

# FCC SAR REPORT

**Applicant:** Todos Industrial Limited

**Address of Applicant:** Room 308, building A3, Fuhai information port, Fuhai street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, 518000

**Equipment Under Test (EUT)**

Product Name: Tablet PC

Model No.: Tab X1, TabX1, TabX3, TabX4, TabX5, TabT1, TabT2, TabT3, TabN1, TabN2, TabN3, TabXX (XX can be any number)

Trade mark aprix, Geex, hiup, Todos

**FCC ID:** 2AZQ6-APX1

**Applicable standards:** FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093

**Date of Test:** 28 Jun., 2021 ~ 30 Jun., 2021

**Test Result:** Maximum Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)  
Body: 0.524

Authorized Signature:



Bruce Zhang  
Laboratory Manager

This report details the results of the testing carried out on one sample. The results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product and does not permit the use of the JYT product certification mark. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report.

This report may only be reproduced and distributed in full. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards.

This document cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 90 days only.

**2 Version**

<b>Version No.</b>	<b>Date</b>	<b>Description</b>
<i>00</i>	<i>05 Jul., 2021</i>	<i>Original</i>

**Tested by:***Carl Wei***Date:***05 Jul., 2021*

---

**Test Engineer****Reviewed by:***Tanet Wei***Date:***05 Jul., 2021*

---

**Project Engineer**

### 3 Contents

<b>1</b>	<b>COVER PAGE.....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>2</b>	<b>VERSION .....</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>3</b>	<b>CONTENTS .....</b>	<b>3</b>
<b>4</b>	<b>SAR RESULTS SUMMARY.....</b>	<b>5</b>
<b>5</b>	<b>GENERAL INFORMATION.....</b>	<b>6</b>
5.1	CLIENT INFORMATION.....	6
5.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT .....	6
5.3	MAXIMUM RF OUTPUT POWER .....	7
5.4	ENVIRONMENT OF TEST SITE .....	7
5.5	TEST SAMPLE PLAN .....	7
5.6	TEST LOCATION .....	7
<b>6</b>	<b>INTRODUCTION.....</b>	<b>8</b>
6.1	INTRODUCTION .....	8
6.2	SAR DEFINITION.....	8
<b>7</b>	<b>RF EXPOSURE LIMITS .....</b>	<b>9</b>
7.1	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT.....	9
7.2	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT .....	9
7.3	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	9
<b>8</b>	<b>SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....</b>	<b>10</b>
8.1	E-FIELD PROBE.....	11
8.2	DATA ACQUISITION ELECTRONICS (DAE).....	11
8.3	ROBOT .....	12
8.4	MEASUREMENT SERVER .....	12
8.5	LIGHT BEAM UNIT.....	12
8.6	PHANTOM.....	13
8.7	DEVICE HOLDER.....	14
8.8	DATA STORAGE AND EVALUATION.....	15
8.9	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST .....	17
<b>9</b>	<b>TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS .....</b>	<b>18</b>
<b>10</b>	<b>SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....</b>	<b>21</b>
<b>11</b>	<b>EUT TESTING POSITION.....</b>	<b>23</b>
11.1	SAR EVALUATIONS NEAR THE MOUTH/JAW REGIONS OF THE SAM PHANTOM .....	23
11.2	BODY WORN ACCESSORY CONFIGURATIONS .....	23
11.3	WIRELESS ROUTER (HOTSPOT) CONFIGURATIONS .....	24
<b>12</b>	<b>MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES .....</b>	<b>25</b>
12.1	SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION .....	25
12.2	POWER REFERENCE MEASUREMENT.....	26
12.3	AREA & ZOOM SCAN PROCEDURES.....	26
12.4	VOLUME SCAN PROCEDURES .....	27
12.5	SAR AVERAGED METHODS .....	27
12.6	POWER DRIFT MONITORING .....	27
<b>13</b>	<b>CONDUCTED RF OUTPUT POWER.....</b>	<b>28</b>
13.1	WLAN 2.4 GHz BAND CONDUCTED POWER .....	28
13.2	WLAN 5.2GHz BAND CONDUCTED POWER .....	29
13.3	BLUETOOTH CONDUCTED POWER .....	30
<b>14</b>	<b>EXPOSURE POSITIONS CONSIDERATION .....</b>	<b>31</b>
14.1	EUT ANTENNA LOCATIONS.....	31
14.2	TEST POSITIONS CONSIDERATION .....	32
<b>15</b>	<b>SAR TEST RESULTS SUMMARY .....</b>	<b>33</b>
15.1	STANDALONE BODY SAR .....	33
15.2	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	34
15.3	MEASUREMENT CONCLUSION .....	36
<b>16</b>	<b>REFERENCE.....</b>	<b>37</b>

---

APPENDIX A: PLOTS OF SAR SYSTEM CHECK .....	38
APPENDIX B: PLOTS OF SAR TEST DATA .....	41
APPENDIX E: SYSTEM CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE .....	45

## 4 SAR Results Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:

<Highest Reported standalone SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)	Equipment Class	Highest Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)
Body (0 mm Gap)	WLAN 2.4GHz	0.269	DTS	0.524
	WLAN 5.2 GHz	0.524	NII	
	Bluetooth	0.055	DSS	

**Note:**

1. The highest simultaneous transmission is scalar summation of Reported standalone SAR per FCC KDB 690783 D01 v01r03, and scalar SAR summation of all possible simultaneous transmission scenarios are < 1.6W/kg.
2. This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

## 5 General Information

### 5.1 Client Information

Applicant:	Todos Industrial Limited
Address:	Room 308, building A3, Fuhai information port, Fuhai street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, 518000
Manufacturer/ Factory:	Todos Industrial Limited
Address:	Room 308, building A3, Fuhai information port, Fuhai street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen City, Guangdong Province, China, 518000

### 5.2 General Description of EUT

Product Name:	Tablet PC		
Model No.:	Tab X1, TabX1, TabX3, TabX4, TabX5, TabT1, TabT2, TabT3, TabN1, TabN2, TabN3, TabXX (XX can be any number)		
Category of device	Portable device		
Operation Frequency:	Wi-Fi:	2412MHz~2472MHz	5180MHz~5240MHz
	Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz		
	Wi-Fi:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 802.11b(DSSS)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 802.11a/g/n/ac (OFDM)
	Bluetooth:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BDR(GFSK)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EDR( $\pi$ /4-DQPSK, 8DPSK) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LE(GFSK)
Antenna Type:	Internal Antenna		
Antenna Gain:	Bluetooth: 1.83 dBi; 2.4G Wi-Fi: 1.83 dBi; 5G Wi-Fi: 1.99 dBi		
Dimensions (L*W*H):	124 mm (L)× 208 mm (W)× 10 mm (H)		
Accessories information:	Adapter: Model: EE-0502000UZ Input: AC100-240V, 50/60Hz, 0.5A Output: DC 5.0V, 2A		Battery: Rechargeable Li-ion Battery 3.7V/3000mAh
			Headset: Support headset
Remark	Tab X1, TabX1, TabX3, TabX4, TabX5, TabT1, TabT2, TabT3, TabN1, TabN2, TabN3, TabXX (XX can be any number) The internal circuit design, layout, components used and internal wiring are all the same, all trademarks correspond to all models, the only difference is the model name.		

### 5.3 Maximum RF Output Power

WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)				
Mode/Band	b	g	n (HT-20)	n (HT-40)
WLAN 2.4GHz	15.13	13.46	11.85	9.90

WLAN 5.2 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.2GHz	16.08	15.72	14.67	13.70	15.97	14.74

Bluetooth Average Power (dBm)				
Mode/Band	1 Mbps(GFSK)	2 Mbps( $\pi/4$ DQPSK)	3 Mbps (8DPSK)	LE (BT 4.0)
Bluetooth 2.4 GHz	5.30	7.36	7.73	6.97

### 5.4 Environment of Test Site

<b>Temperature:</b>	18°C ~25 °C
<b>Humidity:</b>	35%~75% RH
<b>Atmospheric Pressure:</b>	1010 mbar

### 5.5 Test Sample Plan

Sample Number	Used for Test Items
12#	SAR

**Remark:** JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. is only responsible for the test project data of the above samples, and will keep the above samples for a month.

