

## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

## **DDM Brands LLC**

1616 NW, 84TH Ave. Miami, Florida, U.S.A 33126

**FCC ID: A4JC50** 

Product Type: Report Type:

Original Report 2G mobile phone

**Test Engineer:** Terry XiaHou

**Report Number:** RSZ151223011-20

**Report Date:** 2015-12-30

Bell Hu

**Reviewed By:** SAR Engineer

Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Prepared By:

Bell Hu

ShiHua Road, FuTian Free Trade Zone

Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn

Attestation of Test Results					
	Company Name	DDM Brands LLC			
	<b>EUT Description</b>	n 2G mobile phone			
EUT	EUT FCC ID A4JC50				
Information	Model Number	Tested Model: CM50B003 Multiple Models: C50,CC50,CC50B003,CC50003			
	Test Date	2015-12-25			
Frequency	1	Max. SAR Level(s) Reported	Limit(W/Kg)		
GSM 850		0.356 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.274 W/kg 1g Body SAR			
PCS 1900		0.232 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.111 W/kg 1g Body SAR	1.6		
Simultaneous		0.394 W/kg 1g Head SAR 0.287 W/kg 1g Body SAR			
Applicable Standards	Electromagnetic Filed ANSI / IEEE C95.3 IEEE Recommended Electromagnetic Field GHz.  IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Absorption Rate (SA Measurement Techni IEC 62209-1:2006 Human exposure to re communication device Part1:Procedure to de used in close proximi IEC 62209-2:2010 Human exposure to re communication device Procedure to determine devices used in close KDB procedures	Practice for Measurements and Computations of Rads With Respect to Human Exposure to SuchFields,  Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average R) in the Human Head from Wireless Communication	specific ons Devices:  Inted wireless es — held devices  Inted wireless es — Part 2:  Inted wireless es — Part 2:		

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

**Note:** This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Standards and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 80

# TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	5
EUT DESCRIPTION	6
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	6
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES	7
SAR LIMITS	8
FACILITIES	9
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	10
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	17
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	18
Liquid Verification	19
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	28
TEST POSITIONS FOR DEVICE OPERATING NEXT TO A PERSON'S EAR.  CHEEK/TOUCH POSITION  EAR/TILT POSITION  TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS  SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE  TEST METHODOLOGY	29 29 30 31
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE  MAXIMUM OUTPUT POWER AMONG PRODUCTION UNITS  TEST RESULTS:	32 32
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	35
SAR TEST DATA	
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION	37
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES)	39
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	43
APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	45
APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	54
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	72
LIQUID DEPTH 15CM	72
BODY-WORN BACK SETUP PHOTO (15MM)	
LEFT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTOLEFT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
RIGHT HEAD TOUCH SETUP PHOTO	74
RIGHT HEAD TILT SETUP PHOTO	
APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS	
EUT – FRONT VIEW EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT – BACK VIEW	
EUT – RIGHT SIDE VIEW	

### Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen)

PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTE	80
APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	79
EUT – UNCOVER VIEW	
EUT – Unfold View	
EUT – BOTTOM VIEW.	
EUT – TOP VIEW	77

SAR Evaluation Report

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

## **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision	
0	0 RSZ151223011-20		2015-12-30	

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 80

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of DDM Brands LLC and their product, FCC ID: A4JC50, Model: CM50B003 or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### \*Note:

This series products model: Tested Model: CM50B003, C50, CC50, CC50B003, CC50003 and CM50003, we select model: CM50B003 to test, there is no electrical change has been made to the equipment, please refer to the product similarity letter.

### **Technical Specification**

Product Type	Portable		
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled		
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna		
Body-Worn Accessories:	None		
Face-Head Accessories:	None		
Multi-slot Class:	Class 12		
Operation Mode:	GSM Voice, GPRS data and Bluetooth		
	GSM 850 : 824-849 MHz(TX) ; 869-894 MHz(RX)		
Frequency Band:	PCS 1900: 1850-1910 MHz(TX); 1930-1990 MHz(RX)		
	Bluetooth: 2402MHz-2480MHz		
	GSM 850 : 32.80 dBm		
Conducted RF Power:	PCS 1900: 28.54 dBm		
	Bluetooth: -0.42 dBm		
Dimensions (L*W*H):	Fold: 86 mm (L) × 44 mm (W) × 18 mm (H)		
Difficusions (L. W.H):	Unfold: 160 mm (L) $\times$ 44 mm (W) $\times$ 16 mm (H)		
Power Source:	$3.7 V_{DC}$ Rechargeable Battery		
Normal Operation:	n: Head and Body-worn		

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 80

### REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES

#### FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

#### CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 80

### **SAR Limits**

### FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)

	SAR (W/kg)			
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)		
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4		
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10		
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0		

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 80

## **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 80

#### **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

### **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 35mm in the Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 80

### **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**

The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:


Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

$$f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a+2z)^2} \right)$$

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the Z Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 80

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**

Calibration Method  Frequency Dependent Below 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in a TEM Cell Above 1 GHz Calibration in air performed in waveguide				
Sensitivity	$0.70 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$ to $0.85 \ \mu V/(V/m)^2$			
Dynamic Range	0.0005 W/kg to 100 W/kg			
Isotropic Response	Better than 0.1 dB			
Diode Compression Point (DCP)	Calibration for Specific Frequency			
Probe Tip Diameter	< 2.9 mm			
Sensor Offset	1.56 (+/- 0.02 mm)			
Probe Length 289 mm				
Video Bandwidth	@ 500 Hz: 1 dB @ 1.02 kHz: 3 dB			
Boundary Effect	Less than 2.1% for distance greater than 0.58 mm			
Spatial Resolution	The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe.  The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe			

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes (X, Y, & Z).

