



SAR Test Report

For

Applicant Name: Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co., Ltd
Address: B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China
EUT Name: 2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video Baby Monitor
Model Number: BG1058
Series Model Number: Refer to section 2

Issued By

Company Name: BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.
Address: F101, 201 and 301, Building 1, Block 2, Tantou Industrial Park, Tantou Community, Songgang Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China

Report Number: BTF240527R00201
47 CFR Part 2.1093 IEC/IEEE 62209-1528: 2020
Test Standards: IEEE C95.1-2019 KDB 447498 D01 KDB 447498 D04
KDB 865664 D01 KDB 865664 D02 KDB 248227 D01
KDB 648474 D04 KDB 941225 D07 KDB 690783 D01
FCC ID: 2BDR5-56R
Test Conclusion: Pass
Test Date: 2024-05-29
Date of Issue: 2024-05-30

Prepared By: Amenda Zhong

Date: Amenda Zhong / Project Engineer
2024-05-30

Approved By: Ryan.CJ

Date: Ryan.CJ / EMC Manager
2024-05-30



Note: All the test results in this report only related to the testing samples. Which can be duplicated completely for the legal use with approval of applicant; it shall not be reproduced except in full without the written approval of BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd., All the objections should be raised within thirty days from the date of issue. To validate the report, you can contact us.

| Revision History | | |
|------------------|---|-------------------|
| Version | Issue Date | Revisions Content |
| R_V0 | 2024-05-30 | Original |
| | | |
| <i>Note:</i> | <i>Once the revision has been made, then previous versions reports are invalid.</i> | |

Table of Contents

| | |
|--|----|
| 1. Introduction | 4 |
| 1.1 Identification of Testing Laboratory | 4 |
| 1.2 Identification of the Responsible Testing Location | 4 |
| 1.3 Laboratory Condition | 4 |
| 1.4 Announcement | 4 |
| 2. Product Information | 5 |
| 2.1 Application Information | 5 |
| 2.2 Manufacturer Information | 5 |
| 2.3 Factory Information | 5 |
| 2.4 General Description of Equipment under Test (EUT) | 5 |
| 2.5 Equipment under Test Ancillary Equipment | 5 |
| 2.6 Technical Information | 5 |
| 3. Summary of Test Results | 6 |
| 3.1 Test Standards | 6 |
| 3.2 Device Category and SAR Limit | 7 |
| 3.3 Test Result Summary | 7 |
| 3.4 Test Uncertainty | 8 |
| 4. Measurement System | 10 |
| 4.1 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Definition | 10 |
| 4.2 MVG SAR System | 10 |
| 5. System Verification | 14 |
| 5.1 Purpose of System Check | 14 |
| 5.2 System Check Setup | 15 |
| 6. TEST POSITION CONFIGURATIONS | 15 |
| 6.1 UMPC test position | 15 |
| 6.2 Product Specific 10g Exposure Consideration | 16 |
| 7. Measurement Procedure | 17 |
| 7.1 Measurement Process Diagram | 17 |
| 7.2 SAR Scan General Requirement | 18 |
| 7.3 Measurement Procedure | 19 |
| 7.4 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure | 19 |
| 8. Conducted RF Output Power | 19 |
| 9. Test Exclusion Consideration | 20 |
| 9.1 SAR Test Exclusion Consideration Table | 20 |
| 10. Test Result | 21 |
| 11. SAR Measurement Variability | 21 |
| 12. Simultaneous Transmission | 22 |
| 12.1 Simultaneous Transmission Mode Considerations | 22 |
| 13. Test Equipment List | 23 |
| ANNEX A Simulating Liquid Verification Result | 24 |
| ANNEX B System Check Result | 24 |
| ANNEX C SAR Dipole Calibrations | 25 |
| ANNEX D Test Data | 28 |
| ANNEX E SAR Test Setup Photos | 32 |
| ANNEX F EUT External and Internal Photos | 34 |
| ANNEX G Calibration Information | 34 |

1. Introduction

1.1 Identification of Testing Laboratory

| | |
|---------------|---|
| Company Name: | BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. |
| Address: | F101, 201 and 301, Building 1, Block 2, Tantou Industrial Park, Tantou Community, Songgang Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China |
| Phone Number: | +86-0755-23146130 |
| Fax Number: | +86-0755-23146130 |

1.2 Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

| | |
|-------------------------|---|
| Test Location: | BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. |
| Address: | F101, 201 and 301, Building 1, Block 2, Tantou Industrial Park, Tantou Community, Songgang Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China |
| Description: | All measurement facilities used to collect the measurement data are located at F101,201 and 301, Building 1, Block 2, Tantou Industrial Park, Tantou Community, Songgang Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China |
| FCC Registration Number | 518915 |
| Designation Number | CN1330 |

1.3 Laboratory Condition

| | |
|----------------------------|--------------------|
| Ambient Temperature: | 21°C to 25°C |
| Ambient Relative Humidity: | 48% to 59% |
| Ambient Pressure: | 100 kPa to 102 kPa |

1.4 Announcement

- (1) The test report reference to the report template version v0.
- (2) The test report is invalid if not marked with the signatures of the persons responsible for preparing, reviewing and approving the test report.
- (3) The test report is invalid if there is any evidence and/or falsification.
- (4) This document may not be altered or revised in any way unless done so by BTF and all revisions are duly noted in the revisions section.
- (5) Content of the test report, in part or in full, cannot be used for publicity and/or promotional purposes without prior written approval from the laboratory.
- (6) The laboratory is only responsible for the data released by the laboratory, except for the part provided by the applicant.

2. Product Information

2.1 Application Information

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Company Name: | Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co., Ltd |
| Address: | B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China |

2.2 Manufacturer Information

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Company Name: | Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co., Ltd |
| Address: | B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China |

2.3 Factory Information

| | |
|---------------|--|
| Company Name: | Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co., Ltd |
| Address: | B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China |

2.4 General Description of Equipment under Test (EUT)

| | |
|---|--|
| EUT Name | 2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video Baby Monitor |
| Under Test Model Name | BG1058 |
| Series Model Name | HB6256, HB6256-2, BBM825, FK5363, FK5363-2, BBM820, VT506, VT506-2, BBM823, BL9057, BL9057-2, BBM828, BG1058-2, BBM832, HB6359, HB6359-2, BBM836, VV6010, VV6010-2, BBM838, JA2303, JA2303-2, BBM821, HB6053, HB6053-2, BBM824, HB6059, HB6059-2, BBM835 |
| Description of Model name differentiation | Only the model name and appearance are different, others are the same. |
| Software Version | 1.0 |
| Hardware Version | 1.1 |
| Sample No. | BTFSN240527009/1 E1 |