### 5.6 Test Location

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd.  
 No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community, Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, People's Republic of China.  
 Tel: +86-755-23118282, Fax: +86-755-23116366  
 Email: info-JYTee@lets.com, Website: http://www.ccis-cb.com

## 6 Introduction

### 6.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

### 6.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C \left( \frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity,  $\delta T$  is the temperature rise and  $\delta t$  is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength. However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



## 7 RF Exposure Limits

### 7.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

### 7.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

### 7.3 RF Exposure Limits


#### SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

**Note:**

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

## 8 SAR Measurement System



**Fig. 8.1 SPEAG DASY System Configurations**

The DASY system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of the following items:

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, a teach pendant and software
- A data acquisition electronic (DAE) attached to the robot arm extension
- A dosimetric probe equipped with an optical surface detector system
- The electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion between optical and electrical signals
- A measurement server performs the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- A probe alignment unit which improves the accuracy of the probe positioning
- A computer operating Windows XP
- DASY software
- Remote control with teach pendant and additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The SAM twin phantom
- A device holder
- Tissue simulating liquid
- Dipole for evaluating the proper functioning of the system

Component details are described in the following sub-sections.

**8.1 E-Field Probe**

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by SPEAG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

➤ **E-Field Probe Specification**

**<EX3DV4 Probe>**

<b>Construction</b>	Symmetrical design with triangular core Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material (resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)
<b>Frequency Directivity</b>	10 MHz to 6 GHz; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB $\pm 0.3$ dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis) $\pm 0.5$ dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)
<b>Dynamic Range</b>	10 $\mu$ W/g to 100 mW/g; Linearity: $\pm 0.2$ dB (noise: typically $< 1 \mu$ W/g)
<b>Dimensions</b>	Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm



**Fig. 8.2 Photo of E-Field Probe**

➤ **E-Field Probe Calibration**

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than  $\pm 10\%$ . The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within  $\pm 0.25$  dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y and Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (ConvF) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix E of this report.

**8.2 Data Acquisition Electronics (DAE)**

The Data acquisition electronics (DAE) consists of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder and control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock. The input impedance of the DAE is 200 MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.



**Fig. 8.3 Photo of DAE**

### 8.3 Robot

The SPEAG DASY system uses the high precision robots (DASY5: TX60L) type from Stäubli SA (France). For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (DASY5: CS8c) from Stäubli is used. The Stäubli robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; nobelt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)



Fig. 8.4 Photo of Robot

### 8.4 Measurement Server

The measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with CPU (DASY 5: 400MHz, Intel Celeron), chip-disk (DASY5: 128 MB), RAM (DASY5: 128 MB). The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE electronic box, as well as the 16 bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board. The measurement server performs all the real-time data evaluation for field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements and handles safety operations.



Fig. 8.5 Photo of Server for DASY5

### 8.5 Light Beam Unit

The light beam switch allows automatic "tooling" of the probe. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip. The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.




Fig. 8.6 Photo of Light Beam

**8.6 Phantom**

**<SAM Twin Phantom>**

<b>Shell Thickness</b>	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm
<b>Filling Volume Dimensions</b>	Approx. 25 liters Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm; Height: adjustable feet
<b>Measurement Areas</b>	Left Head, Right Head, Flat phantom



**Fig. 8.7 Photo of SAM Twin Phantom**


The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.

**<ELI4 Phantom >**

The ELI4 phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30MHz to 6 GHz. ELI4 is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209-2 and all known tissue simulating liquids.

ELI4 has been optimized regarding its performance and can be integrated into a SPEAG standard phantom table. A cover prevents evaporation of the liquid. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points. The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Water-sugar based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid if the system is not in use; otherwise the parameters will change due to water evaporation.
- DGBE based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the phantom resistiveness



**Fig.8.8 Photo of ELI4 Phantom**

**8.7 Device Holder**

**<Device Holder for SAM Twin Phantom>**

The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of  $\pm 0.5$  mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of  $\pm 20$  %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards. The DASY device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles. The DASY device holder is constructed of low-low POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $\epsilon = 3$  and loss tangent  $\delta = 0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.



**Fig. 8.9 Photo of Device Holder**

## 8.8 Data storage and Evaluation

### ➤ Data Storage

The DASY software stores the assessed data from the data acquisition electronics as raw data (in microvolt readings from the probe sensors), together with all the necessary software parameters for the data evaluation (probe calibration data, liquid parameters and device frequency and modulation data) in measurement files. The post-processing software evaluates the desired unit and format for output each time the data is visualized or exported. This allows verifications of the complete software setup even after the measurement and allows correction of erroneous parameter settings. For example, if a measurement has been performed with an incorrect crest factor parameter in the device setup, the parameter can be corrected afterwards and the data can be reevaluated.

The measured data can be visualized or exported in different units or formats, depending on the selected probe type (e.g., [V/m], [mW/g]). Some of these units are not available in certain situations or give meaningless results, e.g., a SAR-output in a non-lose media, will always be zero. Raw data can also be exported to perform the evaluation with other software packages.

### ➤ Data Evaluation

The DASY post-processing software (SEMCAD) automatically executes the following procedures to calculate the field units from the microvolt readings at the probe connector. The parameters used in the evaluation are stored in the configuration modules of the software:

<b>Probe Parameters:</b>	- Sensitivity	Norm <sub>i</sub> , a <sub>i0</sub> , a <sub>i1</sub> , a <sub>i2</sub>
	- Conversion	ConvF <sub>i</sub>
	- Diode compression point	dcp <sub>i</sub>
<b>Device Parameters:</b>	- Frequency	f
	- Crest	cf
<b>Media Parameters:</b>	- Conductivity	σ
	- Density	ρ

These parameters must be set correctly in the software. They can be found in the component documents or they can be imported into the software from the configuration files issued for the DASY components. In the direct measuring mode of the multi-meter option, the parameters of the actual system setup are used. In the scan visualization and export modes, the parameters stored in the corresponding document files are used.

The first step of the evaluation is a linearization of the filtered input signal to account for the compression characteristics of the detector diode. The compensation depends on the input signal, the diode type and the DC-transmission factor from the diode to the evaluation electronics. If the exciting field is pulsed, the crest factor of the signal must be known to correctly compensate for peak power.

The formula for each channel can be given as:

$$V_i = U_i + U_i^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_i}$$

With  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 $U_i$  = input signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 cf = crest factor of exciting field (DASY parameter)  
 dcp<sup>i</sup> = diode compression point (DASY parameter)

From the compensated input signals, the primary field data for each channel can be evaluated:

$$\text{E- Field Probes: } E_i = \sqrt{\frac{v_i}{\text{Norm}_i \cdot \text{ConvF}}}$$

$$\text{H-Field Probes: } H_i = \sqrt{V_i} \cdot \frac{a_{i0} + a_{i1}f + a_{i2}f^2}{f}$$

With  $V_i$  = compensated signal of channel i, (i = x, y, z)  
 $\text{Norm}_i$  = sensor sensitivity of channel i, (i = x, y, z),  $\mu\text{V}/(\text{V/m})^2$   
 ConvF = sensitivity enhancement in solution  
 $a_{ij}$  = sensor sensitivity factors for H-field probes  
 f = carrier frequency (GHz)  
 $E_i$  = electric field strength of channel i in V/m  
 $H_i$  = magnetic field strength of channel i in A/m

The RSS value of the field components gives the total field strength (Hermitian magnitude):

$$E_{tot} = \sqrt{E_x^2 + E_y^2 + E_z^2}$$

The primary field data are used to calculate the derived field units.

$$SAR = E_{tot}^2 \cdot \frac{\sigma}{\rho \cdot 1000}$$

With SAR = local specific absorption rate in mW/g  
 $E_{tot}$  = total field strength in V/m  
 $\sigma$  = conductivity in (mho/m) or (Siemens/m)  
 $\rho$  = equipment tissue density in  $\text{g}/\text{cm}^3$

Note that the density is set to 1, to account for actual head tissue density rather than the density of the tissue simulating liquid.