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

### **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from  $5\mu V$  to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.

ADC	12 Bit		
Amplifier Range	20 mV to 200 mV and 150 mV to 800 mV		
Field Integration	Local Co-Processor utilizing proprietary integration algorithms		
Number of Input Channels	4 in total 3 dedicated and 1 spare		
Communication	Packet data via RS232		

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 80

#### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



Robot/Controller Manufacturer	Thermo CRS		
Number of Axis	Six independently controlled axis		
Positioning Repeatability	0.05 mm		
Controller Type	Single phase Pentium based C500C		
Robot Reach	710 mm		
Communication	RS232 and LAN compatible		

### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

#### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 80




Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

### **APREL SAM Phantoms**

The SAM phantoms developed using the IEEE SAM CAD file. They are fully compliant with the requirements for both IEEE 1528 and FCC Supplement C. Both the left and right SAM phantoms are interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines.



SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 80

#### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 800MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 80

### **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Ingredients	Frequency (MHz)									
(% by weight)	45	0	83	35	91	15	1900		2450	
Tissue Type	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Water	38.56	51.16	41.45	52.4	41.05	56.0	54.9	40.4	62.7	73.2
Salt (Nacl)	3.95	1.49	1.45	1.4	1.35	0.76	0.18	0.5	0.5	0.04
Sugar	56.32	46.78	56.0	45.0	56.5	41.76	0.0	58.0	0.0	0.0
HEC	0.98	0.52	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.21	0.0	1.0	0.0	0.0
Bactericide	0.19	0.05	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.27	0.0	0.1	0.0	0.0
Triton x-100	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	36.8	0.0
DGBE	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	0.0	44.92	0.0	0.0	26.7
Dielectric Constant	43.42	58.0	42.54	56.1	42.0	56.8	39.9	54.0	39.8	52.5
Conductivity (s/m)	0.85	0.83	0.91	0.95	1.0	1.07	1.42	1.45	1.88	1.78

### Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body

Frequency	Head	Tissue	<b>Body Tissue</b>		
(MHz)	Er	Er O(S/m)		O'(S/m)	
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80	
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92	
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94	
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97	
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05	
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06	
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30	
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40	
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52	
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95	
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73	
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00	

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 80

## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**


Equipment	Model	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date	S/N
CRS F3 robot	ALS-F3	N/A	N/A	RAF0805352
CRS F3 Software	ALS-F3-SW	N/A	N/A	N/A
CRS C500C controller	ALS-C500	N/A	N/A	RCF0805379
Probe mounting device & Boundary Detection Sensor System	ALS-PMDPS-3	N/A	N/A	120-00270
Universal Work Station	ALS-UWS	N/A	N/A	100-00157
Data Acquisition Package	ALS-DAQ-PAQ-3	2015-12-14	2016-12-14	110-00212
Miniature E-Field Probe	ALS-E-020	2015-12-14	2016-12-14	500-00283
Dipole, 835MHz	ALS-D-835-S-2	2014-10-08	2017-10-08	180-00558
Dipole, 1900MHz	ALS-D-1900-S-2	2014-10-09	2017-10-09	210-00710
Dipole Spacer	ALS-DS-U	N/A	N/A	250-00907
Device holder/Positioner	ALS-H-E-SET-2	N/A	N/A	170-00510
Left ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-L	N/A	N/A	130-00311
Right ear SAM phantom	ALS-P-SAM-R	N/A	N/A	140-00359
UniPhantom	ALS-P-UP-1	N/A	N/A	150-00413
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Head	ALS-TS-835-H	Each Time	/	270-01002
Simulated Tissue 835 MHz Body	ALS-TS-835-B	Each Time	/	270-02101
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Head	ALS-TS-1900-H	Each Time	/	295-01103
Simulated Tissue 1900 MHz Body	ALS-TS-1900-B	Each Time	/	295-02102
Directional couple	DC6180A	N/A	N/A	0325849
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	N/A	N/A	71377
Dielectric probe kit	HP85070B	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	US33020324
Attenuator	3dB	2015-05-08	2016-05-08	5402
Network analyzer	8752C	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	3410A02356
Synthesized Sweeper	HP 8341B	2015-06-03	2016-06-03	2624A00116
UNIVERSAL RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMU200	2015-11-23	2016-11-23	106891
EMI Test Receiver	ESCI	2015-06-13	2016-06-13	101746

