issues, due to implementation differences between horizontal and upright SAM configurations. These flat phantom procedures are only applicable for stand-alone SAR evaluation in tight regions of the SAM phantom, where measurement is not feasible or test results can be questionable due to probe calibration and accessibility issues. Details on device positioning and photos showing how separation distances are determined are included in the SAR report Photographs. SAR for other regions of the head must be evaluated using SAM; therefore, a phone with antennas at different locations may require flat and SAM phantom evaluation for the different antennas.

## 9.5 Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 9-4). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are tested with the device with each accessory. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration with a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. Test position spacing was documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom in head fluid. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessories, including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		 <b>NEC</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 14 of 36

## 10 FCC RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

### 10.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### 10.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

**Table 10-1**  
**SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6**

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 15 of 36

## 11 FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

### 11.1 Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for SAR

The following procedures are according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D01 "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices" v02, October 2007.

The device was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a RF shielded chamber. Establishing connections in this manner ensure a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR [4]. Devices under test were evaluated prior to testing, with a fully charged battery and were configured to operate at maximum output power. In order to verify that the device was tested throughout the SAR test at maximum output power, the SAR measurement system measures a "point SAR" at an arbitrary reference point at the start and end of the 1 gram SAR evaluation, to assess for any power drifts during the evaluation. When the power drift was more than 5%, the SAR test was repeated.

### 11.2 SAR Measurement Conditions for WCDMA per FCC KDB Pub. 941225

#### 11.2.1 Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is measured on the High, Middle and Low channels for each applicable transmission band according to the general descriptions in section 5.2 of 3GPP TS 34.121, using the appropriate RMC or AMR with TPC (transmit power control) set to all "1s".

#### 11.2.2 Head SAR Measurements for Handsets

SAR for head exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with TPC bits configured to all "1s". SAR in AMR configurations is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel for 12.2 kbps AMR is less than 0.25 dB higher than that measured in 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel in 12.2 AMR with a 3.4 kbps SRB (signaling radio bearer) using the exposure configuration that resulted in the highest SAR for that RF channel in the 12.2 kbps RMC mode.

#### 11.2.3 Body SAR Measurements

SAR for body exposure configurations is measured using the 12.2 kbps RMC with the TPC bits all "1s".

#### 11.2.4 SAR Measurements for Handsets with Rel 5 HSDPA

Body SAR for HSDPA is not required for handsets with HSDPA capabilities when the maximum average output power of each RF channel with HSDPA active is less than 0.25 dB higher than that measured without HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and the maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is  $\leq$  75% of the SAR limit. Otherwise, SAR is measured for HSDPA, using an FRC with H-Set 1 in Sub-test 1 and a 12.2 kbps RMC configured in Test Loop Mode 1, using the highest body SAR configuration measured in 12.2 kbps RMC without HSDPA, on the maximum output channel with the body exposure configuration that resulted in the highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC mode for that RF channel.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 16 of 36

The H-set used in FRC for HSDPA should be configured according to the UE category of a test device. The number of HS-DSCH/HSPDSCHs, HARQ processes, minimum inter-TTI interval, transport block sizes and RV coding sequence are defined by the applicable H-set. To maintain a consistent test configuration and stable transmission conditions, QPSK is used in the FRC for SAR testing. HS-DPCCH should be configured with a CQI feedback cycle of 2 ms to maintain a constant rate of active CQI slots. DPCCH and DPDCH gain factors of  $\beta_c=9$  and  $\beta_d=15$ , and power offset parameters of  $\Delta ACK = \Delta NACK = 5$  and  $\Delta CQI = 2$  is used. The CQI value is determined by the UE category, transport block size, number of HS-PDSCHs and modulation used in the FRC.

### 11.2.5 SAR Measurements for Handsets with Rel 6 HSUPA

Body SAR for HSUPA is not required when the maximum average output of each RF channel with HSUPA/HSDPA active is less than 0.25 dB higher than as measured without HSUPA/HSDPA using 12.2 kbps RMC and maximum SAR for 12.2 kbps RMC is  $\leq 75\%$  of the SAR limit. Otherwise SAR is measured on the maximum output channel for the body exposure configuration produced highest SAR in 12.2 kbps RMC for that RF channel, using the additional procedures under "Release 6 HSPA data devices"

Head SAR for VOIP operations under HSPA is not required when maximum average output of each RF channel with HSPA is less than 0.25 dB higher than as measured using 12.2 kbps RMC. Otherwise SAR is measured using same HSPA configuration as used for body SAR.

Sub-test	$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	$\beta_d$ (SF)	$\beta_c/\beta_d$	$\beta_{hs}^{(1)}$	$\beta_{ec}$	$\beta_{ed}$	$\beta_{ed}$ (SF)	$\beta_{ed}$ (codes)	CM <sup>(2)</sup> (dB)	MPR (dB)	AG <sup>(4)</sup> Index	E-TFCI
1	11/15 <sup>(3)</sup>	15/15 <sup>(3)</sup>	64	11/15 <sup>(3)</sup>	22/15	209/225	1039/225	4	1	1.0	0.0	20	75
2	6/15	15/15	64	6/15	12/15	12/15	94/75	4	1	3.0	2.0	12	67
3	15/15	9/15	64	15/9	30/15	30/15	$\beta_{ed1}: 47/15$ $\beta_{ed2}: 47/15$	4	2	2.0	1.0	15	92
4	2/15	15/15	64	2/15	4/15	2/15	56/75	4	1	3.0	2.0	17	71
5	15/15 <sup>(4)</sup>	15/15 <sup>(4)</sup>	64	15/15 <sup>(4)</sup>	30/15	24/15	134/15	4	1	1.0	0.0	21	81

Note 1:  $\Delta ACK = \Delta NACK$  and  $\Delta CQI = 8 \Leftrightarrow A_{hs} = \beta_{hs}/\beta_c = 30/15 \Leftrightarrow \beta_{hs} = 30/15 * \beta_c$ .

Note 2: CM = 1 for  $\beta_c/\beta_d = 12/15$ ,  $\beta_{hs}/\beta_c = 24/15$ . For all other combinations of DPDCH, DPCCH, HS-DPCCH, E-DPDCH and E-DPCCH the MPR is based on the relative CM difference.

