



FCC SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

Turnils North America

1750 Satellite Blvd, Suite 100, Buford, Georgia, 30518 United States

FCC ID: 2AU29AMPRFWIFIUSB

Report Type: Original Report		Product Type: AMP Bridge		
Test Engineer:	Sam Ye		Sam. Je.	
Report Number:	RSHA20030200	3-20A		
Report Date:	2020-04-20			
Reviewed By:	Oscar Ye EMC Manager		Oscar. Ye	
Test Laboratory:	Bay Area Comp	ı Road,Kuns 6175000 88934268	atories Corp. (Kunshan) han,Jiangsu province,China	

Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. This report is valid only with a valid digital signature. The digital signature may be available only under the Adobe software above version 7.0.

Attestation of Test Results						
	EUT Description:	AMP Bridge				
	Tested Model:	AMP USB Bridge Wifi and RF				
EUT Information	FCC ID:	2AU29AMPRFWIFIUSB				
	Serial Number:	RSHA200302003				
	Test Date:	2020-4-17				
MOI	DE	Max. SAR Level(s) Reported(W/kg)	Limit (W/kg)			
WLAN 2.4G	1g Body SAR	0.38	1.6			
Applicable Standards	FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices RF Exposure Procedures: TCB Workshop April 2019 IEEE 1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques IEC 62209-2:2010 Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless					
	KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06 KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04 KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02 KDB 447498 D02 SAR Procedures for Dongle Xmtr v02r01 KDB 248227 D01 802 11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02					

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in **FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093** and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures.

The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

SAR Evaluation Report 2 of 34

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	6
FACILITIES	7
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM	8
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION	16
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	17
LIQUID VERIFICATION	
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	18
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY	
DONGLES WITH SWIVEL OR ROTATING CONNECTORS	
TEST DISTANCE FOR SAR EVALUATION SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	
PROVISION APPLICABLE	
TEST PROCEDURE	22
MAXIMUM TARGET OUTPUT POWER	
TEST RESULTS:	
STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATIONS	
ANTENNA DISTANCE TO EDGE	
STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATIONS	25
SAR TEST EXCLUSION FOR THE EUT EDGE CONSIDERATIONS RESULT	26
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	27
SAR TEST DATA	27
SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY	28
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION	29
SAR PLOTS	30
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	31
APPENDIX B EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS	33
APPENDIX C CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	34

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
1.0	RSHA200302003-20A	Original Report	2020-04-20

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 4 of 34

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of *Turnils North America* and their product *AMP Bridge*, Model: *AMP USB Bridge Wifi and RF*, FCC ID: *2AU29AMPRFWIFIUSB* or the EUT (Equipment under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

*All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: RSHA200302003 (Assigned by BACL). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2020-03-02.

Technical Specification

Device Type:	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	PCB Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	None
Operation Mode:	WLAN; SRD
Frequency Band:	WLAN 2.4G: 2412-2462 MHz; SRD: 433.92MHz
Conducted RF Power:	WLAN 2.4G: 14.74 dBm; SRD: -18.09 dBm
Power Source:	5 VDC From USB Port
Normal Operation:	Close to Body

Duty cycle Form

Band	Mode	Duty cycle(100%)
2.40	802.11b	90
2.4G Wi-Fi	802.11g	100
VV 1-Г1	802.11n 20MHz	100

SAR Evaluation Report 5 of 34

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

SAR Limits

FCC Limit

	SAR (W/kg)				
EXPOSURE LIMITS	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)			
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4			
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0			
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0			

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) applied to the EUT.

SAR Evaluation Report 6 of 34

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Kunshan) to collect test data is located on the No.248 Chenghu Road, Kunshan, Jiangsu province, China.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A


Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Kunshan) Lab is accredited to ISO/IEC 17025 by A2LA (Lab code: 4323.01) and the FCC designation No. CN1185 under the FCC KDB 974614 D01 and CAB identifier CN0004 under the ISED requirement. The facility also complies with the radiated and AC line conducted test site criteria set forth in ANSI C63.4-2014.

