

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045 USA Tel. +1.410.290.6652 / Fax +1.410.290.6554 http://www.pctestlab.com



SAR COMPLIANCE EVALUATION REPORT

Applicant Name:

Samsung Electronics, Co. Ltd. 18600 Broadwick St. Rancho Dominguez, CA 90220 United States

Date of Testing:

11/09/10 - 11/11/10 Test Site/Location: PCTEST Lab, Columbia, MD, USA Test Report Serial No.: 0Y1011101830.A3L

FCC ID:	A3LSCHLC11
APPLICANT:	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS, CO. LTD.
EUT Type:	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router
Application Type:	Certification
FCC Rule Part(s): FCC Classification:	CFR §2.1093; FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C [June 2001]
FCC Classification.	PCS Licensed Transmitter (PCB) Digital Transmission System (DTS)
Model(s):	SCH-LC11
Tx Frequency:	824.70 - 848.31 MHz (Cellular CDMA)
	1851.25 - 1908.75 MHz (PCS CDMA)
	782 MHz (Band 13 LTE, 10 MHz BW)
	2412 - 2462 MHz (WLAN)
Conducted Power:	24.87 dBm Cell. CDMA
	25.23 dBm PCS CDMA
	23.07 dBm Band 13 LTE
	17.38 dBm 2.4 GHz WLAN
Max. SAR	0.48 W/kg Cell. CDMA Body SAR
Measurement:	0.86 W/kg PCS CDMA Body SAR
	1.09 W/kg Band 13 LTE Body SAR
Test Device Cariel No.	0.47 W/kg 2.4 GHz WLAN Body SAR
Test Device Serial No.:	Pre-Production [S/N: FCC#1, FCC#3]

This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (2001), IEEE 1528-2003 and in applicable Industry Canada Radio Standards Specifications (RSS); for North American frequency bands only.

I attest to the accuracy of data. All measurements reported herein were performed by me or were made under my supervision and are correct to the best of my knowledge and belief. I assume full responsibility for the completeness of these measurements and vouch for the qualifications of all persons taking them. Test results reported herein relate only to the item(s) tested.

PCTEST certifies that no party to this application has been subject to a denial of Federal benefits that includes FCC benefits pursuant to Section 5301 of the Anti-Drug Abuse Act of 1988, 21 U.S.C. 862.

Randy Ortanez President



FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 1 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 10137
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

1	INTRODUCTION	3
2	TEST SITE LOCATION	4
3	SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP	5
4	DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM	7
5	PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS	8
6	PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES	9
7	DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS	. 11
8	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	. 12
9	FCC 3G MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	. 13
10	SAR TESTING WITH IEEE 802.11 TRANSMITTERS	. 15
11	4G LTE TEST CONFIGURATION	. 19
12	SINGLE TX SAR CONSIDERATIONS	. 20
13	SIMULTANEOUS TX SAR CONSIDERATIONS	. 21
14	SYSTEM VERIFICATION	. 23
15	SAR DATA SUMMARY	. 25
16	EQUIPMENT LIST	. 29
17	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES	. 30
18	CONCLUSION	. 31
19	REFERENCES	. 32
20	SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	. 34

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 2 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 2 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			REV 8.7M

1 INTRODUCTION

The FCC has adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices. [1]

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz [3] and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6 [24]. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave [3] is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

1.1 SAR Definition

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density (ρ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Fig. 1-1).

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

Figure 1-1 SAR Mathematical Equation

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per Kilogram (W/kg).

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

where:

 σ = conductivity of the tissue-simulating material (S/m)

 ρ = mass density of the tissue-simulating material (kg/m³)

E = Total RMS electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.[6]

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 3 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 5 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			REV 8.7M

2 **TEST SITE LOCATION**

2.1 INTRODUCTION

The map at the right shows the location of the PCTEST LABORATORY in Columbia, Maryland. It is in proximity to the FCC Laboratory, the Baltimore-Washington International (BWI) airport, the city of Baltimore and Washington, DC.

These measurement tests were conducted at the PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc. facility in New Concept Business Park, Guilford Industrial Park, Columbia, Maryland. The site address is 6660-B Dobbin Road, Columbia, MD 21045. The test site is one of the highest points in the Columbia area with an elevation of 390 feet above mean sea level. The site coordinates are 39° 11'15" N latitude and 76° 49' 38" W longitude. The facility is 1.5 miles north of the FCC laboratory, and the ambient signal and ambient signal strength are approximately equal to those of the FCC laboratory. There are no FM or TV




Figure 2-1 Map of the Greater Baltimore and Metropolitan Washington, D.C. area

transmitters within 15 miles of the site. The detailed description of the measurement facility was found to be in compliance with the requirements of § 2.948 according to ANSI C63.4 on January 27, 2006 and Industry Canada.

2.2 **Test Facility / Accreditations:**

Measurements were performed at an independent accredited PCTEST Engineering Lab located in Columbia, MD 21045, U.S.A.



NVLAG

3 dig d da

- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025-2005 by the American Association for Laboratory Accreditation (A2LA) in Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) testing, Hearing-Aid Compatibility (HAC). Battery Safety, CTIA Test Plans, and wireless testing for FCC and Industry Canada Rules.
- PCTEST Lab is accredited to ISO 17025 by U.S. National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) under the National Voluntary Laboratory Accreditation Program (NVLAP Lab code: 100431-0) in EMC, FCC and Telecommunications.
- PCTEST facility is an FCC registered (PCTEST Reg. No. 90864) test facility with the site description report on file and has met all the requirements specified in Section 2.948 of the FCC Rules and Industry Canada (IC-2451).
- PCTEST Lab is a recognized U.S. Conformity Assessment Body (CAB) in EMC and . R&TTE (n.b. 0982) under the U.S.-EU Mutual Recognition Agreement (MRA).
- PCTEST TCB is a Telecommunication Certification Body (TCB) accredited to . ISO/IEC Guide 65 by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) in all scopes of FCC Rules and all Industry Canada Standards (RSS).
- PCTEST facility is an IC registered (IC-2451) test laboratory with the site description • on file at Industry Canada.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for AMPS and CDMA, and EvDO mobile phones.
- PCTEST is a CTIA Authorized Test Laboratory (CATL) for Over-the-Air (OTA) Antenna Performance testing for AMPS, CDMA, GSM, GPRS, EGPRS, UMTS (W-CDMA), CDMA 1xEVDO Data, CDMA 1xRTT Data

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 4 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 4 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			REV 8.7M

3 SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

3.1 Robotic System

Measurements are performed using the DASY4 automated dosimetric assessment system. The DASY4 is made by Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) in Zurich, Switzerland and consists of a high precision robotics system (Staubli), robot controller, desktop computer, near-field probe, probe alignment sensor, and the SAM phantom containing the head or body equivalent material. The robot is a six-axis industrial robot, performing precise movements to position the probe to the location (points) of maximum electromagnetic field (EMF) (see Figure 3-1).

3.2 System Hardware

A cell controller system contains the power supply, robot controller, teach pendant (Joystick), and a remote control used to drive the robot motors. The PC consists of the SAR Measurement Software DASY4, A/D interface card, monitor, mouse, and keyboard. The Staubli Robot is connected to the cell controller to allow software manipulation of the robot. A data acquisition electronic (DAE) circuit that performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, A/D conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. is connected to the Electro-optical coupler (EOC). The EOC performs the conversion from the optical into digital electric signal from the DAE and transfers data to the PC card.

3.3 System Electronics




Figure 3-1 SAR Measurement System Setup

The DAE consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade auto-zeroing preamplifier, a channel and gainswitching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the PC-card is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information and an optical uplink for commands and clock lines. The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sidewise probe contacts. They are also used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection. The robot uses its own controller with a built in VME-bus computer.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 5 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 5 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

3.4 Automated Test System Specifications

	epeatability: No. of Axes:	
Data Acquisition Ele	ectronic Syste	m (DAE)
Data Converter Conne <u>PC Interface Card</u>	Features: Software: ecting Lines: Function:	SEMCAD software

Phantom

Type:	SAM Twin Phantom (V4.0)
Shell Material:	Composite
Thickness:	2.0 ± 0.2 mm



Figure 3-2 SAR Measurement System

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 6 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 0 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

4 DASY E-FIELD PROBE SYSTEM

4.1 Probe Measurement System



Figure 4-1 SAR System

The SAR measurements were conducted with the dosimetric probe designed in the classical triangular configuration (see Figure 4-3) and optimized for dosimetric evaluation [9]. The probe is constructed using the thick film technique; with printed resistive lines on ceramic substrates. The probe is equipped with an optical multifiber line ending at the front of the probe tip. It is connected to the EOC box on the robot arm and provides an automatic detection of the phantom surface. Half of the fibers are connected to a pulsed infrared transmitter, the other half to a synchronized receiver. As the probe approaches the surface, the reflection from the surface produces a coupling from the transmitting to the receiving fibers. This reflection increases first during the approach, reaches maximum and then decreases. If the probe is flatly touching the surface is independent of the surface reflectivity and largely independent of the surface to probe angle. The DASY4 software reads the reflection during a software approach and looks for the

maximum using a 2nd order curve fitting (see Figure 5-1). The approach is stopped at reaching the maximum.

