

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.
6660 - B Dobbin Road • Columbia, MD 21045 • USA
Telephone 410.290.6652 / Fax 410.290.6654
<http://www.pctestlab.com> (email: randy@pctestlab.com)



CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE (SAR EVALUATION)

APPLICANT NAME & ADDRESS:

SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
416 Maetan-3 Dong, Paldal-Ku
Suwon City Kyungki-Do 441-742, KOREA
Attn: Wallace Oh, Engineering Manager
Samsung Electronics America (QA Lab)

DATE & LOCATION OF TESTING:

Dates of Tests: April 4-5, 2002
Test Report S/N: SAR.220401146.A3L
Test Site: PCTEST Lab, Columbia MD

FCC ID:	A3LSCHN255
APPLICANT:	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD.
EUT Type:	Dual-Mode Cellular Phone (AMPS/CDMA)
Tx Frequency:	824.04 – 848.97 MHz (AMPS) / 824.70 – 848.31 MHz (CDMA)
Rx Frequency:	869.04 – 893.97 MHz (AMPS) / 869.70 – 893.31 MHz (CDMA)
Max. RF Output Power:	0.401 W ERP AMPS (26.033 dBm) / 26.0 dBm Conducted 0.314 W ERP CDMA (24.973 dBm) / 25.0 dBm Conducted
Max. SAR Measurement:	1.130W/kg AMPS Head SAR; 1.240W/kg AMPS Body SAR; 0.825W/kg CDMA Head SAR; 0.613W/kg CDMA Body SAR;
Trade Name/Model(s):	SCH-N255
FCC Classification:	Non-Broadcast Transmitter held to ear (TNE)
FCC Rule Part(s):	§2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [July 2001]
Application Type:	Certification
Test Device Serial No.:	<i>identical</i> prototype

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992 and had been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001) and IEEE Std. 1528-200X (Draft 6.4, July 2001).

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been denied the FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering



PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 1 of 30	

1.	INTRODUCTION	3
	SAR DEFINITION	3
2.	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP.....	4
	Robotic System.....	4
	System Hardware	4
	System Electronics	4
3.	DASY3 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	5
	Probe Measurement System.....	5
	Probe Specifications	5
4.	Probe Calibration Process.....	6
	Dosimetric Assessment Procedure	6
	Free Space Assessment	6
	Temperature Assessment	6
5.	PHANTOM & EQUIVALENT TISSUES.....	7
	SAM Phantom	7
	Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization	7
	Device Holder for Transmitters.....	7
6.	TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS	8
	Automated Test System Specifications	8
7.	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS	9
	Measurement Procedure.....	9
	Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications.....	9
8.	DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS	10
	EAR Reference Point.....	10
	Handset Reference Points	10
9.	TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS	11
	Positioning for Cheek/Touch	11
	Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt	12
	Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations	13
10.	ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	14
	Uncontrolled Environment	14
	Controlled Environment.....	14
11.	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES.....	15
	SAR Measurement Uncertainties	15
12.	SYSTEM VERIFICATION	16
	Tissue Verification	16
	Test System Verification.....	16
13.	SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY	17
	See Measurement Result Data Pages	17
	Procedures Used To Establish Test Signal	17
	Device Test Conditions.....	17
	EUT Handset Reference Points	17
14.	SAR DATA SUMMARY.....	18-27
15.	SAR TEST EQUIPMENT	28
	Equipment Calibration.....	28
16.	CONCLUSION	29
	Measurement Conclusion	29
17.	REFERENCES	30

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 2 of 30

1. INTRODUCTION / SAR DEFINITION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.[1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in *IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz*. (c) 1992 by the Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, New York 10017.[2] The measurement procedure described in *IEEE/ANSI C95.3-1992 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave*[3] is used for guidance in measuring SAR due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements (NCRP) in *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report No. 86 (c) NCRP, 1986, Bethesda, MD 20814.[6] SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1.1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{d t} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{d t} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dV} \right)$$

Figure 1.1
SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \sigma E^2 / \rho$$

where:

- σ** = conductivity of the tissue-simulant material (S/m)
- ρ** = mass density of the tissue-simulant material (kg/m³)
- E** = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relations to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 3 of 30

2. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY3 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY3 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, Pentium III computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the generic twin phantom containing the brain equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Fig. 2.1).

System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the Micron Pentium III 500 MHz computer with Windows NT system and SAR Measurement Software DASY3, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal of the DAE and transfers data to the PC plug-in card.




Figure 2.1 SAR Measurement System Setup

System Electronics

The DAE3 consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer. The system is described in detail in [7].

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 4 of 30

3. DASY3 E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

Probe Measurement System



Figure 3.1 DAE System

Probe Specifications

Calibration:	In air from 10 MHz to 2.5 GHz In brain and muscle simulating tissue at Frequencies of 450 MHz, 835 MHz, 900 MHz 1900MHz and 2450MHz
Frequency:	10 MHz to > 3 GHz; Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)
Directivity:	± 0.2 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.4 dB in HSL (rotation normal probe axis)
Dynamic:	5 :W/g to > 100 mW/g;
Range:	Linearity: ± 0.2 dB
Dimensions:	Overall length: 330 mm Tip length: 16 mm Body diameter: 12 mm Tip diameter: 6.8 mm
Application:	Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 2.7 mm General dosimetry up to 3 GHz Compliance tests of mobile phones Fast automatic scanning in arbitrary phantoms




Figure 3.1 Triangular Probe Configuration




Figure 3.2 Probe Thick-Film Technique

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 5 of 30

4. Probe Calibration Process

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each probe is calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure described in [8] with accuracy better than +/- 10%. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in [9] and found to be better than +/-0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (NormX, NormY, NormZ), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe is tested.

Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This is performed in a TEM cell for frequencies below 1 GHz (see Fig. 4.1), and in a waveguide above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is then rotated 360 degrees.

Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated brain tissue. The measured free space E-field in the medium correlates to temperature rise in a dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe (see Fig. 4.2).

$$\text{SAR} = C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T / \Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. Now it's possible to quantify the electric field in the simulated tissue by equating the thermally derived SAR to the E- field;




Figure 4.1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [7]

$$\text{SAR} = \frac{|E|^2 \cdot \sigma}{\rho}$$

where:

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)




Figure 4.2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [7]

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 6 of 30

5. PHANTOM & EQUIVALENT TISSUES

SAM Phantom



Figure 5.1 SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to determine the maximum exposure in at least 90% of all users [11][12]. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region. A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. (see Fig. 5.1)

Brain & Muscle Simulating Mixture Characterization




Figure 5.2 Simulated Tissue

Table 5.1 Composition of the Brain & Muscle Tissue Equivalent Matter

INGREDIENTS	SIMULATING TISSUE			
	835MHz Brain	835MHz Muscle	1900MHz Brain	1900MHz Muscle
Mixture Percentage				
WATER	41.45	52.50	54.90	40.40
DGBE	0.000	0.000	44.92	0.000
SUGAR	56.00	45.00	0.000	58.00
SALT	1.450	1.400	0.180	0.500
BACTERIACIDE	0.100	0.100	0.000	0.100
HEC	1.000	1.000	0.000	1.000
Dielectric Constant	Target	41.50	55.20	40.00
Conductivity (S/m)	Target	0.900	0.970	1.400

Device Holder for Transmitters



Figure 5.2 Mounting Device

In combination with the SAM Twin Phantom V4.0, the Mounting Device (see Fig. 5.2) enables the rotation of the mounted transmitter in spherical coordinates whereby the rotation point is the ear opening. The devices can be easily, accurately, and repeatably be positioned according to the FCC specifications. The device holder can be locked at different phantom locations (left head, right head, flat phantom).

* Note: A simulating human hand is not used due to the complex anatomical and geometrical structure of the hand that may produce infinite number of configurations [12]. To produce the worst-case condition (the hand absorbs antenna output power), the hand is omitted during the tests.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 7 of 30

6. TEST SYSTEM SPECIFICATIONS

Automated Test System Specifications

Positioner

Robot: Stäubli Unimation Corp. Robot Model: RX60L
Repeatability: 0.02 mm
No. of axis: 6



Data Acquisition Electronic (DAE) System

Cell Controller

Processor: Pentium III
Clock Speed: 450 MHz
Operating System: Windows NT
Data Card: DASY3 PC-Board

Figure 6.1 DASY3 Test System

Data Converter

Features: Signal Amplifier, multiplexer, A/D converter, & control logic
Software: DASY3 software
Connecting Lines: Optical downlink for data and status info.
 Optical uplink for commands and clock

PC Interface Card

Function: 24 bit (64 MHz) DSP for real time processing
 Link to DAE3
 16 bit A/D converter for surface detection system
 serial link to robot
 direct emergency stop output for robot

E-Field Probes

Model: ET3DV6 S/N: 1560
Construction: Triangular core fiber optic detection system
Frequency: 10 MHz to 6 GHz
Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 3 GHz)

Phantom

Phantom: SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material: Fiberglass
Thickness: 2.0 \pm 0.2 mm

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 8 of 30

7. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS

Measurement Procedure

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

1. The SAR measurement was taken at a selected spatial reference point to monitor power variations during testing. This fixed location point was measured and used as a reference value.
2. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 3.9mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 20mm x 20mm.
3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 34mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see Fig. 7.1):
 - a. The data at the surface was extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.2mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm [15]. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - b. The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straight-forward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1g or 10g) were computed using the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions) [15][16]. The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the average.
 - c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as procedure #1, was re-measured. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation is repeated.




Figure 7.1 Sample SAR Area Scan

Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Fig. 7.2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimize reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15cm to minimize reflections from the upper surface.



Figure 7.2 SAM Twin Phantom shell

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 9 of 30

8. DEFINITION OF REFERENCE POINTS

EAR Reference Point




Figure 8.2 Close-up side view of ERPs



Figure 8.1 Front, back and side view of SAM Twin Phantom

Handset Reference Points

Two imaginary lines on the handset were established: the vertical centerline and the horizontal line. The test device was placed in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear reference point" (See Fig. 8.3). The "test device reference point" was then located at the same level as the center of the ear reference point. The test device was positioned so that the "vertical centerline" was bisecting the front surface of the handset at its top and bottom edges, positioning the "ear reference point" on the outer surface of the both the left and right head phantoms on the ear reference point.




Figure 8.3 Handset Vertical Center & Horizontal Line Reference Points

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 10 of 30

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS

Positioning for Cheek/Touch

1. The test device was positioned with the handset close to the surface of the phantom such that point A is on the (virtual) extension of the line passing through points RE and LE on the phantom (see Figure 9.1), such that the plane defined by the vertical center line and the horizontal line of the phone is approximately parallel to the sagittal plane of the phantom.




Figure 9.1 Front, Side and Top View of Cheek/Touch Position

2. The handset was translated towards the phantom along the line passing through RE & LE until the handset touches the ear.
3. While maintaining the handset in this plane, the handset was rotated around the LE-RE line until the vertical centerline was in the plane normal to MB-NF including the line MB (reference plane).
4. The phone was then rotated around the vertical centerline until the phone (horizontal line) was symmetrical with respect to the line NF.
5. While maintaining the vertical centerline in the reference plane, keeping point A on the line passing through RE and LE, and maintaining the phone contact with the ear, the handset was rotated about the line NF until any point on the handset made contact with a phantom point below the ear (cheek). See Figure 9.2)




Figure 9.2 Side view w/ relevant markings

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 11 of 30

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS (Continued)

