

SAR TEST REPORT

2BDR5-33R		K.			
TCT241022E011					
Nov. 04, 2024					
SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTIN	G LAB				
		uhai			
Videotimes Technology (Hubei)	Co.,Ltd				
B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Huanggang, Hubei, China.	on Industry Park, Wuxue,				
Videotimes Technology (Hubei)	Co.,Ltd				
B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China.					
2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video Baby Monitor					
N/A					
Refer to Model(s) list of page 4					
0.21 W/Kg (1g) for Body-worn					
Oct. 22, 2024					
Oct. 22, 2024- Nov. 04, 2024		Ś			
Karl WANG	Karl wang				
Beryl Zhao	Boy TOT				
Tomsin	Tom Stra st				
	TCT241022E011 Nov. 04, 2024 SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTIN 2101 & 2201, Zhenchang Facto Subdistrict, Bao'an District, She People's Republic of China Videotimes Technology (Hubei) B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Informatie Huanggang, Hubei, China. Videotimes Technology (Hubei) B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Informatie Huanggang, Hubei, China. 2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video E N/A Refer to Model(s) list of page 4 0.21 W/Kg (1g) for Body-worn Oct. 22, 2024 Oct. 22, 2024-Nov. 04, 2024 Karl WANG Beryl Zhao	TCT241022E011 Nov. 04, 2024 SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB 2101 & 2201, Zhenchang Factory Renshan Industrial Zone, F Subdistrict, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518103, People's Republic of China Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co.,Ltd B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China. Videotimes Technology (Hubei) Co.,Ltd B5-1, B5-2, Electronic Information Industry Park, Wuxue, Huanggang, Hubei, China. 2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video Baby Monitor N/A Refer to Model(s) list of page 4 0.21 W/Kg (1g) for Body-worn Oct. 22, 2024 Oct. 22, 2024- Nov. 04, 2024 Karl WANG Beryl Zhao			

General disclaimer:

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB. This document may be altered or revised by SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.

		Report No.: TCT241022E011
	TABLE OF CONTENTS	
1.	General Product Information	
	1.1. EUT description	
-	1.2. Model(s) list	
2.	Test standard	
3.	Facilities and Accreditations	
	3.1. Facilities	
	3.2. Location	
4.	Test Result Summary RF Exposure Limit	
5.		
6.	SAR Measurement System Configuration	
	6.1. SAR Measurement Set-up	
	6.2. E-field Probe	
	6.3. Phantom	
	6.4. Device Holder	
	6.5. Data Storage and Evaluation	
	6.6. Position of the wireless device in relation to the phantom	
	6.7. Tissue Dielectric Parameters 6.8. Tissue-equivalent Liquid Properties	
	6.9. System Check	
7.	Measurement Procedure	
	Conducted Output Power	
8.		
9.	Exposure Position Consideration	
40	9.1. EUT Antenna Location	
10.	SAR Test Results Summary 10.1. Body-Worn 1g SAR Data	
	10.1. Body-Worn 1g SAR Data 10.2. Measurement Uncertainty (150MHz-6GHz)	
	10.2. Measurement Uncertainty (150MHz-6GHz)	
11	System Check Results	
11.	SAR Test Data	20
	pendix A: EUT Photos	
	pendix B: Test Setup Photos	
	pendix C: Probe Calibration Certificate	
App	pendix D: Dipole Calibration Report	5

1



General Product Information 1.

1.1. EUT description

Product Name:	2.4GHz Digital Wireless Video Baby Monitor
Model/Type reference:	HB6233
Sample Number	TCT241022E011-0101/01
	DC 3.7V by 1250mAh 4.625Wh Rechargeable Li-ion battery(BPI PL 603450)
	DC 3.7V by 1250mAh 4.625Wh Rechargeable Li-ion battery(ABD PL563450)
Rating(s)	DC 3.7V by 950mAh 3.515Wh Rechargeable Li-ion battery(BPI PL 503450)
	Battery differences are mainly based on the applicant and model and capacity differences, only the worst mode is assessed(BPI PL 603450)
	2.4G
Modulation Type	GFSK
Operation Frequency	2412MHz~2469MHz
Channel number:	58(see note1)

Note1:

	Fraguenau		Fraguanay		Fraguana
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Channel	Frequency (MHz)
00	2412	20	2432	40	2452
01	2413	21	2433	41	2453
02	2414	22	2434	42	2454
03	2415	23	2435	43	2455
04	2416	24	2436	44	2456
05	2417	25	2437	45	2457
06	2418	26	2438	46	2458
07	2419	27	2439	47	2459
08	2420	28	2440	48	2460
09	2421	29	2441	49	2461
10	2422	30	2442	50	2462
11	2423	31	2443	51	2463
12	2424	32	2444	52	2464
13	2425	33	2445	53	2465
14	2426	34	2446	54	2466
15	2427	35	2447	55	2467
16	2428	36	2448	56	2468
17	2429	37	2449	57	2469
18	2430	38	2450		
19	2431	39	2451		

2469MHz.



