

SAR TEST REPORT

Report No.: SET2021-16080

Product Name: ZOOM Thermal Imaging Monocular

FCC ID: 2AYGT-ZOOM

Model Name: ZH38, ZH25, ZH50

Applicant: IRay Techonlogy Co.,Ltd

Address: 11Guiyang Street, Economic and Technological Development Area,

Yantai, Shandong, P.R.China

Test Date: 2021.11.03

Issued by: CCIC Southern Testing Co., Ltd.

Lab Location: Electronic Testing Building, No. 43 Shahe Road, Xili Street, Nanshan

District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Tel: 86 755 26627338 Fax: 86 755 26627238

Mail: manager@ccic-set.com Website: http://www.ccic-set.com

This test report consists of 47 pages in total. It may be duplicated completely for legal use with the approval of the applicant. It should not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of our laboratory. The client should not use it to claim product endorsement by CCIC-SET. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample. The CCIC-SET does not assume responsibility for any conclusions and generalizations drawn from the test results with regard to other specimens or samples of the type of the equipment represented by the test item. The test report shall be invalid without all the signatures of testing engineers, reviewer and approver. Any objections must be raised to CCIC-SET within 15 days since the date when the report is received. It will not be taken into consideration beyond this limit.



Test Report

Applicant: IRay Techonlogy Co.,Ltd

Brand Name: InfiRay **Trade Name:** InfiRay

Applicant Address: Shandang B.P. China

Yantai, Shandong, P.R.China

Manufacturer: IRay Techonlogy Co.,Ltd

11Guiyang Street, Economic and Technological Development Area,

Manufacturer Address: Yantai, Shandong, P.R.China

47CFR §2.1093- Radiofrequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation:

Portable Devices;

ANSI C95.1–1992: Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz – 300 GHz. (IEEE Std

C95.1-1991)

RSS-102: Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)(Issue 5 of

March 2015)

IEEE 1528–2013: IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement

Techniques

Test Result: Pass

Test Standards:

Xin ynen Fang 2021-11-05

Tested by: Xinyuan Fang, Test Engineer

chris You 2021-11-05

Reviewed by: Chris You, Senior Engineer

2021-11-05

Shuang wen Zhang

Approved by: Shuangwen Zhang, Manager



Contents

1.	Administration Data
	1.1 Testing Laboratory
	1.2 List of test Equipment
2.	Equipment Under Test (EUT)5
3.	Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)6
	3.1 SAR Introduction6
	3.2 Probe Specification8
4.	Tissue check and recommend Dielectric Parameters9
	4.1 Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters9
	4.2.liquid check results
5.	System check procedure
6.	SAR measurement procedure
7.	RF Conducted Power
8.	Measurement Uncertainty17
AN	NEX A: SAR Test Setup
AN	NEX B: System Check Plots23
AN	NEX C: SAR Test Plots
AN	NEX D: Calibration Certificate



1. Administration Data

1.1 Testing Laboratory

Test Site:	CCIC Southern Testing Co., Ltd.
Address:	Electronic Testing Building, No. 43 Shahe Road, Xili Street, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
A2LA Accreditation:	CCIC-SET is a third party testing organization accredited by A2LA according to ISO/IEC 17025:2017. The accreditation certificate number is 5721.01
FCC-Designation No.: CN1283	CCIC Southern Testing Co., Ltd EMC Laboratory has been registered and fully de scribed in a report filed with the FCC (Federal Communications Commission). The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files. Designation Number: CN1283, valid time is until April 19th, 2023
ISED Registration: 11185A-1	CAB identifier: CN0064 CCIC Southern Testing Co., Ltd. EMC Laboratory has been registered by Certific ation and Engineering Bureau of Industry Canada for the performance of radiated measurements with Registration No. 11185A-1 on Aug. 04, 2016, valid time is unt il Jun. 30th, 2023.

1.2 List of test Equipment

This table is a complete overview of the SAR measurement equipment. Devices used during the test described are marked \square .