Positioning for Ear / 15° Tilt

With the test device aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

1. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was retracted parallel to the reference plane far enough to enable a rotation of the phone by 15degree.
2. The phone was then rotated around the horizontal line by 15 degree.
3. While maintaining the orientation of the phone, the phone was moved parallel to the reference plane until any part of the phone touches the head. (In this position, point A was located on the line RE-LE). The tilted position is obtained when the contact is on the pinna. If the contact was at any location other than the pinna, the angle of the phone would then be reduced. The tilted position was obtained when any part of the phone was in contact of the ear as well as a second part of the phone was in contact with the head (see Figure 9.3).




Figure 9.3 Front, Side and Top View of Ear/15° Tilt Position




Figure 9.4 Side view w/ relevant markings

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 12 of 30

9. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS (Continued)

Body Holster /Belt Clip Configurations

Body-worn operating configurations are tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration (see Figure 9.5). A device with a headset output is tested with a headset connected to the device. Body dielectric parameters are used.

Accessories for Body-worn operation configurations are divided into two categories: those that do not contain metallic components and those that do contain metallic components. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. Then multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device is tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (i.e. the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components) only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body is tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices intended to be authorized for body-worn use. In this case, a test configuration where a separation distance between the back of the device and the flat phantom is used. All test position spacings are documented.

Transmitters that are designed to operate in front of a person's face, as in push-to-talk configurations, are tested for SAR compliance with the front of the device positioned to face the flat phantom. For devices that are carried next to the body such as a shoulder, waist or chest-worn transmitters, SAR compliance is tested with the accessory(ies), including headsets and microphones, attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in a normal use configuration.

In all cases SAR measurements are performed to investigate the worst-case positioning. Worst-case positioning is then documented and used to perform Body SAR testing.

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.



Figure 9.5 Body Belt Clip & Holster Configurations

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 13 of 30

10. ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1992 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

Table 10.1. Safety Limits for Partial Body Exposure [2]

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ¹ Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR ² Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR ³ Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

1 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2 The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

3 The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 14 of 30

11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h = cx _f /e	i = cx _g /e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol. (± %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	c _i (1 - g)	c _i (10 - g)	1 - g u _i (± %)	10 - g u _i (± %)	v _i
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E1.1	6.0	N	1	1	1	6.0	6.0	∞
Axial Isotropy	E1.2	4.88	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	0.5	1.4	1.4	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E1.2	9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	0.5	2.8	2.8	∞
Boundary Effect	E1.3	11.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	6.4	6.4	∞
Linearity	E1.4	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7	∞
System Detection Limits	E1.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Readout Electronics	E1.6	1.0	R	1	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
Response Time	E1.7	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Integration Time	E1.8	1.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.0	1.0	∞
RF Ambient Conditions	E5.1	1.2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.7	0.7	∞
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E5.2	0.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.2	0.2	∞
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom	E5.3	2.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.7	1.7	∞
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E4.2	3.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3	∞
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E3.2.1	10.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	6.1	6.1	11
Device Holder Uncertainty	E3.1.1	8.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	5.0	5.0	8
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	5.6.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E2.1	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.1	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	2.0	1.4	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	10.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.5	4.0	2.9	∞
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values	E2.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E2.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.5	1.7	1.4	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				14.4	13.9	
Expanded Uncertainty (k=2) (95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)							28.8	27.8	

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-200x (July, 2001)