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 80

## SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

## **Liquid Verification**



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

## **Liquid Verification Results**

	Liquid	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		De (°	Tolerance	
	Туре	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	ε <sub>r</sub>	O'(S/m)	$\Delta \epsilon_{ m r}$	ΔΟ (S/m)	(%)
824.2	Head	41.42	0.88	41.50	0.90	-0.193	-2.222	±5
824.2	Body	55.19	0.96	55.20	0.97	-0.018	-1.031	±5
836.6	Head	41.50	0.91	41.50	0.90	0.000	1.111	±5
830.0	Body	55.11	0.98	55.20	0.97	-0.163	1.031	±5
848.8	Head	41.26	0.90	41.50	0.90	-0.578	0.000	±5
040.0	Body	55.30	0.99	55.20	0.97	0.181	2.062	±5
1850.2	Head	39.98	1.41	40.00	1.40	-0.050	0.714	±5
1630.2	Body	53.01	1.53	53.30	1.52	-0.544	0.658	±5
1000.0	Head	40.02	1.43	40.00	1.40	0.050	2.143	±5
1880.0	Body	52.93	1.51	53.30	1.52	-0.694	-0.658	±5
1909.8	Head	39.94	1.42	40.00	1.40	-0.150	1.429	±5
1909.8	Body	52.79	1.52	53.30	1.52	-0.957	0.000	±5

<sup>\*</sup>Liquid Verification was performed on 2015-12-25.


SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 80

### **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10\%$ . The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



### Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail

Manufacturer	Description	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
APREL	Probe	ALS-E-020	500-00283	2015-12-14	2016-12-14
APREL	Dipole antenna(835MHz)	ALS-D-835-S-2	180-00558	2014-10-08	2017-10-07
APREL	Dipole antenna(1900MHz)	ALS-D-1900-S-2	210-00710	2014-10-09	2017-10-08

### **System Accuracy Check Results**

Date	Frequency Band	Liquid Type	ype Measured SA (W/Kg)		Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
	925	Head	1g	10.030	9.773	2.630	±10
2015-12-25	835	Body	1g	9.782	9.736	0.472	±10
2013-12-23	1900	Head	1g	40.153	39.481	1.702	±10
	1900	Body	1g	39.886	39.715	0.431	±10

<sup>\*</sup>All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 80

#### SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

System Performance Check 835 MHz Head Liquid

Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 835 MHz Serial No. : 180-00558 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-835-S-2

Frequency Band : 835

Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W

Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 10.038 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish : 9.923 W/kg

Power Drift (%) : -1.061

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

: Head Type Serial No. : 270-01002 Frequency : 835.0 MHz Last Calib. Date : 25-Dec-2015 : 20.00 °C Temperature Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C Humidity : 56.00 RH% : 41.02 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.92 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 835 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.9

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 80

1 gram SAR value : 10.030 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 6.582 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 10.536 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 17.362 W/kg



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

835 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 80

### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

#### System Performance Check 835 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 835 MHz; Type: ALS-D-835-S-2; S/N: 180-00558

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 835 MHz Serial No. : 180-00558 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-835-S-2

Frequency Band : 835

Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)
Power Drift-Start : 9.655 W/kg
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : 1.379

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Phantom Data

Tissue Data

Type : Body 270-02101 Serial No. : 835.0 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 25-Dec-2015 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 53.86 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 0.96 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 835 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 5.9

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 80

1 gram SAR value : 9.782 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 6.222 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 9.720 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 15.598 W/kg



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

835 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 80

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

System Performance Check 1900 MHz Head Liquid

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 1900MHz Serial No. : 210-00710 Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-1900-S-2

Frequency Band : 1900

Max. Transmit Pwr
Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start
Power Drift-Finish
Power Drift (%) : -1.316

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

: Head Type 295-01103 Serial No. : 1900.00 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 25-Dec-2015 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 39.70 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 1.41 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. M

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 4.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 20.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 80

1 gram SAR value : 40.153 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 20.926 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 42.010 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 71.280 W/kg



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

1900 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 80

Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

System Performance Check 1900 MHz Body Liquid

Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: ALS-D-1900-S-2; S/N: 210-00710

Product Data

Device Name : Dipole 1900MHz Serial No. : 210-00710

Type : Dipole

Model : ALS-D-1900-S-2

Frequency Band : 1900

Max. Transmit Pwr : 1 W

Drift Time : 3 min(s)

Power Drift-Start : 38.436 W/kg

Power Drift-Finish : 38.899 W/kg

Power Drift (%) : 1.185

Phantom Data

Name : APREL-Uni Type : Uni-Phantom Serial No. : System Default

Location : Center Description : Default

Tissue Data

Type : Body 295-02102 Serial No. : 1900.00 MHz Frequency Last Calib. Date : 25-Dec-2015 Temperature : 20.00 °C : 21.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 56.00 RH% Humidity : 52.04 F/m Epsilon Sigma : 1.52 S/m Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Name : E-Field Model : E-020

Type : E-Field Triangle Serial No. : 500-00283 Last Calib. Date : 14-Dec-2015

Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 1 Conversion Factor : 4.8

Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

Measurement Data


Crest Factor : 1

Scan Type : Complete Tissue Temp. : 20.00 °C Ambient Temp. : 21.00 °C

Area Scan : 7x9x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 80

1 gram SAR value : 39.886 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 21.233 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 41.840 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 73.802 W/kg



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

1900 MHz System Validation with Body Tissue


SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 80


### **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**

### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point". The "test device reference point" should be located at the same level as the center of the earpiece region. The "vertical centerline" should bisect the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth.