	EQUIPMENT	Model	Serial number	Calibration Date	Due Date
\boxtimes	SAR Probe	SSE2	SN27/15 EPGO348	2020/12/14	2021/12/14
\boxtimes	Dipole	SID2450	SN_09/13_DIP2G450-220	2020/06/25	2023/06/24
\boxtimes	Multimeter	Keithley-2000	4014020	2021/04/02	2022/04/01
\boxtimes	System Simulator(R&S)	CMW500	130805	2021/03/19	2022/03/18
\boxtimes	KEYSIGHT	E7515A	MY56040357	2021/04/02	2022/04/01
\boxtimes	Vector Network Analyzer(R&S)	ZVB8	A0802530	2021/04/02	2022/04/01
\boxtimes	PC 3.5 Fixed Match Calibration Kit	ZV-Z32	100571	2020/11/26	2021/11/25
\boxtimes	Dielectric Probe Kit	SCLMP	SN 09/13 OCPG51	2020/11/26	2021/11/25
\boxtimes	Signal Generator	nerator SMU200A A140801888		2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Amplifier	Nucletudes	143060	2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Directional Coupler	DC6180A	305827	2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Power Meter	NRP2	A140401673	2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Power Sensor	NPR-Z11	1138.3004.02-114072-nq	2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Power Meter	NRVS	A0802531	2021/03/12	2022/03/11
\boxtimes	Power Sensor	NRV-Z4	100069	2021/03/12	2022/03/11



2. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Identification of the Equipment under Test

Device type:	portable device	portable device			
DUT Name:	ZOOM Thermal Imaging Monocular				
exposure category:	uncontrolled environment / general p	population			
operating mode(s)	2.4G WI-FI				
modulation:	WI-FI(DSSS,OFDM)				
Tested frequency range(s)	transmitter frequency range receiver frequency range				
Wi-Fi:	2412-2462 MHz				
Hardware version:	N/A				
Software version:	N/A				
Antenna type:	Integrated antenna				
Dottomy outions .	IBP-1				
Battery options:	3.6V/4.4Ah				
MAX. SAR Value:	Body-Support: 0.041W/Kg(1g-0mm	ı, Limit:1.6W/Kg)			



3. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

3.1 SAR Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (d ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C \frac{\delta T}{\delta t}$$

where C is the specific head capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

where σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



Phantoms

The phantom used for all tests i.e. for both system checks and device testing, was the twin-headed "SAM Phantom", manufactured by SATIMO. The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region, where shell thickness increases to 6mm).

System checking was performed using the flat section, whilst Head SAR tests used the left and right head profile sections. Body SAR testing also used the flat section between the head profiles.




SAM Twin Phantom

Device Holder

The device was placed in the device holder (illustrated below) that is supplied by SATIMO as an integral part of the COMOSAR test system.

The device holder is designed to cope with the different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation centers for both scales is the ear reference point (ERP). Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.





Device holder



3.2 Probe Specification



Construction Symmetrical design with triangular core Interleaved sensors

Built-in shielding against static charges PEEK enclosure material

(resistant to organic solvents, e.g., DGBE)

Calibration ISO/IEC 17025 calibration service available.

Frequency 700 MHz to 3 GHz;

Linearity: ± 0.5 dB (700 MHz to 3 GHz)

Directivity ± 0.25 dB in HSL (rotation around probe axis)

 ± 0.5 dB in tissue material (rotation normal to probe axis)

Dynamic Range $1.5 \mu \text{W/g}$ to 100 mW/g;

Linearity: ±0.5 dB

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm (Tip: 20 mm)

Tip diameter: 5 mm

Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: <2.7 mm

Application General dosimetry up to 3 GHz

Dosimetry in strong gradient fields Compliance tests of mobile phones

Frequency 700 MHz to 6 GHz;

Linearity: ± 0.5 dB (700 MHz to 6 GHz)

Dimensions Overall length: 330 mm

Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 8 mm)


Distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm

Compatibility COMOSAR

Isotropic E-Field Probe

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:





4. Tissue check and recommend Dielectric Parameters

4.1 Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters

	Ti	ssue
Frequency (MHz)	Real part of the complex relative permittivity, ε_r	Conductivity, σ(S/m)
30	55	0.75
150	52.3	0.76
300	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87
750	41.9	0.89
835	41.5	0.9
900	41.5	0.97
1450	40.5	1.2
1800	40	1.4
1900	40	1.4
1950	40	1.4
2000	40	1.4
2100	39.8	1.49
2450	39.2	1.8
2600	39	1.96
3000	38.5	2.4
3500	37.9	2.91
4000	37.4	3.43
4500	36.8	3.94
5000	36.2	4.45
5200	36	4.66
5400	35.8	4.86
5600	35.5	5.07
5800	35.3	5.27
6000	35.1	5.48



4.2.liquid check results

Simulate liquid that are used for testing at each frequency, which are made mainly of sugar, salt and water solutions may be left in the phantoms. Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid

Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid


Temperature: 22.6 ℃; Humidity: 61%;							
/	Frequency	Permittivity ε	Conductivity σ (S/m)				
Target value	2450MHz	39.2±5% (37.24~41.16)	1.80±5% (1.71~1.89)	2021/11/03			
Validation value	2450MHz	39.34	1.79	2021/11/03			



5. System check procedure

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

The following procedure, recommended for performing validation tests using box phantoms is based on the procedures described in the IEEE standard P1528. Setup according to the setup diagram below:



With the SG and Amp and with directional coupler in place, set up the source signal at the relevant frequency and use a power meter to measure the power at the end of the SMA cable that you intend to connect to the balanced dipole. Adjust the SG to make this, say, 0.01W (10 dBm). If this level is too high to read directly with the power meter sensor, insert a calibrated attenuator (e.g. 10 or 20 dB) and make a suitable correction to the power meter reading.

- Note 1: In this method, the directional coupler is used for monitoring rather than setting the exact feed power level. If, however, the directional coupler is used for power measurement, you should check the frequency range and power rating of the coupler and measure the coupling factor (referred to output) at the test frequency using a VNA.
- Note 2: Remember that the use of a 3dB attenuator (as shown in Figure 8.1 of P1528) means that you need an RF amplifier of 2 times greater power for the same feed power. The other issue is the cable length. You might get up to 1dB of loss per meter of cable, so the cable length after the coupler needs to be quite short.
- Note 3: For the validation testing done using CW signals, most power meters are suitable. However, if you are measuring the output of a modulated signal from either a signal generator or a handset, you must ensure that the power meter correctly reads the modulated signals.



System Check Results


Frequency	Duty cycle	Target value (1-g) (W/Kg)	Test value (1-g) (W/Kg)	Test SAR Normalized to 1W(w/Kg)	Test Date
2450MHz	1:1	53.71W/kg±10% (48.34~59.08)	0.5317	53.17	2021/11/03


^{*}Note: All SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power.



6. SAR measurement procedure

The SAR test against the head phantom was carried out as follow:







The same procedure should be also executed for the operation mode of the testing Frequency.

The SAR test against the body-worn was carried out as follow:

After an area scan has been done at a fixed distance of 2mm from the surface of the phantom on the source side, a 3D scan is set up around the location of the maximum spot SAR. First, a point within the scan area is visited by the probe and a SAR reading taken at the start of testing. At the end of testing, the probe is returned to the same point and a second reading is taken. Comparison between these start and end readings enables the power drift during measurement to be assessed.

Above is the scanning procedure flow chart and table from the IEEEp1528 standard. This is the procedure for which all compliant testing should be carried out to ensure that all variations of the device position and transmission behavior are tested.