SAR Evaluation Report 7 of 34

DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM


These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY6 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A



DASY6 System Description

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



SAR Evaluation Report 8 of 34

- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal application, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY52 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

DASY6 Measurement Server

The DASY6 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400 MHz Intel ULV Celeron, 128 MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16-bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY6 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluations of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operations. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time-critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program- controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port, which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized pinout, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Connection of devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

SAR Evaluation Report 9 of 34

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200MOhm; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

SAR Evaluation Report 10 of 34

EX3DV4 E-Field Probes

Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	$10~\mu W/g$ to $> 100~m W/g$ Linearity: $\pm~0.2~dB$ (noise: typically $<~1~\mu W/g$)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom (shown in front of DASY6) is a fiberglass shell phantom with shell thickness 2 mm, except in the ear region where the thickness is increased to 6 mm. The phantom has three measurement areas: 1) Left Head, 2) Right Head, and 3) Flat Section. For larger devices, the use of the ELI-Phantom (shown behind DASY6) is required. For devices such as glasses with a wireless link, the Face Down Phantom is the most suitable (between the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms).

When the phantom is mounted inside allocated slot of the DASY6 platform, phantom reference points can be taught directly in the DASY5 V5.2 software. When the DASY6 platform is used to mount the Phantom, some of the phantom teaching points cannot be reached by the robot in DASY5 V5.2. A special tool called P1a-P2aX-Former is provided to transform two of the three points, P1 and P2, to reachable locations. To use these new teaching points, a revised phantom configuration file is required.

In addition to our standard broadband liquids, the phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.

DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).

Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom. Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the SAM Twin phantom.





Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 11 of 34

ELI Phantom


The ELI phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209-2 and the use of all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized for performance and can be integrated into a SPEAG standard phantom table. A cover is provided to prevent evaporation of water and changes in liquid parameters. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.
- DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom.

Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the ELI phantom.





Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Robots

The DASY6 system uses the high-precision industrial robots TX60L, TX90XL, and RX160L from St aubli SA (France). The TX robot family - the successor of the well-known RX robot family - continues to offer the features important for DASY6 applications:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchrony motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is provided

SAR Evaluation Report 12 of 34

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 15mm 2 step integral, with 1.5mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY5 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m^3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10 mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube is 21.5 mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 7 x7 x 7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30 mm in the X & Y & Z axis.

SAR Evaluation Report 13 of 34

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEC 62209-1:2016

Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head liquid

Table A.3 – Dielectric properties of the head tissue-equivalent liquid

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Frequency	Relative permittivity	Conductivity (σ)
MHz	$arepsilon_{ m r}$	S/m
300	45,3	0,87
450	43,5	0,87
750	41,9	0,89
835	41,5	0,90
900	41,5	0,97
1 450	40,5	1,20
1 500	40,4	1,23
1 640	40,2	1,31
1 750	40,1	1,37
1 800	40,0	1,40
1 900	40,0	1,40
2 000	40,0	1,40
2 100	39,8	1,49
2 300	39,5	1,67
2 450	39,2	1,80
2 600	39,0	1,96
3 000	38,5	2,40
3 500	37,9	2,91
4 000	37,4	3,43
4 500	36,8	3,94
5 000	36,2	4,45
5 200	36,0	4,66
5 400	35,8	4,86
5 600	35,5	5,07
5 800	35,3	5,27
6 000	35,1	5,48

NOTE For convenience, permittivity and conductivity values at those frequencies which are not part of the original data provided by Drossos et al. [33] or the extension to 5 800 MHz are provided (i.e. the values shown in italics). These values were linearly interpolated between the values in this table that are immediately above and below these values, except the values at 6 000 MHz that were linearly extrapolated from the values at 3 000 MHz and 5 800 MHz.

Note:

- 1, Effective February 19, 2019, FCC has permitted the use of single head-tissue simulating liquid specified in IEC 62209-1 for all SAR tests.
- 2, Mix and Match of traditional FCC SAR TSLs and IEC 62209-1 TSL in a single application is not permitted TSL can be changed in a Permissive Change.
- 3, If SAR increases and original SAR > 1.2 W/kg, additional SAR measurements will be required IEC 62209-1 TSL is an alternative, not mandatory at this time.
- 4, If FCC parameters are used, $\pm 5\%$ tolerance. If IEC parameters, $\pm 10\%$.
- 5, In this case, IEC parameters applied.