2.5 Equipment under Test Ancillary Equipment

| | | |
|---------------------|----------------------|---------|
| Ancillary Equipment | Rechargeable Battery | |
| | Capacity | 4000mAh |
| | Rated Voltage | 3.7V |

2.6 Technical Information

| | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|
| Network and Wireless connectivity | 2.4G FHSS |
|-----------------------------------|-----------|

The requirement for the following technical information of the EUT was tested in this report:

| | | |
|-------------------|--|---|
| Operating Mode | 2.4G FHSS | |
| Frequency Range | 2.4G FHSS | 2412MHz ~ 2469MHz |
| Antenna Type | 2.4G FHSS: Dipole Antenna | |
| Hotspot Function | Not Support | |
| Power Reduction | Not Support | |
| Exposure Category | General Population/Uncontrolled exposure | |
| EUT Stage | Portable Device | |
| Product | Type | |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Production unit | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Identical prototype |

3. Summary of Test Results

3.1 Test Standards

| No. | Identity | Document Title |
|-----|---------------------------|---|
| 1 | 47 CFR Part 2.1093 | Radio frequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices |
| 2 | IEC/IEEE 62209-1528: 2020 | Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1528: Human models, instrumentation, and procedures (Frequency range of 4 MHz to 10 GHz) |
| 3 | IEEE C95.1-2019 | IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Electric, Magnetic, and Electromagnetic Fields, 0 Hz to 300 GHz |
| 4 | KDB 447498 D01 | General RF Exposure Guidance v06 |
| 5 | KDB 447498 D04 | Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01 |
| 6 | KDB 865664 D01 | SAR measurement 100MHz to 6GHz v01r04 |
| 7 | KDB 865664 D02 | RF Exposure Reporting v01r02 |
| 8 | KDB 248227 D01 | 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02 |
| 9 | KDB 648474 D04 | Handset SAR v01r03 |
| 10 | KDB 941225 D07 | UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02 |
| 11 | KDB 690783 D01 | SAR Listings on Grant v01r03 |

3.2 Device Category and SAR Limit

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.

| Body Position | SAR Value (W/Kg) | |
|--|--|--------------------------------------|
| | General Population/ Uncontrolled Exposure | Occupational/ Controlled Exposure |
| Whole-Body SAR (averaged over the entire body) | 0.08 | 0.4 |
| Partial-Body SAR (averaged over any 1 gram of tissue) | 1.60 | 8.0 |
| SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles (averaged over any 10 grams of tissue) | 4.0 | 20.0 |

NOTE:
General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure: Locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. General population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.
Occupational/Controlled Exposure: Locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

3.3 Test Result Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as follows:

< Highest Reported standalone SAR Summary >

| Frequency Band | | Antenna Status | Maximum Reported SAR (W/kg) 1 g |
|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------------|
| | | | UMPC SAR (Separation 0 mm) |
| 2.4G FHSS | 2412.0MHz | Folded | 0.499 |
| | | Unfolded | 0.380 |
| Limits (W/kg) | | | 1.6 |
| Test Verdict | | | Pass |

3.4 Test Uncertainty

3.4.1 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for SAR test

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for SAR test (300MHz to 6GHz)

| Uncertainty Component | Tol (+-%) | Prob. Dist. | Div. | Ci (1g) | Ci (10g) | 1g Ui (+-%) | 10 g Ui (+-%) | Vi veff |
|---|-----------|-------------|------|---------|----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Measurement System | | | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | 5.8 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.80 | 5.80 | ∞ |
| Axial Isotropy | 3.5 | R | √3 | √0.5 | √0.5 | 1.43 | 1.43 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | 5.9 | R | √3 | √0.5 | √0.5 | 2.41 | 2.41 | ∞ |
| Boundary effect | 1.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| Linearity | 4.7 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.71 | ∞ |
| System detection limits | 1.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| Modulation response | 3.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | 0.5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | ∞ |
| Response Time | 0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | 1.4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| RF ambient Conditions - Noise | 3.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| RF ambient Conditions - Reflections | 3.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance | 1.4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | 1.4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation | 2.3 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.33 | 1.33 | ∞ |
| Test sample Related | | | | | | | | |
| Test sample positioning | 2.6 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2.60 | 2.60 | 11 |
| Device Holder Uncertainty | 3.0 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 7 |
| Output power Variation - SAR drift measurement | 5.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.89 | 2.89 | ∞ |
| SAR scaling | 2.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.15 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| Phantom Shell Uncertainty - Shape, Thickness and Permittivity | 4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.31 | 2.31 | ∞ |
| Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation in permittivity and conductivity | 2.0 | N | 1 | 1 | 0.84 | 2.00 | 1.68 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 4.0 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 3.12 | 2.84 | 5 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 5.0 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 1.15 | 1.30 | 5 |
| Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty | 2.5 | R | √3 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.13 | 1.02 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty | 2.5 | R | √3 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.38 | ∞ |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | RSS | | | | 10.47 | 10.34 | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval) | | k | | | | 20.95 | 20.69 | |

* This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2.