TCT通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY 1.2. Model(s) list

Report No.: TCT241022E011

No.			Ν	lodel No.			Teste with	
1				HB6233			\square	
Othe mode	r HE BB Is BBN F BE	B6336RX,VV M809,BBM80 K3963-2,FK M843,HB20 BBM801,HB2	2215,JA221 36233-2,HB6 BM808,BBN /6036,BL90 99-2,BBM80 3963RX,HB 36,BBM844	5-2,JA2215 5233RX,VT3 /808-2,BBM /36,BL9036- /9RX,HB633 2031,BBM8 ,HB2039,BB 30,HB2438-	RX,BBM803 302,VT302-2 1808RX,HB6 2,BL9036R 9-2,HB6339 42,N0157_9 3M871,SC3 2,HB2438R	3,BBM803-2 2,VT302RX, 5336-2, X,HB6339, 9RX,FK3963 5,HB2033, 40,HB2439, X,VT301,	_{3,} □	
appea		ls are identical terial and scree						
							Page 4 of	64

2. Test standard

The tests were performed according to following standards: FCC 47 CFR §2.1093

IEEE1528-2013:Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques KDB447498 D01:General RF Exposure Guidance v06 KDB865664 D01:SAR measurement 100MHz to 6GHz v01r04 KDB865664 D02:RF Exposure Reporting v01r02. KDB248227 D01:802.11 wi-fi SAR v02r02 KDB941225 D07:UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02 KDB690783 D01:SAR Listings on Grant v01r03

3. Facilities and Accreditations

3.1. Facilities

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

• FCC - Registration No.: 645098

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

Designation Number: CN1205

The testing lab has been registered and fully described in a report with the (FCC) Federal Communications Commission. The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files.

 IC - Registration No.: 10668A SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB CAB identifier: CN0031

The testing lab has been registered by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada for radio equipment testing.

3.2. Location

SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB.

Address: 2101 & 2201, Zhenchang Factory Renshan Industrial Zone, Fuhai Subdistrict, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, 518103, People's Republic of China Environment Condition:

Temperature:	18°C ~25°C	
Humidity:	35%~75% RH	
Atmospheric Pressure:	1011 mbar	N.

Exposure Position Body-worn 1-g SAR (0 mm Gap) ote: This device is compli	Frequency Band 2.4G	Reported SAR (W/kg) 0.21	Equipment Class	Highest Reporte SAR (W/kg)
			DSS	0.21
limits specified in I	FCC 47 CFR part 2	2 (2.1093) and ANSI) for general population //IEEE C95.1-2005, a	and had been test
accordance with the	e measurement meth	nods and procedures a	specified in IEEE 152	8-2013.

Page 6 of 64

	Туре	Exposure		L	SAR (Jncontrolled E	W/kg) Exposure Lim	nit
Spatia	g c	R (averaged o of tissue)	ver any 1			60	
(har	nds/wrists/f	al Peak SAR feet/ankles avo ver 10g)	eraged		4.0	00	
	al Peak SA wh	AR (averaged ole body)	over the		0.0	08	
sl 2. Th 3. Th	he Spatial P hape of a cu he Spatial A he Spatial P	ube) and over th verage value of Peak value of the	ne appropriate a f the SAR average	ged over the wh d over any 10 gra	ole body.		volume in the ue volume in the
			Ś				



6. SAR Measurement System Configuration 6.1. SAR Measurement Set-up The OPENSAR system for performing compliance tests consist of the following items: A standard high precision 6-axis robot (KUKA) with controller and software.

KUKA Control Panel (KCP)

A dosimetric probe, i.e., an isotropic E-field probe optimized and calibrated for usage in tissue simulating liquid. The probe is equipped with a Video Positioning System (VPS).

The stress sensor is composed with mechanical and electronic when the electronic part detects a change on the electro-mechanical switch; it sends an "Emergency signal" to the robot controller that to stop robot's moves A computer operating Windows XP.


OPENSAR software Remote control with teaches pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.

The SAM phantom enabling testing left-hand right-hand and body usage.

The Position device for handheld EUT

Tissue simulating liquid mixed according to the given recipes.

System validation dipoles to validate the proper functioning of the system.



KUKA SAR Test Sysytem Configuration

Page 8 of 64

「CT通测检测 6.2. E-field Probe

Report No.: TCT241022E011

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

Probe Specification Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE) Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.

Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 25/22 EPGO375
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1:R1=0.197MΩ Dipole 2:R3=0.230MΩ Dipole 3:R3=0.208MΩ

Photo of E-Field Probe

6.3. Phantom

The SAM Phantom SAM120 is constructed of a fiberglass shell integrated in a wooden table. The shape of the shell is in compliance with the specification set in CENELEC IEEE1528-2013 The phantom enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right hand phone usage as well as body mounted usage at the flat phantom region.


A cover prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

Reference markings on the Phantom allow the complete setup of all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids by manually teaching three points in the robot

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections.

Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.