7. RF Conducted Power

Wi-Fi Maximum Output power(PK)

Mode	Lowest Channel	Middle Channel	Highest Channel
802.11b	16.17	15.78	16.10
802.11g	14.36	14.70	13.89
802.11n20	12.89	13.47	13.04

Operation Mode	Channel /Frequency	Output Power(dBm)	Tune up Power in tolerance (dBm)	Max. Tune up(dBm)	Scaling Factor
	1/2412	16.17	15.5±1	16.5	1.079
WIFI 2.4G 802.11b	6/2437	15.78	15.0±1	16.0	1.052
	11/2462	16.10	15.5±1	16.5	1.096



Test Results

Results overview of WI-FI 802.11b

Body-Support (0mm)	Channel /Frequency	Mode	SAR Value (W/kg)1-g	Scaled Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg)1-g	Power drift(%)	Limit (W/kg)	SAR Plot.
Side B	1/2412	DSSS	0.032	1.079	0.035	-1.84	1.6	/
Side B	6/2437	DSSS	0.039	1.052	0.041	-1.51	1.6	Yes
Side B	11/2462	DSSS	0.024	1.096	0.026	-0.73	1.6	/
Side A	6/2437	DSSS	0.008	1.052	0.008	-0.96	1.6	/
Side C	6/2437	DSSS	0.007	1.052	0.007	-4.48-	1.6	/
Side D	6/2437	DSSS	0.007	1.052	0.007	-3.72	1.6	/

Note: 1) The EUT ANT side directly touch the Phantom.

2)The SAR test shall be performed at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode. If the SAR measured at mid-band channel for each test configuration is at least $3.0~\mathrm{dB}$ lower than the SAR limit (< $1~\mathrm{W/kg}$), testing at the high and low channels is optional, apart from the worst case configuration.



8. Measurement Uncertainty

No.	Uncertainty Component	Туре	Uncertainty Value (%)	Probability Distribution	k	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%) ui(%)	Degree of freedom Veff or vi
		1	Measur	rement System			<u> </u>	1
1	– Probe Calibration	В	5.8	N	1	1	5.8	∞
2	– Axial isotropy	В	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.43	∞
3	- Hemispherical Isotropy	В	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	2.41	∞
4	– Boundary Effect	В	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
5	– Linearity	В	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.71	∞
6	- System Detection Limits	В	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
7	Modulation response	В	3	N	1	1	3.00	
8	- Readout Electronics	В	0.5	N	1	1	0.50	∞
9	– Response Time	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	∞
10	– Integration Time	В	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
11	– RF Ambient Conditions	В	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	∞
12	- Probe Position Mechanical tolerance	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	∞
13	- Probe Position with respect to Phantom Shell	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	∞
14	Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR evaluation	В	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.33	∞
			Uncertai	nties of the DUT			·	·
15	– Position of the DUT	A	2.6	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.6	5
16	– Holder of the DUT	A	3	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	3.0	5
17	Output Power Variation SAR drift measurement	В	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	∞



	Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
18	- Phantom Uncertainty(shape and thickness tolerances)	В	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.31	∞
19	Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation(in permittivity and conductivity)	В	2	N	1	1	2.00	
20	- Liquid Conductivity Target -tolerance	В	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.95	∞
21	- Liquid Conductivity -measurement Uncertainty)	В	4	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.92	9
22	Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	В	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.95	∞
23	- Liquid Permittivity -measurement uncertainty	В	5	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.15	∞
Co	mbined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			10.63	
	Expanded uncertainty (Confidence interval of 95 %)			K=2			21.26	



System Check Uncertainty

No.	Uncertainty Component	Туре	Uncertainty Value (%)	Probability Distribution	k	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%) ui(%)	Degree of freedom Veff or vi
	Measurement System							
1	– Probe Calibration	В	5.8	N	1	1	5.8	∞
2	– Axial isotropy	В	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	1.43	× ×
3	- Hemispherical Isotropy	В	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.5	2.41	∞
4	- Boundary Effect	В	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	∞
5	– Linearity	В	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.71	∞
6	- System Detection Limits	В	1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.58	œ
7	Modulation response	В	0	N	1	1	0.00	
8	- Readout Electronics	В	0.5	N	1	1	0.50	∞
9	– Response Time	В	0.00	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.00	× ×
10	– Integration Time	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	[∞]
11	– RF Ambient Conditions	В	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.73	œ
12	Probe Position Mechanical tolerance	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	œ
13	- Probe Position with respect to Phantom Shell	В	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.81	∞ ∞
14	Extrapolation, Interpolation and Integration Algorithms for Max. SAR evaluation	В	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.33	œ
	Uncertainties of the DUT							
15	Deviation of experimental source from numberical source	A	4	N	1	1	4.00	5
16	Input Power and SAR drift measurement	A	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.89	5
17	Dipole Axis to Liquid Distance	В	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.2	8



	Phantom and Tissue Parameters							
18	- Phantom Uncertainty(shape and thickness tolerances)	В	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	2.31	∞
19	Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation(in permittivity and conductivity)	В	2	N	1	1	2.00	
20	Liquid Conductivity Target -tolerance	В	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.95	∞
21	- Liquid Conductivity -measurement Uncertainty)	В	4	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	0.92	9
22	Liquid Permittivity Target tolerance	В	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	1.95	∞
23	Liquid Permittivity measurement uncertainty	В	5	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.15	∞
Co	Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS			10.15	
	Expanded uncertainty (Confidence interval of 95 %)			K=2			20.29	



ANNEX A: SAR Test Setup

Photo 1: Measurement System SATIMO



Photo 2: Liquid deep(15cm)



Photo 3: EUT surface definition









Photo 5: side A 0mm

Photo 6: side B 0mm








Photo 7: side C 0mm Photo 8: side D 0mm WIFI ANT WFI天线位置 EUT face View **EUT Back View** 8 9 30 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 40 1 2 3



ANNEX B: System Check Plots

System Performance Check (2450MHz)

Type: Phone measurement

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=4mm

Date of measurement: 11/03/2021

Measurement duration: 22 minutes 08 seconds


A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	dx=8mm dy=8mm
Phantom	7x7x8,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=4mm
Device Position	Dipole
Band	2450MHz
Channels	
Signal	CW

B. SAR Measurement Results

Band SAR

E-Field Probe	SATIMO SN36/20 EPGO348
Frequency (MHz)	2450
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.34
Relative permittivity	13.47
Conductivity (S/m)	1.79
Power Drift (%)	1.35
Ambient Temperature:	22.6 ℃
Liquid Temperature:	22.8 ℃
ConvF:	1.97
Duty factor:	1:1







Maximum location: X=-12.00, Y=2.00 SAR Peak: 0.91 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.238147
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.531734









ANNEX C: SAR Test Plots

Testing result (WI-FI 802.11b, Face, Middle,0mm)

Type: Phone measurement

Date of measurement: 11/03/2021

Measurement duration: 22 minutes 09 seconds


Mobile Phone IMEI number: --

A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	WI-FI 802.11b
Channels	mid
Signal	DSSS (Duty cycle: 1:1)

B. SAR Measurement Results


Frequency (MHz)	2437
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.81
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	13.56
Conductivity (S/m)	1.76
Variation (%)	-4.42




Maximum location: X=11.00,Y=-31.00 SAR Peak: 0.08W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.017997
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.038782









ANNEX D: Calibration Certificate

EPGO348 Probe Calibration Report



COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

CCIC SOUTHERN TESTING CO., LTD ELECTRONIC TESTING BUILDING, NO. 43 SHAHE ROAD, XILI STREET, NANSHAN DISTRICT SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 36/20 EPGO348

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable

Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon

29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 12/14/2020



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

Page: 1/10





Ref: ACR.349.1,20.MVGB,A

Prepared by: Jérôme LUC Technical Manager 12/14/2020 JS Checked by: Jérôme LUC Technical Manager 12/14/2020 JS Approved by: Yann Toutain Laboratory Director 12/15/2020 Gann Toutain		Name	Function	Date	Signature
Checked by . Sciolic 200 Technical Manager	Prepared by:	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	12/14/2020	JE
Approved by: Yann Toutain Laboratory Director 12/15/2020 Gann Toutain	Checked by:	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	12/14/2020	JS
	Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	12/15/2020	Gann Toutain

2020.12.1 5 12:26:45 +01'00'

Customer Name CCIC SOUTHERN Distribution: TESTING CO., LTD

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme LUC	12/14/2020	Initial release