## 8.9 Test Equipment List


Manufacturer	Equipment Description	Model	Management Number	Cal. Information	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SPEAG	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	WXJ023-3	06.10.2019	06.09.2022
SPEAG	5000MHz System Validation Kit	D5GHzV2	WXJ023-14	02.05.2021	02.04.2024
SPEAG	Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	WXJ021	07.27.2020	07.26.2021
SPEAG	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	WXJ022	09.23.2020	09.22.2021
SPEAG	DASY 52 Measurement Software	DASY 52	Version 52.10.4.1527	N.C.R	N.C.R
SPEAG	DASY 52 File Conversion Software	SEMCAD X	Version 14.6.14 (7483)	N.C.R	N.C.R
SPEAG	Phantom	Twin Phantom	WXG008-3	N.C.R	N.C.R
SPEAG	Phantom	ELI V5.0	WXG008-4	N.C.R	N.C.R
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	WXG008-5	N.C.R	N.C.R
Stäubli	Robot	TX60L	WXG008-2	N.C.R	N.C.R
HP	Network Analyzer	8753D	WXJ024	06.18.2020	06.17.2022
KEYSIGHT	EPM Series Power Meter	N1914A	WXJ075	11.12.2020	11.11.2021
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-1	07.31.2020	07.30.2021
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-2	08.21.2020	08.20.2021
KEYSIGHT	Signal Generator	N5173B	WXJ006-7	11.16.2020	11.15.2021
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-13	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-14	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-15	See Note 3	
Weinschel	Attenuator	23-3-34	WXG008-16	See Note 3	
Anritsu	Directional Coupler	MP654A	WXG008-17	See Note 3	
SPEAG	Dielectric Assessment Kit	3.5 Probe	WXG008-7	See Note 4	
SPEAG	DAK Measurement Software	DAK	Version: DAK 3.5	N.C.R	
TXC	Broadband Amplifier	BBA018000	WXG008-11	See Note 5	

### Note:


1. The calibration certificate of DASY can be referred to appendix C of this report.
2. Referring to KDB 865664 D01v01r04, the dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification. The dipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval.
3. The Insertion Loss calibration of Dual Directional Coupler and Attenuator were characterized via the network analyzer and compensated during system check.
4. The dielectric probe kit was calibrated via the network analyzer, with the specified procedure (calibrated in pure water) and calibration kit (standard) short circuit, before the dielectric measurement. The specific procedure and calibration kit are provided by Speag.
5. In system check we need to monitor the level on the power meter, and adjust the power amplifier level to have precise power level to the dipole; the measured SAR will be normalized to 1 W input power according to the ratio of 1 W to the input power to the dipole. For system check, the calibration of the power amplifier is deemed not critically required for correct measurement; the power meter is critical and we do have calibration for it
6. Attenuator insertion loss is calibrated by the network Analyzer, which the calibration is valid, before system check.
7. N.C.R means No Calibration Requirement.

## 9 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 9.1.



**Fig. 9.1 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR  
(2000MHz~2600MHz) (depth>15cm)**



**Fig. 9.2 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR  
(5000MHz~5800MHz) (depth>15cm)**

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within  $\pm 5\%$  of the values given in the table below recommended by the FCC OET 65 supplement C and RSS 102 Issue 5.

Target Frequency (MHz)	$\epsilon_r$	$\sigma$ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76
300	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87
835	41.5	0.90
900	41.5	0.97
915	41.5	0.98
1450	40.5	1.20
1610	40.3	1.29
1800-2000	40.0	1.40
2450	39.2	1.80
3000	38.5	2.40
5800	35.3	5.27

(  $\epsilon_r$  = relative permittivity,  $\sigma$  = conductivity and  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$  )

The dielectric parameters of liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a Speag Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Conductivity ( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity ( $\epsilon_r$ )	Conductivity Target( $\sigma$ )	Permittivity Target( $\epsilon_r$ )	Delta ( $\sigma$ )%	Delta ( $\epsilon_r$ )%	Limit (%)	Date (mm/dd/yy)
2450	23.9	1.82	38.83	1.80	39.2	1.11	-0.94	±5	06.28.2021
5200	23.3	4.72	36.10	4.67	35.96	1.09	0.39	±5	06.30.2021

## 10 SAR System Verification


Each DASY system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the DASY software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

### ➤ Purpose of System Performance check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

### ➤ System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:



**Fig.10.1 System Verification Setup Diagram**



**Fig.10.2 Photo of Dipole setup**

➤ **System Verification Results**

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SPEAG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10%. The table as below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix C of this report.

Date (mm/dd/yy)	Frequency (MHz)	Power fed onto dipole (mW)	Measured 10g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W 10g SAR (W/kg)	1W Target 1g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
06.28.2021	2450	40	2.15	53.75	52.6	2.19
06.30.2021	5200	80	6.14	76.75	79.1	-2.97

## 11 EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in ten different positions. They are Front/Back/Right Side/Top Side/Bottom Side of the EUT with phantom 1 cm gap, as illustrated below, please refer to Appendix B for the test setup photos.


### 11.1 SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom

Antennas located near the bottom of a phone may require SAR measurements around the mouth and jaw regions of the SAM head phantom. This typically applies to clam-shell style phones that are generally longer in the unfolded normal use positions or to certain older style long rectangular phones.

Under these circumstances, the following procedures apply, adopted from the FCC guidance on SAR handsets document FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r03. The SAR required in these regions of SAM should be measured using a flat phantom. The phone should be positioned with a separation distance of 4 mm between the ear reference point (ERP) and the outer surface of the flat phantom shell. While maintaining this distance at the ERP location, the low (bottom) edge of the phone should be lowered from the phantom to establish the same separation distance between the peak SAR locations identified by the truncated partial SAR distribution measured with the SAM phantom. The distance from the peak SAR location to the phone is determined by the straight line passing perpendicularly through the phantom surface. When it is not feasible to maintain 4 mm separation at the ERP while also establishing the required separation at the peak SAR location, the top edge of the phone will be allowed to touch the phantom with a separation < 4 mm at the ERP. The phone should not be tilted to the left or right while placed in this inclined position to the flat phantom.

### 11.2 Body Worn Accessory Configurations

- To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
- To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 10 mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.




**Fig.11.5 Illustration for Body Worn Position**

**11.3 Wireless Router (Hotspot) Configurations**

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive internet connectivity through simultaneous transmission of WIFI in conjunction with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in KDB Publication 941225 D06 where SAR test considerations for handsets ( $L \times W \geq 9 \text{ cm} \times 5 \text{ cm}$ ) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device with antennas 2.5 cm or closer to the edge of the device, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and summed with the WIFI transmitter according to KDB 648474 publication procedures. The “Portable Hotspot” feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.



**Fig.11.6 Illustration for Hotspot Position**



## 12 Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

<Conducted power measurement>

- For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyzer, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

<Conducted power measurement>

- Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.
- Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software.
- Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power drift measurement

### 12.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
- Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).
- Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.
- Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

## 12.2 Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

## 12.3 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.

		$\leq 3$ GHz	$> 3$ GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface		$5 \pm 1$ mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5$ mm
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location		$30^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	$20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Area}$ , $\Delta y_{Area}$		$\leq 2$ GHz: $\leq 15$ mm 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq 12$ mm	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 12$ mm 4 – 6 GHz: $\leq 10$ mm
		When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be $\leq$ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Zoom}$ , $\Delta y_{Zoom}$		$\leq 2$ GHz: $\leq 8$ mm 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq 5$ mm*	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 5$ mm* 4 – 6 GHz: $\leq 4$ mm*
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$	$\leq 5$ mm	3 – 4 GHz: $\leq 4$ mm 4 – 5 GHz: $\leq 3$ mm 5 – 6 GHz: $\leq 2$ mm
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$ : between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	$\leq 4$ mm
		$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$ : between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	$\geq 30$ mm	3 – 4 GHz: $\geq 28$ mm 4 – 5 GHz: $\geq 25$ mm 5 – 6 GHz: $\geq 22$ mm
Note: $\delta$ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details. * When zoom scan is required and the <i>reported</i> SAR from the <i>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</i> procedures of KDB 447498 is $\leq 1.4$ W/kg, $\leq 8$ mm, $\leq 7$ mm and $\leq 5$ mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.			