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined along the base of the ear spacer that contains the "ear reference point". For interim head phantoms, the device should be positioned parallel to the cheek for maximum RF energy coupling. The "test device reference point" is aligned to the "ear reference point" on the head phantom and the "vertical centerline" is aligned to the "phantom reference plane". This is called the "initial ear position". While maintaining these three alignments, the body of the handset is gradually adjusted to each of the following positions for evaluating SAR:





Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 80

#### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:


• When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek / Touch Position**



#### **Ear/Tilt Position**

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":


- 1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") and the peak SAR location for the "Cheek/Touch" position is located at the ear spacer region or corresponds to the earpiece region of the handset, the device should be returned to the "initial ear position" by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer.
- 2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 80

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions should also be considered in the SAR evaluation. The device should be tested on the left and right side of the head phantom in the "Cheek/Touch" and "Ear/Tilt" positions. When applicable, each configuration should be tested with the antenna in its fully extended and fully retracted positions. These test configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, AMPS, CDMA, and TDMA. If the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration (left, right, Cheek/Touch, Tilt/Ear, extended and retracted) is at least 2.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### Ear /Tilt 15° Position



### Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.




Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 80

#### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
  - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
  - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

#### **Test methodology**

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06.

KDB 648474 D04 Handset SAR v01r03.

KDB 941225 D01 3G SAR Procedures v03r01

KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 80

## CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT


### **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Test Receiver through sufficient attenuation.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



**GSM** 

### **Maximum Output Power among production units**

	Max Target Power fo	r Production Unit (dBm)					
Mode/Band	Channel						
Mode/Band	Low	Middle	High				
GSM 850	32.90	32.90	32.90				
GPRS 1 slot	32.90	32.90	32.90				
GPRS 2 slot	31.20	31.20	31.20				
GPRS 3 slot	29.30	29.30	29.30				
GPRS 4 slot	27.20	27.20	27.20				
PCS 1900	28.60	28.60	28.60				
GPRS 1 slot	28.70	28.70	28.70				
GPRS 2 slot	26.80	26.80	26.80				
GPRS 3 slot	25.20	25.20	25.20				
GPRS 4 slot	23.70	23.70	23.70				
Bluetooth	-0.40	-0.40	-0.40				

**SAR** Evaluation Report 32 of 80

### **Test Results:**

### GSM:

Dand	Frequency	<b>Conducted Output Power</b>					
Band	M 850 836.6 848.8 1850.2	Meas. Power (dBm)	Meas. Power (W)				
	824.2	32.80	1.905				
GSM 850	836.6	32.72	1.871				
GSIVI 630	848.8	32.69	1.858				
	1850.2	28.54	0.714				
PCS 1900	1880.0	28.50	0.708				
	1909.8	28.24	0.667				

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### **GPRS**:

Channe	Channel	Frequency	RF Output Power (dBm)					
Band	No.	(MHz)	1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots		
	128	824.2	32.89	31.17	29.21	27.04		
GSM 850	190	836.6	32.82	31.18	29.21	27.12		
	251	848.8	1 slot         2 slot         3 slot           32.89         31.17         29.27           32.82         31.18         29.27           32.79         31.11         29.16           28.61         26.71         25.13           28.54         26.55         24.54	29.16	27.09			
	512	1850.2	28.61	26.71	25.13	23.69		
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	28.54	26.55	24.54	23.12		
	810	1909.8	28.16	25.68	23.62	22.25		

For SAR, the time based average power is relevant, the difference in between depends on the duty cycle of the TDMA signal.

Number of Time slot	1	2	3	4
Duty Cycle	1:8	1:4	1:2.66	1:2
Time based Ave. power compared to slotted Ave. power	-9 dB	-6 dB	-4.25 dB	-3 dB
Crest Factor	8	4	2.66	2

## The time based average power for GPRS

D I	Channel	Frequency	cy Time based average Power (dBm)					
Band	No.	(MHz)	1 slot	2 slot	3 slots	4 slots		
	128	824.2	23.89	25.17	24.96	24.04		
GSM 850	190	836.6	23.82	25.18	24.96	24.12		
	251	848.8	1 slot         2 slot           23.89         25.17	24.91	24.09			
	512	1850.2	19.61	20.71	20.88	20.69		
PCS 1900	661	1880.0	19.54	20.55	20.29	20.12		
	810	1909.8	19.16	19.68	19.37	19.25		

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 80

#### Note:

1. Rohde & Schwarz Radio Communication Tester (CMU200) was used for the measurement of GSM peak and average output power for active timeslots.

For GSM voice, 1 timeslot has been activated with power level 5 (850 MHz band) and 0 (1900 MHz

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

- band).
- 3. For GPRS, 1, 2, 3 and 4 timeslots has been activated separately with power level 3(850 MHz band) and 3(1900 MHz band).