SAR Evaluation Report 14 of 34

Calibration Frequency Points for EX3DV4 E-Field Probes SN: 7557 Calibrated: 2019/10/04

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Calibration Frequency	Frequency	Range(MHz)	Conversion Factor			
Point(MHz)	From	To	X	Y	Z	
750 Head	650	810	10.41	10.41	10.41	
835 Head	810	935	10.10	10.10	10.10	
1750 Head	1650	1810	8.67	8.67	8.67	
1900 Head	1810	2000	8.36	8.36	8.36	
2300 Head	2200	2399	7.79	7.79	7.79	
2450 Head	2399	2500	7.41	7.41	7.41	
2600 Head	2500	2700	7.21	7.21	7.21	
5250 Head	5140	5360	5.38	5.38	5.38	
5600 Head	5490	5700	4.75	4.75	4.75	
5800 Head	5700	5910	4.70	4.70	4.70	

SAR Evaluation Report 15 of 34

EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information


Equipment	Model S/N		Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
DASY5 Test Software	DASY52 52.10.2	2 N/A NCR		NCR
DASY6 Measurement Server	DASY6 6.0.31	N/A	NCR	NCR
Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	527	2019/06/13	2020/06/13
E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	7557	2019/10/04	2020/10/04
Mounting Device	MD4HHTV5	SD 000 H01 KA	NCR	NCR
Twin-SAM Phantom	QD 000 P41 AX	1963	NCR	NCR
Dipole, 2450MHz	D2450V2	970	2018/06/26	
Simulated Tissue Liquid Head	HBBL600-6000V6	180611-3	Each	Time
Network Analyzer	8753B	3625A00809	2019/12/14	2020/12/14
Dielectric Assessment Kit	DAK-3.5	SM DAK 300AB	NCR	NCR
Signal Generator	N5182B	MY53051592	2019/12/14	2020/12/14
Power Meter	E4419B	GB43312421	2019/08/05	2020/08/05
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	71377	NCR	NCR
Directional Coupler	4242-10	3307	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	3dB	5402	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	10dB	AU 3842	NCR	NCR
Signal Analyzer	FSV40	101116	2019-07-23	2020-07-22
EMI Test receiver	ESR	1316.3003K03-101746-zn	2019-07-11	2020-07-10

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

SAR Evaluation Report 16 of 34

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency Liquid		Liquid 1	Parameter	Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance
(MHz)	Туре	$\epsilon_{\rm r}$	O'(S/m)	ε _r	O (S/m)	$\Delta \; \epsilon_{ m r}$	Δ Ο	(%)
2450	Head	39.892	1.845	39.200	1.800	1.77	2.50	±5
2412	Head	39.925	1.826	39.256	1.765	1.70	3.46	±5
2437	Head	39.901	1.838	39.219	1.788	1.74	2.80	±5
2462	Head	39.881	1.851	39.183	1.812	1.78	2.15	±5

^{*}Liquid Verification was performed on 2020/04/17.

SAR Evaluation Report 17 of 34

System Accuracy Verification


Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

The spacing distances in the **System Verification Setup Block Diagram** is given by the following:

- a) $s = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 300 \text{ MHz} \le f \le 1000 \text{ MHz};$
- b) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm for } 1000 \text{ MHz} < f \le 3000 \text{ MHz};$
- c) $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0.2 \text{ mm}$ for 3 000 MHz $< f \le 6$ 000 MHz.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band (MHz)	Liquid Type	Input Power (mW)	S	sured AR [/kg)	Normalized to 1W (W/kg)	Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2020/04/17	2450	Head	250	1g	13.5	54.0	53.3	1.31	±10

^{*}The SAR values above are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR Evaluation Report 18 of 34

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

System Performance Check 2450MHz

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz; Type:D2450V2; Serial:970 (2020-04-17)

Communication System: UID 0; Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz; $\sigma = 1.845 \text{ S/m}$; $\varepsilon_r = 39.892$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

DASY5 Configuration:

Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7557; ConvF(7.41, 7.41, 7.41); Calibrated: 10/4/2019

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

• Electronics: DAE4 Sn527; Calibrated: 6/13/2019

• Phantom: Twin-SAM; Type: QD 000 P41 Ax; Serial: 1963

• Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (1); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.11 (7437)

System Performance Check 2450MHz/Area Scan (11x13x1): Measurement grid: dx=10mm, dy=10mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 17.1 W/kg

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

System Performance Check 2450MHz/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm,


dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 96.15 V/m; Power Drift = 0.12 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 13.5 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 6.34 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 18.4W/kg



0 dB = 18.4 W/kg = 12.65 dBW/kg

SAR Evaluation Report 19 of 34

EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

DONGLES WITH SWIVEL OR ROTATING CONNECTORS

A swivel or rotating USB connector may enable the dongle to connect in different orientations to host computers. When the antenna is built-in within the housing of a dongle, a swivel or rotating connector may allow the antenna to assume different positions. The combination of these possible configurations must be considered to determine the SAR test requirements. When the antenna is located near the tip of a dongle, it may operate at closer proximity to users in certain connector orientations where dongle tip testing may be required.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

The 5 mm test separation distance used for testing simple dongles has been established based on the overall host platform (laptop/notebook/netbook) and device variations, and varying user operating configurations and exposure conditions expected for a peripheral device. The same test distance should generally apply to dongles with swivel or rotating connectors. The procedures described for simple dongles should be used to position the four surfaces of the dongle at 5 mm from the phantom to evaluate SAR. At least one of the horizontal and one of the vertical positions should be tested using an applicable host computer. If the antenna is within 1 cm from the tip of the dongle (the end without the USB connector), the tip of the dongle.