## **12.4 Volume Scan Procedures**

The volume scan is used to assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD post-processor scan combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

## **12.5 SAR Averaged Methods**

In DASYS, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

## **12.6 Power Drift Monitoring**

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASYS measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

### 13 Conducted RF Output Power

#### 13.1 WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 b	802.11 g	802.11n (HT20)
CH 01	2412	15.03	<b>13.46</b>	11.65
CH 06	2437	14.00	13.31	11.13
CH 11	2462	<b>15.13</b>	13.05	11.85

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n (HT40)
CH 03	2422	9.40
CH 06	2437	8.59
CH 09	2452	9.90

**Note:**

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:  

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
  - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
  - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
  - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
b/CH 11	2.462	15.5	35.48	5	11.14	3.0
g/CH 01	2.412	13.5	22.39	5	6.94	3.0

- Base on the result of note1, RF exposure evaluation of 802.11 b mode is required.
- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, In the 2.4 GHz band, separate SAR procedures are applied to DSSS and OFDM configurations to simplify DSSS test requirements. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions:
  - When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
  - When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
- Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 98.6%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.01.

**13.2 WLAN 5.2GHz Band Conducted Power**

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 n20	802.11 ac20
CH 36	5180	<b>16.08</b>	15.97	15.72
CH 40	5200	15.96	15.66	14.94
CH 48	5240	15.65	15.08	14.54

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n 40	802.11 ac40
CH 38	5190	14.74	14.67
CH 46	5230	14.30	13.84

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11ac 80
CH 42	5210	13.70

**Note:**

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:  

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
  - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
  - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
  - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
a/CH 36	5.180	16.5	44.67	5	20.37	3.0

- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
- Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 90.27%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.11.

### 13.3 Bluetooth Conducted Power

Average Power (dBm) (Bluetooth)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	GFSK	$\pi/4$ -DQPSK	8DPSK
CH 00	2402	3.18	5.40	5.80
CH 39	2441	4.64	6.77	7.15
CH 78	2480	5.30	7.36	<b>7.73</b>

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	BLE
CH 00	2402	6.10
CH 20	2442	5.85
CH 39	2480	6.97

**Note:**

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances*  $\leq$  50 mm are determined by:  


$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
  - $f(\text{GHz})$  is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
  - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
  - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
CH 78	2.480	8.0	6.31	5	1.98	3.0

- The max. tune-up power was provided by manufacturer, base on the result of note 1, RF exposure evaluation is not required.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case of all mode were shown in report.
- When the minimum *test separation distance* is  $< 5$  mm, a distance of 5 mm according is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.
- Per 2016-10-12-4.3 RF Exposure General Issues 101216 - KC, the maximum permissible duty cycle of this device determined by the handset manufacturer is 80%, the actual duty cycle is 51.2%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.56.

## 14 Exposure Positions Consideration

### 14.1 EUT Antenna Locations



**Fig.14.1 EUT Antenna Locations**

*Note: This antenna diagram is only used as a reference for the distance from the antenna to each edge. For the specific shape of the antenna, please refer to the physical photo.*

### 14.2 Test Positions Consideration

SAR exclusion calculations for antenna < 50mm from the user													
Antennas	Freq. (MHz)	Max. tune-up Power		Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface (mm)					Calculated Threshold Value (≤3.0 SAR is not required)				
		dBm	mW	Back	Top	Bott.	Right	Left	Back	Top	Bott.	Right	Left
802.11b	2462.0	15.5	35.48	2	183	5	40	59	27.85	>50mm	11.14	1.39	>50mm
802.11g	2412.0	13.5	22.39	2	183	5	40	59	17.35	>50mm	6.94	0.87	>50mm
802.11a	5180.0	16.5	44.67	2	183	5	40	59	50.92	>50mm	20.37	2.55	>50mm
Bluetooth	2480.0	8.0	6.31	2	183	5	40	59	4.81	>50mm	1.92	0.24	>50mm

SAR exclusion calculations for antenna > 50mm from the user													
Antennas	Freq. (MHz)	Max. tune-up Power		Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface (mm)					Calculated Threshold Value (SAR test exclusion power, mW)				
		dBm	mW	Back	Top	Bott.	Right	Left	Back	Top	Bott.	Right	Left
802.11b	2462.0	15.5	35.48	2	183	5	40	59	/	1426	/	/	186
802.11g	2412.0	13.5	22.39	2	183	5	40	59	/	1426	/	/	186
802.11a	5180.0	16.5	44.67	2	183	5	40	59	/	1396	/	/	156
Bluetooth	2480.0	8.0	6.31	2	183	5	40	59	/	1426	/	/	186

Test Positions					
Antennas	Back	Top Side	Bottom Side	Right Side	Left Side
802.11b	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
802.11g	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
802.11a	Yes	No	Yes	No	No
Bluetooth	Yes	No	No	No	No

**Note:**

- Referring to KDB 616217 D04v01r02, when the overall diagonal dimension of display is > 20 cm, the test distance is 0 mm; the SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 section 4.3.1 can be applied to determine SAR test exclusion for adjacent edge configurations.
- The frame-average power was used for the SAR Test Exclusion Threshold calculated for GSM mode.
- Per KDB 616217 D04v01r02, SAR evaluation for the front surface of tablet display screens is generally not necessary.
- Per KDB 616217 D04v01r02, additional testing for hotspot SAR is not required.
- Per KDB 616217 D04v01r02, when the reported SAR with the protrusions in place is > 1.2 W/kg, a KDB inquiry is required to determine if additional SAR measurements in more conservative test configurations are necessary



## 15 SAR Test Results Summary

### 15.1 Standalone Body SAR

➤ WLAN 2.4GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
1	2.4GHz/802.11b	Back	11	2462.0	15.13	0.12	15.5	<b>0.245</b>	1.089	1.01	0.269
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Bottom	11	2462.0	15.13	-0.13	15.5	0.021	1.089	1.01	0.023
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>							<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g</b>				

➤ WLAN 5.2GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
2	5.2GHz/802.11a	Back	36	5180.0	16.08	-0.04	16.5	<b>0.428</b>	1.102	1.11	0.524
	5.2GHz/802.11a	Bottom	36	5180.0	16.08	-0.00	16.5	0.015	1.102	1.11	0.018
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>							<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g</b>				

➤ Bluetooth Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (dB)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR <sub>1g</sub> (W/kg)
3	8DPSK	Back	78	2480	7.73	-0.08	8.0	0.055	1.064	1.56	0.091
<b>ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population</b>							<b>1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g</b>				

**Note:**

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel Reported SAR ≤ 0.8W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
- Additional WLAN SAR testing was performed for simultaneous transmission analysis.
- Per KDB 865664 D01v01r04, for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required when the measured SAR is ≥ 0.8W/kg.
- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, OFDM SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg. Cuz the maximum output power specified for OFDM and DSSS are 22.39mW(13.5dBm) and 35.48mW(15.5dBm), the scaled SAR would be 0.269×(22.39/35.48)=0.170W/Kg < 1.2 W/kg, therefore, SAR is not required for OFDM.
- According to KDB 865664 D02v01r02, SAR plot is required for the highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination.
- Highlight part of test data means repeated test.
- WLAN 2.4GHz Band, WLAN 5.2GHz Band and Bluetooth share the same antenna, and cannot transmit simultaneously. So the sample does not need to be evaluated for simultaneous transmission.

## 15.2 Measurement Uncertainty

The component of uncertainty may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainty by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture’s specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution, rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in below Table.

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor	1/k(b)	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

### Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual “root-sum-squares” (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The DASY uncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.