Name: COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM S/N: SN 19/15 SAM 120 Manufacture: MVG



Page 10 of 64

Report No.: TCT241022E011

6.5. Data Storage and Evaluation

Data Storage

The OPENSAR software stores the acquired data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verification of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of incorrect parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with a wrong crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be re-evaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type ([V/m], [A/m], [°C], [mW/g], [mW/cm²], [dBrel], etc.). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or show meaningless results, e.g., a SAR output in a lossless media will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

Data Evaluation

The OPENSAR software automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

Probe parameters: - Sensitivity	Normi, ai0, ai1, ai2
- Conversion factor	ConvFi
- Diode compression point	Dcpi
Device parameters: - Frequency	f
- Crest factor	cf
Media parameters: - Conductivity	σ
- Density	ρ
hese parameters must be set correctly in the software.	They can be found in the component document


These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the OPENSAR components. In the direct measuring mode of the millimeter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.


The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power. The formula for each channel can be given as:

$Vi = Ui + Ui2 \cdot cf/dcpi$

	With Vi = compensated signal of channel i (i = x, y, z)
	Ui = input signal of channel i $(i = x, y, z)$
	cf = crest factor of exciting field (MVG parameter)
	dcpi = diode compression point (MVG parameter)
ŀ	(\mathcal{G}) (\mathcal{G}) (\mathcal{G})
/	From the compensated input signals the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:
	E-field probes: Ei = (Vi / Normi · ConvF)1/2
	H-field probes: Hi = (Vi) $1/2 \cdot$ (ai0 + ai1 f + ai2f2)/f
	With Vi = compensated signal of channel i $(i = x, y, z)$
	Normi = sensor sensitivity of channel i $(i = x, y, z)$
	[mV/(V/m)2] for E-field Probes
	ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution
	aij = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes
l	f = carrier frequency [GHz]
	Ei = electric field strength of channel i in V/m
/	Hi = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

Page 11 of 64





「通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY Report No.: TCT241022E011 Illustration for Hotspot Position Limb-worn device A limb-worn device is a unit whose intended use includes being strapped to the arm or leg of the user while transmitting (except in idle mode). It is similar to a body-worn device. Therefore, the test positions of 6.1.4.4 also apply. The strap shall be opened so that it is divided into two parts as shown in Figure 9. The device shall be positioned directly against the phantom surface with the strap straightened as much as possible and the back of the device towards the phantom. If the strap cannot normally be opened to allow placing in direct contact with the phantom surface, it may be necessary to break the strap of the device but ensuring to not damage the antenna. Test position for limb-worn devices Page 14 of 64 Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com



6.7. Tissue Dielectric Parameters

The liquid used for the frequency range of 100MHz-6G consisted of water, sugar, salt and Cellulose. The liquid has been previously proven to be suited for worst-case. The following Table shows the detail solution. It's satisfying the latest tissue dielectric parameters requirements proposed by the IEEE 1528 and IEC 62209. The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the determine of the dielectric parameter are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the target values. The following materials are used for producing the tissue-equivalent materials

Targets for tissue simulating liquid

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Type (σ)	± 5% Range	Permittivity (ε)	± 5% Range
300	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	45.3	43.04~47.57
450	Head	0.87	0.83~0.91	43.5	41.33~45.68
835	Head	0.90	0.86~0.95	41.5	39.43~43.58
900	Head	0.97	0.92~1.02	41.0	39.43~43.58
1800-2000	Head	1.40	1.33~1.47	40.0	38.00~42.00
2450	Head	1.80	1.71~1.89	39.2	37.24~41.16
2600	Head	1.96	1.86~2.06	39.0	37.05~40.95
3000	Head	2.40	2.28~2.52	38.5	36.58~40.43
5800	Head	5.27	5.01~5.53	35.3	33.54~37.07

Page 15 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com



6.8. Tissue-equivalent Liquid Properties


Test Date dd/mm/yy	Temp ℃	Tissue Type	Measured Frequency (MHz)	εr	σ(s/m)	Dev εr(%)	Dev σ(%)	
10/24/2024	22 °C	2450H	2412 2442 2450 2469	39.59 38.53 38.84 38.25	1.70 1.72 1.76 1.75	0.99 -1.67 -0.91 -2.45	3.52 -3.64 -2.22 -3.31	
Hotline: 400-	6611-140 To	l: 86-755-27	673330 Eav	: 86-755-276	73332 h#	Pa p://www.tc	age 16 of 64	

6.9. System Check

The SAR system must be validated against its performance specifications before it is deployed. When SAR probe and system component or software are changed, upgraded or recalibrated, these must be validated with the SAR system(s) that operates with such component. Reference dipoles are used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation.

System check results have to be equal or near the values determined during dipole calibration with the relevant liquids and test system (±10 %).

System check is performed regularly on all frequency bands where tests are performed with the OPENSAR system.



7. Measurement Procedure

直测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

Conducted power measurement

For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.

Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.

For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band. Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyser, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

Conducted power measurement

Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.

Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.

Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the MVG software.

Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.

Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.

Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

Power reference measurement Area scan Zoom scan Power drift measurement

Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The MVG software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a "cube" measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages: Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.

Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).

Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.

Interpolation of all measured values form the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid

Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface

Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

Page 18 of 64



Power Reference Measurement

T通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties

Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r03 quoted below.

			\leq 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum distance fro (geometric center of pr			$5 \text{ mm} \pm 1 \text{ mm}$	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \text{ mm} \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location			30° ± 1°	$20^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$
			\leq 2 GHz: \leq 15 mm 2 - 3 GHz: \leq 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx _{Area} , Δy _{Area}			When the x or y dimension measurement plane orientati above, the measurement res corresponding x or y dimen- at least one measurement po	ion, is smaller than the olution must be \leq the sion of the test device with
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δxzoom, Δyzoom		$\leq 2 \text{ GHz:} \leq 8 \text{ mm}$ $2 - 3 \text{ GHz:} \leq 5 \text{ mm}^*$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz:} \le 5 \text{ mm}^*$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz:} \le 4 \text{ mm}^*$	
	uniform	grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$	$\leq 5 \text{ mm}$	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz:} \le 4 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz:} \le 3 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz:} \le 2 \text{ mm}$
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	graded	$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$: between 1 st two points closest to phantom surface	\leq 4 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz:} \le 3 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz:} \le 2.5 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz:} \le 2 \text{ mm}$
grid $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$: between subsequent points		$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1) \text{ mm}$		
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		≥ 30 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz} \ge 28 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz} \ge 25 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz} \ge 22 \text{ mm}$

Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see IEEE Std 1528-2013 for details.

When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the area scan based 1-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB Publication 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.

Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD post-processor scan combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

Page 19 of 64

SAR Averaged Methods

In MVG, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In MVG measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

Power Drift measurement

The drift job measures the field at the same location as the most recent reference job within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The drift measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the last reference measurement. Several drift measurements are possible for

Measurement Uncertainty

Per KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100KHz to 6GHz ,when the highest measurement 1-g SAR within a frequency band is <1.5W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR report submitted for equipment approval.

8. Conducted Output Power

		2.4G			
Mode		GFSK			
Channel	Lower	Middle	High	(C)
Frequency	2412	2442	2469		
Average Power (dBm)	14.595	14.029	13.059		


Note

- 1. Per KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- 2. The output power of all data rate were prescan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.

Report No.: TCT241022E011

Page 21 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com



10. SAR Test Results Summary

10.1. Body-Worn 1g SAR Data

Band	Mode	Test Position with 0mm	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Tune-U p Limit (dBm)	Power Drift (%)	Meas. SAR1g (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	Reported SAR1g (W/kg)	Limit (W/Kg)
	N.	Back- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	-0.94	0.19	1.098	0.21	
		Front- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	-0.94	0.10	1.098	0.11	
Z)		Left- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	1.26	0.02	1.098	0.02	6
		Right- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	0.75	0.02	1.098	0.02	
		Bottom- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	1.21	0.02	1.098	0.02	
2.4G	GFSK	Top- antenna unfolded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	-0.30	0.03	1.098	0.03	1.60
2.40	GISK	Back- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	1.79	0.13	1.098	0.14	1.00
5)		Front- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	0.61	0.07	1.098	0.08	No.
		Left- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	-1.75	0.03	1.098	0.03	
	ACC.	Right- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	1.12	0.01	1.098	0.01	
		Bottom- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	-2.04	0.01	1.098	0.01	
Z)		Top- antenna folded	Lower	2412	14.595	15.00	1.03	0.03	1.098	0.03	

Note:

- 1. Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output power channel Reported SAR ≤ 0.8W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
- 2. Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, body-worn use is evaluated with the device positioned at 0 mm from a flat phantom filled with head tissue-equivalent medium.
- Per KDB 447498 D01 v06, the report SAR is measured SAR value adjusted for maximum tune-up tolerance. Scaling Factor=10^[(tune-up limit power(dBm) - Ave.power power (dBm))/10], where tune-up limit is the maximum rated power among all production units.
- Reported SAR(W/kg)=Measured SAR (W/kg)*Scaling Factor.
- Per KDB865664D01 v01r04 perform a second repeated measurement only the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is >1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥1.45W/kg.
 Perform a second measurement only if the original, first and second repeated measurement is ≥1.5w/kg and the ratio
- of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurement is >1.20.
- 6. Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg

10.2. Measure			y (150MH	2-00	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,	1			-
Uncertainty Component	Descriptio n	Uncertainty Value(%)	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. 1g(%)	Std. Unc. 10g(%)	v
Measurement system	704			1	I 4			5.0	
Probe calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Axial isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	(1-C _p) ^{1/2}	(1-C _{p)} ^{1/2}	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
Boundary Effects	7.2.1.4	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.70	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	00
Vodulation Response	7.2.1.2	3	N	1 1	1		3.00	3.00	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	00
ntegration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$. 1	1	0.81	0.81	000
RF Ambient Conditions-Noise	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	00
RF Ambient			_						
Conditions-Reflection Probe positioned	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	~~~
Probe positioning with espect to phantom shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1		0.81	0.81	~~~~
Extrapolation interpolation and integration algorithms for Max.SAR evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	1	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample related				1.1.4.5		1.			
Test sample positioning	7.2.2.4.4	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	∞
Device holder uncertainty	7.2.2.4.2	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	00
output power variation-SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	~
SAR scaling	7.2.5	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Phantom and tissue parame	eters			<u> </u>					
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2.2	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	œ
uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	N		1	0.84	2.00	1.68	~
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	Ν	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	~
iquid conductivity	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	~
iquid permittivity temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	\sim
iquid permittivity	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	œ
Combined standard Incertainty			RSS				10.83	10.54	
Expanded uncertainty (95%CONFIDENCEINTER VAL			k	9			21.26	21.08	