Figure 1 – USB Connector Orientations Implemented on Laptop Computers

Test Distance for SAR Evaluation

In this case the EUT(Equipment Under Test) is set 5mm away from the phantom, the test distance is 5mm.

SAR Evaluation Report 20 of 34

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or radiating structures of the EUT, the horizontal grid spacing was 15 mm x 15 mm, and the SAR distribution was determined by integrated grid of 1.5mm x 1.5mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
 - 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages.

All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

SAR Evaluation Report 21 of 34


CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Power Meter through Connector.



Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Maximum Target Output Power

Max Target Power(dBm)							
Mada/Dand	Channel						
Mode/Band	Low	Middle	High				
WLAN 2.4G(802.11b)	15.0	15.0	15.0				
WLAN 2.4G(802.11g)	15.0	15.0	15.0				
WLAN 2.4G(802.11n HT20)	15.0	15.0	15.0				

Max Target Power(dBm)						
Mada/Dand	Frequency(MHz)					
Mode/Band	433.92					
SRD	-18.0					

SAR Evaluation Report 22 of 34

Test Results:

WLAN 2.4G:

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	Average Output Power(dBm)
	2412		13.96
802.11b	2437	1Mbps	14.74
	2462		14.61
	2412		14.12
802.11g	2437	6Mbps	14.36
	2462		14.44
002.11	2412		14.03
802.11n HT20	2437	MCS0	14.65
	2462		14.57

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

SRD:

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	RF Output Power(dBm)
SRD	433.92	-18.09


Note:

(1) ERP = $75.75 dB\mu V/m$ -95.2 = -19.45 dBm(2) RF Output Power = -19.45 dBm-0.79 dBi+2.15 = -18.09 dBm


SAR Evaluation Report 23 of 34

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Antenna Location:



Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A



SAR Evaluation Report 24 of 34

Antenna Distance To Edge

Antenna Distance To Edge(mm)									
Mode Back Left Right Front Top Bottom									
WLAN Antenna	6	5	21	<5	32	29			
SRD Antenna	<5	13	8	<5	6	65			

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
WLAN 2.4G	2462	15.0	31.62	0	10.24	3	NO
SRD	433.92	-18.0	0.02	0	0.002	3	YES

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Test Exclusion Distance(mm)	
WLAN 2.4G	2462	15.0	31.62	17	

NOTE:

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances \leq 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]

 $[\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where

- 1. f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.
- 2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.
- 3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.
- 4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

Standalone SAR estimation:

Mode Frequency (MHz)		Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Distance (mm)	Estimated 1-g (W/kg)	
SRD Body	433.92	-18.0	0.02	0	0	

Note: The SRD based Peak power for calculation.

When standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance , mW)/(min. test separation distance,mm)] $\cdot \sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$]

W/kg for test separation distances ≤ 50 mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR.

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion

SAR Evaluation Report 25 of 34

SAR test exclusion for the EUT edge considerations Result

Antenna Distance To Edge(mm)									
Mode Back Left Right Front Top Bott									
WLAN Antenna	Required	Required	Exclusion	Required	Exclusion	Exclusion			
SRD Antenna	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*	Exclusion*			

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Note:

Required: The distance is less than **Test Exclusion Distance**, the SAR test is required.

Exclusion*: SAR test exclusion evaluation has been done above.

Exclusion: The distance is larger than **Test Exclusion Distance**, the SAR test is not required.

SAR Evaluation Report 26 of 34

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	22.1-23.7 ℃
Relative Humidity:	49 %
ATM Pressure:	101.4 kPa
Test Date:	2020/04/17

Testing was performed by Sam Ye.