3.4.2 Measurement uncertainty evaluation for system check

| Uncertainty Component | Tol (+-%) | Prob. Dist. | Div. | Ci (1g) | Ci (10 g) | 1g Ui (+-%) | 10 g Ui (+-%) | Vi veff |
|---|-----------|-------------|------|---------|-----------|-------------|---------------|---------|
| Measurement System | | | | | | | | |
| Probe calibration | 5.8 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.80 | 5.80 | ∞ |
| Axial Isotropy | 3.5 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.02 | 2.02 | ∞ |
| Hemispherical Isotropy | 5.9 | R | √3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ∞ |
| Boundary effect | 1 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| Linearity | 4.7 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.71 | 2.71 | ∞ |
| System detection limits | 1 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.58 | 0.58 | ∞ |
| Modulation response | 0 | N | √3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ∞ |
| Readout Electronics | 0.5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0.50 | 0.50 | ∞ |
| Response Time | 0 | R | √3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ∞ |
| Integration Time | 1.4 | R | √3 | 0 | 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | ∞ |
| RF ambient Conditions - Noise | 3 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| RF ambient Conditions - Reflections | 3 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.73 | 1.73 | ∞ |
| Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance | 1.4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell | 1.4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.81 | 0.81 | ∞ |
| Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algorithms for Max. SAR Evaluation | 2.3 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.33 | 1.33 | ∞ |
| Dipole | | | | | | | | |
| Deviation of experimental source from numerical source | 5 | N | 1 | 1 | 1 | 5.00 | 5.00 | ∞ |
| Input Power and SAR drift measurement | 0.5 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 0.29 | 0.29 | ∞ |
| Dipole Axis to Liquid Dist. | 2.0 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 1.15 | 1.15 | ∞ |
| Phantom and Tissue Parameters | | | | | | | | |
| Phantom Shell Uncertainty - Shape, Thickness and Permittivity | 4 | R | √3 | 1 | 1 | 2.31 | 2.31 | ∞ |
| Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation in permittivity and conductivity | 2.0 | N | 1 | 1 | 0.84 | 2.00 | 1.68 | ∞ |
| Liquid conductivity measurement | 4 | N | 1 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 3.12 | 2.84 | 5 |
| Liquid permittivity measurement | 5.0 | N | 1 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 1.15 | 1.30 | 5 |
| Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty | 2.5 | R | √3 | 0.78 | 0.71 | 1.13 | 1.02 | ∞ |
| Liquid permittivity - Temperature Uncertainty | 2.5 | R | √3 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.33 | 0.38 | ∞ |
| Combined Standard Uncertainty | | RSS | | | | 10.16 | 10.03 | |
| Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval) | | k | | | | 20.32 | 20.06 | |

4. Measurement System

4.1 Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) Definition

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$


SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue,
ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.

4.2 MVG SAR System

4.2.1 SAR system diagram



4.2.2 Robot



A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Denso) with teaches pendant with Scanning System

- It must be able to scan all the volume of the phantom to evaluate the tridimensional distribution of SAR.
- Must be able to set the probe orthogonal of the surface of the phantom ($\pm 30^\circ$).
- Detects stresses on the probe and stop itself if necessary to keep the integrity of the probe.

4.2.3 E-Field Probe

For the measurements, the Specific Dosimetric SSE2 E-Field Probe with following specifications is used:

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Tip diameter: 2mm for SSE2
- Distance between probe tip and sensor centre: 1mm for SSE2
- Distance between sensor centre and the inner phantom surface: 2mm for $f \geq 4\text{GHz}$.
- Probe linearity: $< 0.25\text{dB}$.
- Axial Isotropy: $< 0.25\text{dB}$.
- Spherical Isotropy: $< 0.50\text{dB}$.
- Calibration range: 150 to 6000 MHz for head & body simulating liquid
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 20° .



4.2.4 Phantoms

SAM Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The probe scanning of the E-Field is done in the 2 halves of the normalized head. The normalized shape of the phantom corresponds to the dimensions of 90% of an adult head size. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right-hand phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified body performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.



SAM Phantom

The thickness of the phantom amounts to $2\text{ mm} \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$. The materials for the phantom do not affect the radiation of the device under test (DUT) : $\epsilon_r' < 5$
The head is filled with tissue simulating liquid. The hand do not have to be modeled.

| TWIN SAM phantom | | | |
|-------------------|---|-----------------------|------|
| | Mechanical | Electrical | |
| Overall thickness | $2 \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$ (except ear area) | Relative permittivity | 3.4 |
| Dimensions | 1000 mm(L) x 500 mm(W) x 200 mm(H) | Loss tangent | 0.02 |
| Maximum volume | 27 L | | |
| Material | Fiberglass based | | |

ELLIPTICAL Phantom

The phantom is for Body performance check filled with tissue-equivalent liquid to a depth of at least 150 mm, whose shell material is resistant to damage or reaction with tissue-equivalent liquid chemicals.




ELLI Phantom

The shape of the phantom is an ellipse with length $600\text{ mm} \pm 5\text{ mm}$ and width $400\text{ mm} \pm 5\text{ mm}$. The phantom shell is made of low-loss and low-permittivity material, having loss tangent $\tan \delta \leq 0.05$ and relative permittivity:
 $\epsilon_r' \leq 5$ for $f \leq 3\text{ GHz}$
 $3 \leq \epsilon_r' \leq 5$ for $f > 3\text{ GHz}$
 The thickness of the bottom-wall of the flat phantom is 2.0 mm with a tolerance of $\pm 0.2\text{ mm}$.

| Technical & mechanical characteristics | |
|--|---------------------------------|
| Shell thickness | $2\text{ mm} \pm 0.2\text{ mm}$ |
| Filling volume | 25 L |
| Dimensions | 600 mm x 400 mm x 200mm |
| Permittivity | 4.4 |
| Loss tangent | 0.017 |

4.2.5 Device Holder



| System Material | Permittivity | Loss tangent |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Delrin | 3.7 | 0.005 |


| System Material | Permittivity | Loss tangent |
|-----------------|--------------|--------------|
| PMMA | 2.9 | 0.028 |

(The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1°.)


4.2.6 Simulating Liquid

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5%.

Head Liquid Depth



Body Liquid Depth



The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquid and the theoretical Conductivity/Permittivity.


| Head (Reference IEEE1528) | | | | | | | | |
|-------------------------------------|-----------|--------------------|---------------|----------|------------------|----------|-----------------------------|-------------------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Water (%) | Sugar (%) | Cellulose (%) | Salt (%) | Preventol (%) | DGBE (%) | Conductivity σ (S/m) | Permittivity ϵ |
| 750 | 41.1 | 57.0 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.89 | 41.9 |
| 835 | 40.3 | 57.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.90 | 41.5 |
| 900 | 40.3 | 57.9 | 0.2 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.97 | 41.5 |
| 1800, 1900, 2000 | 55.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 44.5 | 1.4 | 40.0 |
| 2450 | 55.0 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 44.9 | 1.80 | 39.2 |
| 2600 | 54.9 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 45.0 | 1.96 | 39.0 |
| Frequency (MHz) | Water (%) | Hexyl Carbitol (%) | | | Triton X-100 (%) | | Conductivity σ (S/m) | Permittivity ϵ |
| 5200 | 62.52 | 17.24 | | | 17.24 | | 4.66 | 36.0 |
| 5800 | 62.52 | 17.24 | | | 17.24 | | 5.27 | 35.3 |
| Body (From instrument manufacturer) | | | | | | | | |
| Frequency (MHz) | Water (%) | Sugar (%) | Cellulose (%) | Salt (%) | Preventol (%) | DGBE (%) | Conductivity σ (S/m) | Permittivity ϵ |
| 750 | 51.7 | 47.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.96 | 55.5 |
| 835 | 50.8 | 48.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 0.97 | 55.2 |
| 900 | 50.8 | 48.2 | 0 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0 | 1.05 | 55.0 |
| 1800, 1900, 2000 | 70.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 29.4 | 1.52 | 53.3 |
| 2450 | 68.6 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 31.3 | 1.95 | 52.7 |
| 2600 | 68.2 | 0 | 0 | 0.1 | 0 | 31.7 | 2.16 | 52.5 |
| Frequency(MHz) | Water | DGBE (%) | | | Salt (%) | | Conductivity σ (S/m) | Permittivity ϵ |
| 5200 | 78.60 | 21.40 | | | / | | 5.30 | 49.00 |
| 5800 | 78.50 | 21.40 | | | 0.1 | | 6.00 | 48.20 |

5. System Verification

5.1 Purpose of System Check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. The setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

5.2 System Check Setup




6. TEST POSITION CONFIGURATIONS

According to KDB 941225 D07 UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02, small hand-held tablets and devices of similar form factors are tested for SAR compliance in use configurations described in the following subsections.