#### Bluetooth

Mode	Channel frequency	Conducted O	utput Power
Mode	(MHz)	(dBm)	(mW)
	(Low)2402	-2.26	0.594
BDR(GFSK)	(Middle)2441	-2.20	0.603
	(High)2480	-2.01	0.630
	(Low)2402	-1.39	0.726
EDR(4-DQPSK)	(Middle)2441	-1.01	0.793
	(High)2480	-0.82	0.828
	(Low)2402	-1.06	0.783
EDR-8DPSK	(Middle)2441	-0.61	0.869
	(High)2480	-0.42	0.908

**SAR Evaluation Report** 34 of 80

### SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

#### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**

Temperature:	21-24
Relative Humidity:	50-53 %
ATM Pressure:	1001-1002 mbar

Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2015-12-25

#### **GSM 850:**

FUT	Engguenav	Test	Power	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated		1g SAR (	W/Kg)	
Position	Frequency (MHz)	Mode	Drift (%)	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
	824.2	GSM	2.624	32.80	32.90	1.023	0.316	0.323	/
Left Head Cheek	836.6	GSM	-1.536	32.72	32.90	1.042	0.342	0.356	1#
	848.8	GSM	-2.531	32.69	32.90	1.050	0.325	0.341	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Position	836.6	GSM	-3.540	32.72	32.90	1.042	0.139	0.145	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Position  Left Head Cheek  Left Head Tilt  Right Head Cheek  Right Head Tilt  Body-Back	836.6	GSM	1.678	32.72	32.90	1.042	0.327	0.341	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	Scaled SAR  0.323  0.356  0.341  /  0.145  /	/
	824.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Right Head Tilt	836.6	GSM	-0.811	32.72	32.90	1.042	0.144	0.150	/
	848.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	R SAR P 16 0.323 42 0.356 1 25 0.341  39 0.145  7 7 0.341  7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7 7	/
	824.2	GPRS	2.004	31.17	31.20	1.007	0.251	0.253	/
	836.6	GPRS	1.375	31.18	31.20	1.005	0.273	0.274	2#
, ,	848.8	GPRS	-0.866	31.11	31.20	1.021	0.239	0.244	/

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

### Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/Kg, testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. The EUT transmit and receive through the same GSM antenna while testing SAR.
- 3. The Multi-slot Classes of EUT is Class 12 which has maximum 4 Downlink slots and 4 Uplink slots, the maximum active slots is 5, when perform the multiple slots scan, 3DL+2UL is the worst case.
- 4. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

SAR Evaluation Report 35 of 80

#### **PCS Band:**

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Power Drift (%)	Max. Meas. Power (dBm)	Max. Rated Power (dBm)	1g SAR (W/Kg)			
						Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Left Head Cheek	1850.2	GSM	2.560	28.54	28.60	1.014	0.215	0.218	/
	1880.0	GSM	-1.266	28.50	28.60	1.023	0.227	0.232	3#
	1909.8	GSM	2.674	28.24	28.60	1.086	0.186	0.202	/
Left Head Tilt	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	1880.0	GSM	-2.096	28.50	28.60	1.023	0.135	0.138	/
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Right Head Cheek	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	1880.0	GSM	1.465	28.50	28.60	1.023	0.211	0.216	/
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Right Head Tilt	1850.2	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
	1880.0	GSM	-1.734	28.50	28.60	1.023	0.126	0.129	/
	1909.8	GSM	/	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body-Back (15mm)	1850.2	GPRS	-1.835	25.13	25.20	1.016	0.085	0.086	/
	1880.0	GPRS	-3.105	24.54	25.20	1.164	0.079	0.092	/
	1909.8	GPRS	1.282	23.62	25.20	1.439	0.077	0.111	4#

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20


SAR Evaluation Report 36 of 80

## SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

#### **BT and GSM Antennas Location:**

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Left



## Right

## **Simultaneous Transmission:**

Description of Simultaneo	Antonnos Distanco (mm)		
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?	Hotspot?	Antennas Distance (mm)
GSM + BT	√	×	17
GPRS + BT	√	×	17

## Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Test position	P <sub>avg</sub> (dBm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
Bluetooth	2480	Head	-0.40	0.91	0	0.3	3.0	No
Bluetooth	2480	Body	-0.40	0.91	15	0.1	3.0	Yes

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances*  $\leq$  50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]  $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\le 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.
- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.
- 4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

SAR Evaluation Report 37 of 80

#### **Standalone SAR estimation:**

Mode	Frequency (GHz)	Distance (mm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (dBm)	P <sub>avg</sub> (mW)	Estimated 1-g (W/kg)
BT Head	2.80	0	-0.40	0.91	0.038
BT Body	2.80	15	-0.40	0.91	0.013

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

When standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

[(max. power of channel, including **tune-up tolerance**, mW)/(min. test separation distance,mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$ ] W/kg for test separation distances  $\leq 50$  mm; where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR.