4.2 **Probe Specifications**

Model(s):	ES3DV2, ES3DV3, EX3DV4
Frequency	10 MHz – 6.0 GHz (EX3DV4)
Range:	10 MHz – 4 GHz (ES3DV3)
Calibration:	In head and body simulating tissue at Frequencies from 300 up to 6000MHz
Linearity:	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz) for EX3DV4
	± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 4 GHz) for ES3DV3
Dynamic Range:	10 mW/kg – 100 W/kg
Probe Length:	330 mm
Probe Tip Length:	20 mm
Body Diameter:	12 mm
Tip Diameter:	2.5 mm (3.9mm for ES3DV3)
Tip-Center:	1 mm (2.0 mm for ES3DV3)
Application:	SAR Dosimetry Testing
	Compliance tests of mobile phones
	Dosimetry in strong gradient fields



Figure 4-2 Near-Field Probe



Figure 4-3 Triangular Probe Configuration

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 7 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage / 01 5/
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

5 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS

5.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. A TEM cell calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an RF Signal generator, TEM cell, and RF Power Meter.

5.2 Free Space Assessment

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

5.3 Temperature Assessment

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulated head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

SAR =
$$C \frac{\Delta T}{\Delta t}$$

where:

 Δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

 ΔT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to $\Delta T/\Delta t$, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.





Figure 5-1 E-Field and Temperature measurements at 900MHz [9]



where:

- σ = simulated tissue conductivity,
- p = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)




Figure 5-2 E-Field and temperature measurements at 1.9GHz [9]

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 8 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 0 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory. Inc.		REV 8.7M

6 PHANTOM AND EQUIVALENT TISSUES

6.1 SAM Phantoms



SAM Phantoms

The SAM Twin Phantom V4.0 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a table. The shape of the shell is based on data from an anatomical study designed to represent the 90th percentile of the population [12][13]. The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of SAR for both left and right handed handset usage, as well as bodyworn usage using the flat phantom region. Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot. The shell phantom has a 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6 mm).

6.2 Tissue Simulating Mixture Characterization



The mixture is characterized to obtain proper dielectric constant (permittivity) and conductivity of the tissue of interest. The tissue dielectric parameters recommended in IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209 have been used as targets for the compositions, and are to match within 5%, per the FCC recommendations.

Figure 6-2 SAM Phantom with Simulating Tissue

Table 6-1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter						
Frequency (MHz)	835	835	1900	1900	2450	2450
Tissue	Head	Body	Head	Body	Head	Body
Ingredients (% b	y weight)					-
Bactericide	0.1	0.1				
DGBE			44.92	29.44	7.99	26.7
HEC	1	1				
NaCl	1.45	0.94	0.18	0.39	0.16	0.1
Sucrose	57	44.9				
Triton X-100					19.97	
Water	40.45	53.06	54.9	70.16	71.88	73.2

Note: See next page for 750 MHz information

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 9 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 9 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

 Table 6-2

 Composition of the 750MHz Body Tissue Equivalent Matter

2 Composition / Information on ingredients						
The Item is composed o	f the following ingredients:					
H <u>b</u> O	Water, 35 – 58%					
Sucrose	Sugar, white, refined, 40 – 60%					
NaCl	Sodium Chloride, 0 – 6%					
Hydroxyethyl-cellulose	se Medium Viscosity (CAS# 9004-62-0), <0.3%					
Preventol-D7	Preventol-D7 Preservative: aqueous preparation, (CAS# 55965-84-9), containing					
5-chloro-2-methyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone and 2-methyyl-3(2H)-isothiazolone, 0.1 – 0.7%						
	Relevant for safety; Refer to the respective Safety Data Sheet*.					

Note: 750MHz Body liquid recipe is proprietary SPEAG. The composition is approximate to the actual liquids utilized. Thus the manufacturer production sheet is provided below.

Figure 6-1 750MHz Body Tissue Equivalent Matter

f [N	Hz]	HP-e'	HP-e"	sigma
-	300	61.02	35.43	0.59
	350	60.21	32.13	0.63
	400	59.50	29.71	0.66
	450	58.79	28.00	0.70
	500	58.16	26.60	0.74
	550	57.57	25.54	0.78
_	600	56.99	24.68	0.82
	650	56.43	23.97	0.87
	700	55.88	23.46	0.91
	750	55.35	22.91	0.96
	800	55.02	22.56	1.00
	850	54.50	22.31	1.06
	900	54.02	22.08	1.11
	950	53.55	21.89	1.16
	1000	53.05	21.70	1.21

=

P/N:	SL AAM 075	TARGET PA	5	
Charge:	090224-1	f [MHz]	eps	sigma
Mea Date:	05-Mrz-09	700	55.7	0.96
Temp [°C]	22	750	55.5	0.96
Louis L ol	1045555	800	55.3	0.97

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		- SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 10 of 37	
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 10 01 57	
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT & PHANTOM SPECS

7.1 Measurement Procedure

7

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure:

- 1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head and the horizontal grid spacing was 15mm x 15mm.
- 2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during testing the 1 gram cube. This fixed point was measured and used as a reference value.
- 3. Based on the area scan data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume of 32mm x 32mm x 30mm (fine resolution volume scan, zoom scan) was assessed by measuring 5 x 5 x 7 points. On this basis of this




Figure 7-1 Sample SAR Area Scan

data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual for more details):

- a. The data was extrapolated to the surface of the outer-shell of the phantom. The combined distance extrapolated was the combined distance from the center of the dipoles 2.7mm away from the tip of the probe housing plus the 1.2 mm distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
- b. After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
- c. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete. If the value deviated by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.
- 5. For 5 GHz testing finer resolution zoom scans were preformed as specified by FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 – 6 GHz, KDB pub 865664. The 5 GHz zoom scan requires a minimum volume of 24mm x 24mm x 20mm and 7 x 7 x 11 points.

7.2 Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) Specifications

The phantom for handset SAR assessment testing is a low-loss dielectric shell, with shape and dimensions derived from the anthropometric data of the 90th percentile adult male head dimensions as tabulated by the US Army. The SAM Twin Phantom shell is bisected along the mid-sagittal plane into right and left halves (see Figure 7-2). The perimeter sidewalls of each phantom halves are extended to allow filling with liquid to a depth that is sufficient to minimize reflections from the upper surface. The liquid depth is maintained at a minimum depth of 15 cm.



Figure 7-2 SAM Twin Phantom Shell

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 11 of 37	
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 110137	
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering L	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory. Inc.			

8 RF EXPOSURE LIMITS

8.1 Uncontrolled Environment

UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

8.2 Controlled Environment

CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENTS are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS						
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT				
	General Population (VV/kg) or (mVV/g)	<i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)				
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0				
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4				
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20				

Table 8-1 SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 12 of 37	
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 12 01 37	
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

9 FCC 3G MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

9.1 Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for SAR

The device was placed into a simulated call using a base station simulator in a shielded chamber. Such test signals offer a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR. The SAR measurement software calculates a reference point at the start and end of the test to check for power drifts. If SAR deviations of more than 5% occurred, the tests were repeated.

9.1 SAR Measurement Conditions for EvDO Data Devices

Power measurements were performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

9.2 SAR Measurement Conditions for CDMA2000

The following procedures were followed according to FCC "SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices" v02, October 2007.

9.2.1 Output Power Verification

Maximum output power is verified on the High, Middle and Low channels according to procedures in section 3.1.2.3.4 of 3GPP2 C.S0033-0/TIA-866 for Rev. 0 and section 4.3.4 of 3GPP2 C.S0033-A for Rev. A. For Rev. A, maximum output power for both Subtype 0/1 and Subtype 2 Physical Layer configurations was measured.

9.2.2 Body SAR Measurements for EVDO Data devices

Body SAR is measured using Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations for Rev. 0. SAR for Subtype 2 Physical layer configurations is not required for Rev. A when the maximum average output of each RF channels is less than that measured in Subtype 0/1 Physical layer configurations. Otherwise, SAR is measured on the maximum output channel for Rev. A using the exposure configuration that results in the highest SAR for the RF channels in Rev. 0.

The AT is tested with a Reverse Data Channel rate of 153.6 kbps in Subtype 0/1 Physical Layer configurations; and a Reverse Data Channel payload size of 4096 bits and Termination Target of 16 slots in Subtype 2 Physical Layer configurations. Both FTAP and FETAP are configured with a Forward Traffic Channel data rate corresponding to the 2-slot version of 307.2 kbps with the ACK Channel transmitting in all slots. AT power control should be in "All Bits Up" conditions for TAP/ETAP.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 13 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 15 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

9.3 RF Conducted Powers

Band	Channel	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	TDSO SO32 [dBm]	1x EvDO Rev. 0 [dBm]	1x EvDO Rev. A [dBm]
	F-RC	FCH+SCH	FCH	(RTAP)	(RETAP)
	1013	24.85	24.78	24.82	24.84
Cellular	384	24.81	24.75	24.87	24.83
	777	24.80	24.83	24.85	24.88
	25	24.75	24.68	24.96	24.90
PCS	600	25.07	25.00	25.23	25.09
	1175	25.09	25.02	25.14	25.12

9.3.1 CDMA Conducted Powers (Data Device)



Figure 9-1 Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 14 of 37	
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 14 01 37	
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

10 SAR TESTING WITH IEEE 802.11 TRANSMITTERS

Normal network operating configurations are not suitable for measuring the SAR of 802.11 a/b/g transmitters. Unpredictable fluctuations in network traffic and antenna diversity conditions can introduce undesirable variations in SAR results. The SAR for these devices should be measured using chipset based test mode software to ensure the results are consistent and reliable.

10.1 General Device Setup

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The test frequencies should correspond to actual channel frequencies defined for domestic use. SAR for devices with switched diversity should be measured with only one antenna transmitting at a time during each SAR measurement, according to a fixed modulation and data rate. The same data pattern should be used for all measurements.

