



SAR EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd.
129, Samsung-ro, Maetan dong,
Yeongtong-gu, Suwon-si
Gyeonggi-do, 16677, Korea

Date of Testing:

04/11/16 – 05/03/16

Test Site/Location:

PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA

Document Serial No.:

0Y1604250821-R1.A3L

FCC ID:
A3LSMT580
APPLICANT:
SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
DUT Type: Portable Tablet

Application Type: Certification

FCC Rule Part(s): CFR §2.1093

Model(s): SM-T580

Equipment Class	Band & Mode	Tx Frequency	SAR
			1 gm Body W/kg
DTS	2.4 GHz WLAN	2412 - 2472 MHz	0.94
NII	U-NII-1	5180 - 5240 MHz	N/A
NII	U-NII-2A	5260 - 5320 MHz	0.61
NII	U-NII-2C	5500 - 5720 MHz	1.09
NII	U-NII-3	5745 - 5825 MHz	1.02
DSS/DTS	Bluetooth	2402 - 2480 MHz	< 0.1

Note: This revised Test Report (S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L) supersedes and replaces the previously issued test report on the same subject device for the same type of testing as indicated. Please discard or destroy the previously issued test report(s) and dispose of it accordingly.

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 1.7 of this report; for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.



Randy Ortanez
President


The SAR Tick is an initiative of the Mobile Manufacturers Forum (MMF). While a product may be considered eligible, use of the SAR Tick logo requires an agreement with the MMF. Further details can be obtained by emailing: sartick@mmfai.info.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 1 of 24

T A B L E O F C O N T E N T S

1	DEVICE UNDER TEST	3
2	LTE INFORMATION	8
3	INTRODUCTION	9
4	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT	10
5	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS	11
6	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	12
7	FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	13
8	RF CONDUCTED POWERS	18
9	SYSTEM VERIFICATION	28
10	SAR DATA SUMMARY	30
11	FCC MULTI-TX AND ANTENNA SAR CONSIDERATIONS	35
12	SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	42
13	EQUIPMENT LIST	43
14	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	44
15	CONCLUSION	45
16	REFERENCES	46
 APPENDIX A: SAR TEST PLOTS		
APPENDIX B: SAR DIPOLE VERIFICATION PLOTS		
APPENDIX C: PROBE AND DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES		
APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS		
APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION		
APPENDIX F: DUT ANTENNA DIAGRAM & SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS		
APPENDIX G: SENSOR TRIGGERING DATA SUMMARY		

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 2 of 24

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

1.1 Device Overview

Band & Mode	Operating Modes	Tx Frequency
2.4 GHz WLAN	Data	2412 - 2472 MHz
U-NII-1	Data	5180 - 5240 MHz
U-NII-2A	Data	5260 - 5320 MHz
U-NII-2C	Data	5500 - 5720 MHz
U-NII-3	Data	5745 - 5825 MHz
Bluetooth	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz
ANT+	Data	2402 - 2480 MHz

1.2 Power Reduction for SAR

This device uses a power reduction mechanism for SAR compliance. The power reduction mechanism is activated when the device is used in close proximity to the user's body. FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 Section 6 was used as a guideline for selecting SAR test distances for this device. The reduced powers for the power reduction mechanisms were confirmed via conducted power measurements at the RF port (See Section 7).

1.3 Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications

This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.

1.3.1 Maximum Output Powers

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)		
		Ch. 1-11	Ch. 12	Ch. 13
IEEE 802.11b (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	18.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	18.0	14.0	7.0
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	18.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	18.0	14.0	7.0
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	16.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	16.0	14.0	7.0
Bluetooth	Maximum	11.5		
	Nominal	11.0		
Bluetooth LE	Maximum	2.5		
	Nominal	2.0		

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 3 of 24	

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)		
		20 MHz Bandwidth	40 MHz Bandwidth	80 MHz Bandwidth
IEEE 802.11a (5 GHz)	Maximum	15.5		
	Nominal	15.0		
IEEE 802.11n (5 GHz)	Maximum	15.5	12.5	
	Nominal	15.0	12.0	
IEEE 802.11ac (5 GHz)	Maximum	15.5	12.5	11.5
	Nominal	15.0	12.0	11.0

1.3.2 Reduced Output Powers – Proximity Sensor Active

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)		
		Ch. 1-11	Ch. 12	Ch. 13
IEEE 802.11b (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	14.0	14.0	7.0
IEEE 802.11g (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	14.0	14.0	7.0
IEEE 802.11n (2.4 GHz)	Maximum	14.5	14.5	7.5
	Nominal	14.0	14.0	7.0

Mode / Band		Modulated Average (dBm)		
		20 MHz Bandwidth	40 MHz Bandwidth	80 MHz Bandwidth
IEEE 802.11a (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.5		
	Nominal	11.0		
IEEE 802.11n (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.5	11.5	
	Nominal	11.0	11.0	
IEEE 802.11ac (5 GHz)	Maximum	11.5	11.5	11.5
	Nominal	11.0	11.0	11.0

1.4 DUT Antenna Locations

The overall diagonal dimension of the device is > 200 mm. A diagram showing the location of the device antennas can be found in Appendix F. Exact antenna dimensions and separation distances are shown in the Technical Descriptions in the FCC filing.

Table 1-1
Sides for SAR Testing

Mode	Back	Top	Bottom	Right	Left
2.4 GHz WLAN	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
5 GHz WLAN	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No
Bluetooth	Yes	Yes	No	No	No

Note: Per FCC KDB 616217 D04v01r01, particular DUT edges were not required to be evaluated for SAR based on the SAR exclusion threshold in KDB 447498 D01v06.

1.5 Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities

This device does not support any simultaneous transmission scenarios.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 4 of 24

1.6 Miscellaneous SAR Test Considerations

(A) WIFI/BT

Since U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands have the same maximum output power and the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is less than 1.2 W/kg, SAR is not required for U-NII-1 band according to FCC KDB 248227 D01v02.

This device supports channel 1-13 for 2.4 GHz WLAN. However, due to the reduced output power for channels 12 and 13, channels 1, 6, and 11 were considered for SAR testing per KDB 248227 D01v02r02.

This device supports IEEE 802.11ac with the following features:

- a) Up to 80 MHz Bandwidth only
- b) No aggregate channel configurations
- c) 1 Tx antenna output
- d) 256 QAM is supported
- e) TDWR and Band gap channels are supported

1.7 Guidance Applied

- FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 (SAR for laptop and tablets)
- FCC KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 (SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices)
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, D02v01r02 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)

1.8 Device Serial Numbers

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.

Power level was configured for testing via software only available to the manufacturer (end user cannot control power level) per KDB 616217.

	Max Power Serial Number	Reduced Power Serial Number
2.4 GHz WLAN	11957	06CZP
5 GHz WLAN	12047	12047
Bluetooth	11957	-

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT	
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Reviewed by: Quality Manager Page 5 of 24

2 INTRODUCTION

The FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [22]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [4] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

2.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 2-1).

**Equation 2-1
SAR Mathematical Equation**

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

- σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)
- ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m³)
- E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST [®] ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			Page 6 of 24

3 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT

3.1 Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:


1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed was measured and used as a reference value.
3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 3-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
 - a. SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 3-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
 - b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.

Figure 3-1
Sample SAR Area
Scan

point

was

Table 3-1
Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04*

Frequency	Maximum Area Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{area}, \Delta y_{area}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Resolution (mm) ($\Delta x_{zoom}, \Delta y_{zoom}$)	Maximum Zoom Scan Spatial Resolution (mm)			Minimum Zoom Scan Volume (mm) (x,y,z)
			Uniform Grid		Graded Grid	
			$\Delta z_{zoom}(n)$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(1)^*$	$\Delta z_{zoom}(n>1)^*$	
≤ 2 GHz	≤ 15	≤ 8	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
2-3 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 30
3-4 GHz	≤ 12	≤ 5	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 28
4-5 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 3	≤ 2.5	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 25
5-6 GHz	≤ 10	≤ 4	≤ 2	≤ 2	≤ 1.5* $\Delta z_{zoom}(n-1)$	≥ 22

*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 7 of 24	

4 TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

4.1 Device Holder

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity $\epsilon = 3$ and loss tangent $\delta = 0.02$.

4.2 SAR Testing for Tablet per KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02

Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02, the back surface and edges of the tablet should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 D01v06 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations. The closest distance from the antenna to an adjacent tablet edge is used to determine if SAR testing is required for the adjacent edges, with the adjacent edge positioned against the phantom and the edge containing the antenna positioned perpendicular to the phantom.

4.3 Proximity Sensor Considerations

This device uses a power reduction mechanism to reduce output powers in certain use conditions when the device is used close the user's body.

When the device's antenna is within a certain distance of the user, the sensor activates and reduces the maximum allowed output power. However, the sensor is not active when the device is moved beyond the sensor triggering distance and the maximum output power is no longer limited. Therefore, additional evaluation is needed in the vicinity of the triggering distance to ensure SAR is compliant when the device is allowed to operate at a non-reduced output power level. FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 Section 6 was used as a guideline for selecting SAR test distances for this device at these additional test positions. Sensor triggering distance summary data is included in Appendix G.