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 15 of 30

15. SAR TEST EQUIPMENT

Equipment Calibration

Table 15.1 Test Equipment Calibration

EQUIPMENT SPECIFICATIONS		
Type	Calibration Date	Serial Number
Stäubli Robot RX60L	February 2002	599131-01
Stäubli Robot Controller	February 2002	PCT592
Stäubli Teach Pendant (Joystick)	February 2002	3323-00161
Micron Computer, 450 MHz Pentium III, Windows NT	February 2002	PCT577
SPEAG EDC3	February 2002	321
SPEAG DAE3	February 2002	330
SPEAG E-Field Probe ET3DV6	February 2002	1560
SPEAG Dummy Probe	February 2002	PCT583
SPEAG SAM Twin Phantom V4.0	February 2002	PCT666
SPEAG Light Alignment Sensor	February 2002	205
SPEAG Validation Dipole D1900V2	February 2002	PCT613
Brain Equivalent Matter (835MHz)	April 2002	PCTBEM101
Brain Equivalent Matter (1900MHz)	April 2002	PCTBEM301
Muscle Equivalent Matter (835MHz)	April 2002	PCTMEM201
Muscle Equivalent Matter (1900MHz)	April 2002	PCTMEM401
Microwave Amp. Model: 5S1G4, (800MHz - 4.2GHz)	January 2002	22332
Gigatronics 8651A Power Meter	January 2002	1835299
HP-8648D (9kHz ~ 4GHz) Signal Generator	January 2002	PCT530
Amplifier Research 5S1G4 Power Amp	January 2002	PCT540
HP-8753E (30kHz ~ 3GHz) Network Analyzer	January 2002	PCT552
HP85070B Dielectric Probe Kit	January 2002	PCT501
Ambient Noise/Reflection, etc.	<12mW/kg/<3% of SAR	Anechoic Room PCT01

NOTE:

The E-field probe was calibrated by SPEAG, by temperature measurement procedure. Dipole Validation measurement is performed by PCTEST Lab. before each test. The brain simulating material is calibrated by PCTEST using the dielectric probe system and network analyzer to determine the conductivity and permittivity (dielectric constant) of the brain-equivalent material.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT	FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L	Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 28 of 30

16. CONCLUSION

Measurement Conclusion

The SAR measurement indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC. These measurements are taken to simulate the RF effects exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The tested device complies with the requirements in respect to all parameters subject to the test. The test results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because innumerable factors may interact to determine the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide shall consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.[3]

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 29 of 30

17. REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1 - 1991, *American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz*, New York: IEEE, Aug. 1992.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.3 - 1991, *IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave*, New York: IEEE, 1992.
- [4] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), *Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, July 2001.
- [5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-200X (Draft 6.1 – July 2001), *Draft Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques*.
- [6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, *Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields*, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, *Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, *Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies*, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [9] K. Poković, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, *E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids*, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, *The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, *Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz*, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, *Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies*, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., *Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones*, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.
- [15] W. Gander, *Computermathematick*, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, *Numerical Receipes in C*, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [17] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [18] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [19] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [20] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hochschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

PCTEST™ SAR REPORT		FCC CERTIFICATION			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
SAR Filename: SAR-220401146.A3L		Test Dates: April 4-5, 2002	Phone Type: Dual-Mode	FCC ID: A3LSCHN255	Page 30 of 30

Probe ET3DV6

SN: 1560

Manufactured:

December 1, 2000


Calibrated:

February 20, 2002

Calibrated for System DASY3

PCTEST Calibration Laboratory

Approved By:



Alfred Cirwithian
Vice President Engineering

ET3DV6 SN:1560

DASY3 - Parameters of Probe: ET3DV6 SN:1560

Sensitivity in Free Space

NormX	1.48 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormY	1.51 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$
NormZ	1.43 $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V}/\text{m})^2$

Diode Compression

DCP X	98 mV
DCP Y	98 mV
DCP Z	98 mV


Sensitivity in Tissue Simulating Liquid

Head	835 MHz Brain	$e_r = 41.5 \pm 5\%$	$s = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	6.78		Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	6.78		Alpha 0.30
ConvF Z	6.78		Depth 2.90
Body	835 MHz Muscle	$e_r = 56.2 \pm 5\%$	$s = 0.95 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	6.52 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	6.52 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Alpha 0.30
ConvF Z	6.52 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Depth 2.90
Head	1900 MHz Brain	$e_r = 40.0 \pm 5\%$	$s = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	5.16		Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	5.16		Alpha 0.48
ConvF Z	5.16		Depth 2.40
Body	1900 MHz Muscle	$e_r = 54.2 \pm 5\%$	$s = 1.50 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$
ConvF X	4.70 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	4.70 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Alpha 0.48
ConvF Z	4.70 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)		Depth 2.40