10.2 Frequency Channel Configurations [27]

802.11 a/b/g and 4.9 GHz operating modes are tested independently according to the service requirements in each frequency band. 802.11 b/g modes are tested on channels 1, 6 and 11. 802.11a is tested for UNII operations on channels 36 and 48 in the 5.15-5.25 GHz band; channels 52 and 64 in the 5.25-5.35 GHz band; channels 104, 116, 124 and 136 in the 5.470-5.725 GHz band; and channels 149 and 161 in the 5.8 GHz band. When 5.8 GHz §15.247 is also available, channels 149, 157 and 165 should be tested instead of the UNII channels. 4.9 GHz is tested on channels 1, 10 and 5 or 6, whichever has the higher output power, for 5 MHz channels; channels 11, 15 and 19 for 10 MHz channels; and channels 21 and 25 for 20 MHz channels. These are referred to as the "default test channels". 802.11g mode was evaluated only if the output power was 0.25 dB higher than the 802.11b mode.




Table 10-1 802.11 Test Channels per FCC Requirements

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 15 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 15 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

Freq [MHz]	Channel	Data Rate [Mbps]	Measured Average Power [dBm]
2412	1	1	16.20
		2	16.20
		5.5	16.16
		11	16.17
2437	6	1	17.38
		2	17.31
		5.5	17.42
		11	17.35
2462	11	1	16.34
		2	16.33
		5.5	16.43
		11	16.38

Table 10-2 IEEE 802.11b Average RF Power

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 16 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 10 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering L	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

	Measured						
Freq [MHz]	Channel	Data Rate [Mbps]	Average Power [dBm]				
2412	1	6	9.92				
		9	9.90				
		12	9.85				
		18	9.86				
		24	9.82				
		36	9.85				
		48	9.54				
		54	9.85				
2437	6	6	10.95				
		9	10.92				
		12	10.81				
		18	10.91				
		24	11.00				
		36	10.99				
		48	10.82				
		54	10.90				
2462	11	6	10.04				
		9	9.93				
		12	10.00				
		18	10.06				
		24	10.12				
		36	10.08				
		48	10.01				
		54	9.92				

Table 10-3 IEEE 802.11g Average RF Power

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 17 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 17 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

Freq [MHz]	Channel	MCS Index	Data Rate [Mbps]	Measured Average Power [dBm]
2412	1	0	6.5/7.2	8.31
		1	13/14.4	8.31
		2	19.5/21.7	8.37
		3	26/28.9	8.44
		4	39/43.3	8.49
		5	52/57.8	8.22
		6	58.5/65	8.21
		7	65/72.2	8.24
2437	6	0	6.5/7.2	9.59
		1	13/14.4	9.56
		2	19.5/21.7	9.51
		3	26/28.9	9.48
		4	39/43.3	9.46
		5	52/57.8	9.51
		6	58.5/65	9.48
		7	65/72.2	9.50
2462	11	0	6.5/7.2	8.56
		1	13/14.4	8.58
		2	19.5/21.7	8.63
		3	26/28.9	8.64
		4	39/43.3	8.66
		5	52/57.8	8.65
		6	58.5/65	8.61
		7	65/72.2	8.53

Table 10-4 IEEE 802.11n Average RF Power



Figure 10-1 Power Measurement Setup

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager	
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 18 of 37	
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 10 01 37	
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.			

11 **4G LTE TEST CONFIGURATION**

11.1 Frequency of Operation per 27.5

Per FCC Rule 27.5(b)(3): "(3) Two paired channels of 11 megahertz each are available for assignment in Block C in the 746-757 MHz and 776-787 MHz bands."

11.2 **Test Conditions**

SAR tests for LTE were performed with a base station simulator, Rohde & Schwarz CMW500. Closed loop power control was used so the UE transmits with maximum output power during SAR testing.

11.3 LTE Measured Maximum RF Output Conducted Powers

LTE conducted powers were measured with the CMW 500 Base Station Simulator

Frequency	BW	Modulation	RB Size	RB Offset	Maximum Average Power [dBm]
		QPSK	1	0	23.07
		16QAM	1	0	23.02
		QPSK	1	49	22.91
782 MHz	10 MHz	16QAM	1	49	23.04
		QPSK	25	13	22.88
		16QAM	25	13	22.81
		QPSK	50	0	22.65
		16QAM	50	0	22.63

Table 11-1 Measured I TE RE Output Powers

There is only one channel (782 MHz) and one BW (10 MHz) for LTE Band 13 on this device.

MPR is not enabled for this device. A-MPR is disabled for all SAR testing.

LTE modes were selected according to LTE Interim procedures KDB 941225 publication.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 19 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 19 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering L	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

12 SINGLE TX SAR CONSIDERATIONS

SAR Test Configurations 12.1

Table 12-1 **Mobile Hotspot Sides for SAR Testing**

Mode	Back	Front	Side A	Side B	Side C	Side D
Cellular EVDO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
PCS EVDO	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
Band 13 LTE	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	No
2.4 GHz WIFI	Yes	Yes	No	Yes	No	Yes

Mobile Hotspot Side for SAR Testing




Figure 12-1 Identification of Sides for SAR Testing

Note: Per Oct 2010 TCB FCC Workshop, the edges with antennas within 2.5 cm are required to be evaluated for SAR. See Figure 13-1 for distances of the actual device.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 20 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 20 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

13 SIMULTANEOUS TX SAR CONSIDERATIONS

13.1 Introduction

The following procedures adopted from "FCC SAR Considerations for Cell Phones with Multiple Transmitters" v01r03 from May 2008 are applicable to handsets with built-in unlicensed transmitters such as 802.11a/b/g which may simultaneously transmit with the licensed transmitter. For this device, only WIFI can transmit simultaneously with the other transmitters.

13.2 Transmit Antenna Separation Distances




Figure 13-1 Antenna Locations, as viewed from back of device

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 21 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 21 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

Simult Tx	Configuration	850 MHz 2G/3G SAR (W/kg)	LTE SAR (W/kg)	WIFI SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)		
Body SAR	CDMA + WIFI	0.475	N/A	0.472	0.947		
Body SAR	LTE + WIFI	N/A	1.090	0.472	1.562		
Simult Tx	Configuration	1900 MHz 2G/3G SAR (W/kg)	LTE SAR (W/kg)	WIFI SAR (W/kg)	Σ SAR (W/kg)		
Body SAR	CDMA + WIFI	0.863	N/A	0.472	1.335		
Body SAR	LTE + WIFI	N/A	1.090	0.472	1.562		

13.3 Simultaneous Transmission for SCH-LC11

Table 13-1 Summary of Transmitters

Only the WIFI transmitter may transmit simultaneously with CDMA and with LTE. The CDMA transmitter does not transmit simultaneously with LTE since it is only a router data device.

13.4 Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion

The above numerical summed SAR was below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit. Therefore, no volumetric SAR summation is required since the numerical sums are below the limit.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 22 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 22 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

14 SYSTEM VERIFICATION

14.1 Tissue Verification

	Table 14-1 Measured Tissue Properties										
Calibrated for Tests Performed on:	Tissue Type	Measured Frequency (MHz)	Measured Conductivity, σ (S/m)	Measured Dielectric Constant, ε	TARGET Conductivity, σ (S/m)	TARGET Dielectric Constant, ε	% dev σ	% dev ε			
		820	0.959	54.65	0.969	55.284	-1.03%	-1.15%			
11/10/2010	835B	835	0.964	54.84	0.970	55.200	-0.62%	-0.65%			
		850	0.975	54.66	0.988	55.154	-1.32%	-0.90%			
		1850	1.504	54.48	1.520	53.300	-1.05%	2.21%			
11/10/2010	1900B	1880	1.533	54.24	1.520	53.300	0.86%	1.76%			
		1910	1.576	53.99	1.520	53.300	3.68%	1.29%			
		2401	1.933	53.26	1.903	52.765	1.58%	0.94%			
11/11/2010	2450B	2450	1.996	53.14	1.950	52.700	2.36%	0.83%			
		2499	2.065	52.91	2.019	52.638	2.28%	0.52%			
		750	0.951	55.52	0.962	55.368	-1.14%	0.27%			
11/09/2010	750B	760	0.960	55.42	0.963	55.284	-0.31%	0.25%			
11/09/2010		775	0.974	55.22	0.964	55.200	1.04%	0.04%			
		790	0.991	55.09	0.966	55.154	2.59%	-0.12%			

Note: KDB Publication 450824 was ensured to be applied for probe calibration frequencies greater than or equal to 50 MHz of the DUT frequencies.

The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software to perform interpolation via the DASY software to determine actual dielectric parameters at the test frequencies (per IEEE 1528 6.6.1.2). The SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above since the DASY software rounds to three significant digits.

14.2 Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system was configured and calibrated.
- The probe was immersed in the sample which was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured
- 4) The complex relative permittivity, for example from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$Y = \frac{j2\omega\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0}{\left[\ln(b/a)\right]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^\pi \cos\phi' \frac{\exp\left[-j\omega r(\mu_0\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0)^{1/2}\right]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively, $r^2 = \rho^2 + {\rho'}^2 - 2\rho\rho' \cos \phi'$, ω is the angular frequency, and $j = \sqrt{-1}$.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 23 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 25 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

14.3 Justification for Extended SAR Dipole Calibrations

Usage of SAR dipoles calibrated less than 2 years ago but more than 1 year ago were confirmed in maintaining return loss (< - 20 dB, within 20% of prior calibration) and impedance (within 5 ohm from prior calibration) requirements per extended calibrations in KDB Publication 450824:

	D835V2 SN: 4d026									
Date of Measurement	Return Loss (dB)	Δ%	Impedance (Ω)	ΔΩ						
8/24/2009	-22.5		51							
8/19/2010	-21.4	-5%	50.1	-0.9						
	D1900	V2 SN:5d08	0							
Date of Measurement	Return Loss (dB)	Δ%	Impedance (Ω)	ΔΩ						
8/18/2009	-24.3		50							
8/19/2010	-22.4	-7.8%	51	1.0						
	D2450	0 V2 SN: 719	9							
Date of	Return Loss	۵%	Impedance	ΔΩ						
Measurement	(dB)	<u>ц</u> /0	(Ω)	-647						
8/27/2009	-28.6		53.4							
8/19/2010	-27.5	-3.8%	51	-2.4						

14.4 Test System Verification

Prior to assessment, the system is verified to ±10% of the manufacturer SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration.