The sensor is designed to support sufficient detection range and sensitivity to cover regions of the sensors in all applicable directions since the sensor entirely covers the antennas.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			Page 8 of 24

5 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

5.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

5.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 6-5-1
SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
Peak Spatial Average SAR Head	1.6	8.0
Whole Body SAR	0.08	0.4
Peak Spatial Average SAR Hands, Feet, Ankle, Wrists, etc.	4.0	20

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 9 of 24

6 FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

6.1 Measured and Reported SAR

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, when SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. For simultaneous transmission, the measured aggregate SAR must be scaled according to the sum of the differences between the maximum tune-up tolerance and actual power used to test each transmitter. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as *reported* SAR. The highest *reported* SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

6.2 SAR Testing with 802.11 Transmitters

The normal network operating configurations of 802.11 transmitters are not suitable for SAR measurements. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable. See KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for more details.

6.2.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters.

A periodic duty factor is required for current generation SAR systems to measure SAR. When 802.11 frame gaps are accounted for in the transmission, a maximum transmission duty factor of 92 - 96% is typically achievable in most test mode configurations. A minimum transmission duty factor of 85% is required to avoid certain hardware and device implementation issues related to wide range SAR scaling. The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit.

6.2.2 U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A

For devices that operate in both U-NII-1 and U-NII-2A bands, when the same maximum output power is specified for both bands, SAR measurement using OFDM SAR test procedures is not required for U-NII-1 unless the highest reported SAR for U-NII-2A is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$. When different maximum output powers are specified for the bands, SAR measurement for the U-NII band with the lower maximum output power is not required unless the highest reported SAR for the U-NII band with the higher maximum output power, adjusted by the ratio of lower to higher specified maximum output power for the two bands, is $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$.

6.2.3 U-NII-2C and U-NII-3

The frequency range covered by U-NII-2C and U-NII-3 is 380 MHz (5.47 – 5.85 GHz), which requires a minimum of at least two SAR probe calibration frequency points to support SAR measurements. When Terminal Doppler Weather Radar (TDWR) restriction applies, the channels at 5.60 – 5.65 GHz in U-NII-2C band must be disabled with acceptable mechanisms and documented in the equipment certification. Unless band gap channels are permanently disabled, SAR must be considered for these channels. Each band is tested independently according to the normally required OFDM SAR measurement and probe calibration frequency points requirements.

6.2.4 2.4 GHz SAR Test Requirements

SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			Page 10 of 24

- 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the exposure configuration is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
- 2) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.

2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.

6.2.5 OFDM Transmission Mode and SAR Test Channel Selection

For the 2.4 GHz and 5 GHz bands, when the same maximum output power was specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate. When the maximum output power of a channel is the same for equivalent OFDM configurations; for example, 802.11a, 802.11n and 802.11ac or 802.11g and 802.11n with the same channel bandwidth, modulation and data rate etc., the lower order 802.11 mode i.e., 802.11a, then 802.11n and 802.11ac or 802.11g then 802.11n, is used for SAR measurement. When the maximum output power are the same for multiple test channels, either according to the default or additional power measurement requirements, SAR is measured using the channel closest to the middle of the frequency band or aggregated band. When there are multiple channels with the same maximum output power, SAR is measured using the higher number channel.

6.2.6 Initial Test Configuration Procedure

For OFDM, in both 2.4 and 5 GHz bands, an initial test configuration is determined for each frequency band and aggregated band, according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum output power specified for SAR measurements. When the same maximum output power is specified for multiple OFDM transmission mode configurations in a frequency band or aggregated band, SAR is measured using the configuration(s) with the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation, lowest data rate and lowest order IEEE 802.11 mode. The channel of the transmission mode with the highest average RF output conducted power will be the initial test configuration.

When the reported SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, no additional measurements on other test channels are required. Otherwise, SAR is evaluated using the subsequent highest average RF output channel until the reported SAR result is ≤ 1.2 W/kg or all channels are measured. When there are multiple untested channels having the same subsequent highest average RF output power, the channel with higher frequency from the lowest 802.11 mode is considered for SAR measurements (See Section 7.6.5).

6.2.7 Subsequent Test Configuration Procedures

For OFDM configurations in each frequency band and aggregated band, SAR is evaluated for initial test configuration using the fixed test position or the initial test position procedure. When the highest reported SAR (for the initial test configuration), adjusted by the ratio of the specified maximum output power of the subsequent test configuration to initial test configuration, is ≤ 1.2 W/kg, no additional SAR tests for the subsequent test configurations are required.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT		 Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 11 of 24

7 RF CONDUCTED POWERS

7.1 WLAN Conducted Powers

Table 7-1
2.4 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power

Freq [MHz]	Channel	2.4 GHz Conducted Power [dBm]	
		IEEE Transmission Mode	
		802.11b	802.11g
2412	1	18.26	18.16
2437	6	17.58	17.88
2462	11	17.97	18.39

Table 7-2
2.4 GHz WLAN Reduced Average RF Power – Proximity Sensor Active

Freq [MHz]	Channel	2.4 GHz Conducted Power [dBm]		
		IEEE Transmission Mode		
		802.11b	802.11g	802.11n
2412	1	13.73	14.46	14.16
2437	6	13.63	13.01	12.82
2462	11	13.70	14.19	13.94

Table 7-3
5 GHz WLAN Maximum Average RF Power

Freq [MHz]	Channel	5 GHz (20 MHz) Conducted Power [dBm]		
		IEEE Transmission Mode		
		802.11a	802.11n	802.11ac
5180	36	15.41	15.03	14.66
5200	40	14.88	15.46	14.79
5220	44	14.96	14.74	15.01
5240	48	14.96	15.42	14.50
5260	52	14.98	14.64	14.55
5280	56	15.28	14.79	14.54
5300	60	15.44	15.22	14.96
5320	64	14.56	14.52	14.67
5500	100	14.95	15.45	15.03
5600	120	15.01	14.62	14.54
5620	124	14.80	14.66	15.22
5720	144	15.32	14.15	15.07
5745	149	15.08	14.56	14.90
5785	157	14.55	15.32	14.98
5825	165	15.07	14.57	14.50

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			Page 12 of 24

Table 7-4
5 GHz WLAN Reduced Average RF Power – Proximity Sensor Active

Freq [MHz]	Channel	5 GHz (80 MHz) Conducted Power [dBm]
		IEEE Transmission Mode
		802.11ac
5210	42	10.55
5290	58	10.53
5530	106	10.59
5610	122	10.60
5690	138	10.56
5775	155	10.61

Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02:

- Power measurements were performed for the transmission mode configuration with the highest maximum output power specified for production units.
- For transmission modes with the same maximum output power specification, powers were measured for the largest channel bandwidth, lowest order modulation and lowest data rate.
- For transmission modes with identical maximum specified output power, channel bandwidth, modulation and data rates, power measurements were required for all identical configurations.
- For each transmission mode configuration, powers were measured for the highest and lowest channels; and at the mid-band channel(s) when there were at least 3 channels supported. For configurations with multiple mid-band channels, due to an even number of channels, both channels were measured.
- The bolded data rate and channel above were tested for SAR.




Figure 7-1
Power Measurement Setup for Bandwidths < 50 MHz




Figure 7-2
Power Measurement Setup for Bandwidths > 50 MHz

FCC ID: A3LSMT580		SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 13 of 24

7.2 Bluetooth Conducted Powers

Frequency [MHz]	Data Rate [Mbps]	Channel No.	Avg Conducted Power	
			[dBm]	[mW]
2402	1.0	0	11.43	13.890
2441	1.0	39	11.36	13.687
2480	1.0	78	9.55	9.005
2402	2.0	0	8.22	6.639
2441	2.0	39	8.14	6.522
2480	2.0	78	6.15	4.119
2402	3.0	0	8.23	6.655
2441	3.0	39	8.07	6.412
2480	3.0	78	6.22	4.187




Figure 7-3
Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 14 of 24

8 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

8.1 Tissue Verification

Table 8-1
Measured Tissue Properties

Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Tissue Temp During Calibration (°C)	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ϵ	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ϵ	% dev σ	% dev ϵ
4/11/2016	2450B	23.3	2400	1.899	51.042	1.902	52.767	-0.16%	-3.27%
			2450	1.964	50.888	1.950	52.700	0.72%	-3.44%
			2500	2.030	50.725	2.021	52.636	0.45%	-3.63%
5/3/2016	2450B	22.7	2400	1.965	52.059	1.902	52.767	3.31%	-1.34%
			2450	2.030	51.797	1.950	52.700	4.10%	-1.71%
			2500	2.097	51.627	2.021	52.636	3.76%	-1.92%
04/12/2016	5200B-5800B	21.8	5240	5.494	47.306	5.346	48.960	2.77%	-3.38%
			5260	5.509	47.280	5.369	48.933	2.61%	-3.38%
			5280	5.536	47.246	5.393	48.906	2.65%	-3.39%
			5300	5.564	47.189	5.416	48.879	2.73%	-3.46%
			5520	5.855	46.838	5.673	48.580	3.21%	-3.59%
			5540	5.878	46.790	5.696	48.553	3.20%	-3.63%
			5600	5.959	46.705	5.766	48.471	3.35%	-3.64%
			5620	5.993	46.697	5.790	48.444	3.51%	-3.61%
			5700	6.100	46.531	5.883	48.336	3.69%	-3.73%
			5745	6.167	46.454	5.936	48.275	3.89%	-3.77%
			5765	6.178	46.433	5.959	48.248	3.68%	-3.76%
			5785	6.217	46.381	5.982	48.220	3.93%	-3.81%
			5825	6.264	46.332	6.029	48.166	3.90%	-3.81%

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 15 of 24	

8.2 Test System Verification

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to $\pm 10\%$ of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in Appendix E.

