

Courtenay Geraghty

These are our supplementary documents about your questions .

Subject: Request for additional information

In regards to your recent TCB application referenced above, we kindly request that you provide the following additional information.

1. Does the EUT support EV-DO? If so, the FCC is currently requiring that a single body-worn SAR run be performed in EV-DO, in the specific configuration that produced the highest SAR previously measured (this applies to both bands). Please do so and submit the additional data. Please see the following note from the FCC:

Body-worn SAR should be repeated in EV-DO (Rev. 0 only) using the CDMA 2000 body-worn channel configuration that resulted in the highest SAR among the various Radio Configurations in this frequency band (that is, just a single SAR test for EV-DO, as a sanity check). If this EV-DO SAR is greater than the highest body-worn SAR in CDMA 2000, perform body-worn SAR for the other 2 channels (among the required H, M, L channels).

Note: EV-DO operates independently of CDMA 2000 with different modulation, channel and protocol structures. It is not an integral part (seamless) of the CDMA 2000 structure, but overlays the 1x structure. EV-DO Rev A allows 307 kbps and higher order modulations; therefore, may need additional considerations. The above procedure applies to single band CDMA 2000 1x handsets with built-in EV-DO (Rev. 0) using the same transmit path hardware. Please contact us if the device in question operates in other configurations or EV-DO does not apply to body-worn conditions. The above should be considered interim procedures while it remains to be determined if CDMA 2000 or EV-DO should be considered the dominant body-worn operating condition (i.e. test SAR in EV-DO mode and check CDMA 2000 at highest SAR configuration etc.)

ANS: Support EVDO.

Please see the attached files.

File name:TEST RESULT(SPH-A960 EV-DO), Equipment setup & Comparison table, RAW DATA(A960 EVDO Body SAR)

2. It appears that the schematic diagrams for the Bluetooth transmitter were not

submitted. Please submit them.

ANS : Please see new fcc document.(p110)

3. The sample label and the label in the photo do not show the actual FCC ID. Please resubmit.

ANS : Please see new fcc document.(p6,8)

4. The body-worn warning language in the user's manual does not mention the required minimum 1.5 cm spacing. Please revise, and submit the new language for the manual.

ANS : Please see new fcc document.(p83/110)

5. The receive antenna is listed as being past its cal due date (p.6/47 of the RF Report). Please address.

ANS : I corrected the cal due date. Please see new RF Report

6. The 835 MHz Validation Test is dated 10/11/05, however, the actual SAR tests and tissue parameters are dated 10/12/05. Please address.

ANS : I corrected the test date. Please see new SAR Report

7. Please verify that the cdma MS Protocol Revision Number is 6. Please note that you should always indicate this Number in the applications.

ANS:I confirm that the cdma MS Protocol Revision Number is 6

8. TYPO- on p.29/31 of the SAR report, the tissue parameters should be 51.4 and 1.53.

ANS : I corrected the tissue parameters. Please see new SAR Report

Please note that this applies to any pending applications that you have submitted to PCTEST TCB for phones with EV-DO capability, so a separate letter for each such application must be submitted.

If you have any questions or comments, please do not hesitate to contact us. Thank you.