	System Verification Results									
	System Verification TARGET & MEASURED									
Date:	Date: Amb. Temp (°C) Liquid Temp (°C) Input Power (W) Tissue Frequency (MHz) Dipole SN Tissue Type Measured SAR ₁₉ 1 W Target SAR ₁₉ 1 W Normalized (W/kg) 1 W									
11/10/2010	23.6	22.3	0.100	835	4d026	Body	0.987	9.780	9.87	0.92%
11/10/2010	23.5	22.1	0.040	1900	5d080	Body	1.65	40.500	41.25	1.85%
11/11/2010	24.2	23.1	0.040	2450	719	Body	2.03	51.400	50.75	-1.26%
11/09/2010	23.7	22.4	0.250	750	1003	Body	2.1	8.880	8.40	-5.41%

Table 14-2




Figure 14-1 System Verification Setup Diagram



Figure 14-2 System Verification Setup Photo

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 24 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 24 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering L	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

15 SAR DATA SUMMARY

	MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQUE	NCY	Mode	Service	C_Power[dBm]		Spacing	Side	SAR (1g)				
MHz	Ch.			Start	End	- 1		(W/kg)				
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO	24.82	24.92	1 cm	Front	0.475				
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO	24.87	24.81	1 cm	Back	0.444				
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO	24.82	24.83	1 cm	Side A	0.164				
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO	24.85	24.83	1 cm	Side B	0.315				
836.52	384	Cell. CDMA	EVDO	24.87	25.01	1 cm	Side C	0.058				
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO	24.96	24.89	1 cm	Front	0.743				
1851.25	25	PCS CDMA	EVDO	25.23	25.23	1 cm	Back	0.741				
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO	25.14	25.19	1 cm	Back	0.863				
1908.75	1175	PCS CDMA	EVDO	24.96	24.95	1 cm	Back	0.753				
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO	25.14	25.10	1 cm	Side A	0.115				
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO	25.23	25.22	1 cm	Side B	0.522				
1880.00	600	PCS CDMA	EVDO	24.96	24.92	1 cm	Side C	0.654				
A	NSI / IE	EE C95.1 199		Body								
	Spatial Peak							V/g)				
Un	controll	ed Exposure/	General P	opulatio	n	averaç	ged over 1	gram				

Table 15-1 CDMA Body SAR Results

Notes:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used were according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 2. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 3. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard battery was used.
- 4. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm.
- 5. A spacing of 1.0 cm was required from applicable sides of the modem per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance.
- 6. Justification for reduced test configurations: Per FCC/OET Bulletin 65 Supplement C (June 2001) and Public Notice DA-02-1438, if the SAR measured at the middle channel for each test configuration is at least 3.0 dB lower than the SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s).
- 7. Body SAR was tested under EVDO Rev. 0 per FCC 3G Guidance (See Section 9).
- 8. Side D was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5 cm per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance (see Section 12.1).

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR LUMPLIANCE REPORT COMPONENT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 25 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 25 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

	MEASUREMENT RESULTS											
FREQU	ENCY	Mode	C_Pow	er[dBm]	Service	Spacing	Data Rate	Side	SAR			
MHz	Ch.		Start	End			(Mbps)		(W/kg)			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.39	DSSS	1 cm	1	Front	0.302			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.35	DSSS	1 cm	1	Back	0.323			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.50	DSSS	1 cm	1	Side A	0.044			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.47	DSSS	1 cm	1	Side B	0.472			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.31	DSSS	1 cm	1	Side C	0.025			
2437	6	IEEE 802.11b	17.38	17.52	DSSS	1 cm	1	Side D	0.183			
	ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT							dy				
l	Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population						1.6 W/kg veraged o	•	n			

Table 15-22.4 GHz Body SAR Results

Notes:

- The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used were according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65, Supplement C [June 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Batteries are fully charged for all readings. Standard battery was used.
- 4. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 5. Liquid tissue depth is was at least 15.0 cm.
- 6. A spacing of 1.0 cm was required from applicable sides of the modem per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance.
- 7. Justification for reduced test configurations for WIFI channels per KDB Publication 248227 and April 2010 FCC/TCB Meeting Notes: Highest average RF output power channel for the lowest data rate were selected for SAR evaluation. Other IEEE 802.11 modes (including 802.11n) were not investigated since the average output powers were not greater than 0.25 dB than that of the corresponding channel in the lowest data rate IEEE 802.11b mode.
- 8. WLAN transmission was verified using a spectrum analyzer.
- 9. Although Sides A and C were not required per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance for portable router devices due to the antenna distances more than 2.5 cm, the SAR is included.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 26 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 20 01 37
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

FREQU	ENCY	Mode	Modulation	C_Power[dBm]		Spacing	RB Size	RB Offset	Side	SAR (1g)
MHz	Ch.			Start	End					(W/kg)
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.88	22.92	1 cm	25	13	Back	0.800
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	23.07	23.03	1 cm	1	0	Back	1.090
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.91	22.87	1 cm	1	49	Back	0.973
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	22.81	22.81	1 cm	25	13	Back	0.806
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.02	22.87	1 cm	1	0	Back	0.884
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.04	23.19	1 cm	1	49	Back	0.805
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.88	22.95	1 cm	25	13	Front	0.931
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	23.07	23.07	1 cm	1	0	Front	0.993
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.91	22.93	1 cm	1	49	Front	0.778
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	22.81	22.84	1 cm	25	13	Front	0.715
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.02	23.08	1 cm	1	0	Front	0.734
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.04	23.06	1 cm	1	49	Front	0.710
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.88	22.87	1 cm	25	13	Side A	0.063
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	23.07	22.90	1 cm	1	0	Side A	0.076
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.91	22.86	1 cm	1	49	Side A	0.068
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	22.81	22.80	1 cm	25	13	Side A	0.051
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.02	23.21	1 cm	1	0	Side A	0.059
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.04	23.12	1 cm	1	49	Side A	0.054
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.88	22.88	1 cm	25	13	Side B	0.420
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	23.07	23.20	1 cm	1	0	Side B	0.518
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.91	22.90	1 cm	1	49	Side B	0.455
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	22.81	22.92	1 cm	25	13	Side B	0.337
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.02	22.93	1 cm	1	0	Side B	0.410
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.04	23.09	1 cm	1	49	Side B	0.338
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.88	22.88	1 cm	25	13	Side C	0.344
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	23.07	22.91	1 cm	1	0	Side C	0.313
782	23230	LTE-750	QPSK	22.91	22.89	1 cm	1	49	Side C	0.376
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	22.81	22.81	1 cm	25	13	Side C	0.344
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.02	23.08	1 cm	1	0	Side C	0.258
782	23230	LTE-750	16 QAM	23.04	23.05	1 cm	1	49	Side C	0.309
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 1992 - SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population								Body W/kg (mW ged over 1		

Table 15-3 LTE Body SAR Results

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager			
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 27 of 37			
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 27 01 37			
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering L	◎ 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.					

Notes:

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR value with the position set in a typical configuration. Test procedures used were according to FCC/OET Bulletin 65. Supplement C [June 2001].
- 2. All modes of operation were investigated, and worst-case results are reported.
- 3. Tissue parameters and temperatures are listed on the SAR plots.
- 4. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm.
- 5. A spacing of 1.0 cm was required from applicable sides of the modem per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance.
- 6. LTE Considerations
 - a. LTE test configurations are determined according to LTE Interim procedures KDB 941225 publication: 1RB and 50% RB allocations were tested in both QPSK and 16 QAM for all configurations and 100% RB allocation testing was not required since SAR measured in other allocations was less than 1.45 W/kg.
 - b. There is only 10 MHz bandwidth available for this device in the LTE mode.
 - c. Side D was not tested since the antenna distance from the edge was greater than 2.5 cm per Oct 2010 TCB Workshop guidance (see Section 12.1).
 - d. The device does not support VOIP.
 - e. There is only one channel available for LTE Band 13.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11			Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 28 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 20 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

16 EQUIPMENT LIST

Manufacturer	Model	Description	Cal Date	Cal Interval	Cal Due	Serial Number
Agilent	E8257D	(250kHz-20GHz) Signal Generator	3/30/2010	Annual	3/30/2011	MY45470194
Agilent	8753E	(30kHz-6GHz) Network Analyzer	3/31/2010	Annual	3/31/2011	JP38020182
Agilent	8648D	Signal Generator	4/1/2010	Annual	4/1/2011	3629U00687
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Tester	4/14/2010	Annual	4/14/2011	US41140256
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	8/12/2010	Annual	8/12/2011	GB41450275
Agilent	85070B	Dielectric Probe Kit	8/22/2010	Annual	8/22/2011	US33020316
Agilent	8648D	(9kHz-4GHz) Signal Generator	10/11/2010	Annual	10/11/2011	3613A00315
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/11/2010	Annual	10/11/2011	GB46110872
Agilent	E5515C	Wireless Communications Test Set	10/11/2010	Annual	10/11/2011	GB46310798
Amplifier Research	5S1G4	5W, 800MHz-4.2GHz	N/A			17042
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	12/2/2009	Annual	12/2/2010	5318
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	12/2/2009	Annual	12/2/2010	5821
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	5442
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	1190013
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	98150041
Anritsu	ML2438A	Power Meter	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	1070030
Anritsu	MA2481A	Power Sensor	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	8013
Anritsu	MA2481A MA2481A	Power Sensor	12/3/2009	Annual	12/3/2010	2400
	ALS-PR-DIEL	Dielectric Probe Kit	N/A	Annual	N/A	2400
Aprel	80701A		10/11/2010	Annual		1833460
Gigatronics Gigatronics	8651A	(0.05-18GHz) Power Sensor			10/11/2011	8650319
Index SAR		Universal Power Meter	10/11/2010	Annual	10/11/2011	
	IXTL-010	Dielectric Measurement Kit	N/A		N/A	N/A
Index SAR	IXTL-030	30MM TEM line for 6 GHz	N/A		N/A	N/A
Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z32	Peak Power Sensor (100uW-2W)	12/5/2008	Biennial	12/5/2010	100155
Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z33	Peak Power Sensor (1mW-20W)	12/5/2008	Biennial	12/5/2010	100004
Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ03B	Signal Generator	4/1/2010	Annual	4/1/2011	DE27259
Rohde & Schwarz	CMU200	Base Station Simulator	6/21/2010	Annual	6/21/2011	833855/0010
Rohde & Schwarz	CMW500	LTE Radio Communication Tester	8/30/2010	Annual	8/30/2011	100976
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	1/8/2009	Biennial	1/8/2011	797
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	1/15/2009	Biennial	1/15/2011	1057
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	1/19/2009	Biennial	1/19/2011	4d047
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	1/20/2009	Biennial	1/20/2011	502
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	1/22/2010	Annual	1/22/2011	649
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	1/26/2010	Annual	1/26/2011	3550
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	2/10/2010	Annual	2/10/2011	3173
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	3/16/2010	Annual	3/16/2011	3213
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	3/22/2010	Annual	3/22/2011	704
SPEAG	ES3DV3	SAR Probe	4/20/2010	Annual	4/20/2011	3209
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	4/21/2010	Annual	4/21/2011	665
SPEAG	D1765V2	1765 MHz SAR Dipole	5/19/2009	Biennial	5/19/2011	1008
SPEAG	D1450V2	1450 MHz SAR Dipole	5/20/2009	Biennial	5/20/2011	1025
SPEAG	DAE4	Dasy Data Acquisition Electronics	7/8/2010	Annual	7/8/2011	859
SPEAG	D2600V2	2600 MHz SAR Dipole	8/12/2009	Biennial	8/12/2011	1004
SPEAG	D1900V2	1900 MHz SAR Dipole	8/18/2009	Biennial	8/18/2011	5d080
SPEAG	D5GHzV2	5 GHz SAR Dipole	8/19/2009	Biennial	8/19/2011	1007
SPEAG	EX3DV4	SAR Probe	8/19/2010	Annual	8/19/2011	3561
SPEAG	D835V2	835 MHz SAR Dipole	8/24/2009	Biennial	8/24/2011	4d026
SPEAG	D2450V2	2450 MHz SAR Dipole	8/27/2009	Biennial	8/27/2011	719
SPEAG	ES3DV2	SAR Probe	9/21/2010	Annual	9/21/2011	3022
SPEAG	D1640V2	1640 MHz Dipole	8/17/2010	Biennial	8/17/2012	321
SPEAG	D750V3	750 MHz Dipole	8/19/2010	Annual	8/19/2011	1003

Justification for 2-year calibration cycle for SAR dipoles is found in Section 14.3.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager			
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 29 of 37			
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 29 01 57			
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.					

17 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES

Applicable for 700 – 3000 MHz.

а	b	с	d	e=	f	g	h =	i =	k
				f(d,k)			c x f/e	c x g/e	
Uncertainty	IEEE	Tol.	Prob.		Ci	C _i	1gm	10gms	
Component	1528 Sec.	(± %)	Dist.	Div.	1gm	10 gms	u _i	u _i	v,
	Sec.	(_ / • /			.3	.	(± %)	(± %)	
Measurement System									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	5.5	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	5.5	5.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.25	Ν	1	0.7	0.7	0.2	0.2	∞
Hemishperical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.3	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	1.3	1.3	x
Boundary Effect	E.2.3	0.4	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	0.4	0.4	x
Linearity	E.2.4	0.3	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	0.3	0.3	x
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	5.1	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	5.1	5.1	x
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	1.0	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	8
Response Time	E.2.7	0.8	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.5	0.5	8
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.6	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.5	1.5	8
RF Ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	8
Probe Positioner Mechanical Tolerance		0.4	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.2	0.2	8
Probe Positioning w/ respect to Phantom		2.9	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.7	1.7	8
Extrapolation, Interpolation & Integration algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation		1.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.6	8
Test Sample Related									
Test Sample Positioning	E.4.2	6.0	Ν	1	1.0	1.0	6.0	6.0	287
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1	3.32	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	1.9	1.9	∞
Output Power Variation - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.9	2.9	∞
Phantom & Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape & Thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	1.73	1.0	1.0	2.3	2.3	∞
Liquid Conductivity - deviation from target values	E.3.2	5.0	R	1.73	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2	∞
Liquid Conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	3.8	N	1	0.64	0.43	2.4	1.6	6
Liquid Permittivity - deviation from target values		5.0	R	1.73	0.60	0.49	1.7	1.4	x
Liquid Permittivity - measurement uncertainty		4.5	N	1	0.60	0.49	2.7	2.2	6
Combined Standard Uncertainty (k=1)			RSS				11.8	11.5	299
Expanded Uncertainty			k=2				23.7	23.0	
(95% CONFIDENCE LEVEL)									

The above measurement uncertainties are according to IEEE Std. 1528-2003

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 30 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Ŭ
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M 10/28/2010

18 CONCLUSION

18.1 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables. [3]

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 31 of 37
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage ST 01 57
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	aboratory, Inc.		REV 8.7M

19 REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.
- [2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.
- [3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 300kHz to 100GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.
- [4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.
- [5] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65 (Edition 97-01), Supplement C (Edition 01-01), Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields, June 2001.
- [6] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 34 IEEE Std. 1528-2003, Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices.
- [7] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.
- [8] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.
- [9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 120-124.
- [10] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [11] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.
- [12] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Head Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.
- [13] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.
- [14] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.
- [15] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager		
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 32 of 37		
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 52 01 57		
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.					

- [16] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [17] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.
- [18] Federal Communications Commission, OET Bulletin 65, Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields. Supplement C, Dec. 1997.
- [19] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.
- [20] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields High-frequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.
- [21] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hoschschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.
- [22] IEC 62209-1, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 1: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz), Feb. 2005.
- [23] Industry Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 4, March 2010.
- [24] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz 300 GHz, 2009
- [25] FCC Public Notice DA-02-1438. Office of Engineering and Technology Announces a Transition Period for the Phantom Requirements of Supplement C to OET Bulletin 65, June 19, 2002
- [26] FCC SAR Measurement Procedures for 3G Devices KDB Publication 941225
- [27] SAR Measurement procedures for IEEE 802.11a/b/g KDB Publication 248227
- [28] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publication 648474
- [29] FCC Application Note for SAR Probe Calibration and System Verification Consideration for Measurements at 150 MHz – 3 GHz, KDB Publication 450824
- [30] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop Computers with Antennas Built-in on Display Screens, KDB Publication 616217
- [31] FCC SAR Measurement Requirements for 3 6 GHz, KDB Publication 865664
- [32] FCC Mobile Portable RF Exposure Procedure, KDB Publication 447498
- [33] FCC SAR Procedures for Dongle Transmitters, KDB Publication 447498
- [34] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Septembro de 2009.

FCC ID: A3LSCHLC11		SAR COMPLIANCE REPORT	Reviewed by: Quality Manager			
Filename:	Test Dates:	EUT Type:	Page 33 of 37			
0Y1011101830.A3L	11/09/10 - 11/11/10	Cellular/PCS EVDO and 750 MHz LTE Portable 2.4 GHz WIFI Wireless Router	Fage 55 01 57			
© 2010 PCTEST Engineering La	© 2010 PCTEST Engineering Laboratory, Inc.					

APPENDIX A: SAR TEST DATA

PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Side B

Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 19.1 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.441 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.315 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.215 mW/g



PCTEST ENGINEERING LABORATORY, INC.

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Side C

Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 8.12 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.085 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.058 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.038 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Front

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 20.9 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.691 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.475 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.318 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Front

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 20.9 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.691 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.475 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.318 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Side B

Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 13.9 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.229 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.164 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.113 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: Cellular CDMA; Frequency: 836.52 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 836.52 MHz; $\sigma = 0.965$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: Cellular CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid.ch, Back

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 21.5 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.669 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.444 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.290 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Side B

Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 19.6 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.834 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.522 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.320 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 0.567 mW/g$

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Side C

Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 21.0 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.27 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.654 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.313 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 0.759 \, mW/g$

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Front

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 23.5 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.36 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.743 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.393 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Side A

Area Scan (5x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.19 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.183 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.115 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.068 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Back

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 25.4 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.56 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.863 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.463 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #1


Communication System: PCS CDMA; Frequency: 1880 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 1880 MHz; $\sigma = 1.53$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: PCS CDMA, EVDO Rev.0, Body SAR, Mid ch, Back

Area Scan (7x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 25.4 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.56 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.863 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.463 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Side B

Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 15.7 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.907 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.472 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.231 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Side B

Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 15.7 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.907 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.472 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.231 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Front

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mmZoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mmReference Value = 12.0 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.533 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.302 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.167 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Side D

Area Scan (5x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.71 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.330 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.183 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.098 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 0.226 \, mW/g$

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Side A

Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 4.92 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.078 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.044 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.024 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: IEEE 802.11b; Frequency: 2437 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 2437 MHz; $\sigma = 1.98$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: IEEE 802.11b, Body SAR, Ch. 06, 1 Mbps, Back Side

Area Scan (7x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 12.5 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.563 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.323 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.179 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; $\sigma = 0.982$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 0, QPSK , 10MHz BW, Side B

Area Scan (5x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 22.2 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.789 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.518 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.338 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 0.555 \, mW/g$

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; $\sigma = 0.982$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 49, QPSK, 10MHz BW, Side C

Area Scan 2 (6x9x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 20.1 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.516 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.376 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.255 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; $\sigma = 0.982$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 0, QPSK, 10MHz BW, Front

Area Scan (6x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 32.9 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.48 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 0.993 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.645 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; σ = 0.982 mho/m; ε_r = 55.2; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 0, QPSK, 10MHz BW, Side A

Area Scan 2 (6x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm **Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 9.57 V/mPeak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.106 W/kgSAR(1 g) = 0.076 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.054 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 0.082 \, mW/g$

DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; $\sigma = 0.982$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 0, QPSK, 10MHz BW, Back

Area Scan (6x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 33.2 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.70 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.692 mW/g



DUT: A3LSCHLC11; Type: Cell/PCS EVDO and 750MHz LTE Portable 2.4GHz WIFI Wireless Router Serial: FCC #3


Communication System: LTE RF; Frequency: 782 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 782 MHz; $\sigma = 0.982$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

Mode: 750MHz LTE, Body SAR, Mid Ch., RB1, Offset 0, QPSK, 10MHz BW, Back

Area Scan (6x10x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 33.2 V/m Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.70 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 1.09 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.692 mW/g



APPENDIX B: DIPOLE VALIDATION

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: 4d026


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 835 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.964$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.8$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat SectionSpace: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.6 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.3 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(8.3, 8.3, 8.3); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 5mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

835MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x13x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Input Power = 20.0 dBm (100 mW) SAR(1 g) = 0.987 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.645 mW/g Deviation = 0.92 %



DUT: SAR Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: 5d080

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 1900 Muscle; Medium parameters used (interpolated): f = 1900 MHz; $\sigma = 1.56$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 54.3$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm


Test Date: 11-10-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.5 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.63, 6.63, 6.63); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Sub; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1357 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

1900MHz System Verification

Area Scan (5x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Input Power = 16.0 dBm (40 mW) SAR(1 g) = 1.65 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.852 mW/g

Deviation = 1.85 %



DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: 1003


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 750 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 750 Muscle Medium parameters used: f = 750 MHz; $\sigma = 0.951$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 55.5$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.5 cm

Test Date: 11-09-2010; Ambient Temp: 23.7 °C; Tissue Temp: 22.4 °C

Probe: ES3DV2 - SN3022; ConvF(6.09, 6.09, 6.09); Calibrated: 9/21/2010 Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn665; Calibrated: 4/21/2010 Phantom: SAM with CRP; Type: SAM; Serial: TP1375 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

750MHz System Verification

Area Scan (7x13x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Input Power = 24.0 dBm (250 mW) SAR(1 g) = 2.1 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.39 mW/g Deviation = -5.41 %



DUT: SAR Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 719


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz;Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: 2450 Muscle; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 2$ mho/m; $\varepsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section; Space: 1.0 cm

Test Date: 11-11-2010; Ambient Temp: 24.2 °C; Tissue Temp: 23.1 °C

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3550; ConvF(6.4, 6.4, 6.4); Calibrated: 1/26/2010 Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection) Electronics: DAE4 Sn649; Calibrated: 1/22/2010 Phantom: SAM Main; Type: SAM 4.0; Serial: TP-1114 Measurement SW: DASY4, V4.7 Build 80; Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD, V1.8 Build 186

2450MHz System Verification

Area Scan (5x7x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Input Power = 14.0 dBm (25 mW) SAR(1 g) = 2.03 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 0.937 mW/g Deviation = -1.26 %



0 dB = 2.57 mW/g

APPENDIX C: PROBE CALIBRATION

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S

- Service suisse d'étalonnage
- Servizio svizzero di taratura

S Swiss Calibration Service

Certificate No: EX3-3550 Jan10

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

PC Test

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

С

Client PC Test		Ce	rtificate No: EX3-3550_Jan10
CALIBRATION	CERTIFICAT	Έ	
Object	EX3DV4 - SN:3	550	
Calibration procedure(s)	F1222A405F6250A63390000000000000000000000000000000000	QA CAL-14.v3, QA CAL-2 edure for dosimetric E-fie	
Calibration date:	January 26, 201	0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
			1/20/10
	•		physical units of measurements (SI). g pages and are part of the certificate.
All calibrations have been cond	ucted in the closed laborat	ory facility: environment temperatur	e (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (Ma	&TE critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-09 (No. 217-01030)	Apr-10
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01026)	Mar-10
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01028)	Mar-10
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01027)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-09 (No. ES3-3013_De	c09) Dec-10
DAE4	SN: 660	29-Sep-09 (No. DAE4-660_Se	p09) Sep-10
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-	
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct	
Network Analyzer The 0705E	10031390303		
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manage	r Soli Ily
Approved by:	Fin Bomholt	R&D Director	F. Brochell
			Issued: January 26, 2010
This calibration certificate shall	not be reproduced except	in full without written approval of the	e laboratory.

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

C Service suisse d'étalonnage

Servizio svizzero di taratura

S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization 9	9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),
	i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y, z: Assessed for E-field polarization θ = 0 (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz: R22 waveguide). NORMx, y, z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx, y, z does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- Ax,y,z; Bx,y,z; Cx,y,z, VRx,y,z: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx,y,z * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe EX3DV4

SN:3550

Manufactured: Last calibrated: Recalibrated: May 19, 2004 January 21, 2009 January 26, 2010

Calibrated for DASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 SN:3550

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm (μV/(V/m) ²) ^A	0.48	0.47	0.48	± 10.1%
DCP (mV) ^B	92.9	88.4	91.4	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR		A dB	B dBuV	С	VR mV	Unc ^e (k=2)
10000	cw	0.00	Х	0.00	0.00	1.00	300	± 1.5%
			Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	300	
		[z	0.00	0.00	1.00	300	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

⁸ Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the maximum deviation from linear response applying recatangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 SN:3550

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^C	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X Co	nvFY (ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	8.28	8.28	8.28	0.45	0.70 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	7.03	7.03	7.03	0.39	0.75 ± 11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	6.81	6.81	6.81	0.32	0.81 ± 11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	6.21	6.21	6.21	0.22	1.07 ± 11.0%

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

DASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 SN:3550


Calibration Parameter	r Determined in	Body Tissue	Simulating Media
-----------------------	-----------------	--------------------	------------------

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^C	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X Co	nvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
835	± 50 / ± 100	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	8.30	8.30	8.30	0.47	0.76 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	6.90	6.90	6.90	0.49	0.69 ±11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	6.63	6.63	6.63	0.76	0.54 ±11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	6.40	6.40	6.40	0.22	1.09 ±11.0%
2600	± 50 / ± 100	52.5 ± 5%	2.16 ± 5%	6.26	6.26	6.26	0.19	1.42 ± 11.0%
4950	± 50 / ± 100	49.4 ± 5%	5.01 ± 5%	3.64	3.64	3.64	0.50	1.75 ± 13.1%
5200	± 50 / ± 100	49.0 ± 5%	5.30 ± 5%	3.73	3.73	3.73	0.50	1.75 ± 13.1%
5300	± 50 / ± 100	48.5 ± 5%	5.42 ± 5%	3.52	3.52	3.52	0.52	1.75 ± 13.1%
5500	± 50 / ± 100	48.6 ± 5%	5.65 ± 5%	3.26	3.26	3.26	0.55	1.80 ± 13.1%
5600	± 50 / ± 100	48.5 ± 5%	5.77 ± 5%	3.16	3.16	3.16	0.65	1.80 ± 13.1%
5800	± 50 / ± 100	48.2 ± 5%	6.00 ± 5%	3.30	3.30	3.30	0.60	1.75 ±13.1%

^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.


Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)




Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)


Certificate No: EX3-3550_Jan10



Receiving Pattern (ϕ), $\vartheta = 0^{\circ}$




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head})


Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)



Conversion Factor Assessment

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (φ, ϑ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	9 mm
Tip Diameter	2.5 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	2 mm

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S

С

S

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage

LOF-9110

Servizio svizzero di taratura

Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA

Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Certificate No: ES3-3022_Sep10

CALIBRATIC	N CERTIFICATE
Object	ES3DV2 - SN:3022

Calibration procedure(s)

PC Test

QA CAL-01.v6, QA CAL-23.v3 and QA CAL-25.v2 Calibration procedure for dosimetric E-field probes

Calibration date:

Client

September 21, 2010

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter E4419B	GB41293874	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Power sensor E4412A	MY41495277	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Power sensor E4412A	MY41498087	1-Apr-10 (No. 217-01136)	Apr-11
Reference 3 dB Attenuator	SN: S5054 (3c)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01159)	Mar-11
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: S5086 (20b)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01161)	Mar-11
Reference 30 dB Attenuator	SN: S5129 (30b)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01160)	Mar-11
Reference Probe ES3DV2	SN: 3013	30-Dec-09 (No. ES3-3013_Dec09)	Dec-10
DAE4	SN: 660	20-Apr-10 (No. DAE4-660_Apr10)	Apr-11
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator HP 8648C	US3642U01700	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct10
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	r N /
			$= 1 - l \leq 1$
			[
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	2010
			permy
			Issued: September 22, 2010
This calibration certificate shall no	ot be reproduced except i	in full without written approval of the laboratory	

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S

- Service suisse d'étalonnage С
- Servizio svizzero di taratura S
 - **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORMx,y,z	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORMx,y,z
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A, B, C	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization φ	φ rotation around probe axis
Polarization 9	9 rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center),
	i.e., $\vartheta = 0$ is normal to probe axis

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx.v.z; Assessed for E-field polarization $\vartheta = 0$ (f ≤ 900 MHz in TEM-cell: f > 1800 MHz; R22 wavequide). NORMx,y,z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx,y,z does not effect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z * frequency response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx, v,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- Ax, y, z; Bx, y, z; Cx, y, z, VRx, y, z: A, B, C are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f ≤ 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx, y, z * ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MHz.
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.

Probe ES3DV2

SN:3022

Manufactured: Last calibrated: Recalibrated: April 15, 2003 September 18, 2009 September 21, 2010

Calibrated for DASY/EASY Systems

(Note: non-compatible with DASY2 system!)

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 SN:3022

Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)^A$	1.01	1.05	1.01	± 10.1%
DCP (mV) ⁸	92.8	92.5	89.7	

Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name	PAR	-	A dB	B dBuV	с	VR mV	Unc ^E (k=2)
10000	cw	0.00	х	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	± 1.5%
		:	Y	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	
			Z	0.00	0.00	1.00	300.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

^A The uncertainties of NormX,Y,Z do not affect the E²-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).

⁸ Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

^E Uncertainty is determined using the maximum deviation from linear response applying recatangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 SN:3022

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^C	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X Co	nvFY Co	nvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
750	± 50 / ± 100	41.9 ± 5%	0.89 ± 5%	6.32	6.32	6.32	0.87	1.01 ± 11.0%
835	± 50 / ± 100	41.5 ± 5%	0.90 ± 5%	6.02	6.02	6.02	0.62	1.20 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	40.1 ± 5%	1.37 ± 5%	5.01	5.01	5.01	0.27	2.23 ± 11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	40.0 ± 5%	1.40 ± 5%	4.83	4.83	4.83	0.25	2.29 ± 11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	39.2 ± 5%	1.80 ± 5%	4.21	4.21	4.21	0.25	2.62 ± 11.0%
2600	± 50 / ± 100	39.0 ± 5%	1.96 ± 5%	4.14	4.14	4.14	0.25	2.64 ± 11.0%

Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media


^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.

DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: ES3DV2 SN:3022

f [MHz]	Validity [MHz] ^C	Permittivity	Conductivity	ConvF X Co	nvFY Co	onvF Z	Alpha	Depth Unc (k=2)
750	± 50 / ± 100	55.5 ± 5%	0.96 ± 5%	6.09	6.09	6.09	0.68	1.20 ± 11.0%
835	± 50 / ± 100	55.2 ± 5%	0.97 ± 5%	5.89	5.89	5.89	0.65	1.20 ± 11.0%
1750	± 50 / ± 100	53.4 ± 5%	1.49 ± 5%	4.59	4.59	4.59	0.23	2.83 ±11.0%
1900	± 50 / ± 100	53.3 ± 5%	1.52 ± 5%	4.34	4.34	4.34	0.22	3.71 ± 11.0%
2450	± 50 / ± 100	52.7 ± 5%	1.95 ± 5%	4.06	4.06	4.06	0.41	1.42 ± 11.0%
2600	± 50 / ± 100	52.5 ± 5%	2.16 ± 5%	4.06	4.06	4.06	0.53	1.23 ± 11.0%

Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media


^c The validity of ± 100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2). The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band.




Frequency Response of E-Field

(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)


Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)



Receiving Pattern (ϕ **),** ϑ = 0°




Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment: ± 0.5% (k=2)



Dynamic Range f(SAR_{head}) (Waveguide R22, f = 1800 MHz)


Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2)



Conversion Factor Assessment

Deviation from Isotropy in HSL

Error (ϕ , ϑ), f = 900 MHz



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Other Probe Parameters

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	Not applicable
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disabled
Probe Overall Length	337 mm
Probe Body Diameter	10 mm
Tip Length	10 mm
Tip Diameter	4.0 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	2 mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	2 mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	3 mm

Schmic Engir	ation Laboratory 1 & Partner neering AG strasse 43, 8004 Zurich	-	BC-MRA	GWISS C. D. Z. P. ORATIO	C Serv	weizerlscher Kalibrier vice suisse d'étalonna vizio svizzero di taratu ss Calibration Service	g o ra
The Swis		Itation Service (SAS) e is one of the signatories ecognition of calibration		Accredita	ation No.:	SCS 108	
Client	PC Test			Certificat	e No:- D8	35V2-4d026_Au	g09
GAL	BRAHIONE	BRIECAI					
							3
Object		D835V2 - SN: 4d	026				
Calibratic	on procedure(s)	QA CAL-05.v7 Calibration proce	dure for dipole	validation kits			A STATE AND A S
Calibratic	on date:	August 24, 2009					175 A
Condition	of the calibrated item	In Tolerance					Jak
The mean	surements and the uncer	ents the traceability to nation rtainties with confidence pr sted in the closed laborator TE critical for calibration)	obability are given	on the following page	es and are p	part of the certificate.	52 52 8/3/09
Drimona	Newdords	10.#	Cal Data (Cartifi	NATA NA)		Scheduled Calibration	
r	Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certific			Oct-09	
	eter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 2	-		Oct-09	
	ensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 2			Mar-10	
	e 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g) SN: 5047.2 / 06327	31-Mar-09 (No. 2 31-Mar-09 (No. 2			Mar-10 Mar-10	
	vismatch combination e Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205		S3-3205_Jun09)		Jun-10	
DAE4	e FIODE ESSEVS	SN: 601	•	DAE4-601_Mar09)		Mar-10	
		1 314. 001	07-Mai-08 (140, 1	AE4-001_Mar03)		Mat-10	
Seconda	ry Standards	ID#	Check Date (in h	ouse)		Scheduled Check	
	nsor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in ho	use check Oct-07)		In house check: Oct-0	9
RF gener	rator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in hou	se check Oct-07)		In house check: Oct-0	9
	Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in ho	use check Oct-08)		In house check: Oct-0	9
			<u>_</u>	P		Classifica	
		Name	Fund			Signature	esternette:
Calibrate	d by:	Jeton Kastrati	Labo	ratory Technician		- ll-	
Approved	l by:	Kaya Pokovic	Tec	inical Manager	j. J	Ze llej	2
						Issued: August 25, 200	9
This calib	pration certificate shall no	ot be reproduced except in	full without written	approval of the labora	atory.		

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- S Service suisse d'étalonnage
- С Servizio svizzero di taratura
- S **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of callbration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1. "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V4.9	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	835 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	41.5	0.90 mho/m
Meas fired Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	41.2 ± 6 %	0.90 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.37 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	9.48 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	9.46 mW /g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.55 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	6.20 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	6.19 mW /g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

¹ Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.2	0.97 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	53.4 ± 6 %	0.99 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.5 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.50 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	10.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	9.78 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)
		·····
SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.63 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	6.52 mW / g
SAR normalized		0.02 11147

² Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	51.0 Ω - 7.5 jΩ
Return Loss	- 22.5 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	46.9 Ω - 8.6 jΩ
Return Loss	- 20.6 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.388 ns

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG	
Manufactured on	December 17, 2004	

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 24.08.2009 13:11:23

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:4d026


Communication System: CW-835; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL 900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.9$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 41.2$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:


- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(6.04, 6.04, 6.04); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin=250mW; dip=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 57.1 V/m; Power Drift = 0.016 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.55 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 2.37 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.55 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.77 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 2.77 mW/g$



Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 835 MHz; Type: D835V2; Serial: D835V2 - SN:4d026


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 835 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: MSL900 Medium parameters used: f = 835 MHz; $\sigma = 0.99$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.4$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(5.97, 5.97, 5.97); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45


Pin = 250mW, d = 15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 55.8 V/m; Power Drift = 0.014 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.71 W/kgSAR(1 g) = 2.5 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.63 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.92 mW/g



0 dB = 2.92 mW/g

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland

PC Test

Client





S

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- Service suisse d'étalonnage С
- Servizio svizzero di taratura S
 - **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Certificate No: D1900V2-5d080-Aug09

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	D1900V2 - SN: 5	d080	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-05.v7 Calibration proce	dure for dipole validation kits	
Calibration date:	August 18, 2009		
Calloration date.	August 10, 2003		
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance		oK./
		onal standards, which realize the physical units robability are given on the following pages and	
All calibrations have been conduc	ted in the closed laborator	y facility: environment temperature (22 \pm 3)°C a	, and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&T	E critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01025)	Mar-10
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01029)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	26-Jun-09 (No. ES3-3205_Jun09)	Jun-10
DAE4	SN: 601	07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09)	Mar-10
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Claudio Leubler	Laboratory Technician	lah
Approved by:	Kalja Pokovic	Technical Manager	Jel Mig
This calibration certificate shall no	t be reproduced except in	full without written approval of the laboratory.	Issued: August 19, 2009

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner

Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





- Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst S
- Service suisse d'étalonnage
- С Servizio svizzero di taratura
- S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossarv:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) CENELEC EN 50361, "Basic standard for the measurement of Specific Absorption Rate related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields from mobile phones (300 MHz - 3 GHz), July 2001
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed • point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	1900 MHz ± 1 MHz	- 18.24