Uncertainty Component	Section	Uncert. Value	Prob. Dist.	Div.	(C <sub>i</sub> ) (1 g)	(C <sub>i</sub> ) (10 g)	Std. Unc. (1 g)	Std. Unc. (10 g)	V <sub>i</sub>
<b>Measurement System</b>									
Probe Calibration	E.2.1	±7.4%	N	1	1	1	±7.4%	±7.4%	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	±1.2%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±0.49%	±0.49%	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	±3.2%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	±1.29%	±1.29%	∞
Boundary Effects	E.2.3	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.58%	±0.58%	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	±0.9%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.52%	±0.52%	∞
System Detection Limits	E.2.5	±0.25%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.14%	±0.14%	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	±0.3%	N	1	1	1	±0.3%	±0.3%	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	±0.8%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.46%	±0.46%	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	±2.6%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.5%	±1.5%	∞
RF Ambient Noise	E.6.1	±3.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.73%	±1.73%	∞
RF Ambient Reflections	E.6.1	±3.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.73%	±1.73%	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerances	E.6.2	±0.4%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.23%	±0.23%	∞
Probe positioning tolerance with respect to the phantom shell surface	E.6.3	±2.9%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±1.68%	±1.68%	∞
Interpolation, extrapolation, and integration algorithm For max. SAR Evaluation.	E.5	±1.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±0.58%	±0.58%	∞
<b>Test Sample Related</b>									
Device Positioning	E.4.2	±4.6%	N	1	1	1	±4.6%	±4.6%	M-1
Device Holder	E.4.1	±5.2%	N	1	1	1	±5.2%	±5.2%	M-1
Power Drift	6.6.2	±5.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.89%	±2.89%	∞
<b>Phantom and Setup</b>									
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	±4.0%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	±2.31%	±2.31%	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured value)	E.3.3	±2.97%	N	1	0.78	0.71	±2.32%	±2.11%	M
Liquid dielectric constant (measured value)	E.3.3	±3.08%	N	1	0.23	0.26	±0.71%	±0.8%	M
Liquid Conductivity - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	±1.3%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	±0.59%	±0.53%	∞
Liquid Dielectric Constant - Temperature Uncertainty	E.3.4	±1.1%	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	±0.15%	±0.17%	∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty (RSS)							±11.55%	±11.51%	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence Level, k = 2)							±23.11%	±23.01%	

**Uncertainty Budget for frequency range 300 MHz to 3 GHz according to IEEE1528-2003**

### **15.3 Measurement Conclusion**

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Industry Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested. Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

## 16 Reference

- [1]. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 “Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations”
- [2]. ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992, “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”, September 1992
- [3]. IEEE Std. 1528-2003, “Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”, December 2003
- [4]. SPEAG DASY52 System Handbook
- [5]. FCC KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, “SAR GUIDANCE FOR IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi) TRANSMITTERS”, October 2015
- [6]. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, “RF EXPOSURE PROCEDURES AND EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION POLICIES FOR MOBILE AND PORTABLE DEVICES”, October 2015
- [7]. FCC KDB 616217 D04 v01r02, “SAR EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS FOR LAPTOP, NOTEBOOK, NETBOOK AND TABLET COMPUTERS”, October 2015
- [8]. FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03, “SAR EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS FOR WIRELESS HANDSETS”, October 2015
- [9]. FCC KDB 941225 D01 v03r01, “3G SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES”, October 2015
- [10]. FCC KDB 941225 D03 v01, “Recommended SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM / GPRS / EDGE”, December 2008
- [11]. FCC KDB 941225 D06 v02r01, “SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR PORTABLE DEVICES WITH WIRELESS ROUTER CAPABILITIES”, October 2015
- [12]. FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, “SAR MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR 100 MHz TO 6 GHz”, August 2015

## **Appendix A: Plots of SAR System Check**

Test Laboratory: JYTSZ

Date/Time: 06.28.2021

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: SN:910**


Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.819$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.827$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.58, 7.58, 7.58) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 09.23.2020;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

**System Performance Check at Frequency 2450MHz Head Tissue/d=10mm, Pin=40 mW, dist=2.0mm (EX-Probe)/Area Scan (51x61x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm  
 Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 3.59 W/kg

**System Performance Check at Frequency 2450MHz Head Tissue/d=10mm, Pin=40 mW, dist=2.0mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:**  
 Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm  
 Reference Value = 39.91 V/m; Power Drift =0.04 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 4.32 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 2.15 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.979 W/kg**  
 Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 8 mm  
 Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 52.5%  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 3.48 W/kg



0 dB = 3.48 W/kg = 5.42 dBW/kg

Test Laboratory: JYTSZ

Date/Time: 06.30.2021

**DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: SN:1320**

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5200$  MHz;  $\sigma = 4.715$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 36.101$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>  
 Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(5.42, 5.42, 5.42) @ 5200 MHz; Calibrated: 09.23.2020;
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

**System Performance Check at Frequency 5GHz Head Tissue/d=10mm, Pin=80 mW, dist=2.0mm (EX-Probe)/Area Scan (61x81x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 16.6 W/kg

**System Performance Check at Frequency 5GHz Head Tissue/d=10mm, Pin=80 mW, dist=2.0mm (EX-Probe)/Zoom Scan (8x8x7) (7x7x12)/Cube 0:**

Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 48.25 V/m; Power Drift = 0.14 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.8 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 6.14 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 1.73 W/kg**

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.1 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 34.1%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) =15.8 W/kg



0 dB = 15.8 W/kg = 11.99 dBW/kg



## **Appendix B: Plots of SAR Test Data**

Test Laboratory: JYTSZ

Date: 06.28.2021

**DUT: Tablet PC; Type: Tab X1; Serial: 12#**

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11b WiFi 2.4 GHz (DSSS, 1 Mbps) (0);

Frequency: 2462 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated):  $f = 2462$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.854$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 38.758$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.58, 7.58, 7.58) @ 2462 MHz; Calibrated: 09.23.2020
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

**WIFI Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x41x1):** Interpolated grid: dx=1.200 mm, dy=1.200 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.339 W/kg

**WIFI Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 11.37 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.881 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.245 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.075 W/kg**

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 5.8 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 35%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.438 W/kg



Test Laboratory: JYTSZ

Date: 06.30.2021

**DUT: Tablet PC; Type: Tab X1; Serial: 12#**

Communication System: UID 0, IEEE 802.11a WiFi 5GHz (0); Frequency: 5180 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1


Medium parameters used:  $f = 5180 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 4.702 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 36.185$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(5.42, 5.42, 5.42) @ 5180 MHz; Calibrated: 09.23.2020
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

**5.2GWIFI Body Back/Low Channel/Area Scan (51x41x1):** Interpolated grid:  
 $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$ ,  $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$   
Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.472 W/kg

**5.2GWIFI Body Back/Low Channel/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:  $dx=4\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=4\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=2\text{mm}$   
Reference Value = 11.12 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB  
Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.65 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 0.428 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.068 W/kg**  
Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 3.6 mm  
Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 19.4%  
Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.26 W/kg



Test Laboratory: JYTSZ

Date: 06.28.2021

**DUT: Tablet PC; Type: Tab X1; Serial: 12#**

Communication System: UID 0, Bluetooth (0); Frequency: 2480 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1  
 Medium parameters used:  $f = 2480 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 1.86 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\epsilon_r = 38.702$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$   
 Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3924; ConvF(7.58, 7.58, 7.58) @ 2480 MHz; Calibrated: 09.23.2020
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1373; Calibrated: 07.27.2020
- Phantom: SAM 5.0; Type: QD000P40CD; Serial: TP:1765
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (4); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.14 (7483)

**BT Body Back/High Channel/Area Scan (51x41x1):** Interpolated grid:  $dx=1.200 \text{ mm}$ ,  $dy=1.200 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.0394 W/kg

**BT Body Back/High Channel/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid:

$dx=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dy=5\text{mm}$ ,  $dz=5\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 3.826 V/m; Power Drift = -0.08 dB


Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.160 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 0.055 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.015 W/kg**

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 5.8 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 26.6%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.103 W/kg



0 dB = 0.103 W/kg = -9.87 dBW/kg

## Appendix E: System Calibration Certificate

Calibration information for E-field probes



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)