Note 3: For subtest 1 the  $\beta_c/\beta_d$  ratio of 11/15 for the TFC during the measurement period (TF1, TF0) is achieved by setting the signaled gain factors for the reference TFC (TF1, TF1) to  $\beta_c = 10/15$  and  $\beta_d = 15/15$ .

Note 4: For subtest 5 the  $\beta_c/\beta_d$  ratio of 15/15 for the TFC during the measurement period (TF1, TF0) is achieved by setting the signaled gain factors for the reference TFC (TF1, TF1) to  $\beta_c = 14/15$  and  $\beta_d = 15/15$ .

Note 5: Testing UE using E-DPDCH Physical Layer category 1 Sub-test 3 is not required according to TS 25.306 Table 5.1g.

Note 6:  $\beta_{ed}$  can not be set directly; it is set by Absolute Grant Value.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 17 of 36	

## 11.3 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

Per FCC KDB 248227 publication, normal network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 b/g/n transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 for more details.

### 11.3.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use. SAR for devices with switched diversity should be measured with only one antenna transmitting at a time during each SAR measurement, according to a fixed modulation and data rate. The same data pattern should be used for all measurements.

### 11.3.2 Frequency Channel Configurations [27]

802.11 b/g/n operating modes are tested independently according to the service requirements in each frequency band. 802.11 b/g/n modes are tested on channels 1, 6 and 11. These are referred to as the "default test channels". For 2.4 GHz, 802.11g/n modes were evaluated only if the output power was 0.25 dB higher than the 802.11b mode.

**Table 11-1**  
**802.11 Test Channels per FCC KDB Publication 248227**

Mode	GHz	Channel	Turbo Channel	"Default Test Channels"		
				§15.247		UNII
				802.11b	802.11g	
802.11 b/g/n	2.412	1		✓	✗	
	2.437	6	6	✓	✗	
	2.462	11		✓	✗	

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 18 of 36	

## 12 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

### 12.1 Licensed Transmitter Conducted Powers

#### 12.1.1 GSM Conducted Powers

Maximum Burst-Averaged Output Power			
		Voice	GPRS Data (GMSK)
Band	Channel	GSM [dBm] CS (1 Slot)	GPRS [dBm] 1 Tx Slot
Cellular	128	32.35	32.41
	190	32.23	32.30
	251	32.30	32.23
PCS	512	29.43	29.59
	661	29.40	29.54
	810	29.71	29.85

Calculated Maximum Frame-Averaged Output Power			
		Voice	GPRS Data (GMSK)
Band	Channel	GSM [dBm] CS (1 Slot)	GPRS [dBm] 1 Tx Slot
Cellular	128	23.32	23.38
	190	23.20	23.27
	251	23.27	23.20
PCS	512	20.40	20.56
	661	20.37	20.51
	810	20.68	20.82

Notes:

1. Both burst-averaged and calculated frame-averaged powers are included. Frame-averaged power was calculated from the measured burst-averaged power by converting the slot powers into linear units and calculating the energy over 8 timeslots.
2. The bolded GPRS modes were selected according to the highest frame-averaged output power table according to KDB 941225 D03.
3. GPRS (GMSK) output powers were measured with CS1.

**GSM Class:** B  
**GPRS Multislot class:** 8 (max 1 Tx Uplink slots)  
**DTM Multislot Class:** N/A  
**EDGE Multislot Class:** N/A

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 19 of 36

### 12.1.2 HSPA Conducted Powers

3GPP Release Version	Mode	3GPP 34.121 Subtest	Cellular Band [dBm]			$\beta_c$	$\beta_d$	MPR
			4132	4183	4233			
99	WCDMA	12.2 kbps RMC	22.81	22.79	22.87	-	-	-
99		12.2 kbps AMR	23.05	23.02	23.06	-	-	-
6	HSDPA	Subtest 1	22.85	22.92	23.02	2	15	0
6		Subtest 2	23.09	22.91	23.00	11	15	0
6		Subtest 3	22.49	22.40	22.50	15	8	0.5
6		Subtest 4	22.57	22.46	22.48	15	4	0.5
6	HSUPA	Subtest 1	22.84	22.77	22.97	10	15	0
6		Subtest 2	21.15	21.17	21.29	6	15	2
6		Subtest 3	21.82	21.94	22.07	15	9	1
6		Subtest 4	21.50	21.26	21.38	2	15	2
6		Subtest 5	22.94	22.93	22.90	14	15	0

Note: WCDMA/HSUPA powers were provided by the manufacturer.

WCDMA SAR was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps with HSPA Inactive per KDB Publication 941225 D01. HSPA SAR was not required since the average output power of the HSPA subtests was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the RMC level and SAR was less than 1.2 W/kg.

It is expected by the manufacturer that MPR for some HSUPA subtests may be up to 1 dB more than specified by 3GPP, but also as low as 0 dB according to the chipset implementation in this model. Detailed information is included in the operational description explaining how the MPR is applied for this model.



**Figure 12-1**  
**Power Measurement Setup**

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 20 of 36	

## 12.2 WLAN Conducted Powers

**Table 12-1**  
**IEEE 802.11b Average RF Power**

Freq [MHz]	Channel	Data Rate [Mbps]	Average Power (dBm)
2412	1	1	10.94
		2	10.99
		5.5	10.94
		11	10.89
2437	6	1	12.09
		2	12.12
		5.5	12.48
		11	12.31
2462	11	1	11.51
		2	11.57
		5.5	11.51
		11	11.43

**Table 12-2**  
**IEEE 802.11g Average RF Power**

Freq [MHz]	Channel	Data Rate [Mbps]	Average Power (dBm)
2412	1	6	11.37
		9	11.35
		12	11.32
		18	11.24
		24	11.18
		36	11.10
		48	10.93
		54	10.98
	6	6	12.51
2437		9	12.40
		12	12.44
		18	12.42
		24	12.26
		36	12.20
		48	12.11
		54	12.09
	11	6	11.71
		9	11.72
2462		12	11.74
		18	11.67
		24	11.61
		36	11.33
		48	11.30
		54	11.25


FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	 NEC	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 21 of 36

**Table 12-3**  
**IEEE 802.11n Average RF Power**

Freq [MHz]	Channel	Data Rate [Mbps]	Average Power (dBm)
2412	1	6.5/7.2	11.35
		13/14.40	11.20
		19.5/21.70	11.10
		26/28.90	11.10
		29/43.3	10.97
		52/57.80	10.86
		58.50/65	10.86
		65/72.2	7.61
		6.5/7.2	12.41
2437	6	13/14.40	12.30
		19.5/21.70	12.25
		26/28.90	12.21
		29/43.3	12.05
		52/57.80	12.03
		58.50/65	11.98
		65/72.2	8.84
		6.5/7.2	11.70
		13/14.40	12.36
2462	11	19.5/21.70	11.60
		26/28.90	11.32
		29/43.3	11.42
		52/57.80	11.29
		58.50/65	11.30
		65/72.2	8.01

Notes:

- For 2.4 GHz, per KDB 648474, testing was not required because the maximum average output power was  $<2P_{Ref}$  (24mW) and antenna separation distance is  $\geq 5$  cm



**Figure 12-2**  
**Power Measurement Setup**

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 22 of 36

## 13 FCC PERSONAL WIRELESS ROUTER CONFIGURATIONS

### 13.1 Personal Wireless Router Considerations

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive internet connectivity through simultaneous transmission of WIFI in conjunction with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in KDB Publication 941225 D06 where SAR test considerations for handsets ( $L \times W \geq 9 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$ ) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device with antennas 2.5 cm or closer to the edge of the device, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

### 13.2 SAR Test Setup for Personal Wireless Router Features

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and summed with the WIFI transmitter according to KDB 648474 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.

### 13.3 Power Reduction for Portable Hotspot Mode

This model does not support any power reduction for portable hotspot mode.


FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 23 of 36

## 13.4 SAR Test Configurations

**Table 13-1**  
**Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing**

Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing						
Mode	Back	Front	Top	Bottom	Right	Left
GPRS 850	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
WCDMA 850	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes
GPRS 1900	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note: 2.4GHz WLAN testing was not required, per KDB 648474, because the maximum average output power was  $<2P_{Ref}$  (24mW) and antenna separation distance is  $\geq 5$  cm.



**Figure 13-1 Identification of Sides for SAR Testing**

Note: Particular DUT edges were not required to be evaluated for Wireless Router SAR if the edges were greater than 2.5 cm from the transmitting antenna according to FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance, page 2. The antenna document shows the distances between the transmit antennas and the edges of the device.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST <sup>®</sup> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 24 of 36

## 14 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

### 14.1 Tissue Verification

Table 14-1  
Measured Tissue Properties

Tissue Type	Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Temp During Calibration (C°)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ε	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ε	% dev σ	% dev ε
835H	12/06/2011	21.7	820	0.878	42.52	0.898	41.571	-2.23%	2.28%
			835	0.890	42.33	0.900	41.500	-1.11%	2.00%
			850	0.909	42.09	0.916	41.500	-0.76%	1.42%
1900H	12/06/2011	23.0	1850	1.392	38.89	1.400	40.000	-0.57%	-2.78%
			1880	1.428	38.75	1.400	40.000	2.00%	-3.13%
			1910	1.456	38.61	1.400	40.000	4.00%	-3.48%
835B	12/05/2011	21.1	820	0.960	54.23	0.969	55.284	-0.93%	-1.91%
			835	0.981	53.83	0.970	55.200	1.13%	-2.48%
			850	0.996	53.52	0.988	55.154	0.81%	-2.96%
1900B	12/10/2011	21.0	1850	1.513	51.01	1.520	53.300	-0.46%	-4.30%
			1880	1.548	50.89	1.520	53.300	1.84%	-4.52%
			1910	1.588	50.84	1.520	53.300	4.47%	-4.62%

Note: KDB Publication 450824 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50 MHz of the DUT frequencies.

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies (per IEEE 1528 6.6.1.2). The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY software rounds to three significant digits.

### 14.2 Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity,  $\epsilon'$ , for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon_r\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' dr$$

where  $Y$  is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively,  $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho' \cos\phi'$ ,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ .


FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 25 of 36	

### 14.3 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to  $\pm 10\%$  of the manufacturer SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration.

**Table 14-2**  
**System Verification Results**

System Verification TARGET & MEASURED											
Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
835	Head	12/06/2011	24.5	22.7	0.100	4d119	3258	0.966	9.330	9.660	3.54%
1900	Head	12/06/2011	24.0	22.5	0.100	502	3209	4.04	40.200	40.400	0.50%
835	Body	12/05/2011	22.4	20.8	0.100	4d119	3258	1.01	9.460	10.100	6.77%
1900	Body	12/10/2011	23.6	20.7	0.100	502	3209	4.39	41.100	43.900	6.81%



**Figure 14-1**  
**System Verification Setup Diagram**



**Figure 14-2**  
**System Verification Setup Photo**

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 26 of 36	

## 15 SAR DATA SUMMARY

### 15.1 Head SAR Data

**Table 15-1**  
**GSM 850 Head SAR Results**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS							
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.						(W/kg)
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.23	-0.12	Right	Touch	0.563
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.23	0.05	Right	Tilt	0.312
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.23	0.04	Left	Touch	0.530
836.60	190	GSM 850	32.23	0.03	Left	Tilt	0.293
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

**Table 15-2**  
**WCDMA 850 Head SAR Results**

MEASUREMENT RESULTS							
FREQUENCY		Mode/Band	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Side	Test Position	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.						(W/kg)
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	22.79	0.16	Right	Touch	0.503
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	22.79	-0.04	Right	Tilt	0.316
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	22.79	0.08	Left	Touch	0.539
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	22.79	0.00	Left	Tilt	0.299
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population					Head 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram		