Page 24 of 64

	UNCERT		R PERFOR	MAN	CE CHE	CK			
Uncertainty Component	Description	Uncertainty Value(%)	Probably Distribution	Div.	(Ci) 1g	(Ci) 10g	Std. Unc. 1g(%)	Std. Unc. 10g(%)	×
Measurement system		Ι		1					
Probe calibration	7.2.1	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
Axial isotropy	7.2.1.1	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	(1-C _p) ^{1/2}	(1-C _{p)} ^{1/2}	1.43	1.43	∞
Hemispherical isotropy	7.2.1.1	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	8
Boundary Effects	7.2.1.4	1.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	7.2.1.2	4.70	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	8
System detection limits	7.2.1.2	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1		0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation Response	7.2.1.3	3	N	1	1		0.00	0.00	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
Readout Electronics	7.2.1.5	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
Response Time	7.2.1.6	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	7.2.1.7	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~
RF Ambient									
Conditions-Noise RF Ambient	7.2.3.7	3	R	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	~~~
Conditions-Reflection	7.2.3.7	3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	8
Probe positioned mechanical Tolerance	7.2.2.1	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1		0.81	0.81	~
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	7.2.2.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	8
Extrapolation interpolation and integration algorithms for Max.SAR evaluation	7.2.4	2.3	R	1	1	1	1.33	1.33	~
Dipole			L	(
Deviation of experimental source from numerical source		4	N	1	1	1	4.00	4.00	œ
Input power and SAR drift measurement	7.2.3.6	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	8
Dipole axis to liquid distance	\mathcal{O}	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1				~
Phantom and tissue paran	neters		I	1					
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.2.2.2	4	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation (in permittivity and conductivity)	7.2.6	2	Ν	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	~
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	~
Liquid conductivity measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.3	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	~
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	7.2.3.5	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	8
Liquid permittivity measurement uncertainty	7.2.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	œ
Combined standard uncertainty		\sim	RSS		2		10.15	10.05	
Expanded uncertainty (95%CONFIDENCEINTE RVAL			k				20.29	20.10	

TCT 通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

Page 25 of 64

Report No.: TCT241022E011



10.3. Test Equipment List

Report No.: TCT241022E011

				Calib	ration
Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date (D.M.Y)	Calibration Due (D.M.Y)
PC	Lenovo	H3050	N/A	N/A	N/A
Signal Generator	Angilent	N5182A	MY47070282	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Multimeter	Keithley	Multimeter 2000	4078275	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753E	US38432457	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Wireless Communication Test Set	R & S	CMU200	111382	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
/ideband Radio Communication Tester	R&S	CMW500	114220	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Meter	Agilent	E4418B	GB43312526	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Meter	Agilent	E4416A	MY45101555	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Meter	Agilent	N1912A	MY50001018	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9301A	MY41497725	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9327A	MY44421198	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9323A	MY53070005	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 202
Power Amplifier	PE	PE15A4019	112342	N/A	N/A
Directional Coupler	Agilent	722D	MY52180104	N/A	N/A
Attenuator	Chensheng	FF779	134251	N/A	N/A
E-Field PROBE	MVG	SSE2	SN 25/22 EPGO375	Jun. 29, 2024	Jun. 28, 202
DIPOLE 2450	MVG	SID 2450	SN 16/15 DIP 2G450-374	Jun. 05, 2024	Jun. 04, 202
Limesar Dielectric Probe	MVG	SCLMP	SN 19/15 OCPG71	Jun. 05, 2024	Jun. 04, 202
Communication Antenna	MVG	ANTA59	SN 39/14 ANTA59	N/A	N/A
Mobile Phone Position Device	MVG	MSH101	SN 19/15 MSH101	N/A	N/A
Dummy Probe	MVG	DP66	SN 13/15 DP66	N/A	N/A
SAM PHANTOM	MVG	SAM120	SN 19/15 SAM120	N/A	N/A
PHANTOM TABLE	MVG	TABP101	SN 19/15 TABP101	N/A	N/A
Robot TABLE	MVG	TABP61	SN 19/15 TABP61	N/A	N/A
6 AXIS ROBOT	KUKA	KR6-R900	501822	N/A	N/A

Note: 1.N/A means this equipment no need to calibrate


2.Each Time means this device need to calibrate every use time


3. The dipole was not damaged properly repaired.


4. The measured SAR deviates from the calibrated SAR value by less than 10%


5. The most recent return-loss result meets the required 20 dB minimum return-loss requirement

6. The most recent measurement of the real or imaginary parts of the impedance deviates by less than 5 Ω from the previous measurement.














	CT 译	<mark>鱼测检测</mark> IING CENTRE TECHNOLC th	U GY		Report No.	: TCT241022	E011
		Concerns a set of a set of a set					
Č,	The Body Lie	quid of 2450MH	z (15.3cm)	Ś			
S							
Ś							
S							
(()							









通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Le Gall	Measurement Responsible	6/30/2024	A
Checked & approved by:	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	6/30/2024	Jz
Authorized by:	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	7/05/2024	Gann TOUTAAN

	Customer Name
	Shenzhen Tongce
Distribution :	Testing Lab

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
А	Jérôme Le Gall	6/30/2024	Initial release

Page: 2/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 36 of 64

通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY Report No.: TCT241022E011 Ref: ACR 180,7,22 BES B COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT mvg TABLE OF CONTENTS 1 2 2.1General Information 4 3 3.1 Linearity 4 3.2 Sensitivity _ 4 3.3 Lower Detection Limit 5 3.4 5 Isotropy 3.1 Boundary Effect 5 4 5 5.1 Sensitivity in air 6 5.2 Linearity 7 _____ 5.3 Sensitivity in liquid_____ 8 5.4 9 Isotropy 6

Page: 3/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 37 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com



1

COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

DEVICE UNDER TEST

通测检

ESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

Device Under Test			
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE		
Manufacturer	MVG		
Model SSE2			
Serial Number	SN 25/22 EPGO375		
Product Condition (new / used)	New		
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz		
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector Dipole 1: R1=0.197 MΩ			
	Dipole 2: R2=0.230 MΩ		
	Dipole 3: R3=0.208 MΩ		

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards.

* 100
in some or destruction for service or a service of

Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Probe

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

3.2 <u>SENSITIVITY</u>

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

Page: 4/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 38 of 64



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

ESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°-180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°-360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and $d_{be} + d_{sten}$ along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

SALCuncertainty [70] = ($\delta \text{SAR}_{be} \frac{\left(d_{be} + d_{step}\right)^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{\left(e^{-d_{be}/(\delta/2)}\right)}{\delta/2} \text{for } \left(d_{be} + d_{step}\right) < 10 \text{ mm}$	
where		
SARuncertainty	is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect	
dbe	is the distance between the surface and the closest zoom-scan measurement	
	point, in millimetre	
Δ_{step}	is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that	
•	are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect	
	at the second location is negligible	
δ	is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent	
	liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14 \text{ mm}$ at 3 GHz;	
⊿SAR _{be}	in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the	
	distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.	

The measured worst case boundary effect SAR uncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

Page: 5/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 39 of 64



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

The guidelines outlined in the IEC/IEEE 62209-1528 and FCC KDB865664 D01 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the pre-	obe calibration in waveguide
----------------------------------	------------------------------

ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	- Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS 5

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Humidity	30-70 %	

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	Normy dipole $2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	Normz dipole 3 $(\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.64	0.53	0.44

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
106	108	109

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:


 $E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$

Page: 6/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 40 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com





COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID


Liquid	Frequency	ConvF
	(MHz +/-	
	100MHz)	
HL750	750	1.71
BL750	750	1.78
HL900	900	1.91
BL900	900	1.96
HL1800	1800	2.08
BL1800	1800	2.16
HL2000	2000	2.03
BL2000	2000	2.10
HL2450	2450	2.31
BL2450	2450	2.37
HL2600	2600	2.16
BL2600	2600	2.23
HL3500	3500	2.21
BL3500	3500	2.28
HL3700	3700	3.45
BL3700	3700	3.15
HL4600	4600	3.30
BL4600	4600	3.70
HL5200	5200	2.01
BL5200	5200	2.08
HL5600	5600	2.07
BL5600	5600	2.12
HL5800	5800	2.06
BL5800	5800	2.13

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 7mW/kg

Page: 8/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 42 of 64



Page 43 of 64



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
CALIPROBE Test Bench	Version 2	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	08/2024	08/2027	
Network Analyzer	Agilent 8753ES	MY40003210	10/2023	10/2026	
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	HP 85033D	3423A08186	06/2021	06/2027	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2023	02/2026	
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	03/2022	03/2025	
Amplifier	MVG	MODU-023-C-0002	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	06/2024	06/2027	
Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz NRVD	832839-056	11/2023	11/2026	
Directional Coupler	Krytar 158020	131467	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG4_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_0G900_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG6_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G500_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG8_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800B_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_1G800H_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG10_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Liquid transition	MVG	SN 32/16 WGLIQ_3G500_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Waveguide	MVG	SN 32/16 WG12_1	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	

Page: 10/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 44 of 64





COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.180.7.22.BES.B

Liquid transition	M∨G			Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44225320	06/2024	06/2027

Page: 11/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vK This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 45 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com





SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2024	Jes
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2024	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	06/05/2024	them Metthewshi

 Customer Name
SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

Issue	Date	Modifications	
A	06/05/2024	Initial release	
	Issue A	A	

Page: 2/7

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 47 of 64

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com

	リ 本金	Report No.: TCT241022E011
ULTRUMEN VIGIN Brug	SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT	Ref: ACR.138.4.33SATU.A
-	TABLE OF CONTENTS	

1	Intro	oduction	
2	Devi	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
		surement Method	
	4.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Dielectric Permittivity Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results6	
	6.1	Liquid Permittivity Measurement	6
7	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/7



2

SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

This document contains a summary of the suggested methods and requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for liquid permittivity measurements and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test				
Device Type	LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE			
Manufacturer	MVG			
Model	SCLMP			
Serial Number	SN 19/15 OCPG 71			
Product Condition (new / used)	Used			

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's Dielectric Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the LIMESAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG LIMESAR Dielectric Probe

Page: 4/7

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 49 of 64



「通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C and CEI/IEC 62209-1 & 2 standards outline techniques for dielectric property measurements. The LIMESAR test bench employs one of the methods outlined in the standards, using a contact probe or open-ended coaxial transmission-line probe and vector network analyzer. The standards recommend the measurement of two reference materials that have well established and stable dielectric properties to validate the system, one for the calibration and one for checking the calibration. The LIMESAR test bench uses De-ionized water as the reference for the calibration and either DMS or Methanol as the reference for checking the calibration. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENTS

The permittivity of a liquid with well established dielectric properties was measured and the measurement results compared to the values provided in the fore mentioned standards.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 DIELECTRIC PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the Dielectric Permittivity measurement:

Uncertainty analysis of Permittivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Repeatability (n repeats, mid-band)	4.00%	Ν	1	1	4.000%
Deviation from reference liquid	5.00%	R	√3	1	2.887%
Network analyser-drift, linearity	2.00%	R	√3	1	1.155%
Test-port cable variations	0.00%	U	√2	1	0.000%
Combined standard uncertainty				5.066%	
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95% , k = 2)				10.0%	

Uncertainty analysis of Conductivity Measurement					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (+/-%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (+/-%)
Repeatability (n repeats, mid-band)	3.50%	N	1	1	3.500%
Deviation from reference liquid	3.00%	R	√3	1	1.732%
Network analyser-drift, linearity	2.00%	R	√3	1	1.155%
Test-port cable variations	0.00%	U	√2	1	0.000%
Combined standard uncertainty					4.072%
Expanded uncertainty (confidence level of 95%, k = 2)					8.1%

Page: 5/7



SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A

6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Measurement Condition		
Software	LIMESAR	
Liquid Temperature	21°C	
Lab Temperature	21°C	
Lab Humidity	44%	

6.1 LIQUID PERMITTIVITY MEASUREMENT

A liquid of known characteristics (methanol at 20°C) is measured with the probe and the results (complex permittivity $\epsilon'+j\epsilon''$) are compared with the well-known theoretical values for this liquid.



Page: 6/7



SAR DIELECTRIC PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.138.4.33..SATU.A


7 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
LIMESAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVA	SN100132	02/2024	02/2027	
Methanol CAS 67-56-1	Alpha Aesar	Lot D13W011	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company	11-661-9	09/2024	09/2025	

Page: 7/7

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 52 of 64







SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2024	Jez
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Product Manager	06/05/2024	JS
Approved by :	Kim RUTKOWSKI	Quality Manager	06/05/2024	Mim Putthowski

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN TONGCE TESTING LAB

	Issue	Date	Modifications	(
0	А	06/05/2024	Initial release	X
_				

Page: 2/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 54 of 64



Τ

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intr	oduction4	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Pro	duct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
4	Mea	surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	5
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	5
5	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cali	bration Measurement Results6	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
	6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	
	6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Val	idation measurement	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	
	7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	
	7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	
8	List	of Equipment	

Page: 3/11



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

1 INTRODUCTION

通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

D	evice Under Test
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 16/15 DIP 2G450-374
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

A yearly calibration interval is recommended.

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11



直测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constucted as outlined in the fore mentioned standards.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimensions frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 <u>RETURN LOSS</u>

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.1 dB

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:


Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
3 - 300	0.05 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	20.3 %

Page: 5/11



Page 58 of 64



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

	-					
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	PASS	30.4 ±1 %.	PASS	3.6 ±1 %.	PASS
2600	48.5 ±1 %.		28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.		25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.		26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ɛ,')		Conductiv	ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
450	43.5 ±5 %		0.87 ±5 %	
750	41.9 ±5 %		0.89 ±5 %	
835	41.5 ±5 %		0.90 ±5 %	
900	41.5 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %	
1450	40.5 ±5 %		1.20 ±5 %	
1500	40.4 ±5 %		1.23 ±5 %	
1640	40.2 ±5 %		1.31 ±5 %	
1750	40.1 ±5 %		1.37 ±5 %	

Page: 7/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 59 of 64



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

1800	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1900	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
1950	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2000	40.0 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %	
2100	39.8 ±5 %		1.49 ±5 %	
2300	39.5 ±5 %		1.67 ±5 %	
2450	39.2 ±5 %	PASS	1.80 ±5 %	PASS
2600	39.0 ±5 %		1.96 ±5 %	
3000	38.5 ±5 %		2.40 ±5 %	
3500	37.9 ±5 %		2.91 ±5 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps' : 38.3 sigma : 1.80
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz 1g SAR		W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	


Page: 8/11




SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

39.	7	20.5	
40.	5	20.9	
41.	1	21.1	
43.	6	21.9	
48.	7	23.3	
52.	4 53.26	(5.38) 24	24.15 (2.49)
55.	3	24.6	
63.	8	25.7	
67.	1	25	
	40. 41. 43. 48. 52. 55. 63.	39.7 40.5 41.1 43.6 48.7 52.4 53.26 55.3 63.8 67.1	40.5 20.9 41.1 21.1 43.6 21.9 48.7 23.3 52.4 53.26 (5.38) 24 55.3 24.6 63.8 25.7





7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ɛ,')		Conductivity (σ) S/m			
	required	measured	required	measured		
150	61.9 ±5 %		0.80 ±5 %			
300	58.2 ±5 %		0.92 ±5 %			
450	56.7 ±5 %		0.94 ±5 %			
750	55.5 ±5 %		0.96 ±5 %			
835	55.2 ±5 %		0.97 ±5 %			
900	55.0 ±5 %		1.05 ±5 %			
915	55.0 ±5 %		1.06 ±5 %			
1450	54.0 ±5 %		1.30 ±5 %			
1610	53.8 ±5 %		1.40 ±5 %			
1800	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %			
1900	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %			
2000	53.3 ±5 %		1.52 ±5 %			
2100	53.2 ±5 %		1.62 ±5 %			
2450	52.7 ±5 %	PASS	1.95 ±5 %	PASS		

Page: 9/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 61 of 64



TCT通测检测 TECTIM CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT


Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

2600	52.5 ±5 %	2.16 ±5 %
3000	52.0 ±5 %	2.73 ±5 %
3500	51.3 ±5 %	3.31 ±5 %
5200	49.0 ±10 %	5.30 ±10 %
5300	48.9 ±10 %	5.42 ±10 %
5400	48.7 ±10 %	5.53 ±10 %
5500	48.6 ±10 %	5.65 ±10 %
5600	48.5 ±10 %	5.77 ±10 %
5800	48.2 ±10 %	6.00 ±10 %

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V4
Phantom	SN 20/09 SAM71
Probe	SN 18/11 EPG122
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps' : 52.7 sigma : 1.94
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	21 °C
Lab Temperature	21 °C
Lab Humidity	45 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)		
	measured	measured		
2450	50.63 (5.01)	23.40 (2.37)		



Page: 10/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 62 of 64



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.156.9.15.SATU.A

8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet											
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date							
SAM Phantom	M∨G	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.							
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.							
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz Z∨A	SN100132	02/2024	02/2027							
Calipers	Carrera	CALIPER-01	02/2024	02/2027							
Reference Probe	M∨G	EPG122 SN 18/11	02/2024	02/2025							
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1188656	02/2024	02/2027							
Signal Generator	Agilent E4438C	MY49070581	02/2024	02/2027							
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.								
Power Meter	HP E4418A	US38261498	02/2024	02/2027							
Power Sensor	HP ECP-E26A	US37181460	02/2024	02/2027							
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.							
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Control Company		02/2024	02/2027							

Page: 11/11

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.

Page 63 of 64

Report No.: TCT241022E011

Appendix E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION

Per FCC KDB 865664 D02v01, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue- equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01 and IEEE 1528-2013. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

ļ	SAR System Validation Summary											
				Tissu	COND. PERM.	COND. PERM.	CW Validation			Mod. Validation		
	Date	Freq. [MHz]	Probe S/N	e type	(σ)	(ɛr)	sensitivity	Probe linearity	Probe isotropy	Mod. type	Duty factor	Peak to average power ratio
	07/25/2024	2450	SN 25/22 EPGO 375	Head	37.48	1.72	PASS	PASS	PASS	OFDM	PASS	N/A

NOTE: While the probes have been calibrated for both a CW and modulated signals, all measurements were performed using communication systems calibrated for CW signals only. Modulations in the table above represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01 for scenarios when CW probe calibrations are used with other signal types. SAR systems were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as OFDM according to KDB 865664.

7.	\sim			Calibration		
Test Equipment	Manufacturer	Model	Serial Number	Calibration Date (D.M.Y)	Calibration Due (D.M.Y)	
Signal Generator	Angilent	N5182A	MY47070282	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	
Multimeter	Keithley	Multimeter 2000	4078275	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	
Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753E	US38432457	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	
Power Meter	Agilent	E4418B	GB43312526	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9301A	MY41497725	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	
Power Amplifier	PE	PE15A4019	112342	N/A	N/A	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control company	TH101B	152470214	Jun. 27, 2024	Jun. 26, 2025	

END OF REPOR

Page 64 of 64