中国认可  
 国际互认  
 校准  
 CALIBRATION  
 CNAS L0570

Client **CCIS**

Certificate No: **Z20-60314**

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object: EX3DV4 - SN : 3924  
 Calibration Procedure(s): FF-Z11-004-02  
 Calibration Procedures for Dosimetric E-field Probes  
 Calibration date: September 23, 2020

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	101919	16-Jun-20(CTTL, No.J20X04344)	Jun-21
Power sensor NRP-Z91	101547	16-Jun-20(CTTL, No.J20X04344)	Jun-21
Power sensor NRP-Z91	101548	16-Jun-20(CTTL, No.J20X04344)	Jun-21
Reference 10dBAttenuator	18N50W-10dB	10-Feb-20(CTTL, No.J20X00525)	Feb-22
Reference 20dBAttenuator	18N50W-20dB	10-Feb-20(CTTL, No.J20X00526)	Feb-22
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7307	29-May-20(SPEAG, No.EX3-7307_May20)	May-21
DAE4	SN 1556	4-Feb-20(SPEAG, No.DAE4-1556_Feb20)	Feb-21
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
SignalGenerator MG3700A	6201052605	23-Jun-20(CTTL, No.J20X04343)	Jun-21
Network Analyzer E5071C	MY46110673	10-Feb-20(CTTL, No.J20X00515)	Feb-21

Calibrated by:	Name	Function	Signature
	Yu Zongying	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: September 25, 2020

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

**Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>	sensitivity in free space
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
DCP	diode compression point
CF	crest factor (1/duty_cycle) of the RF signal
A,B,C,D	modulation dependent linearization parameters
Polarization $\Phi$	$\Phi$ rotation around probe axis
Polarization $\theta$	$\theta$ rotation around an axis that is in the plane normal to probe axis (at measurement center), $\theta=0$ is normal to probe axis

Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- **NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub>:** Assessed for E-field polarization  $\theta=0$  ( $f \leq 900$ MHz in TEM-cell;  $f > 1800$ MHz: waveguide). NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> does not effect the  $E^2$ -field uncertainty inside TSL (see below ConvF).
- **NORM(f)<sub>x,y,z</sub> = NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* frequency\_response** (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- **DCP<sub>x,y,z</sub>:** DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- **PAR:** PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics.
- **A<sub>x,y,z</sub>; B<sub>x,y,z</sub>; C<sub>x,y,z</sub>; VR<sub>x,y,z</sub>; A,B,C** are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- **ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters:** Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for  $f \leq 800$ MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for  $f > 800$ MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty valued are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORM<sub>x,y,z</sub> \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from  $\pm 50$ MHz to  $\pm 100$ MHz.
- **Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy):** in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- **Sensor Offset:** The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- **Connector Angle:** The angle is assessed using the information gained by determining the NORM<sub>x</sub> (no uncertainty required).



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

## DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN:3924

### Basic Calibration Parameters

	Sensor X	Sensor Y	Sensor Z	Unc (k=2)
Norm( $\mu V/(V/m)^2$ ) <sup>A</sup>	0.50	0.42	0.67	$\pm 10.0\%$
DCP(mV) <sup>B</sup>	101.3	100.1	99.8	

### Modulation Calibration Parameters

UID	Communication System Name		A dB	B dB $\mu V$	C	D dB	VR mV	Unc <sup>E</sup> (k=2)
0	CW	X	0.0	0.0	1.0	0.00	172.6	$\pm 1.9\%$
		Y	0.0	0.0	1.0		149.2	
		Z	0.0	0.0	1.0		200.0	

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

<sup>A</sup> The uncertainties of Norm X, Y, Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Page 4 and Page 5).

<sup>B</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.

<sup>E</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max. deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the field value.





Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

## DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN:3924

### Calibration Parameter Determined in Head Tissue Simulating Media

f [MHz] <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>G</sup>	Depth <sup>G</sup> (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	41.9	0.89	10.11	10.11	10.11	0.40	0.75	±12.1%
835	41.5	0.90	9.71	9.71	9.71	0.18	1.20	±12.1%
900	41.5	0.97	9.67	9.67	9.67	0.21	1.15	±12.1%
1750	40.1	1.37	8.43	8.43	8.43	0.20	1.11	±12.1%
1900	40.0	1.40	8.14	8.14	8.14	0.22	1.14	±12.1%
2300	39.5	1.67	7.83	7.83	7.83	0.48	0.72	±12.1%
2450	39.2	1.80	7.58	7.58	7.58	0.50	0.75	±12.1%
2600	39.0	1.96	7.35	7.35	7.35	0.60	0.69	±12.1%
5250	35.9	4.71	5.42	5.42	5.42	0.45	1.32	±13.3%
5600	35.5	5.07	4.85	4.85	4.85	0.50	1.20	±13.3%
5750	35.4	5.22	4.96	4.96	4.96	0.55	1.20	±13.3%

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.

<sup>F</sup> At frequency below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ±10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ±5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

<sup>G</sup> Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for the frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.



In Collaboration with  
**TTL s p e a g**  
**CALIBRATION LABORATORY**  
 Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinatl.com [Http://www.chinatl.cn](http://www.chinatl.cn)

**DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN:3924**

**Calibration Parameter Determined in Body Tissue Simulating Media**

f [MHz] <sup>C</sup>	Relative Permittivity <sup>F</sup>	Conductivity (S/m) <sup>F</sup>	ConvF X	ConvF Y	ConvF Z	Alpha <sup>G</sup>	Depth <sup>G</sup> (mm)	Unct. (k=2)
750	55.5	0.96	10.06	10.06	10.06	0.40	0.82	±12.1%
835	55.2	0.97	9.70	9.70	9.70	0.18	1.36	±12.1%
900	55.0	1.05	9.72	9.72	9.72	0.28	1.04	±12.1%
1750	53.4	1.49	8.16	8.16	8.16	0.20	1.28	±12.1%
1900	53.3	1.52	7.78	7.78	7.78	0.21	1.34	±12.1%
2300	52.9	1.81	7.65	7.65	7.65	0.47	0.85	±12.1%
2450	52.7	1.95	7.50	7.50	7.50	0.55	0.78	±12.1%
2600	52.5	2.16	7.29	7.29	7.29	0.66	0.69	±12.1%
5250	48.9	5.36	4.86	4.86	4.86	0.50	1.40	±13.3%
5600	48.5	5.77	4.24	4.24	4.24	0.60	1.30	±13.3%
5750	48.3	5.94	4.35	4.35	4.35	0.55	1.45	±13.3%

<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of ±100MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (Page 2), else it is restricted to ±50MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency and the uncertainty for the indicated frequency band. Frequency validity below 300 MHz is ± 10, 25, 40, 50 and 70 MHz for ConvF assessments at 30, 64, 128, 150 and 220 MHz respectively. Above 5 GHz frequency validity can be extended to ± 110 MHz.


<sup>F</sup> At frequency below 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) can be relaxed to ±10% if liquid compensation formula is applied to measured SAR values. At frequencies above 3 GHz, the validity of tissue parameters ( $\epsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) is restricted to ±5%. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.