Table 8-2
System Verification Results

SAR System #	Tissue Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Date:	System Verification								
				Amb. Temp (°C)	Liquid Temp (°C)	Input Power (W)	Dipole SN	Probe SN	Measured SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Target SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	1 W Normalized SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Deviation _{1g} (%)
K	2450	BODY	04/11/2016	24.0	23.4	0.100	719	3022	5.410	51.900	54.100	4.24%
H	2450	BODY	05/03/2016	24.3	22.7	0.100	719	3319	5.380	51.900	53.800	3.66%
D	5250	BODY	04/12/2016	21.7	21.2	0.050	1120	3914	3.630	75.600	72.600	-3.97%
D	5600	BODY	04/12/2016	21.7	21.3	0.050	1120	3914	3.980	80.800	79.600	-1.49%
D	5750	BODY	04/12/2016	21.6	21.2	0.050	1120	3914	3.480	76.500	69.600	-9.02%




Figure 8-1
System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 8-2
System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST [®] ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 16 of 24

9 SAR DATA SUMMARY

9.1 Standalone Body SAR Data

Table 9-1
WLAN Body SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS																	
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Bandwidth [MHz]	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle (%)	SAR (1g)	Scaling Factor (Power)	Scaling Factor (Duty Cycle)	Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.																
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	18.5	18.26	-0.08	7 mm	11957	1	back	98.5	0.383	1.057	1.015	0.411	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	18.5	18.26	-0.06	4 mm	11957	1	top	98.5	0.105	1.057	1.015	0.113	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	18.5	18.26	-0.05	0 mm	11957	1	right	98.5	0.281	1.057	1.015	0.301	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.5	13.73	-0.05	0 mm	06CZP	1	back	98.5	0.638	1.194	1.015	0.773	
2437	6	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.5	13.63	-0.05	0 mm	06CZP	1	back	98.5	0.661	1.222	1.015	0.820	
2462	11	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.5	13.70	-0.16	0 mm	06CZP	1	back	98.5	0.770	1.202	1.015	0.940	
2412	1	802.11b	DSSS	22	14.5	13.73	-0.06	0 mm	06CZP	1	top	98.5	0.159	1.194	1.015	0.193	
5300	60	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.44	0.03	7 mm	12047	6	back	94.1	0.268	1.014	1.063	0.289	
5300	60	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.44	0.11	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.438	1.014	1.063	0.472	
5300	60	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.44	0.13	0 mm	12047	6	right	94.1	0.075	1.014	1.063	0.081	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.53	0.01	0 mm	12047	29.3	back	85.2	0.397	1.250	1.174	0.582	
5290	58	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.53	-0.13	0 mm	12047	29.3	top	85.2	0.412	1.250	1.174	0.605	
5720	144	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.32	-0.03	7 mm	12047	6	back	94.1	0.423	1.042	1.063	0.469	
5500	100	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	14.95	-0.11	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.504	1.135	1.063	0.608	
5600	120	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.01	0.05	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.773	1.119	1.063	0.919	
5720	144	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.32	-0.02	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.981	1.042	1.063	1.086	
5720	144	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.32	0.06	0 mm	12047	6	right	94.1	0.246	1.042	1.063	0.272	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.59	0.18	0 mm	12047	29.3	back	85.2	0.396	1.233	1.174	0.573	
5610	122	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.60	0.13	0 mm	12047	29.3	back	85.2	0.632	1.230	1.174	0.912	
5530	106	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.59	-0.16	0 mm	12047	29.3	top	85.2	0.437	1.233	1.174	0.633	
5610	122	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.60	-0.12	0 mm	12047	29.3	top	85.2	0.632	1.230	1.174	0.912	
5745	149	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.08	0.13	7 mm	12047	6	back	94.1	0.422	1.102	1.063	0.494	
5745	149	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.08	-0.01	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.866	1.102	1.063	1.014	
5825	165	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.07	-0.06	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.871	1.104	1.063	1.023	
5745	149	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.08	0.17	0 mm	12047	6	right	94.1	0.241	1.102	1.063	0.283	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.61	0.13	0 mm	12047	29.3	back	85.2	0.399	1.227	1.174	0.575	
5775	155	802.11ac	OFDM	80	11.5	10.61	0.18	0 mm	12047	29.3	top	85.2	0.392	1.227	1.174	0.565	
5720	144	802.11a	OFDM	20	15.5	15.32	-0.07	4 mm	12047	6	top	94.1	0.842	1.042	1.063	0.932	

ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT

Spatial Peak

Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population

Body

1.6 W/kg (mW/g)

averaged over 1 gram

Blue entry represents variability measurement.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		Page 17 of 24

Table 9-2
Bluetooth Body SAR

MEASUREMENT RESULTS															
FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Maximum Allowed Power [dBm]	Conducted Power [dBm]	Power Drift [dB]	Spacing	Device Serial Number	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Duty Cycle	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Plot #
MHz	Ch.														
2402	0	Bluetooth	FHSS	11.5	11.43	0.11	0 mm	11957	1	back	1:1	0.081	1.016	0.082	A3
2402	0	Bluetooth	FHSS	11.5	11.43	0.15	0 mm	11957	1	top	1:1	0.025	1.016	0.025	
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram							

9.2 SAR Test Notes

General Notes:

1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements.
3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
6. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests were performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than or equal to 0.8 W/kg. Repeated SAR measurements are highlighted in the tables above for clarity. Please see Section 10 for variability analysis.
7. FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02 Section 4.3, SAR tests are required for the back surface and edges of the tablet with the tablet touching the phantom. The SAR Exclusion Threshold in FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 was applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.

WLAN Notes:

1. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 2.4 GHz WIFI operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for DSSS was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for OFDM modes (2.4 GHz 802.11g/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR. See Section 6.2.4 for more information.
2. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01v02r02 for 5 GHz WIFI operations, the initial test configuration was selected according to the transmission mode with the highest maximum allowed powers. Other transmission modes were not investigated since the highest reported SAR for initial test configuration adjusted by the ratio of maximum output powers is less than 1.2 W/kg. See Section 6.2.5 for more information.
3. When the maximum reported 1g averaged SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, SAR testing on additional channels was not required. Otherwise, SAR for the next highest output power channel was required until the reported SAR result was ≤ 1.20 W/kg or all test channels were measured.
4. The device was configured to transmit continuously at the required data rate, channel bandwidth and signal modulation, using the highest transmission duty factor supported by the test mode tools. The reported SAR was scaled to the 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance. Procedures used to measure the duty factor are identical to that in the associated EMC test reports.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT					Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet					Page 18 of 24

10 SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY

10.1 Measurement Variability

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which was determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media were required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures were applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. These additional measurements were repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device was returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it was re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR Measurement Variability was assessed using the following procedures for each frequency band:

- 1) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, the measurement was repeated once.
- 2) A second repeated measurement was performed only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements was > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement was ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 3) A third repeated measurement was performed only if the original, first or second repeated measurement was ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .
- 4) Repeated measurements are not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg

Table 10-1
Body SAR Measurement Variability Results

BODY VARIABILITY RESULTS														
Band	FREQUENCY		Mode	Service	Data Rate (Mbps)	Side	Spacing	Measured SAR (1g) (W/kg)	1st Repeated SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Ratio	2nd Repeated SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Ratio	3rd Repeated SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Ratio
	MHz	Ch.												
5750	5720.00	144	802.11a, 20 MHz Bandwidth	OFDM	6	top	4 mm	0.981	0.842	1.17	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Body 1.6 W/kg (mW/g) averaged over 1 gram						

10.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The measured SAR was < 1.5 W/kg for all frequency bands. Therefore, per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, the extended measurement uncertainty analysis per IEEE 1528-2013 was not required.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT				Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			Page 19 of 24	

11 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	3/2/2016	Annual	3/2/2017	JP38020182
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Network Analyzer	3/3/2016	Annual	3/3/2017	US39170122
Agilent	8753ES	S-Parameter Network Analyzer	11/4/2015	Annual	11/4/2016	US39170118
Agilent	E4432B	ESG-D Series Signal Generator	3/5/2016	Annual	3/5/2017	USA0053896
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/2/2016	Annual	3/2/2017	MY45470194
Agilent	N4010A	Wireless Connectivity Test Set	N/A	N/A	N/A	GB46170464
Agilent	N5182A	MXG Vector Signal Generator	3/5/2016	Annual	3/5/2017	MY47420800
Agilent	N9020A	MXA Signal Analyzer	11/5/2015	Annual	11/5/2016	US46470561
Amplifier Research	1551G6	Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	433971
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	5/29/2015	Annual	5/29/2016	1231538
Anritsu	MA24106A	USB Power Sensor	5/29/2015	Annual	5/29/2016	1231535
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	12/7/2015	Annual	12/7/2016	1207364
Anritsu	MA2411B	Pulse Power Sensor	8/3/2015	Annual	8/3/2016	1126066
Anritsu	ML2495A	Power Meter	10/16/2015	Biennial	10/16/2017	1039008
COMTech	AR85729-5	Solid State Amplifier	CBT	N/A	CBT	M155A00-009
Control Company	4040	Digital Thermometer	3/15/2015	Biennial	3/15/2017	150194929
Control Company	4352	Ultra Long Stem Thermometer	3/8/2016	Biennial	3/8/2018	160261701
Control Company	4353	Long Stem Thermometer	1/22/2015	Biennial	1/22/2017	150053081
Keysight	772D	Dual Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	MY52180215
MCL	BW-N6W5+	6dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1139
MiniCircuits	SLP-2400+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	R8979500903
MiniCircuits	VLF-6000+	Low Pass Filter	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5	Power Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	1226
Mini-Circuits	BW-N20W5+	DC to 18 GHz Precision Fixed 20 dB Attenuator	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Mitutoyo	CD-6"CSX	Digital Caliper	3/2/2016	Biennial	3/2/2018	13264162
Mitutoyo	CD-6"CSX	Digital Caliper	3/2/2016	Biennial	3/2/2018	13264165
Narda	4014C-6	4 - 8 GHz SMA 6 dB Directional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Narda	4772-3	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	9406
Narda	BW-S3W2	Attenuator (3dB)	CBT	N/A	CBT	120
Pasternack	NC-100	Torque Wrench	11/6/2015	Biennial	11/6/2017	N/A
Pasternack	PE2208-6	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Pasternack	PE2209-10	Bidirectional Coupler	CBT	N/A	CBT	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	3/29/2016	Annual	3/29/2017	836371/0079
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench	11/6/2015	Biennial	11/6/2017	N/A
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench	11/6/2015	Biennial	11/6/2017	22313
Seekonk	NC-100	Torque Wrench 5/16", 8" lbs	3/2/2016	Biennial	3/2/2018	N/A
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/20/2015	Annual	8/20/2016	719
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	2/25/2016	Annual	2/25/2017	1120
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	2/18/2016	Annual	2/18/2017	1272
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	9/16/2015	Annual	9/16/2016	1323
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/14/2016	Annual	3/14/2017	1368
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	5/12/2015	Annual	5/12/2016	1070
SPEAG	DAK-3.5	Dielectric Assessment Kit	10/20/2015	Annual	10/20/2016	1091
SPEAG	DAKS_VNA R140	VNA for Portable DAK	8/16/2015	Annual	8/16/2016	80513
SPEAG	DAKS-3.5	Portable Dielectric Assessment Kit	7/14/2015	Annual	7/14/2016	1039
SPEAG	DAKS-3.5	Portable Dielectric Assessment Kit	8/19/2015	Annual	8/19/2016	1041
SPEAG	ES3DV2	SAR Probe	8/26/2015	Annual	8/26/2016	3022
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	3/18/2016	Annual	3/18/2017	3319
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	2/22/2016	Annual	2/22/2017	3914
SPEAG	Planar R140	Reflectometer	8/2/2015	Annual	8/2/2016	50513

Note:

1. CBT (Calibrated Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
2. Each equipment item was used solely within its respective calibration period.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N:	Test Dates:	DUT Type:	Page 20 of 24	
0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	04/11/16 – 05/03/16	Portable Tablet		

12 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	c	d	e = f(d,k)	f	g	h = c x f/e	i = c x g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i 1gm	c _i 10 gms	1gm u _i (± %)	10gms u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System								
Probe Calibration	6.55	N	1	1.0	1.0	6.6	6.6	∞
Axial Isotropy	0.25	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	1.3	N	1	0.7	0.7	0.9	0.9	∞
Boundary Effect	2.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.2	1.2	∞
Linearity	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
System Detection Limits	0.25	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.1	0.1	∞
Readout Electronics	0.3	N	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	∞
Response Time	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Noise	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
RF Ambient Conditions - Reflections	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	6.7	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	3.9	3.9	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related								
Test Sample Positioning	2.7	N	1	1.0	1.0	2.7	2.7	35
Device Holder Uncertainty	1.67	N	1	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	5
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
SAR Scaling	0.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.0	0.0	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters								
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	7.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	4.4	4.4	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	4.2	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.3	3.0	10
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	4.1	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.0	1.1	10
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	3.4	R	1.73	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty	0.6	R	1.73	0.23	0.26	0.1	0.1	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)						RSS	11.5	11.3
Expanded Uncertainty						k=2	23.0	22.6
(95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)								

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 21 of 24

13 CONCLUSION

13.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LSMT580



SAR EVALUATION REPORT



Reviewed by:
Quality Manager

Document S/N:

OY1604250821-R1.A3L

Test Dates:

04/11/16 – 05/03/16

DUT Type:

Portable Tablet

Page 22 of 24

14 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	SAR EVALUATION REPORT			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 23 of 24	

- [18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [20] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.
- [22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2015
- [23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07
- [24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01
- [25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04
- [26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04
- [27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02
- [28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02
- [29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Setembro de 2009.
- [30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT		 Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Document S/N: 0Y1604250821-R1.A3L	Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	Page 24 of 24

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT580; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 06CZP

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b (0); Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body, Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2462$ MHz; $\sigma = 2.046$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.756$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 05-03-2016; Ambient Temp: 24.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.2, 4.2, 4.2); Calibrated: 3/18/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/14/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP (Left); Type: SAM; Serial: 1715

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, 22 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 11, 1 Mbps, Back Side


Area Scan (14x16x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 20.09 V/m; Power Drift = -0.16 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.48 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.770 W/kg



0 dB = 1.02 W/kg = 0.09 dBW/kg

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT580; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 12047

Communication System: UID 0, 802.11a 5.2-5.8 GHz Band; Frequency: 5720 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5720$ MHz; $\sigma = 6.13$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.497$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.4 cm

Test Date: 04-12-2016; Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.86, 3.86, 3.86); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: IEEE 802.11a, U-NII-2C, 20 MHz Bandwidth, Body SAR, Ch 144, 6 Mbps, Top Edge


Area Scan (11x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Reference Value = 12.83 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.04 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.981 W/kg



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSMT580; Type: Portable Tablet; Serial: 11957

Communication System: UID 0, Bluetooth; Frequency: 2402 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 2402$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.902$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 51.036$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 0.0 cm

Test Date: 04-11-2016; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 23.4°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(4.08, 4.08, 4.08); Calibrated: 8/26/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1323; Calibrated: 9/16/2015

Phantom: SAM Left; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP: 1375

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

Mode: Bluetooth, Body SAR, Ch 0, 1 Mbps, Back Side


Area Scan (16x12x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 6.360 V/m; Power Drift = 0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.243 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.081 W/kg



APPENDIX B: SYSTEM VERIFICATION

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.964$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 50.888$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-11-2016; Ambient Temp: 24.0°C; Tissue Temp: 23.4°C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(4.08, 4.08, 4.08); Calibrated: 8/26/2015;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1323; Calibrated: 9/16/2015

Phantom: SAM Left; Type: QD000P40CC; Serial: TP: 1375

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)


Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=12mm, dy=12mm

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.4 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.41 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = 4.24%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 2450 Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 2450 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 2.03 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 51.797$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 05-03-2016; Ambient Temp: 24.3°C; Tissue Temp: 22.7°C

Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3319; ConvF(4.2, 4.2, 4.2); Calibrated: 3/18/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1368; Calibrated: 3/14/2016

Phantom: SAM with CRP (Left); Type: SAM; Serial: 1715

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

2450 MHz System Verification at 20.0 dBm (100 mW)


Area Scan (8x9x1): Measurement grid: $dx=12\text{mm}$, $dy=12\text{mm}$

Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=5\text{mm}$, $dy=5\text{mm}$, $dz=5\text{mm}$

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 11.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.38 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = 3.66%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1120

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5250$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.502$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 47.293$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-12-2016; Ambient Temp: 21.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(4.32, 4.32, 4.32); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5250 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 14.9 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.63 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -3.97%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1120

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5600 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used:

$f = 5600$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.959$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.705$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-12-2016; Ambient Temp: 21.7°C; Tissue Temp: 21.3°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.63, 3.63, 3.63); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5600 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 16.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.98 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -1.49%



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: Dipole 5 GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: 1120

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 5750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium: 5 GHz Body Medium parameters used (interpolated):

$f = 5750$ MHz; $\sigma = 6.17$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 46.449$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 04-12-2016; Ambient Temp: 21.6°C; Tissue Temp: 21.2°C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3914; ConvF(3.86, 3.86, 3.86); Calibrated: 2/22/2016;

Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

Electronics: DAE4 Sn1272; Calibrated: 2/18/2016

Phantom: SAM v5.0 front; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP-1646

Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.8 (8); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.10 (7331)

5750 MHz System Verification at 17.0 dBm (50 mW)


Area Scan (7x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm

Zoom Scan (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm; Graded Ratio: 1.4

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 15.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 3.48 W/kg

Deviation(1 g) = -9.02%



APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
S Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **ES3-3022_Aug15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **ES3DV2 - SN:3022**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6**
Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date: **August 26, 2015**

BN ✓
9/3/2015

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.


Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3013_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 660	14-Jan-15 (No. DAE4-660_Jan15)	Jan-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Michael Weber	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: August 27, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM _{x,y,z}	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM _{x,y,z}
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORM_{x,y,z}$: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). $NORM_{x,y,z}$ are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of $NORM_{x,y,z}$ does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORM_{x,y,z} * frequency_response$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- $DCPx,y,z$: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR : PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z$: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to $NORM_{x,y,z} * ConvF$ whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *NORMx* (no uncertainty required).

Probe ES3DV2

SN:3022

Manufactured: April 15, 2003
Calibrated: August 26, 2015

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 - SN:3022

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	1.00	1.03	0.95	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) ^B	99.9	99.7	100.9	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	X	A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	179.6	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		183.9	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		179.0	
10010-CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	3.60	65.9	14.2	10.00	43.5	$\pm 2.2\%$
		Y	2.84	63.5	13.0		43.3	
		Z	2.76	63.7	12.7		41.7	
10011-CAB	UMTS-FDD (WCDMA)	X	3.32	67.0	18.7	2.91	144.4	$\pm 0.7\%$
		Y	3.24	66.3	18.0		147.3	
		Z	3.19	66.3	18.0		143.5	
10012-CAB	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps)	X	3.15	69.9	19.5	1.87	146.1	$\pm 0.7\%$
		Y	2.88	67.7	18.0		147.9	
		Z	2.78	67.4	17.8		145.6	
10013-CAB	IEEE 802.11g WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS-OFDM, 6 Mbps)	X	11.40	71.3	23.8	9.46	144.9	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	11.15	70.5	23.1		146.9	
		Z	10.95	70.5	23.3		140.3	
10021-DAB	GSM-FDD (TDMA, GMSK)	X	20.66	99.8	29.2	9.39	132.6	$\pm 2.2\%$
		Y	14.36	93.3	26.6		145.3	
		Z	17.17	97.2	27.8		145.4	
10023-DAB	GPRS-FDD (TDMA, GMSK, TN 0)	X	17.22	96.5	28.2	9.57	125.4	$\pm 1.9\%$
		Y	11.06	88.6	25.0		136.0	
		Z	8.71	84.6	23.4		130.7	
10024-DAB	GPRS-FDD (TDMA, GMSK, TN 0-1)	X	31.05	99.5	25.9	6.56	135.2	$\pm 2.2\%$
		Y	25.28	97.4	25.0		132.5	
		Z	21.58	95.7	24.5		144.4	
10027-DAB	GPRS-FDD (TDMA, GMSK, TN 0-1-2)	X	42.88	99.9	24.0	4.80	129.5	$\pm 1.9\%$
		Y	40.80	99.6	23.7		124.9	
		Z	38.42	99.7	23.7		137.8	
10028-DAB	GPRS-FDD (TDMA, GMSK, TN 0-1-2-3)	X	44.48	100.0	23.2	3.55	138.2	$\pm 1.9\%$
		Y	44.03	99.7	22.8		133.0	
		Z	41.36	99.8	22.8		147.5	
10032-CAA	IEEE 802.15.1 Bluetooth (GFSK, DH5)	X	16.08	99.5	23.3	1.16	127.5	$\pm 1.4\%$
		Y	79.69	99.6	19.3		146.2	
		Z	45.81	99.9	20.4		138.2	
10100-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.43	67.4	19.8	5.67	138.7	$\pm 1.4\%$
		Y	6.27	66.8	19.2		134.9	
		Z	6.16	66.6	19.2		127.6	

10103-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	10.13	75.0	25.9	9.29	129.4	±3.3 %
		Y	9.46	73.0	24.5		131.8	
		Z	9.52	74.0	25.4		137.0	
10108-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.27	66.9	19.7	5.80	137.0	±1.7 %
		Y	6.24	66.7	19.3		140.0	
		Z	6.06	66.3	19.2		127.1	
10117-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 13.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.16	68.7	21.3	8.07	127.7	±2.2 %
		Y	9.99	68.2	20.9		131.5	
		Z	10.22	69.1	21.4		141.6	
10151-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.34	73.4	25.2	9.28	125.0	±3.3 %
		Y	8.92	72.2	24.3		127.2	
		Z	8.95	73.1	25.1		131.9	
10154-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	5.95	66.4	19.4	5.75	134.4	±1.4 %
		Y	5.92	66.2	19.1		137.0	
		Z	5.98	66.7	19.5		146.8	
10160-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.39	66.9	19.6	5.82	139.9	±1.7 %
		Y	6.35	66.7	19.3		141.9	
		Z	6.15	66.2	19.2		128.4	
10169-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.96	66.6	19.8	5.73	137.3	±1.4 %
		Y	4.85	66.1	19.3		139.8	
		Z	4.85	66.6	19.7		146.7	
10172-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.75	78.7	28.3	9.21	138.9	±3.0 %
		Y	7.69	75.1	26.1		140.1	
		Z	7.80	76.6	27.2		144.0	
10175-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.88	66.2	19.6	5.72	132.0	±1.4 %
		Y	4.77	65.8	19.1		132.6	
		Z	4.83	66.5	19.6		146.0	
10181-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.91	66.3	19.7	5.72	131.7	±1.4 %
		Y	4.82	66.0	19.2		138.4	
		Z	4.86	66.7	19.7		145.7	
10196-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 6.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.04	69.1	21.7	8.10	140.9	±2.2 %
		Y	9.62	67.9	20.8		125.2	
		Z	9.74	68.6	21.3		133.3	
10225-CAB	UMTS-FDD (HSPA+)	X	7.01	67.1	19.6	5.97	143.7	±1.4 %
		Y	6.78	66.2	19.0		129.3	
		Z	6.80	66.7	19.3		136.5	
10237-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.55	78.0	27.9	9.21	134.6	±3.0 %
		Y	7.79	75.6	26.3		141.6	
		Z	7.89	76.9	27.4		145.2	
10252-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.30	74.8	26.1	9.24	134.8	±3.3 %
		Y	8.65	72.5	24.5		136.4	
		Z	8.33	72.3	24.8		126.6	
10267-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	10.20	76.2	26.8	9.30	144.8	±3.3 %
		Y	9.41	73.7	25.1		145.9	
		Z	9.18	73.9	25.6		138.6	

10275-CAB	UMTS-FDD (HSUPA, Subtest 5, 3GPP Rel8.4)	X	4.45	66.7	18.9	3.96	147.0	±0.9 %
		Y	4.21	65.5	17.9		126.5	
		Z	4.36	66.5	18.5		148.0	
10291-AAB	CDMA2000, RC3, SO55, Full Rate	X	3.57	66.3	18.5	3.46	134.3	±0.7 %
		Y	3.48	65.6	17.8		136.8	
		Z	3.51	66.2	18.3		136.4	
10292-AAB	CDMA2000, RC3, SO32, Full Rate	X	3.53	66.4	18.6	3.39	135.8	±0.7 %
		Y	3.45	65.8	17.9		140.4	
		Z	3.50	66.5	18.5		137.0	
10297-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.18	66.5	19.5	5.81	129.4	±1.4 %
		Y	6.15	66.3	19.1		133.6	
		Z	6.13	66.5	19.3		131.2	
10311-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.77	67.2	19.9	6.06	134.8	±1.7 %
		Y	6.81	67.3	19.7		144.8	
		Z	6.68	67.1	19.7		136.7	
10400-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (20MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.30	69.4	22.0	8.37	142.0	±2.5 %
		Y	9.90	68.2	21.1		126.8	
		Z	10.15	69.3	21.9		142.6	
10403-AAB	CDMA2000 (1xEV-DO, Rev. 0)	X	4.72	68.1	18.9	3.76	147.8	±0.7 %
		Y	4.56	67.5	18.2		133.6	
		Z	4.61	68.2	18.7		147.4	
10404-AAB	CDMA2000 (1xEV-DO, Rev. A)	X	4.57	67.8	18.8	3.77	144.3	±0.7 %
		Y	4.43	67.3	18.1		131.3	
		Z	4.57	68.3	18.8		145.0	
10415-AAA	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps, 99pc duty cycle)	X	2.64	67.9	18.7	1.54	142.1	±0.5 %
		Y	2.36	65.4	16.8		130.3	
		Z	2.50	66.7	17.7		145.0	
10416-AAA	IEEE 802.11g WiFi 2.4 GHz (ERP-OFDM, 6 Mbps, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.04	69.0	21.7	8.23	138.8	±2.2 %
		Y	9.71	68.0	20.9		125.6	
		Z	9.94	69.0	21.6		140.4	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 7 and 8).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^C Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 - SN:3022

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.33	6.33	6.33	0.46	1.43	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.11	6.11	6.11	0.24	2.08	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.08	5.08	5.08	0.45	1.47	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	4.93	4.93	4.93	0.59	1.25	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	4.63	4.63	4.63	0.55	1.39	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.30	4.30	4.30	0.51	1.47	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.12	4.12	4.12	0.57	1.46	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 - SN:3022

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	6.16	6.16	6.16	0.50	1.34	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.13	6.13	6.13	0.25	2.16	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.79	4.79	4.79	0.61	1.33	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.56	4.56	4.56	0.31	2.02	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	4.32	4.32	4.32	0.79	1.19	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.08	4.08	4.08	0.80	1.12	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	3.96	3.96	3.96	0.80	1.10	± 12.0 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifl110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\%$ (k=2)

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

$f=600$ MHz, TEM





$f=1800$ MHz, R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (TEM cell , f_{eval}= 1900 MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ (k=2)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (ϕ, θ), $f = 900$ MHz



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 - SN:3022

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	98.5
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **ES3-3319_Mar16**

B/N
03/2016

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	ES3DV3 - SN:3319
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes
Calibration date:	March 18, 2016
This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.	
All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.	
Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)	

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Leif Klysnar	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

Issued: March 21, 2016



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM x,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization ϕ	ϕ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORMx,y,z$: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). $NORMx,y,z$ are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of $NORMx,y,z$ does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- $DCPx,y,z$: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR : PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z; A, B, C, D$ are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to $NORMx,y,z * ConvF$ whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *NORMx* (no uncertainty required).

Probe ES3DV3

SN:3319

Manufactured: January 10, 2012
Calibrated: March 18, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	1.12	1.08	1.16	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) ^B	104.1	104.5	103.7	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	203.1	$\pm 3.5\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		203.8	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		200.4	
10010-CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	2.29	60.1	11.2	10.00	42.0	$\pm 1.2\%$
		Y	1.95	58.7	10.4		42.0	
		Z	3.15	62.5	12.1		42.9	
10012-CAB	IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps)	X	3.45	71.5	19.9	1.87	122.0	$\pm 0.5\%$
		Y	2.88	68.4	18.6		122.8	
		Z	3.35	70.8	19.5		120.5	
10100-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.39	67.3	19.5	5.67	132.3	$\pm 1.2\%$
		Y	6.54	68.2	20.1		134.5	
		Z	6.40	67.4	19.6		130.2	
10103-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	10.41	75.3	25.6	9.29	124.2	$\pm 2.2\%$
		Y	10.45	76.3	26.6		122.6	
		Z	10.82	75.9	25.8		124.8	
10108-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.30	67.1	19.5	5.80	130.7	$\pm 1.2\%$
		Y	6.35	67.5	19.9		131.5	
		Z	6.33	67.1	19.6		128.5	
10151-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.70	74.1	25.2	9.28	118.8	$\pm 2.2\%$
		Y	9.65	74.9	26.0		117.1	
		Z	10.15	75.0	25.5		119.2	
10154-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.00	66.6	19.3	5.75	127.4	$\pm 1.2\%$
		Y	6.01	66.9	19.6		128.9	
		Z	6.02	66.6	19.3		125.6	
10160-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.45	67.2	19.6	5.82	132.2	$\pm 1.2\%$
		Y	6.47	67.5	19.9		133.5	
		Z	6.45	67.1	19.5		130.0	
10169-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.76	65.7	19.0	5.73	110.8	$\pm 0.9\%$
		Y	4.80	66.3	19.5		112.0	
		Z	4.84	65.9	19.1		109.2	
10172-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.98	78.7	27.7	9.21	132.0	$\pm 2.5\%$
		Y	9.71	82.4	30.0		132.2	
		Z	9.79	80.4	28.4		133.4	
10175-CAC	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.76	65.6	19.0	5.72	109.8	$\pm 0.9\%$
		Y	4.76	66.1	19.4		111.4	
		Z	4.83	65.8	19.1		108.9	

10181-CAB	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	4.77	65.7	19.1	5.72	109.2	$\pm 0.9 \%$
		Y	4.78	66.2	19.4		111.9	
		Z	5.24	67.7	20.2		149.0	
10237-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 1 RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.93	78.5	27.6	9.21	131.4	$\pm 2.5 \%$
		Y	9.48	81.7	29.7		131.7	
		Z	9.69	80.3	28.3		131.6	
10252-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	8.94	73.0	24.7	9.24	111.2	$\pm 2.2 \%$
		Y	9.05	74.3	25.9		111.8	
		Z	9.29	73.6	24.9		111.3	
10267-CAB	LTE-TDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 10 MHz, QPSK)	X	9.62	73.9	25.1	9.30	117.4	$\pm 2.2 \%$
		Y	9.73	75.1	26.1		118.2	
		Z	10.08	74.8	25.5		118.2	
10297-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 50% RB, 20 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.31	67.1	19.6	5.81	128.6	$\pm 1.2 \%$
		Y	6.39	67.6	20.0		132.2	
		Z	6.33	67.1	19.6		127.2	
10311-AAA	LTE-FDD (SC-FDMA, 100% RB, 15 MHz, QPSK)	X	6.87	67.6	19.9	6.06	132.8	$\pm 1.4 \%$
		Y	6.96	68.2	20.3		137.0	
		Z	6.88	67.6	19.9		131.3	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 6 and 7).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^C Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	6.44	6.44	6.44	0.49	1.80	± 12.0 %
835	41.5	0.90	6.16	6.16	6.16	0.46	1.80	± 12.0 %
1750	40.1	1.37	5.20	5.20	5.20	0.51	1.45	± 12.0 %
1900	40.0	1.40	5.03	5.03	5.03	0.58	1.40	± 12.0 %
2300	39.5	1.67	4.69	4.69	4.69	0.80	1.21	± 12.0 %
2450	39.2	1.80	4.47	4.47	4.47	0.75	1.32	± 12.0 %
2600	39.0	1.96	4.33	4.33	4.33	0.80	1.31	± 12.0 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	6.06	6.06	6.06	0.47	1.45	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	6.04	6.04	6.04	0.63	1.27	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	4.91	4.91	4.91	0.46	1.66	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	4.70	4.70	4.70	0.80	1.24	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	4.36	4.36	4.36	0.74	1.33	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	4.20	4.20	4.20	0.80	1.25	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	3.99	3.99	3.99	0.80	1.20	± 12.0 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\% (k=2)$

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

$f=600$ MHz, TEM





$f=1800$ MHz, R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ ($k=2$)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (TEM cell , $f_{\text{eval}} = 1900$ MHz)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error (ϕ, θ), $f = 900$ MHz



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV3 - SN:3319

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	60
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **EX3-3914_Feb16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	EX3DV4 - SN:3914	<i>BN 03/01/2016</i>
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-01.v9, QA CAL-14.v4, QA CAL-23.v5, QA CAL-25.v6 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes	
Calibration date:	February 22, 2016	

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02128)	Mar-16
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02129)	Mar-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5277 (20x)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02132)	Mar-16
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02133)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	31-Dec-15 (No. ES3-3013_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 660	23-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-660_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Apr-13)	In house check: Apr-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name Jeotn Kastrati	Function Laboratory Technician	Signature
Approved by:	Name Kalja Pokovic	Function Technical Manager	<i>K. Pokovic</i>

Issued: February 22, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM x,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C, D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization ϑ	ϑ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis
Connector Angle	information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- $NORMx,y,z$: Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ ($f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell; $f > 1800$ MHz: R22 waveguide). $NORMx,y,z$ are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of $NORMx,y,z$ does not affect the E^2 -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below *ConvF*).
- $NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response$ (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of *ConvF*.
- $DCPx,y,z$: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR*: PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- $Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z; Dx,y,z; VRx,y,z$: A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters*: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to $NORMx,y,z * ConvF$ whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for *ConvF*. A frequency dependent *ConvF* is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy)*: in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset*: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle*: The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the *NORMx* (no uncertainty required).

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3914

Manufactured: December 18, 2012
Calibrated: February 22, 2016

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems
(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm ($\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$) ^A	0.48	0.42	0.46	$\pm 10.1\%$
DCP (mV) ^B	100.1	102.6	97.6	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\sqrt{\mu\text{V}}$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	137.4	$\pm 2.7\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		139.7	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		133.7	
10010-CAA	SAR Validation (Square, 100ms, 10ms)	X	4.02	69.7	14.2	10.00	41.0	$\pm 0.9\%$
		Y	2.42	64.8	12.4		41.8	
		Z	2.11	63.9	12.8		44.9	
10062-CAB	IEEE 802.11a/h WiFi 5 GHz (OFDM, 6 Mbps)	X	10.26	68.5	21.3	8.68	127.9	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	10.16	68.6	21.4		127.8	
		Z	10.42	68.8	21.4		144.6	
10117-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 13.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.15	68.2	20.7	8.07	129.4	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	10.18	68.5	20.9		131.7	
		Z	10.42	68.8	20.9		148.3	
10196-CAB	IEEE 802.11n (HT Mixed, 6.5 Mbps, BPSK)	X	10.13	68.8	21.1	8.10	146.4	$\pm 2.7\%$
		Y	9.80	68.3	20.9		126.3	
		Z	9.98	68.3	20.8		139.8	
10400-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (20MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.33	68.8	21.3	8.37	145.0	$\pm 2.7\%$
		Y	10.13	68.7	21.3		132.0	
		Z	10.21	68.5	21.0		140.2	
10401-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (40MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.67	68.4	21.1	8.60	125.8	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	10.92	69.3	21.6		140.7	
		Z	10.94	69.0	21.3		148.7	
10402-AAC	IEEE 802.11ac WiFi (80MHz, 64-QAM, 99pc duty cycle)	X	10.64	68.4	20.8	8.53	125.5	$\pm 3.3\%$
		Y	11.11	69.7	21.6		142.1	
		Z	10.93	69.0	21.1		149.2	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

^B Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
5250	35.9	4.71	5.07	5.07	5.07	0.35	1.80	± 13.1 %
5600	35.5	5.07	4.66	4.66	4.66	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %
5750	35.4	5.22	4.74	4.74	4.74	0.40	1.80	± 13.1 %

^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media

f (MHz) ^C	Relative Permittivity ^F	Conductivity (S/m) ^F	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha ^G	Depth ^G (mm)	Unc (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	9.57	9.57	9.57	0.47	0.85	± 12.0 %
835	55.2	0.97	9.44	9.44	9.44	0.47	0.85	± 12.0 %
1750	53.4	1.49	7.82	7.82	7.82	0.42	0.83	± 12.0 %
1900	53.3	1.52	7.50	7.50	7.50	0.45	0.80	± 12.0 %
2300	52.9	1.81	7.27	7.27	7.27	0.48	0.80	± 12.0 %
2450	52.7	1.95	7.22	7.22	7.22	0.46	0.80	± 12.0 %
2600	52.5	2.16	6.90	6.90	6.90	0.32	0.99	± 12.0 %
5250	48.9	5.36	4.32	4.32	4.32	0.50	1.90	± 13.1 %
5600	48.5	5.77	3.63	3.63	3.63	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %
5750	48.3	5.94	3.86	3.86	3.86	0.60	1.90	± 13.1 %


^C Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to ± 50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

^F At frequencies below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) can be relaxed to ± 10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters (ϵ and σ) is restricted to ± 5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

^G Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.

Frequency Response of E-Field


(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)





Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: $\pm 6.3\% (k=2)$

Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$

f=600 MHz, TEM





f=1800 MHz, R22




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: $\pm 0.5\%$ (k=2)

Dynamic Range $f(\text{SAR}_{\text{head}})$ (TEM cell , $f_{\text{eval}} = 1900 \text{ MHz}$)




Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: $\pm 0.6\%$ ($k=2$)

Conversion Factor Assessment



Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid

Error (ϕ, θ), $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$



DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3914

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	133.3
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4 mm

Calibration Laboratory of
Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst
C Service suisse d'étalonnage
S Servizio svizzero di taratura
S Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D2450V2-719_Aug15**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object **D2450V2 - SN: 719**

Calibration procedure(s) **QA CAL-05.v9**
 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits above 700 MHz

Calibration date: **August 20, 2015**

BN ✓
 9/3/15

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature $(22 \pm 3)^\circ\text{C}$ and humidity $< 70\%$.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02020)	Oct-15
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-14 (No. 217-02021)	Oct-15
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Dec-14 (No. ES3-3205_Dec14)	Dec-15
DAE4	SN: 601	17-Aug-15 (No. DAE4-601_Aug15)	Aug-16

Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	04-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-13)	In house check: Oct-16
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-14)	In house check: Oct-15

Calibrated by: Name **Michael Weber** Function **Laboratory Technician**

Signature

Approved by: Name **Katja Pokovic** Function **Technical Manager**

Issued: August 21, 2015

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions*: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL*: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss*: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay*: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured*: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized*: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters*: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz \pm 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 \pm 0.2) °C	39.2 \pm 6 %	1.87 mho/m \pm 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.8 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	54.2 W/kg \pm 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.48 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	25.7 W/kg \pm 16.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 \pm 0.2) °C	53.2 \pm 6 %	2.00 mho/m \pm 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.1 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	51.9 W/kg \pm 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.11 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.3 W/kg \pm 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$54.5 \Omega + 5.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$50.1 \Omega + 6.5 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.7 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.149 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	September 10, 2002

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 20.08.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 719

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.87$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 39.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.54, 4.54, 4.54); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:


Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 102.2 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.48 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.2 W/kg



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 19.08.2015

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 719

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 2$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 - SN3205; ConvF(4.32, 4.32, 4.32); Calibrated: 30.12.2014;
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 17.08.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1222); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7331)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=10mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 95.73 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.9 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 13.1 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.11 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.3 W/kg



0 dB = 17.3 W/kg = 12.38 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL





Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
 Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **PC Test**

Certificate No: **D5GHzV2-1120_Feb16**

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	D5GHzV2 - SN: 1120
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-22.v2 Calibration procedure for dipole validation kits between 3-6 GHz
Calibration date:	February 25, 2016

BN ✓
03/01/2016

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).
 The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02222)	Oct-16
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (No. 217-02223)	Oct-16
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5058 (20k)	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02131)	Mar-16
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	01-Apr-15 (No. 217-02134)	Mar-16
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 3503	31-Dec-15 (No. EX3-3503_Dec15)	Dec-16
DAE4	SN: 601	30-Dec-15 (No. DAE4-601_Dec15)	Dec-16
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Jun-15)	In house check: Jun-18
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-15)	In house check: Oct-16

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	

Issued: February 25, 2016

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TS	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TS / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- c) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

Additional Documentation:

- d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TS:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TS parameters:* The measured TS parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor $k=2$, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.8.8
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	$dx, dy = 4.0 \text{ mm}, dz = 1.4 \text{ mm}$	Graded Ratio = 1.4 (Z direction)
Frequency	5250 MHz $\pm 1 \text{ MHz}$ 5600 MHz $\pm 1 \text{ MHz}$ 5750 MHz $\pm 1 \text{ MHz}$	

Head TSL parameters at 5250 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.9	4.71 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.8 $\pm 6 \text{ \%}$	4.56 mho/m $\pm 6 \text{ \%}$
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5250 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.93 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	78.7 W/kg $\pm 19.9 \text{ \% (k=2)}$

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.28 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.6 W/kg $\pm 19.5 \text{ \% (k=2)}$

Head TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.5	5.07 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.3 ± 6 %	4.91 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.30 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	82.3 W / kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.8 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Head TSL parameters at 5750 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.4	5.22 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.1 ± 6 %	5.07 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Head TSL at 5750 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.98 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.1 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.28 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.6 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5250 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.9	5.36 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	47.1 ± 6 %	5.46 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5250 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.61 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	75.6 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.14 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.2 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5600 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.5	5.77 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.4 ± 6 %	5.94 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.14 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	80.8 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.28 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.6 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Body TSL parameters at 5750 MHz

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	48.3	5.94 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	46.2 ± 6 %	6.15 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

SAR result with Body TSL at 5750 MHz

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.71 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	76.5 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.15 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	21.3 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5250 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$52.8 \Omega - 1.3 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$56.8 \Omega - 1.0 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.8 dB

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5750 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.5 \Omega + 4.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 25.6 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5250 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$51.7 \Omega - 0.6 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 34.9 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5600 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$58.8 \Omega + 2.2 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 21.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL at 5750 MHz

Impedance, transformed to feed point	$53.9 \Omega + 6.1 j\Omega$
Return Loss	- 23.1 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.205 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	September 08, 2011

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date: 25.02.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1120

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5750 MHz
Medium parameters used: $f = 5250 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.56 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 34.8$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Medium parameters used: $f = 5600 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.91 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 34.3$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Medium parameters used: $f = 5750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.07 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 34.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(5.53, 5.53, 5.53); Calibrated: 31.12.2015, ConvF(4.99, 4.99, 4.99); Calibrated: 31.12.2015, ConvF(4.95, 4.95, 4.95); Calibrated: 31.12.2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom Type: QD000P50AA
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5250 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 73.31 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 29.0 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.93 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.4 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 73.36 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.3 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.4 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.9 W/kg


Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5750 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 71.09 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.5 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 7.98 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.3 W/kg



0 dB = 18.4 W/kg = 12.65 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date: 17.02.2016

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 5GHz; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN: 1120

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5250 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5750 MHz
Medium parameters used: $f = 5250 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.46 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 47.1$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Medium parameters used: $f = 5600 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.94 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.4$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$, Medium parameters used: $f = 5750 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 6.15 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 46.2$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(4.85, 4.85, 4.85); Calibrated: 31.12.2015, ConvF(4.35, 4.35, 4.35); Calibrated: 31.12.2015, ConvF(4.3, 4.3, 4.3); Calibrated: 31.12.2015;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 30.12.2015
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- DASY52 52.8.8(1258); SEMCAD X 14.6.10(7372)

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5250 MHz/Zoom Scan,

dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 66.97 V/m; Power Drift = -0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.5 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.61 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.14 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.3 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan,

dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 67.65 V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.6 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.14 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.4 W/kg

Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5750 MHz/Zoom Scan,


dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=1.4\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 65.41 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 33.1 W/kg


SAR(1 g) = 7.71 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.15 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.6 W/kg



0 dB = 18.3 W/kg = 12.62 dBW/kg

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS

Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification:

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the tissue. The tissue was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity ϵ' can be calculated from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\epsilon_r\epsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\epsilon_r'\epsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho' \cos\phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

Table D-1
Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter

Frequency (MHz)	2450	5200-5800
Tissue	Body	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)		
DGBE	26.7	
NaCl	0.1	
Polysorbate (Tween) 80		20
Water	73.2	80

FCC ID: A3LSMT580



SAR EVALUATION REPORT



Reviewed by:
Quality Manager

Test Dates:

04/11/16 - 05/03/16

DUT Type:

Portable Tablet

APPENDIX D:
Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02v01r02, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue- equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

Table E-I
SAR System Validation Summary

SAR SYSTEM #	FREQ. [MHz]	DATE	PROBE SN	PROBE TYPE	PROBE CAL. POINT	COND. (σ)	PERM. (εr)	CW VALIDATION			MOD. VALIDATION		
								SENSITIVITY	PROBE LINEARITY	PROBE ISOTROPY	MOD. TYPE	DUTY FACTOR	PAR
K	2450	2/25/2016	3022	ES3DV2	2450	Body	1.954	50.916	PASS	PASS	OFDM/TDD	PASS	PASS
H	2450	4/6/2016	3319	ES3DV3	2450	Body	2.023	51.922	PASS	PASS	OFDM/TDD	PASS	PASS
D	5250	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5250	Body	5.438	47.912	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
D	5600	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5600	Body	5.895	47.321	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS
D	5750	3/1/2016	3914	EX3DV4	5750	Body	6.111	47.085	PASS	PASS	OFDM	N/A	PASS

NOTE: While the probes have been calibrated for both CW and modulated signals, all measurements were performed using communication systems calibrated for CW signals only. Modulations in the table above represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 for scenarios when CW probe calibrations are used with other signal types. SAR systems were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as GMSK, or with a high peak to average ratio (>5 dB), such as OFDM according to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 04/11/16 – 05/03/16	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			APPENDIX E: Page 1 of 1

APPENDIX G: SENSOR TRIGGERING DATA SUMMARY

A3LSMT580 Sensor Triggering Data Summary

Per FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02, this device was tested by the manufacturer to determine the proximity sensor triggering distances for all applicable sides and edges of the device. The measured output power at distances within ± 5 mm of the triggering points (or until touching the phantom) is included for back side and each applicable edge per Step i) in Section 6.2 of the KDB. The technical descriptions in the filing contain the complete set of triggering data required by Section 6 of FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04v01r02.

To ensure all production units are compliant, it is necessary to test SAR at a distance 1 mm less than the smallest distance between the device and SAR phantom (determined from the sensor triggering tests according to FCC KDB 616217 D04v01r02) with the device at the maximum output power (without power reduction). These SAR tests are included in addition to the SAR tests for the device touching the SAR phantom (at the reduced output power level).

The operational description contains information explaining how this device remains compliant in the event of a sensor malfunction.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 04/11/2016 – 05/03/2016	DUT Type: Portable Tablet		APPENDIX G: Page 1 of 3

Back Side

Moving device toward the phantom:

KDB 616217 6.2.f Measured Power [dBm]												
Distance [mm]	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	
802.11b (excl. ch.12-13)	18.24	18.41	18.29	18.29	18.27	14.40	14.14	14.34	14.16	14.24	14.28	
802.11g (excl. ch.12-13)	18.17	18.13	18.42	18.33	18.34	14.15	14.15	14.41	14.44	14.20	14.10	
802.11n (2.4GHz, excl. ch.12-13)	16.19	16.45	16.35	16.29	16.13	14.18	14.24	14.38	14.30	14.27	14.31	
802.11a	15.28	15.19	15.29	15.10	15.30	11.41	11.12	11.18	11.41	11.39	11.12	
802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW)	15.38	15.23	15.29	15.22	15.22	11.29	11.35	11.43	11.17	11.20	11.40	
802.11ac (20MHz BW)	15.14	15.16	15.11	15.33	15.26	11.20	11.34	11.20	11.11	11.20	11.21	
802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW)	12.13	12.40	12.39	12.25	12.15	11.40	11.26	11.35	11.42	11.18	11.19	
802.11ac (40MHz BW)	12.36	12.30	12.23	12.22	12.37	11.42	11.18	11.42	11.13	11.32	11.38	

Moving device away from the phantom:

KDB 616217 6.2.h Measured Power [dBm]												
Distance [mm]	13	12	11	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	
802.11b (excl. ch.12-13)	18.19	18.36	18.40	18.26	18.19	14.35	14.33	14.32	14.27	14.44	14.13	
802.11g (excl. ch.12-13)	18.27	18.32	18.12	18.33	18.21	14.29	14.28	14.30	14.42	14.14	14.45	
802.11n (2.4GHz, excl. ch.12-13)	16.25	16.44	16.24	16.25	16.11	14.14	14.42	14.28	14.14	14.15	14.43	
802.11a	15.15	15.14	15.38	15.11	15.28	11.25	11.33	11.38	11.21	11.10	11.15	
802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW)	15.44	15.19	15.18	15.27	15.37	11.31	11.40	11.37	11.19	11.29	11.12	
802.11ac (20MHz BW)	15.34	15.42	15.37	15.37	15.26	11.13	11.20	11.34	11.21	11.41	11.15	
802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW)	12.37	12.38	12.33	12.17	12.11	11.30	11.12	11.13	11.15	11.12	11.32	
802.11ac (40MHz BW)	12.44	12.45	12.45	12.24	12.35	11.39	11.36	11.20	11.13	11.35	11.17	

Based on the most conservative measured triggering distance of 8 mm, additional SAR measurements were required at 7 mm from the back side.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.	SAR EVALUATION REPORT		Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 04/11/2016 – 05/03/2016	DUT Type: Portable Tablet			APPENDIX G: Page 2 of 3

Top Edge

Moving device toward the phantom:

KDB 616217 6.2.f Measured Power [dBm]												
Distance[mm]	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
802.11b (excl. ch.12-13)	18.41	18.37	18.29	18.25	18.19	14.43	14.39	14.31	14.43	14.26	14.38	
802.11g (excl. ch.12-13)	18.31	18.24	18.14	18.33	18.26	14.44	14.45	14.21	14.32	14.34	14.29	
802.11n (2.4GHz, excl. ch.12-13)	16.41	16.13	16.11	16.14	16.17	14.41	14.22	14.14	14.41	14.30	14.29	
802.11a	15.22	15.38	15.10	15.39	15.30	11.34	11.26	11.40	11.32	11.36	11.17	
802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW)	15.41	15.35	15.13	15.17	15.39	11.36	11.32	11.22	11.28	11.20	11.10	
802.11ac (20MHz BW)	15.36	15.31	15.34	15.23	15.24	11.27	11.19	11.14	11.21	11.38	11.39	
802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW)	12.10	12.34	12.27	12.20	12.13	11.30	11.25	11.31	11.39	11.25	11.39	
802.11ac (40MHz BW)	12.45	12.26	12.20	12.16	12.31	11.10	11.14	11.27	11.16	11.27	11.41	

Moving device away from the phantom:

KDB 616217 6.2.h Measured Power [dBm]												
Distance[mm]	10	9	8	7	6	5	4	3	2	1	0	
802.11b (excl. ch.12-13)	18.43	18.28	18.38	18.21	18.32	14.45	14.44	14.36	14.27	14.42	14.24	
802.11g (excl. ch.12-13)	18.37	18.11	18.15	18.19	18.39	14.22	14.10	14.24	14.39	14.40	14.39	
802.11n (2.4GHz, excl. ch.12-13)	16.42	16.41	16.26	16.41	16.45	14.44	14.45	14.12	14.20	14.45	14.24	
802.11a	15.13	15.35	15.44	15.44	15.29	11.11	11.25	11.32	11.15	11.16	11.20	
802.11n (5GHz, 20MHz BW)	15.32	15.32	15.19	15.33	15.37	11.39	11.33	11.37	11.43	11.43	11.18	
802.11ac (20MHz BW)	15.13	15.39	15.32	15.11	15.30	11.17	11.19	11.44	11.40	11.45	11.35	
802.11n (5GHz, 40MHz BW)	12.13	12.43	12.19	12.38	12.43	11.44	11.12	11.22	11.27	11.17	11.28	
802.11ac (40MHz BW)	12.23	12.30	12.41	12.23	12.38	11.38	11.17	11.25	11.15	11.27	11.23	

Based on the most conservative measured triggering distance of 5 mm, additional SAR measurements were required at 4 mm from the top edge.

FCC ID: A3LSMT580	 SAR EVALUATION REPORT	 Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Test Dates: 04/11/2016 – 05/03/2016	DUT Type: Portable Tablet	APPENDIX G: Page 3 of 3