6.1 UMPC test position

The test procedures are applicable to devices with a display and overall diagonal dimension ≤ 20 cm (~ 7.9 ""). These devices are typically operated like a mini-tablet and are usually designed with certain UMPC features and operating characteristics; therefore, the term "UMPC Mini-Tablet" is used to identify the SAR test requirements for this category of devices. A composite test separation distance of 5 mm is applied to test UMPC mini-tablet transmitters and to maintain RF exposure conservativeness for the interactive operations associated with this type of devices.

UMPC mini-tablet devices must be tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at ≤ 25 mm from that surface or edge, at 5 mm separation from a flat phantom, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supported by the device to determine SAR compliance. When 1-g SAR is tested at 5 mm, 10-g SAR is not required.



6.2 Product Specific 10g Exposure Consideration


According with FCC KDB 648474 D04, for smart phones with a display diagonal dimension > 15.0 cm or an overall diagonal dimension > 16.0 cm that provide similar mobile web access and multimedia support found in mini-tablets or UMPC mini-tablets that support voice calls next to the ear, unless it is confirmed otherwise through KDB inquiries, the following phablet procedures should be applied to evaluate SAR compliance for each applicable wireless modes and frequency band. Devices marketed as phablets, regardless of form factors and operating characteristics must be tested as a phablet to determine SAR compliance;

The UMPC mini-tablet procedures must also be applied to test the SAR of all surfaces and edges with an antenna located at ≤ 25 mm from that surface or edge, in direct contact with a flat phantom, for 10-g extremity SAR according to the body-equivalent tissue dielectric parameters in KDB 865664 to address interactive hand use exposure conditions. The UMPC mini-tablet 1-g SAR at 5 mm is not required. When hotspot mode applies, 10-g extremity SAR is required only for the surfaces and edges with hotspot mode 1-g reported SAR > 1.2 W/kg.

7. Measurement Procedure

7.1 Measurement Process Diagram

Body SAR



IEC

7.2 SAR Scan General Requirement

Probe boundary effect error compensation is required for measurements with the probe tip closer than half a probe tip diameter to the phantom surface. Both the probe tip diameter and sensor offset distance must satisfy measurement protocols; to ensure probe boundary effect errors are minimized and the higher fields closest to the phantom surface can be correctly measured and extrapolated to the phantom surface for computing 1 g SAR. Tolerances of the post-processing algorithms must be verified by the test laboratory for the scan resolutions used in the SAR measurements, according to the reference distribution functions specified in IEC/IEEE 62209-1528: 2020.

Table 3 – Area scan parameters

| Parameter | DUT transmit frequency being tested | |
|---|---|---|
| | $f \leq 3$ GHz | 3 GHz < $f \leq 10$ GHz |
| Maximum distance between the measured points (geometric centre of the sensors) and the inner phantom surface (z_{M1} in Figure 20 in mm) | 5 ± 1 | $\delta \ln(2)/2 \pm 0,5^a$ |
| Maximum spacing between adjacent measured points in mm (see O.8.3.1) ^b | 20, or half of the corresponding zoom scan length, whichever is smaller | 60/f, or half of the corresponding zoom scan length, whichever is smaller |
| Maximum angle between the probe axis and the phantom surface normal (α in Figure 20) ^c | 5° (flat phantom only) 30° (other phantoms) | 5° (flat phantom only) 20° (other phantoms) |
| Tolerance in the probe angle | 1° | 1° |

^a δ is the penetration depth for a plane-wave incident normally on a planar half-space.

^b See Clause O.8 on how Δx and Δy may be selected for individual area scan requirements.

^c The probe angle relative to the phantom surface normal is restricted due to the degradation in the measurement accuracy in fields with steep spatial gradients. The measurement accuracy decreases with increasing probe angle and increasing frequency. This is the reason for the tighter probe angle restriction at frequencies above 3 GHz.

Table 4 – Zoom scan parameters

| Parameter | DUT transmit frequency being tested | |
|---|--|--|
| | $f \leq 3$ GHz | 3 GHz < $f \leq 10$ GHz |
| Maximum distance between the closest measured points and the phantom surface (z_{M1} in Figure 20 and Table 3, in mm) | 5 | $\delta \ln(2)/2^a$ |
| Maximum angle between the probe axis and the phantom surface normal (α in Figure 20) | 5° (flat phantom only) 30° (other phantoms) | 5° (flat phantom only) 20° (other phantoms) |
| Maximum spacing between measured points in the x- and y-directions (Δx and Δy , in mm) | 8 | 24/f ^b |
| For uniform grids: Maximum spacing between measured points in the direction normal to the phantom shell (Δz_1 in Figure 20, in mm) | 5 | 10/(f - 1) |
| For graded grids: Maximum spacing between the two closest measured points in the direction normal to the phantom shell (Δz_1 in Figure 20, in mm) | 4 | 12/f |
| For graded grids: Maximum incremental increase in the spacing between measured points in the direction normal to the phantom shell ($R_z = \Delta z_2/\Delta z_1$ in Figure 20) | 1,5 | 1,5 |
| Minimum edge length of the zoom scan volume in the x- and y-directions (L_z in O.8.3.2, in mm) | 30 | 22 |
| Minimum edge length of the zoom scan volume in the direction normal to the phantom shell (L_h in O.8.3.2 in mm) | 30 | 22 |
| Tolerance in the probe angle | 1° | 1° |

^a δ is the penetration depth for a plane-wave incident normally on a planar half-space.

^b This is the maximum spacing allowed, which might not work for all circumstances.