<sup>G</sup> Alpha/Depth are determined during calibration. SPEAG warrants that the remaining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is always less than ± 1% for frequencies below 3 GHz and below ± 2% for the frequencies between 3-6 GHz at any distance larger than half the probe tip diameter from the boundary.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

### Frequency Response of E-Field (TEM-Cell: ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field:  $\pm 7.4\%$  ( $k=2$ )






Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

**Receiving Pattern ( $\Phi$ ),  $\theta=0^\circ$**

**f=600 MHz, TEM**

**f=1800 MHz, R22**





Uncertainty of Axial Isotropy Assessment:  $\pm 1.2\%$  ( $k=2$ )



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

### Dynamic Range f(SAR<sub>head</sub>) (TEM cell, f = 900 MHz)



Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment:  $\pm 0.9\%$  ( $k=2$ )





Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)


### Conversion Factor Assessment

f=750 MHz,WGLS R9(H\_convF)

f=1750 MHz,WGLS R22(H\_convF)



### Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid



Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ±3.2% (k=2)



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

**DASY/EASY – Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 – SN:3924**

**Other Probe Parameters**

Sensor Arrangement	Triangular
Connector Angle (°)	159
Mechanical Surface Detection Mode	enabled
Optical Surface Detection Mode	disable
Probe Overall Length	337mm
Probe Body Diameter	10mm
Tip Length	10mm
Tip Diameter	2.5mm
Probe Tip to Sensor X Calibration Point	1mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Y Calibration Point	1mm
Probe Tip to Sensor Z Calibration Point	1mm
Recommended Measurement Distance from Surface	1.4mm

Calibration information for Dipole



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可  
国际互认  
校准  
CALIBRATION  
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

Client

CCIS

Certificate No:

Z19-60177

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object: D2450V2 - SN: 910  
Calibration Procedure(s): FF-Z11-003-01  
Calibration Procedures for dipole validation kits  
Calibration date: June 10, 2019

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power Meter NRP2	106277	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Power sensor NRP8S	104291	20-Aug-18 (CTTL, No.J18X06862)	Aug-19
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN 7514	27-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.EX3-7514_Aug18)	Aug-19
DAE4	SN 1556	20-Aug-18(SPEAG,No.DAE4-1556_Aug18)	Aug-19
Secondary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Signal Generator E4438C	MY49071430	23-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00336)	Jan-20
NetworkAnalyzer E5071C	MY46110673	24-Jan-19 (CTTL, No.J19X00547)	Jan-20

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Zhao Jing	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: June 14, 2019

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.





In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
**CALIBRATION LABORATORY**

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: ettl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

**Glossary:**

TSL	tissue simulating liquid
ConvF	sensitivity in TSL / NORM <sub>x,y,z</sub>
N/A	not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices- Part 1: Device used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300MHz to 6GHz)", July 2016
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) For wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30MHz to 6GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB865664, SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz

**Additional Documentation:**

- e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of Measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution Corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

**Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY52	52.10.2.1495
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Triple Flat Phantom 5.1C	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy, dz = 5 mm	
Frequency	2450 MHz ± 1 MHz	

**Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	39.2	1.80 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	39.8 ± 6 %	1.83 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	13.2 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	52.6 W/kg ± 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	6.11 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.4 W/kg ± 18.7 % (k=2)

**Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Body TSL parameters	22.0 °C	52.7	1.95 mho/m
Measured Body TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	52.1 ± 6 %	1.96 mho/m ± 6 %
Body TSL temperature change during test	<1.0 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Body TSL**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	12.8 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	50.9 W/kg ± 18.8 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Body TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	250 mW input power	5.94 W/kg
SAR for nominal Body TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.7 W/kg ± 18.7 % (k=2)



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
**CALIBRATION LABORATORY**

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

**Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of CNAS L0570)**

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	54.1Ω+ 2.51 jΩ
Return Loss	- 26.8dB

**Antenna Parameters with Body TSL**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	52.3Ω+ 3.40 jΩ
Return Loss	- 27.9dB

**General Antenna Parameters and Design**

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.020 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard. No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

**Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

**DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 06.10.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 910**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.825$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 39.75$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Right Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(6.95, 6.95, 6.95) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP\_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


**Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 97.66 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 13.2 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.11 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 22.3 W/kg






In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

### Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL





In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
**CALIBRATION LABORATORY**

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

**DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 06.10.2019

Test Laboratory: CTTL, Beijing, China

**DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: D2450V2 - SN: 910**

Communication System: UID 0, CW; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used:  $f = 2450$  MHz;  $\sigma = 1.962$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 52.06$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Center Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7514; ConvF(7.13, 7.13, 7.13) @ 2450 MHz; Calibrated: 8/27/2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1556; Calibrated: 8/20/2018
- Phantom: MFP\_V5.1C ; Type: QD 000 P51CA; Serial: 1062
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)


**Dipole Calibration/Zoom Scan (7x7x7) (7x7x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 89.63 V/m; Power Drift = -0.02 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 26.4 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 12.8 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 5.94 W/kg**

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 21.3 W/kg




0 dB = 21.3 W/kg = 13.28 dBW/kg



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2079 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com http://www.chinattl.cn

### Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



## Dipole Impedance and Return Loss calibration Report

**Object:** D2450V2 - SN: 910

**Calibration Date:** June 11, 2021

**Calibration reference:** IEEE Std 1528:2013, IEC 62209-1:2006, FCC KDB 865664 D01

**Calibrated By:** *Janet Wei* (Janet Wei, SAR project engineer)


**Reviewed By:** *Winner Zhang* (Winner Zhang, Technical manager)

### Environment of Test Site

Temperature:	18 ~ 25°C
Humidity:	50~60% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1011 mbar

### Test Data

Measurement Plot for Head TSL In 2021



### Comparison with Original report

Items	Calibrated By CTTL	Calibrated By JYT In 2021	Deviation	Limit
Impedence for Head TSL	54.1Ω+2.51jΩ	56.22Ω+1.32jΩ	2.12Ω-1.19jΩ	±5Ω
Return Loss for Head TSL	-26.8dB	-24.56dB	-8.36%	±20%(No less than 20 dB)

### Result

Compliance



**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

Client **JYT (Auden)**

Certificate No: **D5GHzV2-1320\_Feb21**

### CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE

Object: **D5GHzV2 - SN:1320**

Calibration procedure(s): **QA CAL-22.v6  
Calibration Procedure for SAR Validation Sources between 3-10 GHz**

Calibration date: **February 05, 2021**

This calibration certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements (SI).  
The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature (22 ± 3)°C and humidity < 70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date (Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Power meter NRP	SN: 104778	01-Apr-20 (No. 217-03100/03101)	Apr-21
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103244	01-Apr-20 (No. 217-03100)	Apr-21
Power sensor NRP-Z91	SN: 103245	01-Apr-20 (No. 217-03101)	Apr-21
Reference 20 dB Attenuator	SN: BH9394 (20k)	31-Mar-20 (No. 217-03105)	Apr-21
Type-N mismatch combination	SN: 310982 / 06327	31-Mar-20 (No. 217-03104)	Apr-21
Reference Probe EX3DV4	SN: 3503	30-Dec-20 (No. EX3-3503_Dec20)	Dec-21
DAE4	SN: 601	02-Nov-20 (No. DAE4-601_Nov20)	Nov-21
Secondary Standards	ID #	Check Date (in house)	Scheduled Check
Power meter E4419B	SN: GB39512475	30-Oct-14 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: US37292783	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Power sensor HP 8481A	SN: MY41092317	07-Oct-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
RF generator R&S SMT-06	SN: 100972	15-Jun-15 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-22
Network Analyzer Agilent E8358A	SN: US41080477	31-Mar-14 (in house check Oct-20)	In house check: Oct-21

Calibrated by:	Name <b>Claudio Leubler</b>	Function <b>Laboratory Technician</b>	Signature 
Approved by:	Name <b>Katja Pokovic</b>	Function <b>Technical Manager</b>	Signature 

Issued: February 5, 2021

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.

**Calibration Laboratory of  
Schmid & Partner  
Engineering AG**  
Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



**S** Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst  
**C** Service suisse d'étalonnage  
**S** Servizio svizzero di taratura  
**S** Swiss Calibration Service

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)  
The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA  
Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Accreditation No.: **SCS 0108**

**Glossary:**

TSL                    tissue simulating liquid  
ConvF                sensitivity in TSL / NORM x,y,z  
N/A                    not applicable or not measured

**Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:**

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

**Additional Documentation:**

- e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *Measurement Conditions:* Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- *Antenna Parameters with TSL:* The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- *Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss:* These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- *Electrical Delay:* One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- *SAR measured:* SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- *SAR normalized:* SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- *SAR for nominal TSL parameters:* The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor  $k=2$ , which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

**Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.

DASY Version	DASY5	V52.10.4
Extrapolation	Advanced Extrapolation	
Phantom	Modular Flat Phantom V5.0	
Distance Dipole Center - TSL	10 mm	with Spacer
Zoom Scan Resolution	dx, dy = 10.0 mm, dz = 10.0 mm	Graded Ratio = 1.4 (Z direction)
Frequency	5200 MHz ± 1 MHz 5300 MHz ± 1 MHz 5500 MHz ± 1 MHz 5600 MHz ± 1 MHz 5800 MHz ± 1 MHz	

**Head TSL parameters at 5200 MHz**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	36.0	4.66 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.8 ± 6 %	4.49 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL at 5200 MHz**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	7.98 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	79.1 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)
SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.28 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	22.6 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

**Head TSL parameters at 5300 MHz**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.9	4.76 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.6 ± 6 %	4.59 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL at 5300 MHz**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.15 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	80.8 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.34 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	23.2 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

**Head TSL parameters at 5500 MHz**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.6	4.96 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.3 ± 6 %	4.78 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL at 5500 MHz**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.67 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	85.9 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.47 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	24.4 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)

**Head TSL parameters at 5600 MHz**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.5	5.07 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	34.2 ± 6 %	4.88 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL at 5600 MHz**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>83.2 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)</b>

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.40 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>23.7 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)</b>

**Head TSL parameters at 5800 MHz**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.

	Temperature	Permittivity	Conductivity
Nominal Head TSL parameters	22.0 °C	35.3	5.27 mho/m
Measured Head TSL parameters	(22.0 ± 0.2) °C	33.9 ± 6 %	5.09 mho/m ± 6 %
Head TSL temperature change during test	< 0.5 °C	----	----

**SAR result with Head TSL at 5800 MHz**

SAR averaged over 1 cm <sup>3</sup> (1 g) of Head TSL	Condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	8.17 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>80.9 W/kg ± 19.9 % (k=2)</b>

SAR averaged over 10 cm <sup>3</sup> (10 g) of Head TSL	condition	
SAR measured	100 mW input power	2.32 W/kg
SAR for nominal Head TSL parameters	normalized to 1W	<b>22.9 W/kg ± 19.5 % (k=2)</b>

**Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)**

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5200 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.1 $\Omega$ - 3.9 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 28.1 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5300 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.6 $\Omega$ + 3.1 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.0 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5500 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	47.5 $\Omega$ + 1.2 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 30.7 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5600 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	53.5 $\Omega$ + 2.1 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 28.2 dB

**Antenna Parameters with Head TSL at 5800 MHz**

Impedance, transformed to feed point	50.5 $\Omega$ + 7.6 j $\Omega$
Return Loss	- 22.4 dB

**General Antenna Parameters and Design**

Electrical Delay (one direction)	1.197 ns
----------------------------------	----------

After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

**Additional EUT Data**

Manufactured by	SPEAG
-----------------	-------

**DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 05.02.2021

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

**DUT: Dipole D5GHzV2; Type: D5GHzV2; Serial: D5GHzV2 - SN:1320**

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 5200 MHz, Frequency: 5300 MHz, Frequency: 5500 MHz, Frequency: 5600 MHz, Frequency: 5800 MHz

 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5200$  MHz;  $\sigma = 4.49$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 34.8$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5300$  MHz;  $\sigma = 4.59$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 34.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5500$  MHz;  $\sigma = 4.78$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 34.3$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5600$  MHz;  $\sigma = 4.88$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 34.2$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

 Medium parameters used:  $f = 5800$  MHz;  $\sigma = 5.09$  S/m;  $\epsilon_r = 33.9$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup>

Phantom section: Flat Section

Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

**DASY52 Configuration:**

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN3503; ConvF(5.8, 5.8, 5.8) @ 5200 MHz, ConvF(5.49, 5.49, 5.49) @ 5300 MHz, ConvF(5.25, 5.25, 5.25) @ 5500 MHz, ConvF(5.1, 5.1, 5.1) @ 5600 MHz, ConvF(5.01, 5.01, 5.01) @ 5800 MHz; Calibrated: 30.12.2020
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 02.11.2020
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 5.0 (front); Type: QD 000 P50 AA; Serial: 1001
- DASY52 52.10.4(1527); SEMCAD X 14.6.14(7483)

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5200 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 76.70 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 27.7 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 7.98 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.28 W/kg**

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.2 mm

Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 70.8%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.9 W/kg

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5300 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm

Reference Value = 76.91 V/m; Power Drift = -0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.3 W/kg

**SAR(1 g) = 8.15 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.34 W/kg**

Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.2 mm


Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 70.8%

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.3 W/kg

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5500 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm  
 Reference Value = 76.98 V/m; Power Drift = -0.04 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 32.6 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 8.67 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.47 W/kg**  
 Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.2 mm  
 Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 68.1%  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 20.1 W/kg


**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5600 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm  
 Reference Value = 77.18 V/m; Power Drift = -0.09 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 30.7 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 8.40 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.40 W/kg**  
 Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.2 mm  
 Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 68.9%  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.2 W/kg

**Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=100mW, dist=10mm, f=5800 MHz/Zoom Scan, dist=1.4mm (8x8x7)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=1.4mm  
 Reference Value = 75.07 V/m; Power Drift = -0.06 dB  
 Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 31.6 W/kg  
**SAR(1 g) = 8.17 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.32 W/kg**  
 Smallest distance from peaks to all points 3 dB below = 7.4 mm  
 Ratio of SAR at M2 to SAR at M1 = 67.3%  
 Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 19.0 W/kg





**Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL**



Calibration information for DAE



In Collaboration with  
**s p e a g**  
CALIBRATION LABORATORY



中国认可  
国际互认  
校准  
CALIBRATION  
CNAS L0570

Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: ctfl@chinattl.com [Http://www.chinattl.cn](http://www.chinattl.cn)

Client : **CCIS**

Certificate No: **Z20-60270**

**CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE**

Object: DAE4 - SN: 1373

Calibration Procedure(s): FF-Z11-002-01  
Calibration Procedure for the Data Acquisition Electronics (DAEx)

Calibration date: July 27, 2020

This calibration Certificate documents the traceability to national standards, which realize the physical units of measurements(SI). The measurements and the uncertainties with confidence probability are given on the following pages and are part of the certificate.

All calibrations have been conducted in the closed laboratory facility: environment temperature(22±3)°C and humidity<70%.

Calibration Equipment used (M&TE critical for calibration)

Primary Standards	ID #	Cal Date(Calibrated by, Certificate No.)	Scheduled Calibration
Process Calibrator 753	1971018	16-Jun-20 (CTTL, No.J20X04342)	Jun-21

	Name	Function	Signature
Calibrated by:	Yu Zongying	SAR Test Engineer	
Reviewed by:	Lin Hao	SAR Test Engineer	
Approved by:	Qi Dianyuan	SAR Project Leader	

Issued: July 29, 2020

This calibration certificate shall not be reproduced except in full without written approval of the laboratory.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com Http://www.chinattl.cn

**Glossary:**

DAE data acquisition electronics  
Connector angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system.

**Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- *DC Voltage Measurement:* Calibration Factor assessed for use in DASY system by comparison with a calibrated instrument traceable to national standards. The figure given corresponds to the full scale range of the voltmeter in the respective range.
- *Connector angle:* The angle of the connector is assessed measuring the angle mechanically by a tool inserted. Uncertainty is not required.
- The report provide only calibration results for DAE, it does not contain other performance test results.



Add: No.51 Xueyuan Road, Haidian District, Beijing, 100191, China  
 Tel: +86-10-62304633-2512 Fax: +86-10-62304633-2504  
 E-mail: cttl@chinattl.com Http://www.chinattl.cn

**DC Voltage Measurement**

A/D - Converter Resolution nominal  
 High Range: 1LSB = 6.1 $\mu$ V, full range = -100...+300 mV  
 Low Range: 1LSB = 61nV, full range = -1.....+3mV  
 DASY measurement parameters: Auto Zero Time: 3 sec; Measuring time: 3 sec

Calibration Factors	X	Y	Z
High Range	403.934 $\pm$ 0.15% (k=2)	403.899 $\pm$ 0.15% (k=2)	404.192 $\pm$ 0.15% (k=2)
Low Range	3.98735 $\pm$ 0.7% (k=2)	4.00822 $\pm$ 0.7% (k=2)	4.01196 $\pm$ 0.7% (k=2)

**Connector Angle**

Connector Angle to be used in DASY system	346.5° $\pm$ 1°
---	-----------------

-----End of Report-----