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion

#### **Simultaneous SAR test exclusion considerations:**

Mada	Position	Reported	SAR (W/kg)	ΣSAR
Mode	Position	GSM	BT	< 1.6W/kg
	Left Head Cheek	0.356	0.038	0.394
	Left Head Tilt	0.145	0.038	0.183
GSM850	Right Head Cheek	0.341	0.038	0.379
	Right Head Tilt	0.150	0.038	0.188
	Body-Back	0.274	0.013	0.287
	Left Head Cheek	0.232	0.038	0.270
	Left Head Tilt	0.138	0.038	0.176
PCS1900	Right Head Cheek	0.216	0.038	0.254
	Right Head Tilt	0.129	0.038	0.167
	Body-Back	0.111	0.013	0.124

**ΣSAR < 1.6 W/kg** therefore simultaneous transmission SAR with Volume Scans is **not** required.

SAR Evaluation Report 38 of 80

## **SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)**

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## Left Head Cheek (824.2 MHz Low Channel)

Measurement Data

Test mode : GSM
Crest Factor : 8
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 11x8x1: Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7: Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.195 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.192 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.536

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Head

 Frequency
 : 824.2 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 41.41 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.91 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 835
Duty Cycle Factor : 8
Conversion Factor : 5.9


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.342 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.233 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.360 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.473 W/kg

Plot 1#

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



SAR Evaluation Report 39 of 80

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## **Body-worn-Back (836.6 MHz Middle Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS
Crest Factor : 4
Scan Type : : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.291 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.295 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.375

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 836.6 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 55.13 F/m

 Sigma
 : 0.97 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 835
Duty Cycle Factor : 4
Conversion Factor : 5.9


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.273 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.191 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.298 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.435 W/kg

Plot 2#

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



SAR Evaluation Report 40 of 80

## Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## Left Head Cheek(1880 MHz Middle Channel)

Measurement Data

Test mode : GSM
Crest Factor : 8
Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 11x8x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.043 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.043 W/kg Power Drift (%) : -1.266

Tissue Data

Type : Head Frequency : 1880.0 MHz Epsilon : 39.97 F/m Sigma : 1.40 S/m

Density : 1000.00 kg/cu. M

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283
Frequency Band : 1900
Duty Cycle Factor : 8
Conversion Factor : 4.8


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.227 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.144 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.251 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.357 W/kg

Plot 3#

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



SAR Evaluation Report 41 of 80

#### Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)

## **Body-worn-Back (1909.8 MHz High Channel)**

Measurement Data

Test mode : GPRS Crest Factor : 2.67 Scan Type : Complete

Area Scan : 8x11x1 : Measurement x=10mm, y=10mm, z=4mm Zoom Scan : 7x7x7 : Measurement x=5mm, y=5mm, z=5mm

Power Drift-Start : 0.078 W/kg Power Drift-Finish : 0.079 W/kg Power Drift (%) : 1.282

Tissue Data

 Type
 : Body

 Frequency
 : 1909.8 MHz

 Epsilon
 : 52.79 F/m

 Sigma
 : 1.52 S/m

 Density
 : 1000.00 kg/cu. m

Probe Data

Serial No. : 500-00283 Frequency Band : 1900 Duty Cycle Factor : 2.67 Conversion Factor : 4.8


Probe Sensitivity : 1.20 1.20 1.20  $\mu V/(V/m)$ 2

Compression Point : 95.00 mV Offset : 1.56 mm

1 gram SAR value : 0.077 W/kg 10 gram SAR value : 0.039 W/kg Area Scan Peak SAR : 0.091 W/kg Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 0.144 W/kg

Plot 4#

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



SAR Evaluation Report 42 of 80

## APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

According to IEEE1528:2013, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Head SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Standard Uncertain ty (1-g) %	Standard Uncertaint y (10-g) %
		Measure	ement Syst	tem			
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	1.5	1.5
Hemispherical Isotropy	10.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	√ср	√ср	4.4	4.4
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1
	_	Test sai	mple relat	ed		_	_
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67
		Phanto	m and Set	up			
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.85	1.2	1.0
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.25	0.29	1.3	1.5
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.23	0.2	0.2
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				10.78	10.55
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				21.56	21.10

SAR Evaluation Report 43 of 80

According to IEC62209-2:2010, the uncertainty budget has been determined for the Body SAR measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

				1	1	Standard	Standard			
Source of Uncertainty	Tolerance Value	Probability Distribution	Divisor	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (1-g)	c <sub>i</sub> <sup>1</sup> (10-g)	Uncertainty (1-g) %	Uncertainty (10-g) %			
Measurement System										
Probe Calibration	3.5	normal	1	1	1	3.5	3.5			
Axial Isotropy	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.5	1.5			
Boundary Effect	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6			
Linearity	4.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7			
Detection Limit	1.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6			
Readout Electronics	1.0	normal	1	1	1	1.0	1.0			
Response Time	0.8	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5			
Integration Time	1.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0			
RF Ambient Condition -Noise	0.6	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.3	0.3			
RF Ambient Condition - Reflections	3.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7			
Probe Positioner Mech. Restrictions	0.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2			
Probe Positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	2.9	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7			
Extrapolation and Integration	3.7	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.1	2.1			
		Test sar	nple relate	ed						
Test sample positioning	2.0	normal	1	1	1	2.0	2.0			
Device Holder Uncertainty	4.0	normal	1	1	1	6.215	6.215			
Drift of Output Power	5.0	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.67	2.67			
		Phantor	n and Setu	ıp						
Phantom Uncertainty	3.4	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.0	2.0			
SAR correction in permittivity and conductivity	1.2	normal	1	1	0.84	1.2	1.0			
Liquid conductivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.78	0.71	3.9	3.6			
Liquid permittivity measurement	5.0	normal	1	0.23	0.26	1.3	1.5			
conductivity—temperat ure	1.1	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.5	0.5			
permittivity—temperatu re	1.3	rectangular	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.2	0.2			
Combined Uncertainty		RSS				9.58	9.49			
Expanded uncertainty (coverage factor=2)		Normal(k=2)				19.16	18.98			