7.3 Measurement Procedure

The following steps are used for each test position

- a. Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- b. Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- c. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm * 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- d. Around this point, a cube of 30 * 30 * 30 mm or 32 * 32 * 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 * 5 or 8*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

7.4 Area & Zoom Scan Procedure

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.


When the 1 g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.

8. Conducted RF Output Power

| Mode | Channel | Freq. (MHz) | Average Power (dBm) | Maximum Tune-up(dBm) |
|------|---------|-------------|---------------------|----------------------|
| GFSK | L | 2412 | 13.50 | 14.00 |
| | M | 2442 | 12.94 | 13.00 |
| | H | 2469 | 12.21 | 12.50 |

9. Test Exclusion Consideration

Antenna information:



| 2.4G FHSS Antenna | 2.4G FHSS TX/RX |
|---|-----------------|
| Note: 1. KDB 941225 D07 UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02, UMPC mini-tablet devices must be tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at ≤ 25 mm from that surface or edge, at 5 mm separation from a flat phantom, for the data modes, wireless technologies and frequency bands supported by the device to determine SAR compliance. | |

| Distance of The Antenna to the EUT surface and edge (mm) | | | | | | |
|--|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Antenna | Front Side (mm) | Back Side (mm) | Left Edge (mm) | Right Edge (mm) | Top Edge (mm) | Bottom Edge (mm) |
| 2.4G FHSS | <25 | <25 | 35 | 129 | <25 | 73 |

| Positions for SAR tests | | | | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------------|----------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|------------------|
| Antenna | Front Side (mm) | Back Side (mm) | Left Edge (mm) | Right Edge (mm) | Top Edge (mm) | Bottom Edge (mm) |
| 2.4G FHSS | Yes | Yes | No | No | Yes | No |

9.1 SAR Test Exclusion Consideration Table

Per KDB 447498 requires when the standalone SAR test exclusion of section 4.3.1 is applied to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to the following format to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

$$(\text{max.power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})} / x]$$

W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x= 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

0.4 W/Kg for 1-g SAR and 1.0 W/kg for 10-g SAR, when the test separation distances is > 50 mm.

10. Test Result

| With antenna folded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------|----------|------------|-----|-------------|-----------------|---------------------|----------------|-------------------|-------------------|--------------------------|----------------|----------------------|-----------|
| Mode | Position | Dist. (mm) | Ch. | Freq. (MHz) | Power Drift (%) | 1g Meas. SAR (W/kg) | Duty cycle (%) | Duty cycle Factor | Meas. Power (dBm) | Max. tune-up power (dBm) | Scaling Factor | 1g Scaled SAR (W/kg) | Meas. No. |
| 2.4G FHSS GFSK | Front | 0 | L | 2412 | 1.770 | 0.061 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.068 | / |
| | Back | 0 | L | 2412 | 0.170 | 0.445 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.499 | 1# |
| | Top | 0 | L | 2412 | 3.540 | 0.223 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.250 | / |
| With antenna unfolded | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Mode | Position | Dist. (mm) | Ch. | Freq. (MHz) | Power Drift (%) | 1g Meas. SAR (W/kg) | Duty cycle (%) | Duty cycle Factor | Meas. Power (dBm) | Max. tune-up power (dBm) | Scaling Factor | 1g Scaled SAR (W/kg) | Meas. No. |
| 2.4G FHSS GFSK | Front | 0 | L | 2412 | -2.060 | 0.065 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.073 | / |
| | Back | 0 | L | 2412 | -2.400 | 0.339 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.380 | 2# |
| | Top | 0 | L | 2412 | 1.710 | 0.029 | 100.00 | 1.000 | 13.50 | 14.00 | 1.122 | 0.033 | / |

Note:

- The maximum SAR Value of each test band is marked bold.
- SAR plot is provided only for the highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination.
- Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output power channel Reported SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
- Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, the report SAR is measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance. Scaling Factor= $10^{((\text{tune-up limit power(dBm)} - \text{Ave.power power (dBm)})/10)}$, where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
Reported SAR(W/kg)=Measured SAR (W/kg)*Scaling Factor.

11. SAR Measurement Variability

According to KDB 865664 D01, SAR measurement variability was assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. When both head and body tissue-equivalent media are required for SAR measurements in a frequency band, the variability measurement procedures should be applied to the tissue medium with the highest measured SAR, using the highest measured SAR configuration for that tissue-equivalent medium. Alternatively, if the highest measured SAR for both head and body tissue-equivalent media are ≤ 1.45 W/kg and the ratio of these highest SAR values, i.e., largest divided by smallest value, is ≤ 1.10 , the highest SAR configuration for either head or body tissue-equivalent medium may be used to perform the repeated measurement. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

SAR repeated measurement procedure:

- When the highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg, repeated measurement is not required.
- When the highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 , or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg, perform a second repeated measurement.
- If the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 , and the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg, perform a third repeated measurement.

Note: For 1g SAR, the highest measured 1g SAR is $0.445 < 0.80$ W/kg, repeated measurement is not required.

12. Simultaneous Transmission

Simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion is determined for each operating configuration and exposure condition according to the reported standalone SAR of each applicable simultaneous transmitting antenna. When the sum of SAR 1g of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit (SAR 1g 1.6 W/kg), the simultaneous transmission SAR is not required. When the sum of SAR 1g is greater than the SAR limit (SAR 1g 1.6 W/kg), SAR test exclusion is determined by the SAR to Peak Location Ratio (SPLSR).

12.1 Simultaneous Transmission Mode Considerations

Simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion is determined for each operating configuration and exposure condition according to the reported standalone SAR of each applicable simultaneous transmitting antenna. The device only has 1 Tx antenna supporting 2.4G FHSS which can't always transmit simultaneously. So we don't need to consider simultaneous transmission.