Head TSL parameters The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	40.0	1.40 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	40.8 ± 6 %	1.45 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	condition	1000 ·
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.2 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	40.8 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	40.1 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.30 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	21.2 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	21.0 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

¹ Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	53.3	1.52 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	53.9 ± 6 %	1.57 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm^3 (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	10.3 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	41.2 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	40.5 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	ennaria en antico en
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.41 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	21.6 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	21.5 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

² Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.0 Ω + 6.1 jΩ
Return Loss	- 24.3 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.1 Ω + 5.7 jΩ
Return Loss	- 23.6 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.193 ns

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	June 28, 2006

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 05.08.2009 14:25:51

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d080


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL U11 BB Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz; σ = 1.45 mho/m; ε_r = 40.8; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(5.09, 5.09, 5.09); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45


Pin = 250 mW; dip = 10 mm, scan at 3.0 mm/Zoom Scan (dist=3.0 mm, probe 0deg)

(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 94.9 V/m; Power Drift = 0.040 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 18.7 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 10.2 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.3 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 12.6 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 12.6 \, mW/g$

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 18.08.2009 14:14:25

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 1900 MHz; Type: D1900V2; Serial: D1900V2 - SN:5d080


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 1900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: MSL U10 BB Medium parameters used: f = 1900 MHz; σ = 1.57 mho/m; ε_r = 53.9; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(4.59, 4.59, 4.59); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin = 250 mW; dip = 10 mm, scan at 3.0mm/Zoom Scan (dist=3.0mm, probe 0deg)

(7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 96.7 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00545 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 17.7 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 10.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 5.41 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.1 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 13.1 \, mW/g$

18 Aug 2009 10:46:59 CH1 S11 1 U FS 2:47.070 A 5.7227 A 479.36 pH 1 900.000 000 MHz * CH1 Markers De 1 1:41.258 Ω -14.293 Ω 1.80000 GHz Cor Av9 16 t CH2 S11 LOG 5 dB/REF -20 dB 2:-23.598 dB 1 900.000 000 MHz CH2 Markers 1:-14.828 dB 1.80000 GHz Cor $\frac{2}{V}$ Av9 16 Ť START 1 600.000 000 MHz STOP 2 000.000 000 MHz

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

Calibration Laboratory of Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst C Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura

S Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Client PC Test

Certificate No: D750V3-1003_Aug10

CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object	D750V3 - SN: 100	03	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-05.v7 Calibration proced	dure for dipole validation kits	
Calibration date:	August 19, 2010		40K 110
		onal standards, which realize the physical units of robability are given on the following pages and are	
All calibrations have been conduct	ed in the closed laborator	y facility: environment temperature (22 \pm 3)°C and	l humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&T	E critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	06-Oct-09 (No. 217-01086)	Oct-10
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	06-Oct-09 (No. 217-01086)	Oct-10
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01158)	Mar-11
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	30-Mar-10 (No. 217-01162)	Mar-11
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	30-Apr-10 (No. ES3-3205_Apr10)	Apr-11
DAE4	SN: 601	10-Jun-10 (No. DAE4-601_Jun10)	Jun-11
Casandani Standarda	1D#	Oberly Data (in bayraa)	Oshadulad Ohaak
Secondary Standards Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-09) 4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-09)	In house check: Oct-11 In house check: Oct-10
Network Analyzer in 0755E	0307090000 04200	18-OCI-01 (III HOUSE CHECK OCI-03)	In nouse check. Out-to
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician 🦿	Ń
-			{//~~~~~
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
			Jel az
This calibration cartificate shall no	t be reproduced except in	full without written annroval of the laboratory	Issued: August 23, 2010

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- C Service suisse d'étalonnage
 - Servizio svizzero di taratura
- Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields; Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.2
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V4.9	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	15 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	750 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Body TSL parameters The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	55.5	0.96 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	55.2 ± 6 %	0.97 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	2.24 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	8.96 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	8.88 mW / g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	1.50 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	6.00 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	5.96 mW / g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.7 Ω - 3.3 jΩ
Return Loss	- 29.6 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.045 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	January 21, 2009

DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 19.08.2010 14:22:09

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 750 MHz; Type: D750V3; Serial: D750V3 - SN:1003


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 750 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: MSL U11 BB Medium parameters used: f = 750 MHz; σ = 0.97 mho/m; ϵ_r = 55.2; ρ = 1000 kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2007)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(6.08, 6.08, 6.08); Calibrated: 30.04.2010
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 10.06.2010
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9L; Type: QD000P49AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY52, V52.2 Build 0, Version 52.2.0 (163)
- Postprocessing SW: SEMCAD X, V14.2 Build 2, Version 14.2.2 (1685)


Pin=250mW; dip=15mm; dist=3.0mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid:

dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 53.2 V/m; Power Drift = 0.013 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.23 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 2.24 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 1.5 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.59 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 2.59 \, mW/g$

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





S Schweizerlscher Kalibrierdienst

C Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura

Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Certificate No: D2450V2+719_Aug09

S

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

PC Test Client

GALBRAHONE	ier ier (674) e		
Object	D2450V2 - SN: 7	19	
Calibration procedure(s)	QA CAL-05.v7 Calibration proce	dure for dipole validation kits	
Calibration date:	August 27, 2009		
Condition of the calibrated item	In Tolerance		of measurements (SI). $\sqrt{\frac{3}{9}}$
		onal standards, which realize the physical units obability are given on the following pages and	of measurements (SI). $\sqrt[3]{/\odot}$
All calibrations have been conduc	ted in the closed laborator	y facility: environment temperature (22 \pm 3)°C a	and humidity < 70%.
Calibration Equipment used (M&T	E critical for calibration)		
Primary Standards	ID#	Cal Date (Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter EPM-442A	GB37480704	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Power sensor HP 8481A	US37292783	08-Oct-08 (No. 217-00898)	Oct-09
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: 5086 (20g)	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01025)	Mar-10
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 5047.2 / 06327	31-Mar-09 (No. 217-01029)	Mar-10
Reference Probe ES3DV3	SN: 3205	26-Jun-09 (No. ES3-3205_Jun09)	Jun-10
DAE4	SN: 601	07-Mar-09 (No. DAE4-601_Mar09)	Mar-10
Secondary Standards		Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power sensor HP 8481A	MY41092317	18-Oct-02 (in house check Oct-07)	in house check: Oct-09
RF generator R&S SMT-06	100005	4-Aug-99 (in house check Oct-07)	In house check: Oct-09
Network Analyzer HP 8753E	US37390585 S4206	18-Oct-01 (in house check Oct-08)	In house check: Oct-09
	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Jeton Kastrati	Laboratory Technician	7
			e state in Description
Approved by:	Katja Pokovic	Technical Manager	1 College
This calibration certificate shall no	t be reproduced except in	full without written approval of the laboratory.	Issued: August 27, 2009

Calibration Laboratory of

Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland





Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst

- S Service suisse d'étalonnage С
 - Servizio svizzero di taratura
- S **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Glossary:

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z
N/A	not applicable or not measured

Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2003, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", December 2003
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)", February 2005
- c) Federal Communications Commission Office of Engineering & Technology (FCC OET), "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields: Additional Information for Evaluating Compliance of Mobile and Portable Devices with FCC Limits for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Emissions", Supplement C (Edition 01-01) to Bulletin 65

Additional Documentation:

d) DASY4/5 System Handbook

Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

Measurement Conditions

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V5.0
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

Head TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	40.1 ± 6 %	1.80 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature during test	(22.3 ± 0.2) °C		

SAR result with Head TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.3 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	53.2 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	53.5 mW /g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.23 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	24.9 mW / g
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters ¹	normalized to 1W	25.0 mW /g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

¹ Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Body TSL parameters

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	53.2 ± 6 %	2.01 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature during test	(22.5 ± 0.2) °C	ev 10 = 00	

SAR result with Body TSL

SAR averaged over 1 cm ³ (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.0 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	52.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	51.4 mW /g ± 17.0 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm ³ (10 g) of Body TSL	condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.00 mW / g
SAR normalized	normalized to 1W	24.0 mW / g
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters ²	normalized to 1W	23.9 mW /g ± 16.5 % (k=2)

² Correction to nominal TSL parameters according to d), chapter "SAR Sensitivities"

Appendix

Antenna Parameters with Head TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.4 Ω + 1.8 jΩ
Return Loss	- 28.6 dB

Antenna Parameters with Body TSL

Impedance, transformed to feed point	48.2 Ω + 3.9 jΩ
Return Loss	- 27.2 dB

General Antenna Parameters and Design

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.150 ns

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

Additional EUT Data

Manufactured by	SPEAG
Manufactured on	September 10, 2002

DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL

Date/Time: 27.08.2009 11:14:47

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN719


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL U11 BB Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.8$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(4.53, 4.53, 4.53); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1001
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45


Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 101.4 V/m; Power Drift = 0.025 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 13.3 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6.23 mW/gMaximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.2 mW/g



 $0 \, dB = 17.2 \, mW/g$

Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL



DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL

Date/Time: 17.08.2009 15:35:28

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN:719


Communication System: CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: MSL U10 BB Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 2.01$ mho/m; $\epsilon_r = 53.1$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC)

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: ES3DV3 SN3205; ConvF(4.31, 4.31, 4.31); Calibrated: 26.06.2009
- Sensor-Surface: 3mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 07.03.2009
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (back); Type: QD000P50AA; Serial: 1002
- Measurement SW: DASY5, V5.0 Build 120; SEMCAD X Version 13.4 Build 45

Pin = 250 mW; d = 10 mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 94.8 V/m; Power Drift = -0.00649 dBPeak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.2 W/kg SAR(1 g) = 13 mW/g; SAR(10 g) = 6 mW/g Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17 mW/g



0 dB = 17 mW/g

Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL