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 27 of 36

**Table 15-3**  
**GSM 1900 Head SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>							
<b>FREQUENCY</b>		<b>Mode/Band</b>	<b>Conducted Power [dBm]</b>	<b>Power Drift [dB]</b>	<b>Side</b>	<b>Test Position</b>	<b>SAR (1g)</b>
<b>MHz</b>	<b>Ch.</b>						(W/kg)
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	29.40	-0.03	Right	Touch	0.190
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	29.40	-0.14	Right	Tilt	0.105
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	29.40	-0.11	Left	Touch	0.293
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	29.40	0.01	Left	Tilt	0.104
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b> <b>Spatial Peak</b> <b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>					<b>Head</b> <b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b> averaged over 1 gram		

## 15.2 Body-Worn SAR Data

**Table 15-4**  
**Licensed Transmitter Body-Worn SAR Results**

<b>MEASUREMENT RESULTS</b>									
<b>FREQUENCY</b>		<b>Mode</b>	<b>Service</b>	<b>Conducted Power [dBm]</b>	<b>Power Drift [dB]</b>	<b>Spacing</b>	<b># of Time Slots</b>	<b>Side</b>	<b>SAR (1g)</b>
<b>MHz</b>	<b>Ch.</b>								(W/kg)
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.02	1.0 cm	1	back	0.774
826.40	4132	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.81	-0.02	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.880
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	0.01	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.883
846.60	4233	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.87	0.00	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.885
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	0.09	1.0 cm	1	back	0.325
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT</b> <b>Spatial Peak</b> <b>Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>					<b>Body</b> <b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g)</b> averaged over 1 gram				

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 28 of 36	

### 15.3 Hotspot SAR Data

**Table 15-5**  
Licensed Transmitter Hotspot SAR Data

MEASUREMENT RESULTS									
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	# of GPRS Slots	Side	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.								(W/kg)
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.02	1.0 cm	1	back	0.774
824.20	128	GSM 850	GPRS	32.41	-0.05	1.0 cm	1	front	0.614
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.02	1.0 cm	1	front	0.804
848.80	251	GSM 850	GPRS	32.23	0.06	1.0 cm	1	front	0.598
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.02	1.0 cm	1	bottom	0.092
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.02	1.0 cm	1	right	0.568
836.60	190	GSM 850	GPRS	32.30	-0.04	1.0 cm	1	left	0.560
826.40	4132	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.81	-0.02	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.880
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	0.01	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.883
846.60	4233	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.87	0.00	1.0 cm	N/A	back	0.885
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	0.01	1.0 cm	N/A	front	0.714
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	-0.05	1.0 cm	N/A	bottom	0.114
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	0.01	1.0 cm	N/A	right	0.631
836.60	4183	WCDMA 850	RMC	22.79	-0.10	1.0 cm	N/A	left	0.702
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	0.09	1.0 cm	1	back	0.325
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	-0.01	1.0 cm	1	front	0.287
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	0.09	1.0 cm	1	bottom	0.244
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	0.15	1.0 cm	1	right	0.163
1880.00	661	GSM 1900	GPRS	29.54	-0.22	1.0 cm	1	left	0.314
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT						Body			
Spatial Peak						1.6 W/kg (mW/g)			
Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						averaged over 1 gram			

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 29 of 36	

## 15.4 SAR Test Notes

### General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used were according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [June 2001].
2. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard battery was used.
3. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
4. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm.
5. Device was tested using a fixed spacing for body-worn testing. A separation distance of 10 mm was tested because the manufacturer has determined that there will be body-worn accessories available in the marketplace for users to support this separation distance.
6. To confirm the proper SAR liquid depth, the z-axis plots from the system verifications were included since the system verifications were performed using the same probe, DAE, and liquid as the SAR tests in the same time period.
7. Per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06, when the same wireless modes and device transmission configurations are required for body-worn accessories and hotspot mode, it is not necessary to additionally test body-worn accessory SAR for the same device orientation. Therefore, the hotspot data for the back side configuration additionally shows body-worn compliance at the same distance.

### GSM Notes:

1. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (June 2001) and Public Notice DA-02-1438, if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
2. Justification for reduced test configurations per KDB Publication 941225 D03: The source-based time-averaged output power was evaluated for all multi-slot operations.

### WCDMA Notes:

1. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (June 2001) and Public Notice DA-02-1438, if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
2. WCDMA mode in Body SAR was tested under RMC 12.2 kbps with HSPA Inactive per KDB Publication 941225 D01. HSPA SAR was not required since the average output power of the HSPA subtests was not more than 0.25 dB higher than the RMC level and SAR was less than 1.2 W/kg.

### Bluetooth/WLAN Notes:

1. Per KDB 648474, WLAN and Bluetooth SAR was not required since the maximum average output power was  $<2P_{Ref}$  (24mW) and antenna separation distance is  $\geq 5$  cm.

### Hotspot Notes:

1. Top Edge for the licensed transmitter was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5 cm per FCC KDB Publication 941225 D06 guidance (see Section 13.4).
2. During SAR Testing for the Wireless Router conditions per KDB 941225 D06, the actual Portable Hotspot operation (with actual simultaneous transmission of a transmitter with WIFI) was not activated (See Section 13.2).

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	 NEC	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 30 of 36

## 16 FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS

### 16.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from "FCC SAR Considerations for Cell Phones with Multiple Transmitters" FCC KDB Publication 648474 are applicable to handsets with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11b/g/n and Bluetooth devices which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter.

### 16.2 FCC Power Tables & Conditions

	2.45	5.15 - 5.35	5.47 - 5.85	GHz
P <sub>Ref</sub>	12	6	5	mW
Device output power should be rounded to the nearest mW to compare with values specified in this table.				

**Figure 16-1**  
**Output Power Thresholds for Unlicensed Transmitters**

	Individual Transmitter	Simultaneous Transmission
<b>Licensed Transmitters</b>	<u>Routine evaluation required</u>	<u>SAR not required:</u> <u>Unlicensed only</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>when stand-alone 1-g SAR is not required and antenna is <math>\geq 5</math> cm from other antennas</li> </ul> <u>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>when the sum of the 1-g SAR is <math>&lt; 1.6</math> W/kg for all simultaneous transmitting antennas</li> <li>when SAR to peak location separation ratio of simultaneous transmitting antenna pair is <math>&lt; 0.3</math></li> </ul> <u>SAR required:</u> <u>Licensed &amp; Unlicensed</u> antenna pairs with SAR to peak location separation ratio $\geq 0.3$ ; test is only required for the configuration that results in the highest SAR in stand-alone configuration for each wireless mode and exposure condition <b>Note:</b> simultaneous transmission exposure conditions for head and body can be different for different style phones; therefore, different test requirements may apply
<b>Unlicensed Transmitters</b>	<u>When there is no simultaneous transmission –</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>output <math>\leq 60/f</math>: SAR not required</li> <li>output <math>&gt; 60/f</math>: stand-alone SAR required</li> </ul> <u>When there is simultaneous transmission – Stand-alone SAR not required when</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>output <math>\leq 2 \cdot P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>\geq 5.0</math> cm from other antennas</li> <li>output <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>\geq 2.5</math> cm from other antennas</li> <li>output <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> and antenna is <math>&lt; 2.5</math> cm from other antennas, each with either output power <math>\leq P_{Ref}</math> or 1-g SAR <math>&lt; 1.2</math> W/kg</li> </ul> <u>Otherwise stand-alone SAR is required</u> <u>When stand-alone SAR is required</u> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>test SAR on highest output channel for each wireless mode and exposure condition</li> <li>if SAR for highest output channel is <math>&gt; 50\%</math> of SAR limit, evaluate all channels according to normal procedures</li> </ul>	

**Figure 16-2**  
**SAR Evaluation Requirements for Multiple Transmitter Handsets**

### 16.3 Simultaneous Transmission Information

The separation between the main antenna and the Bluetooth and WLAN antennas is 110.05 mm. RF Conducted Power of Bluetooth Tx is 1.199 mW. RF Conducted Power of WLAN is 17.82 mW.

2.4 GHz WIFI and Bluetooth share the same antenna path and cannot transmit simultaneously.

Additionally, WCDMA/HSPA hotspot may be active during voice WDCMA mode because, in WCDMA, both voice and data use the same physical channel. When doing multiple services (multi-Radio Access Bearer or multi-RAB), the power control will be based on a physical control channel (dedicated Physical Control Channel [DPCCH]) and power control will be adjusted to meet the needs of both services.

Based on the output power, antenna separation distance and the Body SAR of the dominant transmitter, stand-alone Bluetooth and WLAN SAR testing are not required. No further analysis was needed to determine simultaneous transmission cases would not exceed the SAR limit.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	 NEC	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 31 of 36

## 17 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8648D	(9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	10/10/2011	Annual	10/10/2012	3613A00315
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	4/21/2011	Annual	4/21/2012	JP38020182
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/10/2011	Annual	10/10/2012	GB46110872
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	7/6/2011	Annual	7/6/2012	GB41450275
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	4/8/2011	Annual	4/8/2012	MY45470194
Gigatronics	80701A	(0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor	10/12/2011	Annual	10/12/2012	1833460
Gigatronics	8651A	Universal Power Meter	10/12/2011	Annual	10/12/2012	8650319
Index SAR	IXTL-010	Dielectric Measurement Kit	N/A		N/A	N/A
Index SAR	IXTL-030	30MM TEM line for 6 GHz	N/A		N/A	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	N/A		N/A	N/A
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	N/A		N/A	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	6/1/2011	Annual	6/1/2012	833855/0010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	4/19/2011	Annual	4/19/2012	107826
Rohde & Schwarz	NRVD	Dual Channel Power Meter	4/8/2011	Biennial	4/8/2013	101695
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	2/17/2011	Annual	2/17/2012	502
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	2/21/2011	Annual	2/21/2012	649
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	5/19/2011	Annual	5/19/2012	859
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	4/18/2011	Annual	4/18/2012	3209
Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ03B	Signal Generator	4/6/2011	Annual	4/6/2012	DE27259
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	LTE Radio Communication Tester	8/25/2011	Annual	8/25/2012	100976
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	5318
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	5442
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	1190013
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	98150041
Agilent	8648D	Signal Generator	4/5/2011	Annual	4/5/2012	3629U00687
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	1070030
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	5821
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	8013
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	5605
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	2/7/2011	Annual	2/7/2012	2400
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	7/6/2011	Annual	7/6/2012	GB43304447
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Tester	4/21/2011	Annual	4/21/2012	US41140256
Amplifier Research	5S1G4	5W, 800MHz-4.2GHz	N/A		N/A	21910
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	N/A		N/A	N/A
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	2/8/2011	Annual	2/8/2012	GB45360985
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	LTE Radio Communication Tester	10/7/2011	Annual	10/7/2012	103962
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	2/15/2011	Biennial	2/15/2013	111331322
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	2/15/2011	Biennial	2/15/2013	111331323
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	2/15/2011	Biennial	2/15/2013	111331330
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	2/15/2011	Biennial	2/15/2013	111331332
Control Company	61220-416	Long-Stem Thermometer	3/16/2011	Biennial	3/16/2013	111391601
VWR	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	1/21/2011	Biennial	1/21/2013	111286445
VWR	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	1/21/2011	Biennial	1/21/2013	111286460
VWR	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	5/26/2010	Biennial	5/26/2012	101718589
VWR	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	1/21/2011	Biennial	1/21/2013	111286454
VWR	36934-158	Wall-Mounted Thermometer	2/26/2010	Biennial	2/26/2012	101536273
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	4/8/2011	Annual	4/8/2012	3258
MiniCircuits	SLP-2400+	Low Pass Filter	N/A		N/A	R8979500903
Narda	4772-3	Attenuator (3dB)	N/A		N/A	9406
Narda	BW-S3W2	Attenuator (3dB)	N/A		N/A	120
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	LTE Radio Communication Tester	8/5/2011	Annual	8/5/2012	112347
Mini-Circuits	NLP-2950+	Low Pass Filter DC to 2700 MHz	N/A		N/A	N/A
Mini-Circuits	NLP-1200+	Low Pass Filter DC to 1000 MHz	N/A		N/A	N/A
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	7/8/2011	Annual	7/8/2012	4d119
Anritsu	MT8820C	Radio Communication Tester	11/11/2011	Annual	11/11/2012	6200901190
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	N/A			N/A
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	N/A			N/A

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT		 <b>NEC</b>	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset		Page 32 of 36	

## 18 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Applicable for 750 – 3000 MHz.

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	IEEE 1528 Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c <sub>i</sub> 1gm	c <sub>i</sub> 10 gms	1gm u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	10gms u <sub>i</sub> (± %)	v <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	∞
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	N	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E.6.3	2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5	1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	E.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
<b>Phantom &amp; Tissue Parameters</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
<b>Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)</b>					RSS		12.1	11.7	299
<b>Expanded Uncertainty</b> (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)					k=2		24.2	23.5	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT					Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset				Page 33 of 36	

## 19 CONCLUSION

### 19.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 34 of 36

## 20 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, June 2001.
- [6] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [7] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [8] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [10] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [11] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [12] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [13] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [14] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [15] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [16] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [17] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 35 of 36

- [18] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [19] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [20] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [21] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [22] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [23] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 4, March 2010.
- [24] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2009
- [25] FCC Public Notice DA-02-1438. Office of Engineering and Technology Announces a Transition Period for the Phantom Requirements of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, June 19, 2002
- [26] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices KDB Publication 941225
- [27] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g KDB Publication 248227
- [28] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publication 648474
- [29] FCC Application Note for SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Consideration for Measurements at 150 MHz – 3 GHz, KDB Publication 450824
- [30] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens, KDB Publication 616217
- [31] FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 – 6 GHz, KDB Publication 865664
- [32] FCC Mobile Portable RF Exposure Procedure, KDB Publication 447498
- [33] FCC SAR Procedures for Dongle Transmitters, KDB Publication 447498
- [34] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [35] FCC SAR Test Considerations for LTE Handsets and Data Modems, KDB Publication 941225.
- [36] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.
- [37] FCC Hot Spot SAR v01, KDB Publication 941225 D06.

FCC ID: A98-BKJ4142	 <b>PCTEST</b> ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	<b>SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT</b>			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename: 0Y1112012065.A98	Test Dates: 12/05/11 - 12/10/11	EUT Type: Portable Handset			Page 36 of 36

## APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.892 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 850, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 25.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.117 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.717 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.563 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.431 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.588mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.892 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 850, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 19.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.045 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.382 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.312 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.242 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.325mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.892$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 850, Left Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 25.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.041 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.686 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.530 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.398 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.892 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 850, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.034 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.362 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.293 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.225 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.892 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 23.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.159 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.608 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.503 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.390 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.892$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 19.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.040 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.394 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.316 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.242 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.330mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.892$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Left Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**AreaScan (7x11x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 24.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.083 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.744 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.539 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.394 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.892 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x10x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 18.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.000 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.363 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.299 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.231 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.313mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.43 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 1900, Right Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 12.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.030 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.292 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.190 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.120 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.43 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Right Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 1900, Right Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 9.01 V/m; Power Drift = -0.143 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.173 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.105 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.063 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.116mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.43 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 1900, Left Head, Touch, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan 2 (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 14.6 V/m; Power Drift = -0.112 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.465 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.293 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.179 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.43 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Left Section

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GSM 1900, Left Head, Tilt, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x14x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 8.78 V/m; Power Drift = 0.006 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.158 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.104 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.065 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 5mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 29.1 V/m; Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.974 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.774 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.585 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 25.5 V/m; Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.46 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.804 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.524 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 9.63 V/m; Power Drift = -0.024 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.183 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.092 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.052 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 24.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.018 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.811 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.568 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.390 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM850 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 850, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 24.9 V/m; Power Drift = -0.039 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.799 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.560 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.384 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 846.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 846.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.993$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Body SAR, Back side, High.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 30.4 V/m; Power Drift = -0.001 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.13 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.885 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.665 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.983$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 27.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.010 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.895 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.714 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.549 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.983$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 10.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.050 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.227 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.114 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.064 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 26.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.008 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.889 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.631 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.439 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: WCDMA850; Frequency: 836.6 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 836.6 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.983 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: WCDMA 850, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 28.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.099 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.00 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.702 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.481 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}; \sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}; \epsilon_r = 50.9; \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 20.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Back side, Mid.ch, 1 TX Slots**


**Area Scan (8x12x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.7 V/m; Power Drift = 0.088 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.555 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.325 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.197 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 20.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Front side, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (7x12x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 14.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.513 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.287 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.176 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.303mW/g

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space; 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 20.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Bottom Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 12.3 V/m; Power Drift = 0.085 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.405 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.244 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.140 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 20.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Right Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 10.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.146 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.257 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.163 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.100 mW/g**



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: A98-BKJ4142; Type: Portable Handset; Serial: IMEI 004401200830657**

Communication System: GSM1900 GPRS; 1 Tx slots; Frequency: 1880 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:8.3

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 1880 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.55 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6°C; Tissue Temp: 20.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

**Mode: GPRS 1900, Body SAR, Left Edge, Mid.ch, 1 Tx Slots**


**Area Scan (5x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 15.0 V/m; Power Drift = -0.215 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.549 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.314 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.177 mW/g**



0 dB = 0.341mW/g

## APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.966 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.628 mW/g**

Deviation: 3.54%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Head Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 42.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.5°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.18, 6.18, 6.18); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1403

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 0.966 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.628 mW/g**

Deviation: 3.54%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.04 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.09 mW/g**

Deviation = 0.50 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Head Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.45 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.7$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-06-2011; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 22.5°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(5.11, 5.11, 5.11); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP1375

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.04 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.09 mW/g**

Deviation = 0.50 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.981 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 1.01 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.657 mW/g**

Deviation: 6.77%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 835 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 835 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.981 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 53.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 12-05-2011; Ambient Temp: 22.4°C; Tissue Temp: 20.8°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3258; ConvF(6.12, 6.12, 6.12); Calibrated: 4/8/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 2/21/2011

Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1406

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 835MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (7x13x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power: 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 1.01 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.657 mW/g**

Deviation: 6.77%



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.57 \text{ mho/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 50.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 20.7 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid:  $dx=15\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=15\text{mm}$

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=8\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.39 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.29 mW/g**

Deviation = 6.81 %



# PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

**DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 1900 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$$f = 1900 \text{ MHz}; \sigma = 1.57 \text{ mho/m}; \epsilon_r = 50.9; \rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 12-10-2011; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 20.7 °C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3209; ConvF(4.48, 4.48, 4.48); Calibrated: 4/18/2011

Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn859; Calibrated: 5/19/2011

Phantom: SAM Sub Dasy B; Type: SAM 5.0; Serial: TP-1626

Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

## 1900MHz System Verification


**Area Scan (5x7x1):** Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm

**Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW)

**SAR(1 g) = 4.39 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 2.29 mW/g**

Deviation = 6.81 %





**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**C** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **ES3-3209\_Apr11**

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV3 - SN:3209**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v7, QA CAL-12.v6, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v3**  
**Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes**

Calibration date: **April 18, 2011**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity  $< 70\%$ .

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)


✓ KOK  
4/12/11

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-10 (No. ES3-3013_Dec10)	Dec-11
DAE4	SN: 654	23-Apr-10 (No. DAE4-654_Apr10)	Apr-11
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Jelon Kastrali	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: April 18, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM $x,y,z$	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM $x,y,z$
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\vartheta$	$\vartheta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORMx,y,z$ : Assessed for E-field polarization  $\vartheta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide).  $NORMx,y,z$  are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of  $NORMx,y,z$  does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency\_response$  (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- $DCPx,y,z$ : DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- $PAR$ : PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z$  are numerical linearization parameters in dB assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media.
- $VR$ : VR is the validity range of the calibration related to the average diode voltage or DAE voltage in mV.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to  $NORMx,y,z * ConvF$  whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical Isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ES3DV3

SN:3209

Manufactured: October 14, 2008  
Calibrated: April 18, 2011

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems  
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3209

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2)^{\text{A}}$	1.37	1.34	1.15	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	97.0	100.4	100.0	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	116.0	$\pm 3.0\%$
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	118.9	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	103.8	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3209

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.42	6.42	6.42	0.99	1.10	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.17	6.17	6.17	0.99	1.10	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.33	5.33	5.33	0.99	1.12	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	5.11	5.11	5.11	0.99	1.09	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.52	4.52	4.52	0.84	1.21	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.35	4.35	4.35	0.74	1.32	± 12.0 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3- SN:3209

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
450	56.7	0.94	7.06	7.06	7.06	0.11	1.00	± 13.4 %
750	55.5	0.96	6.18	6.18	6.18	0.99	1.15	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.15	6.15	6.15	0.99	1.12	± 12.0 %
1640	53.8	1.40	5.18	5.18	5.18	0.89	1.25	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.75	4.75	4.75	0.81	1.31	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.48	4.48	4.48	0.95	1.19	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.15	4.15	4.15	0.99	1.04	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	4.00	4.00	4.00	0.88	1.15	± 12.0 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  ( $k=2$ )

## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

$f=600$  MHz, TEM





$f=1800$  MHz, R22



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )


## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>)

(TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)





Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\%$  (k=2)

## Conversion Factor Assessment



## Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error ( $\phi, \theta$ ),  $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3209

### Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

## **Additional Conversion Factors**

**for Dosimetric E-Field Probe**

Type:

**ES3DV3**

Serial Number:

**3209**

Place of Assessment:

**Zurich**

Date of Assessment:

**April 20, 2011**

Probe Calibration Date:

**April 18, 2011**

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG hereby certifies that conversion factor(s) of this probe have been evaluated on the date indicated above. The assessment was performed using the FDTD numerical code SEMCAD of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG. The evaluation is coupled with measured conversion factors (probe calibration date indicated above). The uncertainty of the numerical assessment is based on the extrapolation from measured value at 835 MHz or at 1750 MHz.

Assessed by:



## Dosimetric E-Field Probe ES3DV3 SN:3209

Conversion factor ( $\pm$  standard deviation)

**550  $\pm$  50 MHz**

*ConvF*

**6.7  $\pm$  7%**

$\epsilon_r = 56.3 \pm 5\%$

$\sigma = 0.95 \pm 5\%$  mho/m

(body tissue)

**650  $\pm$  50 MHz**

*ConvF*

**6.3  $\pm$  7%**

$\epsilon_r = 55.9 \pm 5\%$

$\sigma = 0.95 \pm 5\%$  mho/m

(body tissue)

### Important Note:

For numerically assessed probe conversion factors, parameters Alpha and Delta in the DASY software must have the following entries: Alpha = 0 and Delta = 1.

Please see also DASY Manual.



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
C Service suisse d'étalonnage  
S Servizio svizzero di taratura  
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Client PC Test

Certificate No: ES3-3258\_Apr11

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	ES3DV3 - SN:3258
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v7, QA CAL-23.v4, QA CAL-25.v3 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	April 8, 2011
<p>This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.</p> <p>All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature <math>(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}</math> and humidity <math>&lt; 70\%</math>.</p> <p>Calibration Equipment used (M&amp;TE critical for calibration)</p>	

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	31-Mar-11 (No. 217-01372)	Apr-12
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01369)	Apr-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01370)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	29-Dec-10 (No. ES3-3013_Dec10)	Dec-11
DAE4	SN: 654	23-Apr-10 (No. DAE4-654_Apr10)	Apr-11
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name Dimce Iliev	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature 
Approved by:	Kalja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: April 13, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM $x,y,z$	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM $x,y,z$
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\varphi$	$\varphi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\theta$	$\theta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\theta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORMx,y,z$ : Assessed for E-field polarization  $\theta = 0$  ( $f \leq 900$  MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$  MHz: R22 waveguide).  $NORMx,y,z$  are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of  $NORMx,y,z$  does not affect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency\_response$  (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- $DCPx,y,z$ : DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- $PAR$ : PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z$  are numerical linearization parameters in dB assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media.
- $VR$ : VR is the validity range of the calibration related to the average diode voltage or DAE voltage in mV.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$  MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$  MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to  $NORMx,y,z * ConvF$  whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$  MHz to  $\pm 100$  MHz.
- Spherical Isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

# Probe ES3DV3

**SN:3258**

Manufactured: January 25, 2010  
Calibrated: April 8, 2011

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems  
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3258

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ( $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$ ) <sup>A</sup>	1.31	1.19	1.25	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) <sup>B</sup>	98.3	103.8	99.8	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dB	C dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
10000	CW	0.00	X	0.00	0.00	1.00	115.1	$\pm 2.7\%$
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	105.5	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	113.5	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3258

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.41	6.41	6.41	1.00	1.00	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.18	6.18	6.18	1.00	1.00	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.32	5.32	5.32	0.99	1.16	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	5.15	5.15	5.15	1.00	1.15	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.50	4.50	4.50	0.87	1.26	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.33	4.33	4.33	0.87	1.24	± 12.0 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3- SN:3258

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


f (MHz) <sup>c</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>f</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>f</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	6.16	6.16	6.16	1.00	1.00	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.12	6.12	6.12	1.00	1.00	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	5.00	5.00	5.00	0.91	1.28	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.75	4.75	4.75	0.90	1.23	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.34	4.34	4.34	1.00	1.00	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	4.16	4.16	4.16	0.94	1.15	± 12.0 %

<sup>c</sup> Frequency validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

<sup>f</sup> At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

## Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 6.3\%$  (k=2)

## Receiving Pattern ( $\phi$ ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

$f=600$  MHz, TEM





$f=1800$  MHz, R22



Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 0.5\%$  ( $k=2$ )


## Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>)

(TEM cell , f = 900 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.6\% (k=2)$

## Conversion Factor Assessment



## Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error ( $\phi, \theta$ ),  $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3258

### Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client PC Test

Certificate No: D835V2-4d119\_Jul11

## CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object D835V2 - SN: 4d119

Calibration procedure(s) QA CAL-05.v8  
Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: July 08, 2011

✓ KOK  
11/07/11

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01367)	Apr-12
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01371)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	29-Apr-11 (No. ES3-3205_Apr11)	Apr-12
DAE4	SN: 601	04-Jul-11 (No. DAE4-601_Jul11)	Jul-12
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by: Name Jeton Kastrali Function Laboratory Technician

Signature

Approved by: Name Kaija Pokovic Function Technical Manager

Signature

Issued: July 13, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

**Glossary:**

TS	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TS / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

**Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TS:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TS parameters:* The measured TS parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.6.2
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	41.0 $\pm$ 6 %	0.88 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.30 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.33 mW / g $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.51 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.11 mW / g $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	52.4 $\Omega$ - 1.7 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.8 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.378 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	June 29, 2010

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 08.07.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 SN: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.88$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 41$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.07, 6.07, 6.07); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.07.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

## Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 56.897 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.366 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.51 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.682 mW/g



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D835V2-4d119\_Nov11**

## **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object **D835V2 - SN: 4d119**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v8**  
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **November 07, 2011**

✓ KOF  
 11/28/11

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	05-Oct-11 (No. 217-01451)	Oct-12
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	05-Oct-11 (No. 217-01451)	Oct-12
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01368)	Apr-12
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	29-Mar-11 (No. 217-01371)	Apr-12
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	29-Apr-11 (No. ES3-3205_Apr11)	Apr-12
DAE4	SN: 601	04-Jul-11 (No. DAE4-601_Jul11)	Jul-12
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-13
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-11)	In house check: Oct-12

Calibrated by: **Dimce Iliev** Function: **Laboratory Technician**

Approved by: **Katja Pokovic** Function: **Technical Manager**

Issued: November 7, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

#### Additional Documentation:

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.6.2
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	53.3 $\pm$ 6 %	0.99 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.42 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	9.46 mW / g $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.59 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	6.25 mW / g $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.4 $\Omega$ - 5.2 $j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 24.5 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.395 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	June 29, 2010

# DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 07.11.2011

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

## **DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN: 4d119**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz

Medium parameters used:  $f = 835$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.99$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 53.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(6.02, 6.02, 6.02); Calibrated: 29.04.2011
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.07.2011
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.6.2(482); SEMCAD X 14.4.5(3634)

## **Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 55.205 V/m; Power Drift = 0.0076 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.510 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 2.42 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.59 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.805 mW/g



# Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
 The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D1900V2-502\_Feb11**

## **CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object **D1900V2 - SN: 502**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v8**  
**Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits**

Calibration date: **February 17, 2011**

✓ LOK  
2/2/11

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature  $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$  and humidity  $< 70\%$ .

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-10 (No. 217-01266)	Oct-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01158)	Mar-11
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01162)	Mar-11
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Apr-10 (No. ES3-3205_Apr10)	Apr-11
DAE4	SN: 601	10-Jun-10 (No. DAE4-601_Jun10)	Jun-11

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-10)	In house check: Oct-11

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Dimce Iliev	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 17, 2011

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

**Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

**Additional Documentation:**

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

## Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.6
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1900 MHz $\pm$ 1 MHz	

## Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.0	1.40 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	39.8 $\pm$ 6 %	1.41 mho/m $\pm$ 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(21.5 $\pm$ 0.2) °C	----	----

## SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.1 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	40.4 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	40.2 mW / g $\pm$ 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.26 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	21.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.0 mW / g $\pm$ 16.5 % (k=2)

## Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.3	1.52 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	52.8 ± 6 %	1.55 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(21.5 ± 0.2) °C	----	----

## SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.4 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	41.6 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	41.1 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.48 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	21.9 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.8 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

## Appendix

### Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$51.3 \Omega + 6.4 \text{ j} \Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.8 dB

### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$47.3 \Omega + 6.7 \text{ j} \Omega$
Return Loss	- 22.5 dB

### General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.206 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

### Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	November 14, 1998

# DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 17.02.2011 10:13:23

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: HSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.41$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(5.09, 5.09, 5.09); Calibrated: 30.04.2010
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 10.06.2010
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY52, V52.6.1 Build (408)
- Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X, V14.4.2 Build (2595)


**Pin=250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) /Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 97.159 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.519 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 10.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.26 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.407 mW/g



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



# DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 17.02.2011 10:55:26

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:502**

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: MSL U12 BB

Medium parameters used:  $f = 1900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.55$  mho/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 30.04.2010
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 10.06.2010
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY52, V52.6.1 Build (408)
- Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X, V14.4.2 Build (2595)


**Pin=250 mW /d=10mm, dist=3.0mm (ES-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) /Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.636 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.829 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 10.4 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.48 mW/g**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.070 mW/g



## Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