13. Test Equipment List

| Description | Manufacturer | Model | Serial No./Version | Cal. Date | Cal. Due |
|-------------------------------------|-------------------|---------------|---------------------|------------|------------|
| E-Field Probe | MVG | SSE2 | 04/22 EPGO365 | 2024/02/06 | 2025/02/05 |
| 6 1/2 Digital Multimeter | Keithley | DMM6500 | 4527164 | 2023/11/16 | 2024/11/15 |
| Wideband Radio Communication Tester | ROHDE & SCHWARZ | CMW500 | 161997 | 2023/11/16 | 2024/11/15 |
| MXG Vector Signal Generator | Agilent | N5182A | MY46240163 | 2023/11/16 | 2024/11/15 |
| E-Series Avg. Power Sensor | KEYSIGHT | E9300A | MY55050017 | 2024/03/20 | 2025/03/19 |
| EPM Series Power Meter | KEYSIGHT | E4418B | MY41293435 | 2024/03/20 | 2025/03/19 |
| 10dB Attenuator | MIDWEST MICROWAVE | 263-10dB | / | 2024/03/20 | 2025/03/19 |
| Coupler | MERRIMAC | CWM-10R-10.8G | LOT-83391 | 2024/03/20 | 2025/03/19 |
| 2450MHz Validation Dipole | MVG | SID2450 | 07/22 DIP 2G450-662 | 2023/02/06 | 2025/02/05 |
| LIMESAR Dielectric Probe | MVG | SCLMP | 06/22 OCPG88 | / | / |
| ENA Series Network Analyzer | Agilent | E5071B | MY42301221 | 2023/11/16 | 2024/11/15 |
| Thermometer | Riters | DT-232 | 21A11 | 2024/03/20 | 2025/03/19 |
| Antenna network emulator | MVG | ANTA 74 | 07/22 ANTA 74 | / | / |
| SAM Phantom | MVG | SAM | 07/22 SAM149 | / | / |
| Mobile Phone Positioning System | MVG | MSH 118 | 07/22 MSH 118 | / | / |
| Mechanical Calibration Kit | PNA | / | / | / | / |
| Open SAR test software | MVG | / | V5.3.5 | / | / |

Note: For dipole antennas, BTF has adopted 3 years as calibration intervals, and on annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:

1. There is no physical damage on the dipole;
2. System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;
3. Return-loss in within 20% of calibrated measurement.
4. Impedance (real or imaginary parts) in within 5 Ohms of calibrated measurement.

ANNEX A Simulating Liquid Verification Result

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an SCLMP Dielectric Probe Kit.

| Targets for tissue simulating liquid | | | | |
|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|-----------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Conductivity (σ) | $\pm 5\%$ Range | Permittivity (ϵ_r) | $\pm 5\%$ Range |
| 2450 | 1.80 | 1.71~1.89 | 39.20 | 37.24~41.16 |

| Dielectric performance of tissue simulating liquid | | | | | | | |
|--|--------------|----------------|------------------------|--------------------|-----------|----------------------|-----------|
| Frequency (MHz) | ϵ_r | σ (s/m) | Delta (ϵ_r) | Delta (σ) | Limit | Temp ($^{\circ}$ C) | Date |
| | Measured | Measured | | | | | |
| 2412 | 39.14 | 1.78 | -0.15% | -1.11% | $\pm 5\%$ | 20.0 | 5/29/2024 |
| 2442 | 39.09 | 1.80 | -0.28% | 0.00% | $\pm 5\%$ | 20.0 | 5/29/2024 |
| 2450 | 39.08 | 1.81 | -0.31% | 0.56% | $\pm 5\%$ | 20.0 | 5/29/2024 |
| 2469 | 39.06 | 1.83 | -0.36% | 1.67% | $\pm 5\%$ | 20.0 | 5/29/2024 |

NOTE: The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquid should be measured under similar ambient conditions and within 2 $^{\circ}$ C of the conditions expected during the SAR evaluation to satisfy protocol requirements.

ANNEX B System Check Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 % (for 10 g).

| Frequency (MHz) | Input Power (mW) | 10g SAR (W/Kg) | 1g SAR (W/Kg) | 10g SAR 1W input power normalized (W/Kg) | 1g SAR 1W input power normalized (W/Kg) | 10g SAR Standard target (1W) (W/Kg) | 1g SAR Standard target (1W) (W/Kg) | 10g SAR Deviation | 1g SAR Deviation |
|-----------------|------------------|----------------|---------------|--|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------------------|-------------------|------------------|
| 2450 | 16 | 0.352 | 0.793 | 22.00 | 49.56 | 23.86 | 54.4 | -7.80% | -8.89% |


ANNEX C SAR Dipole Calibrations

Justification for Extended SAR Dipole Calibrations

Referring to KDB 865664D01V01r04, if dipoles are verified in return loss (<-20dB, within 20% of prior calibration) and in impedance (within 5 ohm of prior calibration). the annual calibration is not necessary and the calibration interval can be extended. While calibration intervals not exceed 3 years.

07/22 DIP 2G450-662 SID2450 2450MHz Validation Dipole Calibrations

| Frequency (MHz) | Return loss(dB) | | Impedance(Ω) | | | | error range (%) | | Results | Date of Measurement |
|-----------------|-----------------|--------|-----------------------|----------------|-----------|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------|---------|---------------------|
| | measurement | target | measurement | | target | | Return loss($\pm 20\%$) | Impedance($\pm 5 \Omega$) | (P/F) | |
| | | | real part | imaginary part | real part | imaginary part | | | | |
| CW2450 | -24.37 | -21.23 | 48.3 | 5.7 | 49.4 | +8.6 | 14.79% | 4.0 | P | 2/5/2024 |



System Performance Check Data (2450 MHz)

System check at 2450 MHz

Date of measurement: 5/29/2024


A. Experimental conditions.

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Probe | SN 04/22 EPGO365 |
| ConvF | 2.36 |
| Area Scan | dx=8mm dy=8mm, Adaptative 1 max |
| Zoom Scan | 5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete |
| Phantom | Validation plane |
| Device Position | Dipole |
| Band | CW2450 |
| Channels | Middle |
| Signal | CW |

B. Permittivity

| | |
|--|----------|
| Frequency (MHz) | 2450.000 |
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 39.080 |
| Relative permittivity (imaginary part) | 13.340 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.810 |

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00 ; SAR Peak: 1.47 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g


| | |
|---|--------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.352 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.793 |
| Variation (%) | -2.570 |
| Horizontal validation criteria: minimum distance (mm) | 8.697 |
| Vertical validation criteria: SAR ratio M2/M1 (%) | 53.00% |

E. Z Axis Scan

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Z (mm) | 0.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 19.00 |
| SAR (W/Kg) | 1.466 | 0.900 | 0.477 | 0.261 | 0.158 |



F. 3D Image



ANNEX D Test Data

1-Body with Back position in dist. 0 mm on Channel L in 2.4G FHSS (ant. folded)

SAR Measurement at 2.4G FHSS (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 5/29/2024


A. Experimental conditions.

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Probe | SN 04/22 EPGO365 |
| ConvF | 2.36 |
| Area Scan | dx=8mm dy=8mm, Adaptive 1 max |
| Zoom Scan | 5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete |
| Phantom | Validation plane |
| Device Position | Body |
| Band | 2.4G FHSS |
| Channels | Lower |
| Signal | 2.4G FHSS |