SAR Evaluation Report 44 of 80

## APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Calibration File No.: PC-1654

Task No: BACL-5805

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

> Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration Head and Body Manufacturer: APREL Inc. Model No.: ALS-E020

Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole

Project No: BACL-5805

Calibrated: 12th December 2015 Released on: 14th December 2015

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr, OTTAWA, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 45 of 80

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

<800 MHz

TEM Cell for sensitivity in air

Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

>800 MHz

Waveguide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue

\*Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### References

- o IEEE Standard 1528:2013
  - IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
- o IEC 62209-1:2006
  - Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices Human models. instrumentation, and procedures Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices
- o IEC 62209-2:2010
  - Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices Human models, instrumentation, and procedures Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz 6 GHz)
- TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 46 of 80

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Conditions

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 20 °C +/- 1.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 1.5°C Relative Humidity: < 60%

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Power Meter Tektronix USB
 11C940
 Apr 2, 2017

 Signal Generator Agilent E4438C
 MY45094463
 Dec 11, 2017

#### Secondary Measurement Standards

Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C 002106 Feb. 4, 2017

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Page 3 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 47 of 80

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Probe Summary**

Probe Type: E-Field Probe E-020

500-00283 Serial Number:

Frequency: As presented on page 5

Sensor Offset: 1.56 Sensor Length: 2.5

Tip Enclosure: Composite\*

Tip Diameter: < 2.9 mm

Tip Length: 55 mm

Total Length: 289 mm

95 mV **Diode Compression Point:** 

## Sensitivity in Air

Frequency Range	Channel X, μV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	Channel Y, µV/(V/m) <sup>2</sup>	Channel Z, $\mu V/(V/m)^2$	Tolerance, μV/(V/m)²
450 MHz	1.212	1.205	1.199	±0.004
750 MHz, 835 MHz 900 MHz	1.212	1.21	1.209	±0.004
1 GHz – 4 GHz	1.21	1.21	1.207	±0.004
5 GHz – 6 GHz	1.2	1.192	1.19	±0.005

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Page 4 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

SAR Evaluation Report 48 of 80

<sup>\*</sup>Resistive to recommended tissue recipes per IEEE-1528

## NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H. Body B)

Frequency	Tissue Type	Measured Epsilon	Measured Sigma	Standard Uncertainty (%)	Calibration Frequency Range (MHz)	Conversion Factor
450 H	Head	43.5	0.84	3.5	±50	5.7
450 B	Body	56.77	0.93	3.5	±50	5.8
750 H	Head	42.92	0.92	3.5	±50	6.0
750 B	Body	55.57	0.93	3.5	±50	5.9
835 H	Head	43.44	0.94	3.5	±50	5.9
835 B	Body	54.91	1.00	3.5	±50	5.9
900 H	Head	41.05	1.01	3.5	±50	6.0
900 B	Body	54.86	1.04	3.5	±50	5.9
1450 H	Head	X	X	X	X	x
1450 B	Body	X	×	X	×	Х
1500 H	Head	X	×	X	X	Х
1500 B	Body	X	X	×	X	×
1640 H	Head	×	X	X	X	×
1640 B	Body	×	X	X	X	×
1750 H	Head	38.58	1.36	3.5	±75	5.4
1750 B	Body	51.5	1.52	3.5	±75	5.3
1800 H	Head	х	X	X	X	х
1800 B	Body	X	Х	X	X	х
1900 H	Head	40.72	1.37	3.5	±75	4.8
1900 B	Body	52.29	1.58	3.5	±75	4.8
2000 H	Head	X	X	×	×	X
2000 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2100 H	Head	X	X	×	×	X
2100 B	Body	х	X	×	X	×
2300 H	Head	×	×	X	×	х
2300 B	Body	X	X	X	X	X
2450 H	Head	37.35	1.85	3.5	±75	4.8
2450B	Body	53.26	1.96	3.5	±75	4.3
3000 H	Head	X	X	×	X	X
3000 B	Body	X	×	X	X	×
3600 H	Head	37.24	3.14	3.5	±100	4.4
3600 B	Body	50.23	3.81	3.5	±100	4.1
5250 H	Head	35.05	4.65	3.5	±100	3.1
5250 B	Body	46.24	5.11	3.5	±100	2.9
5600 H	Head	34.95	5.06	3.5	±100	3.0
5600 B	Body	45.95	5.73	3.5	±100	2.4
5800 H	Head	34.57	5.27	3.5	±100	3.1
5800 B	Body	46.01	6.10	3.5	±100	2.6

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Page 5 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

**SAR Evaluation Report** 49 of 80

Division of APREL Inc.

## **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of 5 M $\Omega$ .

#### **Probe Calibration Uncertainty**


Uncertainty component	Tolerance (±%)	Probability distribution	Divisor	Standard uncertainty (±%)
Incident or forward power	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Reflected power	2	R	√3	1.15
Liquid conductivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid permittivity measurement	1	R	√3	0.58
Liquid conductivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Liquid permittivity deviation	1.5	R	√3	0.87
Frequency deviation	2.25	R	√3	1.30
Field homogeneity	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe positioning	2.5	R	√3	1.44
Field-probe linearity	1.55	R	√3	0.89
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS		3.50

Probe S/N 500-00283

Page 6 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 50 of 80 Division of APREL Inc.

## Receiving Pattern Air




Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Page 7 of 10 Probe S/N 500-00283
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 51 of 80

Division of APREL Inc.





Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



Page 8 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

**SAR Evaluation Report** 52 of 80 Division of APREL Inc.


## Dynamic Range



Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

## Video Bandwidth

## **Probe Frequency Characteristics**



Video Bandwidth at 500 Hz Video Bandwidth at 1.02 KHz: 1 dB 3 dB

Page 9 of 10
This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document. Probe S/N 500-00283

53 of 80 SAR Evaluation Report

## APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

## NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Calibration File No: DC-1599 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5779

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole(Head and Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-835-S-2 Frequency: 835 MHz Serial No: 180-00558

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 8th October 2014 Released on: 8th October 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

uite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kaneta, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 54 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was received with a damaged connection for a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 55 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 162.2 mm **Height:** 89.4 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	835 MHz	1.066 U	-30.344 dB	49.001 Ω
Body	835 MHz	1.089 U	-28.118 dB	53.117 Ω

## System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	835 MHz	9.773	6.174	14.713
Body	835 MHz	9.736	6.297	14.513



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 56 of 80

3

Division of APREL Laboratories

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 180-00558. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

#### References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

#### Conditions

Dipole 180-00558 was repaired prior to this calibration. The repair reliability depends upon correct usage of the dipole.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 57 of 80

# NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Dipole Calibration Results**

## **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

## **Electrical Verification**

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-30.344 dB	1.066 U	49.001Ω
Body	-28.118 dB	1.089 U	53.117 Ω 🗆

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	43.42	0.94
Body Tissue 835MHz	55.77	1.01

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


**SAR Evaluation Report** 58 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### S11 Parameter Return Loss

## Head Tissue: Frequency Range 0.817 to 0.848 GHz



## Body Tissue: Frequency Range 0.823 to 0.851 GHz




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 59 of 80

6

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### SWR



#### Body




This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 60 of 80


7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



## Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 80

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014.

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 80

9

#### NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Calibration File No: DC-1601 Project Number: BAC-dipole –cal-5779

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2
Frequency: 1900 MHz
Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory (China)

Calibrated: 9th October, 2014 Released on: 9th October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

ite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 63 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

#### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 64 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


**Length:** 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

#### System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.481	20.44	73.364
Body	1900 MHz	39.715	20.552	73.565



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 65 of 80

0

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

#### References

- SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure
- SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure
- IEEE 1528:2013 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques"
- IEC-62209-1:2006 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)"
- IEC-62209-2:2010 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"
   Part 2: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"
- D28-002 Procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole

#### Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was a recalibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### **Dipole Calibration uncertainty**

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 66 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Dipole Calibration Results**

## **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

#### **Electrical Validation**

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.084 U	-27.92 dB	52.247 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.128 U	-24.40 dB	52.618 Ω

#### **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, ε <sub>r</sub>	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	40.20	1.38
Body Tissue 1900MHz	52.63	1.46

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 67 of 80


)


Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### S11 Parameter Return Loss










This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 68 of 80

6

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 69 of 80


7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## Smith Chart Dipole Impedance



## Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

SAR Evaluation Report 70 of 80

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2014

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

9


## APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS

Liquid depth ≥ 15cm

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20




**Body-worn Back Setup Photo (15mm)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 80

## **Left Head Touch Setup Photo**

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20




**Left Head Tilt Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 80

## **Right Head Touch Setup Photo**

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



**Right Head Tilt Setup Photo** 



SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 80

## **APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT – Front View** 

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



**EUT – Back View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 80

**EUT –Left Side View** 

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



EUT – Right Side View



SAR Evaluation Report 76 of 80

**EUT – Top View** 

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



**EUT – Bottom View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 77 of 80

## **EUT - Unfold View**

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20



**EUT – Uncover View** 



SAR Evaluation Report 78 of 80

#### APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 79 of 80 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

SAR Evaluation Report 79 of 80

## PRODUCT SIMILARITY DECLARATION LETTE



DDM Brands LLC Address: 1616 NW, 84TH Ave. Miami, Florida, U.S.A 33126 Tel: +1 3057750325 Fax: +1 3057750325

## **Product Similarity Declaration**

Report No.: RSZ151223011-20

December 31, 2015

To Whom It May Concern,

We, <u>DDM Brands LLC</u>, hereby declare that we have a product named as <u>2G mobile phone</u> (Model number: <u>CM50B003</u>) was tested by BACL, meanwhile, for our marketing purpose, we would like to list a series models (<u>C50, CC50</u>, <u>CC50B003,CC50003,CM50003</u>) on reports and certificate, all the models are identical schematics, only named differently.

No other changes are made to them

We confirm that all information above is true, and we'll be responsible for all the consequences. Please contact me if you have any question.

Sincerely,

Signature:

Luis Sosa

CEO

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 80 of 80