Sensor Offset

Probe Tip to Sensor Center	2.7	mm
Optical Surface Detection	2.0 \pm 0.2	mm


ET3DV6 SN:1560



Isotropy Error (ϕ), $\theta = 0^\circ$



Conversion Factor Assessment



Head **835 MHz Brain** $\epsilon_r = 42 \pm 5\%$ $S = 0.90 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

ConvF X	6.78 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	6.78 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.30
ConvF Z	6.78 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.90

Head **1900 MHz Brain** $\epsilon_r = 40 \pm 5\%$ $S = 1.40 \pm 5\% \text{ mho/m}$

ConvF X	5.16 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Boundary effect:
ConvF Y	5.16 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Alpha 0.48
ConvF Z	5.16 $\pm 7\%$ (k=2)	Depth 2.40

ET3DV6 SN:1560

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG

Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland, Phone +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

Certificate of conformity / First Article Inspection

Item	SAM Twin Phantom V4.0
Type No	QD 000 P40 BA
Series No	TP-1002 and higher
Manufacturer / Origin	Untersee Composites Hauptstr. 69 CH-8559 Fruthwilen Switzerland

Tests

The series production process used allows the limitation to test of first articles.

Complete tests were made on the pre-series Type No. QD 000 P40 AA, Serial No. TP-1001 and on the series first article Type No. QD 000 P40 BA, Serial No. TP-1006. Certain parameters have been retested using further series units (called samples).

Test	Requirement	Details	Units tested
Shape	Compliance with the geometry according to the CAD model.	IT'IS CAD File (*)	First article, Samples
Material thickness	Compliant with the requirements according to the standards	2mm +/- 0.2mm in specific areas	First article, Samples
Material parameters	Dielectric parameters for required frequencies	200 MHz – 3 GHz Relative permittivity < 5 Loss tangent < 0.05.	Material sample TP 104-5
Material resistivity	The material has been tested to be compatible with the liquids defined in the standards	Liquid type HSL 1800 and others according to the standard.	Pre-series, First article

Standards

- [1] CENELEC EN 50361
- [2] IEEE P1528-200x draft 6.5
- [3] IEC PT 62209 draft 0.9

(*) The IT'IS CAD file is derived from [2] and is also within the tolerance requirements of the shapes of [1] and [3].

Conformity

Based on the sample tests above, we certify that this item is in compliance with the uncertainty requirements of SAR measurements specified in standard [1] and draft standards [2] and [3].

Date

18.11.2001

Signature / Stamp

Florian Kats Schmid & Partner
Engineering AG

Franz Brueholt

Zeughausstrasse 43, CH-8004 Zurich
Tel. +41 1 245 97 00, Fax +41 1 245 97 79

835MHz Brain Dipole Validation

SAM Phantom; Flat Section; Probe:ET3DV6 - SN1560; ConvF(6.78,6.78,6.78)

Med. Parameters 835 MHz Brain: $\sigma = 0.89 \text{ mho/m}$ $\epsilon_r = 43.5$ $\rho = 1.00 \text{ g/cm}^3$; Antenna Position -- Out; Crest Factor 1.0
SAR (1g): 2.45 mW/g, SAR (10g): 1.58 mW/g

835MHz Brain Dipole Validation (D835V2 S/N: 406)

Frequency: 835 MHz; Antenna Input Power: 250 [mW]; Ambient Temp. 22.1°C / Meas. Tissue Temp. = 22.0°C
PCTEST Brain Tissue Simulating Liquid [04/04/2002]