B. Permittivity

| | |
|--|----------|
| Frequency (MHz) | 2412.000 |
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 39.135 |
| Relative permittivity (imaginary part) | 13.343 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.782 |

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-3.00, Y=5.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.87 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g


| | |
|---|--------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.218 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.445 |
| Variation (%) | 0.170 |
| Horizontal validation criteria: minimum distance (mm) | 9.625 |
| Vertical validation criteria: SAR ratio M2/M1 (%) | 47.41% |

E. Z Axis Scan

| | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Z (mm) | 0.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 19.00 |
| SAR (W/Kg) | 0.866 | 0.483 | 0.229 | 0.126 | 0.096 |



F. 3D Image



2-Body with Back position in dist. 0 mm on Channel L in 2.4G FHSS (ant. unfolded)

SAR Measurement at 2.4G FHSS (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 5/29/2024


A. Experimental conditions.

| | |
|-----------------|---------------------------------------|
| Probe | SN 04/22 EPGO365 |
| ConvF | 2.36 |
| Area Scan | dx=8mm dy=8mm, Adaptive 1 max |
| Zoom Scan | 5x5x7, dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete |
| Phantom | Validation plane |
| Device Position | Body |
| Band | 2.4G FHSS |
| Channels | Lower |
| Signal | 2.4G FHSS |

B. Permittivity

| | |
|--|----------|
| Frequency (MHz) | 2412.000 |
| Relative permittivity (real part) | 39.135 |
| Relative permittivity (imaginary part) | 13.343 |
| Conductivity (S/m) | 1.782 |

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=8.00, Y=0.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.59 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g


| | |
|---|--------|
| SAR 10g (W/Kg) | 0.171 |
| SAR 1g (W/Kg) | 0.339 |
| Variation (%) | -2.400 |
| Horizontal validation criteria: minimum distance (mm) | 8.475 |
| Vertical validation criteria: SAR ratio M2/M1 (%) | 54.70% |

E. Z Axis Scan


| | | | | | |
|------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Z (mm) | 0.00 | 4.00 | 9.00 | 14.00 | 19.00 |
| SAR (W/Kg) | 0.577 | 0.362 | 0.198 | 0.111 | 0.067 |




F. 3D Image



ANNEX E SAR Test Setup Photos





ANNEX F EUT External and Internal Photos

Please refer to RF Report.

ANNEX G Calibration Information

Please refer to the document "Calibration.pdf".



BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.

F101, 201 and 301, Building 1, Block 2, Tantou Industrial Park, Tantou Community, Songgang Street,
Bao'an District, Shenzhen, China

www.btf-lab.com

--END OF REPORT--



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.49.1.22.BES.A

BTF TESTING LAB (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.
F101,201 AND 301, BUILDING 1, BLOCK 2, TANTOU
INDUSTRIAL PARK, TANTOU COMMUNITY
SONGGANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN,
CHINA
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 04/22 EPGO365

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 02/06/2024



Accreditations #2-6789
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

The use of the Cofrac brand and the accreditation references is prohibited from any reproduction.

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

| | <i>Name</i> | <i>Function</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Signature</i> |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| <i>Prepared by :</i> | Jérôme Luc | Technical Manager | 2/6/2024 | <i>JS</i> |
| <i>Checked by :</i> | Jérôme Luc | Technical Manager | 2/6/2024 | <i>JS</i> |
| <i>Approved by :</i> | Yann Toutain | Laboratory Director | 2/6/2024 | <i>Yann TOUTAIN</i> |

2024.02.09
11:19:48 +01'00'

| | <i>Customer Name</i> |
|-----------------------|--|
| <i>Distribution :</i> | BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. |

| <i>Issue</i> | <i>Name</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Modifications</i> |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| A | Jérôme Luc | 2/6/2024 | Initial release |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Device Under Test4

2 Product Description4

 2.1 General Information 4

3 Measurement Method 4

 3.1 Linearity 4

 3.2 Sensitivity 4

 3.3 Lower Detection Limit 5

 3.4 Isotropy 5

 3.1 Boundary Effect 5

4 Measurement Uncertainty 6

5 Calibration Measurement Results 6

 5.1 Sensitivity in air 6

 5.2 Linearity 7

 5.3 Sensitivity in liquid 8

 5.4 Isotropy 9

6 List of Equipment 10

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

| Device Under Test | |
|--|---|
| Device Type | COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE |
| Manufacturer | MVG |
| Model | SSE2 |
| Serial Number | SN 04/22 EPGO365 |
| Product Condition (new / used) | New |
| Frequency Range of Probe | 0.15 GHz-6GHz |
| Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector | Dipole 1: R1=0.204 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.212 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.187 MΩ |

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Probe

| | |
|--|--------|
| Probe Length | 330 mm |
| Length of Individual Dipoles | 2 mm |
| Maximum external diameter | 8 mm |
| Probe Tip External Diameter | 2.5 mm |
| Distance between dipoles / probe extremity | 1 mm |

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and $d_{be} + d_{step}$ along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$SAR_{uncertainty} [\%] = \Delta SAR_{be} \frac{(d_{be} + d_{step})^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{(e^{-d_{be}/(\delta/2)})}{\delta/2} \quad \text{for } (d_{be} + d_{step}) < 10 \text{ mm}$$

where

| | |
|---------------------|--|
| $SAR_{uncertainty}$ | is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect |
| d_{be} | is the distance between the surface and the closest <i>zoom-scan</i> measurement point, in millimetre |
| Δ_{step} | is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect at the second location is negligible |
| δ | is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14$ mm at 3 GHz; |
| ΔSAR_{be} | in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value. |

The measured worst case boundary effect $SAR_{uncertainty}[\%]$ for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

| Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide | | | | | |
|--|-----------------------|--------------------------|---------|----|--------------------------|
| ERROR SOURCES | Uncertainty value (%) | Probability Distribution | Divisor | ci | Standard Uncertainty (%) |
| Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2 | | | | | 14 % |

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

| Calibration Parameters | |
|------------------------|-------------|
| Liquid Temperature | 20 +/- 1 °C |
| Lab Temperature | 20 +/- 1 °C |
| Lab Humidity | 30-70 % |

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR


| Normx dipole 1 (µV/(V/m) ²) | Normy dipole 2 (µV/(V/m) ²) | Normz dipole 3 (µV/(V/m) ²) |
|---|---|---|
| 0.79 | 0.94 | 0.85 |

| DCP dipole 1 (mV) | DCP dipole 2 (mV) | DCP dipole 3 (mV) |
|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------|
| 106 | 110 | 107 |

Calibration curves $e_i=f(V)$ (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:


$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$

Calibration curves



5.2 LINEARITY

Linearity



Linearity: +/-1.77% (+/-0.08dB)



5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID


| Liquid | Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz) | ConvF |
|--------|----------------------------------|-------|
| HL450* | 450 | 1.82 |
| BL450* | 450 | 1.74 |
| HL750 | 750 | 1.65 |
| BL750 | 750 | 1.68 |
| HL850 | 835 | 1.68 |
| BL850 | 835 | 1.69 |
| HL1800 | 1800 | 1.96 |
| BL1800 | 1800 | 2.01 |
| HL1900 | 1900 | 2.24 |
| BL1900 | 1900 | 2.20 |
| HL2000 | 2000 | 2.33 |
| BL2000 | 2000 | 2.29 |
| HL2100 | 2100 | 2.35 |
| BL2100 | 2100 | 2.40 |
| HL2300 | 2300 | 2.36 |
| BL2300 | 2300 | 2.44 |
| HL2450 | 2450 | 2.36 |
| BL2450 | 2450 | 2.28 |
| HL2600 | 2600 | 2.40 |
| BL2600 | 2600 | 2.27 |
| HL3500 | 3500 | 2.00 |
| BL3500 | 3500 | 2.13 |
| HL3700 | 3700 | 2.02 |
| BL3700 | 3700 | 2.10 |
| HL3900 | 3900 | 1.99 |
| BL3900 | 3900 | 2.19 |
| HL4200 | 4200 | 2.27 |
| BL4200 | 4200 | 2.39 |
| HL4600 | 4600 | 2.27 |
| BL4600 | 4600 | 2.37 |
| HL4900 | 4900 | 2.16 |
| BL4900 | 4900 | 2.05 |
| HL5200 | 5200 | 2.24 |
| BL5200 | 5200 | 2.26 |
| HL5400 | 5400 | 2.12 |
| BL5400 | 5400 | 2.08 |
| HL5600 | 5600 | 2.18 |
| BL5600 | 5600 | 2.05 |
| HL5800 | 5800 | 2.04 |
| BL5800 | 5800 | 2.01 |

* Frequency not covered by COFRAC scope, calibration not accredited

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg

5.4 ISOTROPY

HL1800 MHz





6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

| Equipment Summary Sheet | | | | |
|------------------------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|---|---|
| Equipment Description | Manufacturer / Model | Identification No. | Current Calibration Date | Next Calibration Date |
| CALIPROBE Test Bench | Version 2 | NA | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Network Analyzer | Rohde & Schwarz ZVM | 100203 | 08/2021 | 08/2024 |
| Network Analyzer | Agilent 8753ES | MY40003210 | 10/2021 | 10/2024 |
| Network Analyzer – Calibration kit | Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235 | 101223 | 05/2021 | 05/2024 |
| Network Analyzer – Calibration kit | HP 85033D | 3423A08186 | 06/2021 | 06/2027 |
| Multimeter | Keithley 2000 | 1160271 | 02/2021 | 02/2024 |
| Signal Generator | Rohde & Schwarz SMB | 106589 | 04/2021 | 04/2024 |
| Amplifier | MVG | MODU-023-C-0002 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Power Meter | NI-USB 5680 | 170100013 | 06/2021 | 06/2024 |
| Power Meter | Rohde & Schwarz NRVD | 832839-056 | 11/2021 | 11/2024 |
| Directional Coupler | Krytar 158020 | 131467 | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. | Characterized prior to test. No cal required. |
| Waveguide | MVG | SN 32/16 WG4_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_0G900_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Waveguide | MVG | SN 32/16 WG6_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G500_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Waveguide | MVG | SN 32/16 WG8_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800B_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800H_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Waveguide | MVG | SN 32/16 WG10_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_3G500_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.49.1.22.BES.A

| | | | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------------|
| Waveguide | MVG | SN 32/16 WG12_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Liquid transition | MVG | SN 32/16 WGLIQ_5G000_1 | Validated. No cal required. | Validated. No cal required. |
| Temperature / Humidity Sensor | Testo 184 H1 | 44225320 | 06/2021 | 06/2024 |



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref : ACR.49.11.22.BES.A

BTF TESTING LAB (SHENZHEN) CO., LTD.
F101,201 AND 301, BUILDING 1, BLOCK 2, TANTOU
INDUSTRIAL PARK, TANTOU COMMUNITY
SONGGANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN,
CHINA

MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 07/22 DIP2G450-662

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable

Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon

29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 02/06/2023



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

The use of the Cofrac brand and the accreditation references is prohibited from any reproduction.

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

| | <i>Name</i> | <i>Function</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Signature</i> |
|----------------------|--------------|---------------------|-------------|---------------------|
| <i>Prepared by :</i> | Jérôme Luc | Technical Manager | 2/6/2023 | <i>JS</i> |
| <i>Checked by :</i> | Jérôme Luc | Technical Manager | 2/6/2023 | <i>JS</i> |
| <i>Approved by :</i> | Yann Toutain | Laboratory Director | 2/6/2023 | <i>Yann TOUTAIN</i> |

2023.02.09
11:23:57 +01'00'

| | <i>Customer Name</i> |
|-----------------------|--|
| <i>Distribution :</i> | BTF Testing Lab (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd. |

| <i>Issue</i> | <i>Name</i> | <i>Date</i> | <i>Modifications</i> |
|--------------|-------------|-------------|----------------------|
| A | Jérôme Luc | 2/6/2023 | Initial release |
| | | | |
| | | | |



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Introduction.....4

2 Device Under Test4

3 Product Description4

 3.1 General Information4

4 Measurement Method5

 4.1 Return Loss Requirements5

 4.2 Mechanical Requirements5

5 Measurement Uncertainty5

 5.1 Return Loss5

 5.2 Dimension Measurement5

 5.3 Validation Measurement5

6 Calibration Measurement Results6

 6.1 Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid6

 6.2 Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid6

 6.3 Mechanical Dimensions7

7 Validation measurement7

 7.1 Head Liquid Measurement8

 7.2 SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid8

 7.3 Body Liquid Measurement11

 7.4 SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid12

8 List of Equipment13

1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

| Device Under Test | |
|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Device Type | COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE |
| Manufacturer | MVG |
| Model | SID2450 |
| Serial Number | SN 07/22 DIP2G450-662 |
| Product Condition (new / used) | New |

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG’s COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole