

Report No.: HA820225-02B



# HEARING AID COMPATIBILITY T-COIL TEST REPORT

FCC ID : A4RG013A

Equipment : Phone Model Name : G013A

T-Rating : T3

Applicant : Google LLC

1600 Amphitheatre Parkway

Mountain View, CA 94043, USA

Standard : FCC 47 CFR §20.19

ANSI C63.19-2011

The product was received on May 10, 2018 and testing was started from Jun. 04, 2018 and completed on Jun. 06, 2018. We, SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC., would like to declare that the tested sample has been evaluated in accordance with the test procedures and has been in compliance with the applicable technical standards.

The report must not be used by the client to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by TAF or any agency of government.

The test results in this variant report apply exclusively to the tested model / sample. Without written approval of SPORTON INTERTIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full.

Approved by: Jones Tsai / Manager

SPORTON INTERTIONAL INC. EMC & Wireless Communications Laboratory

No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.)

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 1 of 25 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# **Table of Contents**

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Page: 2 of 25

Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

1.	Attestation of Test Results4					
2.	Gene	General Information 5				
3.	Testin	ng Location	6			
4.	Applie	ed Standards	6			
5.	Air In	terface and Operating Mode	7			
6.	Meas	urement standards for T-Coil	8			
	6.1	Frequency Response				
	6.2	T-Coil Signal Quality Categories	8			
7.	T-Coil	Test Procedure	Ş			
	7.1	Test Flow Chart	10			
	7.2	Test Setup Diagram	11			
	7.3	Description of EUT Test Position				
8.	Test E	Equipment List	14			
9.	T-Coil	testing for CMRS Voicetesting for CMRS Voice	15			
	9.1	GSM Tests Results	15			
	9.2	UMTS Tests Results	16			
	9.3	CDMA Tests Results				
10.	T-Coil	testing for CMRS IP Voice				
	10.1	VOL12 1000 100010				
		VoWiFi Tests Results				
11.	HAC	T-Coil Assement for OTT Voice Calling	21			
12.	Uncer	rtainty Assessment	24			
13.	Refer	encesences	25			

Appendix A. Plots of T-Coil Measurement Appendix B. DASY Calibration Certificate Appendix C. Test Setup Photos

# History of this test report

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
HA820225-02B	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	Jun. 19, 2018
HA820225-02B	Rev. 02	Update remark and General note in Section 5.0 and 11.0.	Jul. 11, 2018

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 3 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 1. Attestation of Test Results

Air Interface			Frequency	Magnetic
Air interrace	Band MHz	T-Rating	Response	Intensity
	GSM850	T3	Pass	Pass
GSM CMRS Voice	GSM1900	T3	Pass	Pass
OTT	EDGE850	T3	Pass	Pass
OTT over EDGE	EDGE1900	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 2	T4	Pass	Pass
UMTS CMRS Voice	Band 4	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 5	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 2	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over UMTS	Band 4	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 5	T4	Pass	Pass
	BC 0	T3	Pass	Pass
CDMA CMRS Voice	BC 1	T3	Pass	Pass
	BC 10	T3	Pass	Pass
	BC 0	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over CDMA	BC 1	T4	Pass	Pass
	BC 10	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 2	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 4	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 5	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 7	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 12	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 13	T4	Pass	Pass
FDD VoLTE	Band 17	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 25	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 26	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 30	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 66	T4	Pass	Pass
	Band 71	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over FDD LTE	Band 71	T4	Pass	Pass
TDD \/ LTE	Band 38	T4	Pass	Pass
TDD VoLTE	Band 41	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT over TDD LTE	Band 41	Т3	Pass	Pass
	2450	T4	Pass	Pass
	5200	T4	Pass	Pass
VoWiFI	5300	T4	Pass	Pass
	5500	T4	Pass	Pass
	5800	T4	Pass	Pass
OTT averables:	2450	T3	Pass	Pass
OTT over WiFi	5200	T4	Pass	Pass

Report No.: HA820225-02B

The device is compliance with HAC limits specified in guidelines FCC 47CFR §20.19 and ANSI Standard ANSI C63.19 2011.

Reviewed by: <u>Eric Huang</u> Report Producer: <u>Daisy Peng</u>

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 4 of 25 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 2. General Information

	Product Feature & Specification
Applicant Name	Google LLC
<b>Equipment Name</b>	Phone
Model Name	G013A
FCC ID	A4RG013A
Frequency Band	GSM850: 824.2 MHz ~ 848.8 MHz GSM1900: 1850.2 MHz ~ 1909.8 MHz WCDMA Band II: 1852.4 MHz ~ 1907.6 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 1712.4 MHz ~ 1752.6 MHz WCDMA Band IV: 226.4 MHz ~ 846.6 MHz CDMA2000 BC0: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.31 MHz CDMA 2000 BC1: 1851.25 MHz ~ 1908.75 MHz CDMA 2000 BC1: 1851.25 MHz ~ 1908.75 MHz CDMA 2000 BC10: 817.9 MHz ~ 823.1 MHz LTE Band 2: 1850.7 MHz ~ 1909.3 MHz LTE Band 4: 1710.7 MHz ~ 1754.3 MHz LTE Band 5: 824.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz LTE Band 5: 824.7 MHz ~ 267.5 MHz LTE Band 12: 699.7 MHz ~ 715.3 MHz LTE Band 13: 779.5 MHz ~ 784.5 MHz LTE Band 17: 706.5 MHz ~ 713.5 MHz LTE Band 25: 1850.7 MHz ~ 713.5 MHz LTE Band 26: 814.7 MHz ~ 848.3 MHz LTE Band 30: 2307.5 MHz ~ 2312.5 MHz LTE Band 30: 2307.5 MHz ~ 2719.3 MHz LTE Band 30: 2307.5 MHz ~ 2687.5 MHz LTE Band 30: 2572.5 MHz ~ 25825 MHz Bluetoott: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz NFC: 13.56 MHz
Mode	GSM/GPRS/EGPRS AMR / RMC 12.2Kbps HSDPA HSUPA DC-HSDPA CDMA2000: 1xRTT/1xEv-Do(Rel.0)/1xEv-Do(Rev.A) LTE: QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM 802.11a/b/g/n/ac HT20/HT40/VHT20/VHT40/VHT80 Bluetooth EDR/LE

Report No.: HA820225-02B

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 5 of 25 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 3. Testing Location

Sporton Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by Taiwan Accreditation Foundation (TAF code: 1190) and the FCC designation No. TW1190 under the FCC 2.948(e) by Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA) in FCC test.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Testing Laboratory					
Test Site	SPORTON INTERNATIONAL INC.				
Test Site Location	No. 52, Huaya 1st Rd., Guishan Dist., Taoyuan City, Taiwan (R.O.C.) TEL: +886-3-327-3456 FAX: +886-3-328-4978				
Test Site No.	Sporton Site No.: SAR04-HY				

# 4. Applied Standards

- FCC CFR47 Part 20.19
- ANSI C63.19 2011-version
- FCC KDB 285076 D01 HAC Guidance v05
- FCC KDB 285076 D02 T Coil testing v03
- FCC KDB 285076 D03 HAC FAQ v01

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 6 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 5. Air Interface and Operating Mode

Air	David Mile	<b>T</b>	C63.19	Simultaneous	Name of Voice	Power
Interface	Band MHz	Туре	Tested	Transmitter	Service	Reduction
	GSM850	1/0	V.	WLAN, BT	OMPONS	No
0011	GSM1900	VO	Yes	WLAN, BT	CIMRS Voice	No
GSM	EDGE850	\/D		MANA DT	O I . D (1)	NI.
	EDGE1900	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	Google Duo	NO NO
	850			WLAN, BT		No
UMTS	1750	VO	Yes	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
UNITS	1900			WLAN, BT		No
	HSPA	VD	VO         Yes         WLAN, BT         CMRS Voice         No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup> No           VO         Yes         WLAN, BT         CMRS Voice         No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup> No           VO         Yes         WLAN, BT         CMRS Voice         No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         CMRS Voice         No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup> No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         No         No           WLAN, BT         No         No         No         No           VD         Yes         WLAN, BT         No         No           WLAN, BT         No         No         No         No           WLAN, BT         No         No         No         No           WLAN, BT         <	No		
	BC0			WLAN, BT		No
CDMA	BC1	VO	Yes	WLAN, BT	CMRS Voice	No
CDIVIA	BC10			WLAN, BT		No
	EVDO	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup>	No
	Band 2			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 4			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 5			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 7			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 12			WLAN, BT	VoLTE, Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup>	No
LTE	Band 13	VD	Vaa	WLAN, BT		No
(FDD)	Band 17	VD	res	WLAN, BT		No
	Band 25			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 26			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 30			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 66			WLAN, BT		No
	Band 71			WLAN, BT		No
LTE	Band 38	VD	Yes	WLAN, BT	VoLTE,	No
(TDD)	Band 41	۷۵	res	WLAN, BT	Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup>	No
	2450					No
	5200				\/ \·	No
Wi-Fi	5300	VD	Yes	GSM,WCDMA,CDMA,LTE	VoWiFi, Google Duo <sup>(1)</sup>	No
	5500				Soogle Duo	No
	5800					No
ВТ	2450	DT	No	GSM,WCDMA,CDMA,LTE	NA	No

Report No.: HA820225-02B

### Type Transport:

VO= Voice only

DT= Digital Transport only (no voice)

VD= CMRS and IP Voice Service over Digital Transport

#### Remark:

- 1. For protocols not listed in Table 7.1 of ANSI C63.19-2011 or the ANSI C63.19-2011 VoLTE interpretation, the average speech level of −20 dBm0 should be used.
- 2. The device have similar frequency in some LTE bands: LTE B2/25, 4/66, 5/26, 12/17 and 38/41, since the supported frequency spans for the smaller LTE bands are completely covered by the larger LTE bands, therefore, only larger LTE bands were required to be tested for hearing-aid compliance.
- 3. Because features of Google Duo allow the option of voice-only communications, Duo has been tested for HAC/T-Coil compatibility to ensure the best user experience.


TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 7 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 6. Measurement standards for T-Coil

### 6.1 Frequency Response


The frequency response of the perpendicular component of the magnetic field, measured in 1/3 octave bands, shall follow the response curve specified in this sub-clause, over the frequency range 300 Hz to 3000 Hz. Figure 1.1 and Figure 1.2 provide the boundaries as a function of frequency. These response curves are for true field-strength measurements of the T-Coil signal. Thus, the 6 dB/octave probe response has been corrected from the raw readings.

Report No.: HA820225-02B



NOTE-The frequency response is between 300 Hz and 3000 Hz.

Fig. 1.1 Magnetic field frequency response for WDs with field strength≤-15dB at 1 KHz



NOTE-The frequency response is between 300 Hz and 3000 Hz.

Fig. 1.2 Magnetic field frequency response for WDs with a field that exceeds -15 dB(A/m) at 1 kHz

### 6.2 T-Coil Signal Quality Categories

This section provides the signal quality requirement for the intended T-Coil signal from a WD. Only the RF immunity of the hearing aid is measured in T-Coil mode. It is assumed that a hearing aid can have no immunity to an interference signal in the audio band, which is the intended reception band for this mode. A device is assessed beginning by determining the category of the RF environment in the area of the T-Coil source.

The RF measurements made for the T-Coil evaluation are used to assign the category T1 through T4. The limitation is given in Table 1. This establishes the RF environment presented by the WD to a hearing aid.

Category	Telephone parameters WD signal quality ((signal + noise) to noise ratio in dB)
Category T1	0 to 10 dB
Category T2	10 to 20 dB
Category T3	20 to 30 dB
Category T4	> 30 dB

**Table 1 T-Coil Signal Quality Categories** 

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 8 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 7. T-Coil Test Procedure

Referenced to ANSI C63.19-2011, Section 7.4,

This section describes the procedures used to measure the ABM (T-Coil) performance of the WD. In addition to measuring the absolute signal levels, the A-weighted magnitude of the unintended signal shall also be determined. To assure that the required signal quality is measured, the measurement of the intended signal and the measurement of the unintended signal must be made at the same location for each measurement position. In addition, the RF field strength at each measurement location must be at or below that required for the assigned category.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Measurements shall not include undesired properties from the WD's RF field; therefore, use of a coaxial connection to a base station simulator or non-radiating load, there might still be RF leakage from the WD, which can interfere with the desired measurement. Pre-measurement checks should be made to avoid this possibility. All measurements shall be performed with the WD operating on battery power with an appropriate normal speech audio signal input level given in ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 7.1. If the device display can be turned off during a phone call, then that may be done during the measurement as well,

Measurement shall be performed at two locations specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 A.3, with the correct probe orientation for a particular location, in a multistage sequence by first measuring the field intensity of the desired T-Coil signal the same location as the desired ABM or T-Coil signal (ABM1), and the ratio of desired to undesired magnetic components (ABM2) must be measured at the same location as the desired ABM or T-Coil signal (ABM1), and the ratio of desired to undesired ABM signals must be calculated. For the perpendicular field location, only the ABM1 frequency response shall be determined in a third measurement stage.

The following steps summarize the basic test flow for determining ABM1 and ABM2. These steps assume that a sine wave or narrowband 1/3 octave signal can be used for the measurement of ABM1.

- a. A validation of the test setup and instrumentation may be performed using a TMFS or Helmholtz coil Measure the emissions and confirm that they are within the specified tolerance.
- b. Position the WD in the test setup and connect the WD RF connector to a base station simulator or a non-radiating load. Confirm that equipment that requires calibration has been calibrated, and that the noise level meets the requirements given in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.3.1.
- c. The drive level to the WD ise set such that the reference input level specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 7.1 is input to the base station simulator (or manufacturer's test mode equivalent) in 1 kHz, 1/3 octave band. This drive level shall be used for the T-Coil signal test (ABM1) at f = 1 kHz. Either a sine wave at 1025 Hz or a voice-like signal, band-limited to the 1 kHz 1/3 octave, as defined in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.2, shall be used for the reference audio signal. If interference is found at 1025 Hz an alternative nearby reference audio signal frequency may be used. The same drive level shall be used for the ABM1 frequency response measurements at each 1/3 octave band center frequency. The WD volume control may be set at any level up to maximum, provided that a signal at any frequency at maximum modulation would not result in clipping or signal overload.
- d. Determine the magnetic measurement locations for the WD device (A.3), if not already specified by the manufacturer, as described in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.1.1 and 7.4.4.2.
- e. At each measurement location, measure and record the desired T-Coil magnetic signals (ABM1 at fi) as described in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.2 in each individual ISO 266-1975 R10 standard 1/3 octave band. The desired audio band input frequency (fi) shall be centered in each 1/3 octave band maintaining the same drive level as determined in item c) and the reading taken for that band.
- f. Equivalent methods of determining the frequency response may also be employed, such as fast Fourier transform (FFT) analysis using noise excitation or input-output comparison using simulated speech. The full-band integrated probe output, as specified in D.9, may be used, as long as the appropriate calibration curve is applied to the measured result, so as to yield an accurate measurement of the field magnitude. (The resulting measurement shall be an accurate measurement in dB A/m.)
- g. All Measurements of the desired signal shall be shown to be of the desired signal and not of an undesired signal. This may be shown by turning the desired signal ON and OFF with the probe measuring the same location. If the scanning method is used the scans shall show that all measurement points selected for the ABM1 measurement meet the ambient and test system noise criteria in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.3.1.
- h. At the measurement location for each orientation, measure and record the undesired broadband audio magnetic signal (ABM2) as specified in ANSI C63.19-2011 clause 7.4.4.4 with no audio signal applied (or digital zero applied, if appropriate) using A-weighting and the half-band integrator. Calculate the ratio of the desired to undesired signal strength (i,e., signal quality).
- i. Obtain the data from the postprocessor, SEMCAD, and determine the category that properly classifies the signal quality based on ANSI C63.19-2011 Table 8.5.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 9 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 7.1 Test Flow Chart


# Test Instructions Confirm calibration of test eq uip ment Configure and validate the test setup Establish WD reference level Find measurement locations Per sub clause 7.3, 7.4.1 a)-b) & 7.4.4.4 Position and orient probe Measure desired aud io band signal strength Per sub clause 7.4.1 c)-e) Calculate signal strength Calculate signal quality Measure frequency resposne (perpendicular orientation only) Per sub clause 7.4.5 - 7.4.6 All locations measured? All locations measured? Determine and record signal quality category Done Per sub clause 8.2.4

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Fig. 2 T-Coil Signal Test flowchart

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 10 of 25 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

## 7.2 Test Setup Diagram



Report No.: HA820225-02B

#### **General Note:**

- 1. Define the all applicable input audio level as below according to C63 and KDB 285076 D02v03:
  - GSM input level: -16dBm0
  - UMTS input level: -16dBm0
  - CDMA input level: -18dBm0
  - VoLTE input level: -16dBm0
  - VoWiFi input level: -20dBm0
  - OTT input Level: -20dBm0
- 2. For GSM / UMTS / CDMA test setup and input level, the correct input level definition is via a communication tester CMU200's "Decoder Cal" and "Codec Cal" with audio option B52 and B85 to set the correct audio input levels.
- 3. CMU200 is able to output 1kHz audio signal equivalent to 3.14dBm0 at "Decoder Cal." confuguration, the signal reference is used to adjust the AMMI gain setting to reach -16dBm0 for GSM/UMTS and -18dBm0 for CDMA. CMW500 input is calibrated and the relation between the analog input voltage and the internal level in dBm0 can be determined
- 4. The test setup used for VoLTE over IMS and VoWiFi over IMS is via the callbox of CMW500 for T-coil measurement, The data application unit of the CMW500 was used to simulate the IP multimedia subsystem server. The CMW500 can be manually configured to ensure and control the speech input level result is -16dBm0 for VoLTE, -20dBm0 for VoWiFi when the device during the IMS connection.
- 5. The test setup used for OTT Voice application is via the data application unit on CMW500 connection to the Internet, also connection to the other auxiliary unit which is used to configure the audio codec and bit rate and also monitor the audio input level of -20dBm0.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 11 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

The Required gain factor for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to achieve approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal

Report No.: HA820225-02B

2. The below calculation formula is an example and showing how to determine the input level for the device.

The predefined signal types have the following differences / factors compared to the 1kHz sine signal:

Signal [file name]	Duration [s]	Peak-to- RMS [dB]	RMS [dB]	Required gain factor *)	Gain setting
1kHz sine		3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1.025kHz_10s.wav	10	3.0	0.0	1.00	
48k_1kHz_3.15kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-3.0	1.42	
48k_315Hz_1kHz_10s.wav	10	6.0	-2.9	1.40	
48k_csek_8k_441_white_10s.wav	10	13.8	-10.5	3.34	
48k_multisine_50-5000_10s.wav	10	11.1	-7.9	2.49	
48k_voice_1kHz_1s.wav	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	
48k_voice_300-3000_2s.wav	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	

(\*) The gain for the specific signal shall typically be multiplied by this factor to acheive approx. the same level as for the 1kHz sine signal.

Insert the gain applicable for your setup in the last column of the table.