Page: 2/10





Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Devi	ce Under Test4	
2	Prod	uct Description4	
	2.1	General Information	4
3	Mea	surement Method4	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	5
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.1	Boundary Effect	5
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty6	
5	Calil	oration Measurement Results6	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	8
	5.4	Isotropy	9
6	List	of Equipment10	

Page: 3/10





Ref: ACR,349.1.20.MVGB.A

1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SSE2	
Serial Number	SN 36/20 EPGO348	
Product Condition (new / used)	New	
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz	
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.214 MΩ	
	Dipole 2: R2=0.208 MΩ	
	Dipole 3: R3=0.238 MΩ	

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH





Ref: ACR,349,1,20,MVGB,A

3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 <u>ISOTROPY</u>

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and d_{be} + d_{step} along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

SAR uncertainty [%] =
$$\delta$$
SAR be $\frac{\left(d_{be} + d_{step}\right)^2}{2d_{step}} \frac{\left(e^{-d_{eff}(\delta A)}\right)}{\delta/2}$ for $\left(d_{be} + d_{step}\right) < 10 \text{ mm}$

where

SAR_{uncertainty} is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect

dbe is the distance between the surface and the closest zoom-scan measurement

point, in millimetre

 Δ_{step} is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that

are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect

at the second location is negligible

 δ is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent

liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14$ mm at 3 GHz;

△SAR_{be} in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the

distance dbe from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.

Page: 5/10

 $Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR\ Probe\ vH$





Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Humidity	30-80 %	

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole		
$1 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$3 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.45	0.66	0.91

DCP dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
(mV)	(mV)	(mV)
104	105	108

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{{E_1}^2 + {E_2}^2 + {E_3}^2}$$


Page: 6/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH





Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A



Dipole 1 Dipole 2 Dipole 3

5.2 LINEARITY

1.00-0.75-0.50-0.25-0.00-0.25-0.050-0.50-0.75-0.75-0.75-1.00-0 100 200 300 400 500 600 646 E-Field (V/m)

Linearity:+/-1.46% (+/-0.06dB)

Page: 7/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH





Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency	ConvF
	(MHz +/-	
	100MHz)	
HL600	600	1.81
HL750	750	1.85
HL835	835	1.93
HL900	900	1.96
HL1500	1500	2.21
HL1750	1750	2.22
HL1800	1800	2.17
HL1900	1900	2.40
HL2000	2000	2.43
HL2300	2300	2.36
HL2450	2450	2.40
HL2600	2600	2.29
HL3300	3300	2.28
HL3500	3500	2.16
HL3700	3700	2.19
HL3900	3900	2.54
HL4200	4200	2.86
HL4600	4600	2.77
HL4900	4900	2.63
HL5200	5200	2.01
HL5400	5400	2.04
HL5600	5600	2.18
HL5800	5800	2.07

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 9mW/kg

Page: 8/10






Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

5.4 ISOTROPY

HL1800 MHz



Page: 9/10





Ref: ACR.349.1.20.MVGB.A

6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

	Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date		
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022		
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022		
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023		
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022		
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022		
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.		
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.		
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023		

Page: 10/10



SID2450 Dipole Calibration Report



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

CCIC SOUTHERN TESTING CO., LTD ELECTRONIC TESTING BUILDING, NO. 43 SHAHE ROAD, XILI STREET, NANSHAN DISTRICT SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 09/13 DIP2G450-220

Calibrated at MVG MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 06/25/2020



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

Page: 1/11





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	6/26/2020	20
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	6/26/2020	JE
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	6/26/2020	CIL

	Customer Name		
Distribution:	CCIC SOUTHERN TESTING CO.,		
	LTD		

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme LUC	6/26/2020	Initial release

Page: 2/11





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Int	roduction4	
2	De	vice Under Test4	
3	Pro	duct Description4	
	3.1	General Information	
4	Me	asurement Method5	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	:
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	
5	Me	asurement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	
	5.3	Validation Measurement	5
6	Cal	ibration Measurement Results6	
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid	6
	6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid	6
	6.3	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Val	idation measurement	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	7
	7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid	8
	7.3	Body Liquid Measurement	9
	7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid	10
8	List	t of Equipment	

Page: 3/11





Ref: ACR 178.8.20.MVGB.A

INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

DEVICE UNDER TEST 2

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SID2450	
Serial Number	SN 09/13 DIP2G450-220	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole

Page: 4/11





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm
300 - 450	0.44 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
-------------	----------------------

Page: 5/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vG






Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

l g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz) Return Loss (dB) Requirement (dB) Impedance 2450 -32.61 -20 52.3 Ω + 0.3 j Ω

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L	L mm h mm		d mm		
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measure

Page: 6/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vG





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

3700	34.7±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.		3.5 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.	25.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2600	48.5 ±1 %.	28.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	30.4 ±1 %.	20	3.6 ±1 %.	
2300	55.5 ±1 %.	32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
2100	61.0 ±1 %.	35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %	
2000	64,5 ±1 %.	37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %,	
1950	66.3 ±1 %.	38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1900	68.0 ±1 %.	39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1800	72.0 ±1 %.	 41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1750	75.2 ±1 %.	42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1640	79.0 ±1 %.	45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1500	80.5 ±1 %.	50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
1450	89.1 ±1 %.	51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
900	149.0 ±1 %.	83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
835	161.0 ±1 %.	89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.	
750	176.0 ±1 %.	100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
450	290.0 ±1 %.	166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	
300	420.0 ±1 %.	250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative pen	mittivity (s,')	Conductiv	ity (a) 5/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %		0.90 ±10 %	
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	

Page: 7/11

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY, MYGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vG





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2100	39.8 ±10 %		1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %		1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	41.9	1.80 ±10 %	1.88
2600	39.0 ±10 %		1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %		2.40 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %		2.91 ±10 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V5			
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68			
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333			
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 41.9 sigma: 1.88			
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm			
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm			
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm			
Frequency	2450 MHz			
Input power	20 dBm			
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C			
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C			
Lab Humidity	30-70 %			

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	


Page: 8/11





Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39,7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	-
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	53.71 (5.37)	24	24.17 (2.42)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative per	Relative permittivity (c,')		ity (a) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±10 %	7	0.80 ±10 %	
300	58.2 ±10 %		0.92 ±10 %	
450	56.7 ±10 %		0.94 ±10 %	
750	55.5 ±10 %		0.96 ±10 %	
835	55.2 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
900	55.0 ±10 %		1.05 ±10 %	Y
915	55.0 ±10 %		1.06 ±10 %	
1450	54.0 ±10 %		1.30 ±10 %	
1610	53.8 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1800	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
1900	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2000	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2100	53.2 ±10 %		1.62 ±10 %	

Page: 9/11






Ref: ACR.178.8.20.MVGB.A

2300	52.9 ±10 %		1.81 ±10 %	
2450	52.7 ±10 %	53.4	1.95 ±10 %	2.14
2600	52.5 ±10 %		2.16 ±10 %	
3000	52.0 ±10 %		2.73 ±10 %	
3500	51.3 ±10 %		3.31 ±10 %	
3700	51.0 ±10 %		3.55 ±10 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	

7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V5		
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68		
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333		
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: eps*: 53.4 sigma: 2.14		
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm		
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm		
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm		
Frequency	2450 MHz		
Input power	20 dBm		
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C		
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C		
Lab Humidity	30-70 %		

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	measured	measured	
2450 54.83 (5.48)		23.59 (2.36)	



Page: 10/11





Ref: ACR.178.8.20,MVGB.A

LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date Validated. No cal	Next Calibration	
				Date Validated. No ca	
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	required.	required. No ca	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No ca required.	
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022	
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022	
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022	
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023	
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No call required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Control Company	150798832	11/2017	11/2020	

Page: 11/11

Template ACR. DDD, N. YV. MVGB, ISSUE, SAR Reference Dipole vG

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MFG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MFG.

-End of the Report-