#### Calculation formula:

- Audio Level at -16dBm0 = ((-16dBm0) (3.14dBm0)) + X dBv
- Calculated Gain at -16dBm0 = 10(( audio level at -16dBm0 Y dBm0) / 20) \* 10

- Gatting setting at -16dBm0 = required gain factor \* calculated gain

Gain Value	20* log(gain)	AMCC Coil In	Level
(linear)	dB	(dBv RMS)	dBm0
		-2.47	3.14
10	20	-19.85	-14.24
8.17	18.24	-21.61	-16

Signal Type	Duration (s)	Peak to RMS (dB)	RMS (dB)	Required Gain Factor	Calculated Gain Setting
1kHz sine	-	3	0	1	8.17
48k_voice_1kHz	1	16.2	-12.7	4.33	35.36
48k_voice_300Hz ~ 3kHz	2	21.6	-18.6	8.48	69.25

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 12 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

## 7.3 Description of EUT Test Position

Fig.3 illustrate the references and reference plane that shall be used in a typical EUT emissions measurement. The principle of this section is applied to EUT with similar geometry. Please refer to Appendix C for the setup photographs.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

- ♦ The area is 5 cm by 5 cm.
- ♦ The area is centered on the audio frequency output transducer of the EUT.
- ◆ The area is in a reference plane, which is defined as the planar area that contains the highest point in the area of the phone that normally rests against the user's ear. It is parallel to the centerline of the receiver area of the phone and is defined by the points of the receiver-end of the EUT handset, which, in normal handset use, rest against the ear.
- The measurement plane is parallel to, and 10 mm in front of, the reference plane.




Fig.3 A typical EUT reference and plane for T-Coil measurements

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 13 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 8. Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
Manuracturer	nufacturer Name of Equipment Type/Model Serial		Serial Number	Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	Audio Magnetic 1D Field Probe	AM1DV3	3130	Nov. 21, 2017	Nov. 20, 2018
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE3	360	Nov. 02, 2017	Nov. 01, 2018
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1424	Jan. 18, 2018	Jan. 17, 2019
SPEAG	Audio Magnetic Calibration Coil	AMCC	1049	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Audio Measuring Instrument	AMMI	1041	NCR	NCR
TESTO	Hygro meter	608-H1	34913631	Aug. 22, 2017	Aug. 21, 2018
SPEAG	Test Arch Phantom	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
R&S	Base Station	CMU200	116457	May 20, 2018	May 19, 2019
R&S	Base Station	CMW500	106366	Jul. 06, 2017	Jul. 05, 2019

Report No.: HA820225-02B

#### Note:

1. NCR: "No-Calibration Required"

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 14 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 9. T-Coil testing for CMRS Voice

#### **General Note:**

Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel/band, the following worst investigation codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

#### 2. <u>Air Interface Investigation:</u>

- a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands/channel/bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface.
- b. According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

### 9.1 GSM Tests Results

#### <Codec Investigation>

Codec	FR_V1	HR_V1	Orientation	Band / Channel	
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-0.2	1.75			
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-21.49	-21.87	Axial	CCMREO / 400	
Signal Quality (dB)	21.29	23.62	Axiai	GSM850 / 189	
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS			

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is FR\_V1

#### <Air Interface Investigation>

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
1	GSM850	EB 1/1	189	Axial (Z)	-0.20	-21.49	21.29	Т3	-50.31	2.00	Pass
'	GSIVIOSU	FR_V1	109	Transversal (Y)	-8.76	-31.45	22.69	Т3	-50.21	2.00	Fd55
2	GSM1900	FR V1	661	Axial (Z)	0.87	-20.48	21.35	Т3	-50.28	2.00	Pass
	G3W1900	FK_V1	001	Transversal (Y)	-10.48	-34.45	23.97	Т3	-50.18	2.00	Fd55

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 15 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 9.2 <u>UMTS Tests Results</u>

#### <Codec Investigation>

Codec	AMR 4.75Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 12.2Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	2.32	2.18	2.61		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-46.56	-46.66	-46.68	Axial	Danid 2 / 0400
Signal Quality (dB)	48.88	48.84	49.29	Axiai	Band 2 / 9400
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is AMR 7.95Kbps

### <Air Interface Investigation>

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response			
3	WCDMA II	AMP 7 OEKhaa	9400	Axial (Z)	2.18	-46.66	48.84	T4	-50.28	1.83	Pass			
3	WCDIVIA II	AMR 7.95Kbps	9400	Transversal (Y)	-5.39	-47.43	42.04	T4	-50.23	1.03	rass			
4	WCDMA IV	AMD 7 OEKhan	4.440	Axial (Z)	1.65	-46.65	48.30	T4	-50.28	2.00	Door			
4	WCDIVIA IV	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	7.95Kbps 1413	Transversal (Y)	-5.55	-48.70	43.15	T4	-50.25	2.00	Pass
_	WCDMA V	A V AMP 7.05Kbps	AMD 7 OFKbps	- 4400	Axial (Z)	2.41	-46.56	48.97	T4	-50.31	2.00	Door		
Э	5 WCDMA V AMR 7.95Kb	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	AMR 7.95Kbps	4182	Transversal (Y)	-5.62	-48.66	43.04	T4	-50.28	2.00	Pass	

# 9.3 CDMA Tests Results

#### <Codec Investigation>

Codec	RC1 SO68	RC3 SO68	RC4 SO68	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-1.09	-0.66	4.16		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-43.41	-43.53	-43.51	Axial	BC0 / 384
Signal Quality (dB)	42.32	42.87	47.67	Axiai	BC0 / 364
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is RC1 SO68

### <Air Interface Investigation>

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response													
6	CDMA BC0	DC4 : COC0	384	Axial (Z)	-3.51	-43.86	40.35	T4	-50.33	1.73	Pass													
6	CDIVIA BCU	BC0 RC1+SO68	304	Transversal (Y)	-12.70	-41.71	29.01	Т3	-50.20	1.73	rdSS													
7	CDMA BC1	DC4 - COC0	600	Axial (Z)	-3.29	-41.42	38.13	T4	-50.29	1.22	Pass													
,	CDIVIA BCT	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	600	Transversal (Y)	-13.21	-37.23	24.02	Т3	-50.21	1.22	Pass
	CDMA DC40	2DMA BC10   BC1+SO69	500	Axial (Z)	-4.88	-43.70	38.82	T4	-50.28	4.70	Door													
8	8 CDMA BC10 RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	RC1+SO68	580	Transversal (Y)	-14.16	-41.00	26.84	Т3	-50.11	1.76	Pass										

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 16 of 25 FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 10. T-Coil testing for CMRS IP Voice

### 10.1 VoLTE Tests Results

#### **General Note:**

Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel / band, the following worst investigation codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.

#### 2. Air Interface Investigation:

a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands / channel / bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface and the following worst configure would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

- b. Select LTE FDD / TDD one frequency band to do measurement at the worst SNR position was additionally performed with varying the BWs/Modulations/RB size to verify the variation to find out worst configuration, the observed variation is very little to be within 1.5 dB which is much less than the margin from the rating threshold.
- c. The TDD LTE power class 3 supports uplink-downlink configuration 0 and 6 and power class 2 supports uplink-downlink configuration1 to 5 for this device, an investigation was performed to determine the worst-case uplink-downlink configuration to be used for the testing for the handset.
- d. According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

#### <Codec Investigation>

#### LTE FDD

Codec	NB AMR 4.75Kbps	WB AMR 6.60Kbps	NB AMR 12.2Kbps	WB AMR 23.85Kbps	EVS SWB 9.6Kbps	EVS SWB 128Kbps	EVS WB 5.9Kbps	EVS WB 128Kbps	EVS NB 5.9Kbps	EVS NB 24.4Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	0.83	2.5	1.39	4.09	2.1	1.9	2.4	2.65	2.49	2.23		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-42.35	-44.3	-45.11	-44.37	-44.33	-44.59	-43.41	-44.31	-44.27	-43.64		B25 / 20M /
Signal Quality (dB)	43.18	46.8	46.5	48.46	46.43	46.49	45.81	46.96	46.76	45.87	Axial	26340
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is NB AMR 4.75Kbps

### LTE TDD

Codec	NB AMR 4.75Kbps	WB AMR 6.60Kbps	NB AMR 12.2Kbps	WB AMR 23.85Kbps	EVS SWB 9.6Kbps	EVS SWB 128Kbps	EVS WB 5.9Kbps	EVS WB 128Kbps	EVS NB 5.9Kbps	EVS NB 24.4Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	0.96	3.2	1.13	3.6	2.89	3.02	3.2	2.96	3.03	3.11		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-33.28	-33.91	-33.45	-33.64	-34.49	-34.34	-34.02	-34.37	-34.26	-34.15	Axial	B41 / 20M /
Signal Quality (dB)	34.24	37.11	34.58	37.24	37.38	37.36	37.22	37.33	37.29	37.26	Axiai	40620
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is NB AMR 4.75Kbps

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 17 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# <Air Interface Investigation>

Air	Interface	BW (MHz)	Modulation	RB Size	RB offset	Channel	UL-DL Configuration	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB
	LTE B25	20	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.64	-41.87	43.51
	LTE B25	20	QPSK	50	0	26340	-	1.68	-42.30	43.98
	LTE B25	20	QPSK	100	0	26340	-	1.41	-42.40	43.81
	LTE B25	20	16QAM	1	0	26340	-	1.51	-42.31	43.82
500	LTE B25	20	64QAM	1	0	26340	-	1.43	-42.17	43.60
FDD	LTE B25	15	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.20	-42.33	43.53
	LTE B25	10	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.26	-42.37	43.63
	LTE B25	5	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.49	-42.21	43.70
	LTE B25	3	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.55	-42.17	43.72
	LTE B25	1.4	QPSK	1	0	26340	-	1.67	-42.11	43.78
	LTE B41_PC3	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	0	0.88	-33.91	34.79
	LTE B41_PC3	20	QPSK	50	0	40620	0	1.23	-35.05	36.28
	LTE B41_PC3	20	QPSK	100	0	40620	0	0.81	-35.07	35.88
	LTE B41_PC3	20	16QAM	1	0	40620	0	0.76	-35.06	35.82
	LTE B41_PC3	20	64QAM	1	0	40620	0	0.99	-35.04	36.03
	LTE B41_PC3	15	QPSK	1	0	40620	0	0.78	-34.49	35.27
TDD	LTE B41_PC3	10	QPSK	1	0	40620	0	1.04	-35.05	36.09
טטו	LTE B41_PC3	5	QPSK	1	0	40620	0	0.65	-35.00	35.65
	LTE B41_PC3	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	6	1.24	-34.18	35.42
	LTE B41_PC2	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	1	1.19	-34.20	35.39
	LTE B41_PC2	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	2	1.24	-34.16	35.40
	LTE B41_PC2	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	3	1.24	-34.13	35.37
	LTE B41_PC2	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	4	1.40	-34.56	35.96
	LTE B41_PC2	20	QPSK	1	0	40620	5	1.21	-34.14	35.35

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Plot No.	Air Interface	BW (MHz)	Modulation	RB Size	RB offset	Channel	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
9	LTE Band 7	20M	QPSK	1	0	21100	Axial (Z)	0.27	-41.71	41.98	T4	-50.29	1.16	Pass
9	LTL Ballu 7	20101	QF3K	'	U	21100	Transversal (Y)	-7.31	-45.14	37.83	T4	-50.17	1.10	F 033
10	LTE Band 12	10M	QPSK	1	0	23095	Axial (Z)	1.59	-44.68	46.27	T4	-50.28	1.56	Pass
10	LIE Ballu 12	TOIVI	QF3K		U	23093	Transversal (Y)	-5.89	-47.77	41.88	T4	-50.17	1.56	F455
11	LTE Band 13	10M	QPSK	1	0	23230	Axial (Z)	1.29	-45.59	46.88	T4	-50.31	1.66	Pass
''	LIE Ballu 13	TOIVI	QFSK	l '	0	23230	Transversal (Y)	-5.96	-45.71	39.75	T4	-50.28	1.00	Fd55
12	LTE Band 25	20M	QPSK	1	0	26340	Axial (Z)	0.83	-42.35	43.18	T4	-50.29	1.81	Pass
12	LIE Ballu 25	ZUIVI	QFSK	l '	0	20340	Transversal (Y)	-6.36	-45.12	38.76	T4	-50.15	1.01	Fd55
13	LTE Band 26	15M	QPSK	0	0	26865	Axial (Z)	0.02	-43.04	43.06	T4	-50.37	1.79	Pass
13	LIE Ballu 26	TOIVI	QFSK	0	0	20000	Transversal (Y)	-6.88	-47.10	40.22	T4	-50.27	1.79	Fd55
14	LTE Band 30	10M	QPSK	1	_	27710	Axial (Z)	-2.06	-43.94	41.88	T4	-50.29	2.00	Pass
14	LIE Band 30	TUIVI	QPSK	'	0	27710	Transversal (Y)	-9.22	-46.16	36.94	T4	-50.18	2.00	Pass
15	LTE Band 66	20M	QPSK	1	0	132322	Axial (Z)	1.65	-41.15	42.80	T4	-50.33	1.45	Pass
15	LIE Band 66	ZUIVI	QPSK	'	U	132322	Transversal (Y)	-6.03	-43.88	37.85	T4	-50.21	1.45	Pass
16	LTE Band 71	20M	ODCK	1	0	400000	Axial (Z)	0.78	-40.70	41.48	T4	-50.27	4.07	Door
16	LIE band /1	ZUIVI	QPSK	'	0	133322	Transversal (Y)	-7.33	-44.19	36.86	T4	-50.16	1.37	Pass
17	LTE Band 41	2014	ODCK	4	_	40600	Axial (Z)	0.96	-33.28	34.24	T4	-50.33	1.54	Doos
17	LIE band 41	20M	QPSK	1	0	40620	Transversal (Y)	-7.88	-32.94	25.06	T3	-50.18	1.54	Pass

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 18 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 10.2 VoWiFi Tests Results

#### **General Note:**

Codec Investigation: For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations
(WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It
is only necessary to document this for one channel/band, the following worst investigation codec would be remarked
to be used for the testing for the handset.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

#### 2. Air Interface Investigation:

- a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands/channel/bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface and the following worst configure would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
- b. Select WLAN 2.4GHz and WLAN 5GHz one frequency band to do measurement at the worst SNR position was additionally performed with varying the BWs/Modulations/data rate to verify the variation to find out worst configuration, the observed variation is very little to be within 1 dB which is much less than the margin from the rating threshold.
- c. According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

#### <Codec Investigation>

Codec	NB AMR 4.75Kbps	WB AMR 6.60Kbps	NB AMR 12.2Kbps	WB AMR 23.85Kbps	EVS SWB 9.6Kbps	EVS SWB 128Kbps	EVS WB 5.9Kbps	EVS WB 128Kbps	EVS NB 5.9Kbps	EVS NB 24.4Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-0.06	2.69	2.45	2.56	2.61	2.23	2.32	2.37	2.58	2.43		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-35.82	-34.3	-34.21	-34.25	-34.25	-34.27	-34.25	-34.24	-34.26	-34.27		2.4GHz
Signal Quality (dB)	35.76	36.99	36.66	36.81	36.86	36.5	36.57	36.61	36.84	36.7	Axial	WLAN /6
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec is NB AMR 4.75Kbps

#### <Air Interface Investigation>

Frequency Bands	Modulation	Bandwidth	Data Rate	Channel	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB
	802.11b	20	1M	6	-0.20	-38.98	38.78
	802.11b	20	11M	6	-0.21	-39.29	39.08
	802.11g	20	6M	6	-0.24	-39.34	39.10
WLAN 2.4GHz	802.11g	20	54M	6	-0.25	-39.16	38.91
WLAN 2.4GHZ	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS0	6	-0.19	-39.57	39.38
	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS7	6	-0.22	-39.55	39.33
	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS0	6	-0.23	-39.50	39.27
	802.11n-HT20	20	MCS7	6	-0.21	-39.40	39.19
	802.11a	20	6M	40	-0.07	-43.70	43.63
	802.11a	20	54M	40	0.01	-44.04	44.05
	802.11an-HT20	20	MCS0	40	-0.02	-44.10	44.08
	802.11an-HT20	20	MCS7	40	0.02	-43.68	43.70
	802.11an-HT40	40	MCS0	38	-0.04	-43.71	43.67
WLAN 5GHz	802.11an-HT40	40	MCS7	38	-0.04	-43.72	43.68
WLAN 5GHZ	802.11ac-VHT20	20	MCS0	40	-0.04	-43.72	43.68
	802.11ac-VHT20	20	MCS8	40	-0.02	-43.69	43.67
	802.11ac-VHT40	40	MCS0	38	-0.04	-43.74	43.70
	802.11ac-VHT40	40	MCS8	38	-0.09	-43.78	43.69
	802.11ac-VHT80	80	MCS0	50	-0.03	-43.81	43.78
	802.11ac-VHT80	80	MCS8	50	-0.08	-43.99	43.91

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 19 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

Plot No.	Air Interface	Modulation	Channel	Transmit Antenna	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response
18	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11b 1Mbps	6	Ant 4	Axial (Z)	-0.06	-35.82	35.76	T4	-50.34	2.00	Pass
10	WLANZ.4GHZ	602.11b TWbps	0	AIII 4	Transversal (Y)	-8.38	-42.09	33.71	T4	-50.23	2.00	F455
19	WLAN5GHz	902 44 a 6Mbna	40	Ant 6	Axial (Z)	-0.92	-41.86	40.94	T4	-50.26	2.00	Pass
19	WLANSGHZ	802.11a 6Mbps	40	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-7.84	-43.56	35.72	T4	-50.28	2.00	Pass
20	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	60	Ant 6	Axial (Z)	0.34	-41.02	41.36	T4	-50.22	2.00	Pass
20	WLANSGHZ	602.11a bivibps	60	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-6.79	-41.49	34.70	T4	-50.27	2.00	Pass
21	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	124	Ant 6	Axial (Z)	0.14	-41.21	41.35	T4	-50.21	2.00	Pass
21	WLANSGHZ	602.11a bivibps	124	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-6.81	-42.53	35.72	T4	-50.20	2.00	Pass
22	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	157	Ant 6	Axial (Z)	-0.11	-41.60	41.49	T4	-50.31	2.00	Pass
22	WLANSGHZ	002.11a bivibps	157	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-8.11	-42.55	34.44	T4	-50.29	2.00	F455
23	WLAN2.4GHz	000 44h 4Mhno	6	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	-0.64	-35.97	35.33	T4	-50.38	2.00	Pass
23	WLAN2.4GHZ	802.11b 1Mbps	0	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-8.96	-43.17	34.21	T4	-50.28	2.00	Pass
24	WLAN5GHz	902 44 a 6Mbna	40	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	1.07	-40.92	41.99	T4	-50.32	1.45	Pass
24	WLANSGHZ	802.11a 6Mbps	40	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-8.20	-44.91	36.71	T4	-50.21	1.45	Pass
25	WLAN5GHz	902 44 a 6Mbna	60	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	0.40	-41.33	41.73	T4	-50.30	1.68	Pass
25	WLANSGHZ	802.11a 6Mbps	60	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-7.96	-44.82	36.86	T4	-50.16	1.00	Pass
26	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	124	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	-0.11	-44.43	44.32	T4	-50.34	2.00	Pass
20	WLANSGHZ	002.11a bivibps	124	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-8.77	-46.83	38.06	T4	-50.22	2.00	F455
27	WLAN5GHz	902 110 6Mbss	157	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	-0.08	-44.55	44.47	T4	-50.38	2.00	Pass
21	WLANSGEZ	802.11a 6Mbps	107	Aill 5	Transversal (Y)	-8.80	-46.42	37.62	T4	-50.28	2.00	rass

Report No.: HA820225-02B

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 20 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 11. HAC T-Coil Assement for OTT Voice Calling

#### **General Notes:**

 According to the ANSI C63.19 2011 section 7.3.2, test middle channel of each frequency band for HAC testing for each orientation to determine worst HAC T-Coil rating.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

- 2. The device supported a pre-installed application, Google Duo, whose features allow the option of voice-only communications. According to KDB 285076 D02, all air interfaces via a data connection with Voice application need to be considered HAC testing.
- 3. The Google Duo only support OPUS audio codec and support 6Kbpa to 75Kbps bitrate.
- 4. The test setup used for OTT Voice calling is the DUT connect to the CMW500 and via the data application unit on CMW500 connection to the Internet, the Auxiliary EUT is connected to the WiFi access point, the channel/Modulation/Frequency bands/data rate is configured on the CMW500 for the DUT unit. For the Auxiliary unit which is used to configure the audio codec rate and determine the audio input level of -20dBm0 based on the KDB 285076 D02v03 requirement.
- 5. <u>Codec Investigation:</u> For a voice service/air interface, investigate the variations of codec configurations (WB, NB bit rate) and document the parameters (ABM1, ABM2, S+N/N, frequency response) for that voice service. It is only necessary to document this for one channel/band, the following tests results which the worst case codec would be remarked to be used for the testing for the handset.
- 6. Air Interface Investigation:
  - a. Use the worst-case codec test and document a limited set of bands/channel/bandwidths. Observe the effect of changing the band and bandwidth to ensure that there are no unexpected variations. Using the knowledge of the observed variations, it is necessary to report only a set band/channel/bandwidth for each orientation for a voice service/air interface.
  - b. Due to OTT service and CMRS IP service are all be established over the internet protocol for the voice service, and on both services use the identical RF air interface for the WIFI and LTE, therefore according to VoLTE and VoWiFi test results of air interface investigation, the worst configuration and frequency band of air interface was used for OTT T-Coil testing.
    - -LTE FDD worst configuration and band: LTE Band 71/20MHz/QPSK/1RB Size
    - -LTE TDD worst configuration and band: LTE Band 41/20MHz/QPSK/1RB Size
    - -WLAN2.4GHz Ant 4 worst configuration: 802.11b /1Mbps
    - -WLAN2.4GHz Ant 5 worst configuration: 802.11b /1Mbps
    - -WLAN5GHz Ant 5 worst configuration and Band: WLAN 5.2GHz /11a/6Mbps
    - -WLAN5GHz Ant 6 worst configuration and Band: WLAN 5.8GHz /11a/6Mbps

#### <Codec Investigation>

#### **EDGE**

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-0.26	0.22	0.51		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-31.27	-30.98	-30.79	Axial	GSM850 / 189
Signal Quality (dB)	31.01	31.2	31.3	Axiai	GSM850 / 189
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

#### **HSPA**

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-0.5	0.08	-0.63		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-41.55	-42.1	-43.44		UMTS B2 / 9400
Signal Quality (dB)	41.05	42.18	42.81	Axial	OWI15 B2 / 9400
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 21 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

### 1XEVDO Rev A

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel		
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-1.25	3.54	2.86				
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-42.68	-38.42	-38.74		CDMA DCC / 204		
Signal Quality (dB)	41.43	41.96	41.6	Axial	CDMA BC0 / 384		
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS				

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

### LTE FDD

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	1.25	1.5	1.16		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-39.02	-39.02	-40.21	Axial	LTE B71 / 20M /133322
Signal Quality (dB)	40.27	40.52	41.37	Axidi	LIE B/ I / 20W / 133322
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

### LTE TDD

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / BW / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	0.37	-1.12	-1.23		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-31.2	-34.39	-34.09	-34.09 Axial	LTE B41 / 20M /40620
Signal Quality (dB)	31.57	33.27	32.86	Axiai	LTE B41 / 20W /40620
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

### **WLAN**

Codec	Bitrate 6Kbps	Bitrate 40Kbps	Bitrate 75Kbps	Orientation	Band / Channel
ABM 1 (dBA/m)	-4.08	-3.83	-1.9		
ABM 2 (dBA/m)	-34.49	-35.76		Axial	2.4GHz WLAN / 6
Signal Quality (dB)	30.41	31.93	31.26	Axiai	2.4GHZ WLAN / 6
Freq. Response	PASS	PASS	PASS		

Remark: According to codec investigation, the worst codec bitrate is 6Kbps

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 22 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

### <Air Interface Investigation>

Plot No.	Air Interface	Mode	Channel	Transmit Antenna	Probe Position	ABM1 dB (A/m)	ABM2 dB (A/m)	Signal Quality dB	T Rating	Ambient Noise dB (A/m)	Freq. Response Variation dB	Frequency Response				
00	0014050	FDOF	400		Axial (Z)	-0.26	-31.27	31.01	T4	-50.37	0.00	D				
28	GSM850	EDGE	EDGE	EDGE	EDGE	EDGE	189	-	Transversal (Y)	-11.38	-40.80	29.42	Т3	-50.27	0.38	Pass
29	GSM1900	EDGE	661		Axial (Z)	0.08	-32.37	32.45	T4	-50.29	0.38	Door				
29	GSW1900	EDGE	001	-	Transversal (Y)	-8.72	-40.94	32.22	T4	-50.18	0.38	Pass				
30	WCDMA II	I HSPA S	9400		Axial (Z)	-0.50	-41.55	41.05	T4	-50.31	0.41	Pass				
30	WCDIVIA II	ПОРА	9400	-	Transversal (Y)	-7.65	-45.24	37.59	T4	-50.21	0.41	F455				
31	WCDMA IV	HSPA	1413		Axial (Z)	-0.36	-42.31	41.95	T4	-50.35	0.40	Pass				
31	WCDIVIA IV	ПОРА	1413	-	Transversal (Y)	-7.58	-44.60	37.02	T4	-50.25	0.48	F455				
32	WCDMA V	HSPA	4182		Axial (Z)	-0.24	-42.38	42.14	T4	-50.27	0.17	Pass				
32	WCDIVIA V	ПОРА	4162	ī	Transversal (Y)	-7.15	-45.84	38.69	T4	-50.15		Fass				
33	CDMA BC0	1XEVDO	384		Axial (Z)	-1.25	-42.68	41.43	T4	-50.33	0.59	Pass				
33	CDIVIA BC0	IXEVDO	304	ī	Transversal (Y)	-7.59	-46.34	38.75	T4	-50.19		1 433				
34	CDMA BC1	1XEVDO	600		Axial (Z)	-0.26	-42.08	41.82	T4	-50.25	0.39	Pass				
34	CDIVIA BC1	TALVDO	000	,	Transversal (Y)	-7.91	-45.97	38.06	T4	-50.11						
35	CDMA BC10	1XEVDO	580		Axial (Z)	4.34	-46.85	51.19	T4	-50.28	0.50	Pass				
33	CDIVIA BC10	TALVDO	360	-	Transversal (Y)	-7.52	-46.18	38.66	T4	-50.21	0.50	газэ				
36	LTE Band 71	20M_QPSK_1_0	133322	_	Axial (Z)	1.25	-39.02	40.27	T4	-50.29	1.45	Pass				
30	ETE Band 71	20W_Q1 3N_1_0	100022		Transversal (Y)	-7.16	-44.97	37.81	T4	-50.14	1.45	1 033				
37	LTE Band 41	20M QPSK 1 0	40620	_	Axial (Z)	0.37	-31.20	31.57	T4	-50.30	0.60	Pass				
37	ETE Balla 41	20W_Q1 3N_1_0	40020		Transversal (Y)	-7.33	-32.79	25.46	Т3	-50.16	0.00	1 033				
38	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11b 1Mbps	6	Ant 4	Axial (Z)	-4.08	-34.49	30.41	T4	-50.32	0.93	Pass				
30	WLANZ.4GHZ	602.11b 1Mbps	0	AIII 4	Transversal (Y)	-7.43	-37.38	29.95	Т3	-50.19	0.93	газэ				
39	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	157	Ant 6	Axial (Z)	-2.14	-38.15	36.01	T4	-50.33	0.78	Pass				
33	VV LAINOOI IZ	502.11a 0Mbps	157	Aiit U	Transversal (Y)	-10.61	-43.45	32.84	T4	-50.25	0.78	1 000				
40	WLAN2.4GHz	802.11b 1Mbps	6	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	-6.40	-38.05	31.65	T4	-50.33	0.63	Pass				
40	**LAI\$2.40112	002.11b 1Mbps	U	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-7.84	-39.96	32.12	T4	-50.21		Fd55				
41	WLAN5GHz	802.11a 6Mbps	40	Ant 5	Axial (Z)	-3.56	-38.63	35.07	T4	-50.35	0.75	Pass				
71	VV LAINOOI IZ	502.11a 01v1bps	40	Anto	Transversal (Y)	-11.06	-44.47	33.41	T4	-50.19	0.75	1 000				

Report No.: HA820225-02B

#### Remark:

- 1. Phone Condition: Mute on; Backlight off; Max Volume
- 2. The detail frequency response results please refer to appendix A.
- 3. Test Engineer: Galen Chang, Steven Chang and .Nick Yu.

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 23 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

# 12. Uncertainty Assessment

The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance. The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances. Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is showed in Table 8.2.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

Error Description	Uncertainty Value (±%)  Probability Distribution		Divisor	Ci (ABM1)	Ci (ABM2)	Standard Uncertainty (ABM1)	Standard Uncertainty (ABM2)
		Probe Sen	sitivity				
Reference Level	3.0	Normal	1	1	1	± 3.0 %	± 3.0 %
AMCC Geometry	0.4	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.2 %	± 0.2 %
AMCC Current	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %
Probe Positioning During Calibrate	0.1	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.1 %	± 0.1 %
Noise Contribution	0.7	Rectangular	√3	0.0143	1	± 0.0 %	± 0.4 %
Frequency Slope	5.9	Rectangular	√3	0.1	1	± 0.3 %	± 3.5 %
		Probe Sy	stem				
Repeatability / Drift	1.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.6 %	± 0.6 %
Linearity / Dynamic Range	0.6	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.4 %	± 0.4 %
Acoustic Noise	1.0	Rectangular	√3	0.1	1	± 0.1 %	± 0.6 %
Probe Angle	2.3	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 1.4 %	± 1.4 %
Spectral Processing	0.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %
Integration Time	0.6	Normal	1	1	5	± 0.6 %	± 3.0 %
Field Disturbation	0.2	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.1 %	± 0.1 %
		Test Siç	gnal				
Reference Signal Spectral Response	0.6	Rectangular	√3	0	1	± 0.0 %	± 0.4 %
		Position	ning				
Probe Positioning	1.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 1.1 %	± 1.1 %
Phantom Thickness	0.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 0.5 %	± 0.5 %
EUT Positioning	1.9	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 1.1 %	± 1.1 %
External Contributions							
RF Interference	0.0	Rectangular	√3	1	0.3	± 0.0 %	± 0.0 %
Test Signal Variation	2.0	Rectangular	√3	1	1	± 1.2 %	± 1.2 %
	Combined Star	ndard Uncertainty				± 4.1 %	± 6.1 %
	Coverage F	actor for 95 %				K	= 2
	Expanded	Uncertainty				± 8.1 %	± 12.3 %

Table 8.2 Uncertainty Budget of audio band magnetic measurement

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 24 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018

## 13. References

[1] ANSI C63.19-2011, "American National Standard for Methods of Measurement of Compatibility between Wireless Communications Devices and Hearing Aids", 27 May 2011.

Report No.: HA820225-02B

- [2] FCC KDB 285076 D01v05, "Equipment Authorization Guidance for Hearing Aid Compatibility", Sep 2017
- [3] FCC KDB 285076 D02v03, "Guidance for performing T-Coil tests for air interfaces supporting voice over IP (e.g., LTE and WiFi) to support CMRS based telephone services", Sep 2017
- [4] FCC KDB 285076 D03v01, "Hearing aid compatibility frequently asked questions", Sep 2017
- [5] SPEAG DASY System Handbook

TEL: 886-3-327-3456 Page: 25 of 25
FAX: 886-3-328-4978 Issued Date: Jul. 11, 2018