WLAN 2.4G:

EUT	Frequency	Test	Max. Meas.	Max. Rated	1g SAR (W/kg)					
Position	(MHz)	Mode	Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Duty Cycle(%)	Scaled SAR	Plot	
	2412	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Horizontal-Up (5mm)	2437	802.11b	14.74	15.0	1.062	0.137	90	0.16	#1	
()	2462	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
Horizontal-Down	2412	802.11b	13.96	15.0	1.271	0.268	90	0.38	#2	
(5mm) Adding USB extension	2437	802.11b	14.74	15.0	1.062	0.252	90	0.30	#3	
cable	2462	802.11b	14.61	15.0	1.094	0.256	90	0.31	#4	
Vertical-Front (5mm) Adding USB extension cable	2412	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	
	2437	802.11b	14.74	15.0	1.062	0.107	90	0.13	#5	
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/	/	/	/	/	

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Note:

- 1. When the 1-g SAR is ≤ 0.8 W/kg, testing for other channels are optional.
- 2. The EUT transmit and receive through the same antenna while testing SAR.
- 3. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.
- 4. KDB 248227 D01-SAR measurement is not required for 2.4 GHz OFDM(801.11g/n) when the highest reported SAR for DSSS(802.11b) is \leq 1.2 W/kg, and the output power for DSSS is not less than that for OFDM.
- 5. The length of the USB extension cable is 25 cm and does not influence the radiating characteristics and output power of the transmitter.

SAR Evaluation Report 27 of 34

SAR Measurement Variability

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is \geq 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

Note: The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

SAR probe	Frequency	Frequency Face (MILA) FILT Backling		Meas. SA	Largest to	
calibration point	Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Original	Repeated	Smallest SAR Ratio
/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Note:

- 1. Repeated measurement is not required since the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg.
- 2. The measured SAR results **do not** have to be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance to determine if repeated measurements are required.
- 3. SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the **SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium** used for the device measurements.

SAR Evaluation Report 28 of 34

SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

Simultaneous Transmission:

Description of Simultaneous Transmit Capabilities				
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?			
WLAN 2.4G Antenna + SRD Antenna	V			

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Note:

Mode(SAR1+SAR2)	Position	Reported S	ΣSAR <		
2.1000(2.1111 . 2.1112)	2 00.000	SAR1	SAR2	1.6W/kg	
	Horizontal-Up	0.16	0	0.16	
WLAN 2.4GHz+SRD	Horizontal-Down	0.38	0	0.38	
	Vertical-Front	0.13	0	0.13	

Conclusion:

Sum of SAR: $\Sigma SAR \leq 1.6$ W/kg therefore simultaneous transmission SAR with Volume Scans is **not required**.

SAR Evaluation Report 29 of 34

Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Kunshan)	Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A
SAR Plots	
Please Refer to the Attachment.	

SAR Evaluation Report 30 of 34

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
		Measuremer	nt system				_
Probe calibration	6.0	N	1	1	1	6.0	6.0
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	1.9	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	3.9	3.9
Boundary effect	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration time	2.6	R	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5
RF ambient conditions – noise	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7
RF ambient conditions–reflections	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.02	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	0.4	R	√3	1	1	0.2	0.2
Post-processing	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2
		Test sample	e related				
Test sample positioning	2.9	N	1	1	1	2.9	2.9
Device holder uncertainty	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9
Phantom and set-up							_
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	6.1	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3
Liquid conductivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.78	0.71	2.0	1.8
Liquid conductivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	2.0	1.8
Liquid permittivity target)	5.0	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.6	0.7
Liquid permittivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.6	0.7
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				11.3	11.2
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						22.6	22.4

SAR Evaluation Report 31 of 34

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
		Measuremen	nt system		I		
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.55	6.55
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	√3	1	1	1.9	1.9
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	√3	0	0	3.9	3.9
Linearity	4.7	R	√3	1	1	2.7	2.7
Modulation Response	2.4	R	√3	1	1	1.4	1.4
Detection limits	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.6	0.6
Boundary effect	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.2	1.2
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5
Integration time	2.6	R	√3	1	1	1.5	1.5
RF ambient conditions – noise	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7
RF ambient conditions–reflections	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.7	1.7
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.04	R	√3	1	1	0.0	0.0
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	0.8	R	√3	1	1	0.5	0.5
Post-processing	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.3	2.3
		Test sample	e related				
Device holder Uncertainty	3.6	N	1	1	1	3.6	3.6
Test sample positioning	2.9	Ν	1	1	1	2.9	2.9
Power scaling	0	R	√3	1	1	0	0
Drift of output power	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.9	2.9
Phantom and set-up							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	7.6	R	√3	1	1	4.4	4.4
Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.9	1.9
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	2.0	1.8
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.6	0.7
Temp. unc Conductivity	3.4	R	√3	0.78	0.71	1.5	1.4
Temp. unc Permittivity	0.4	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.1	0.1
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.1	12.0
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.1	24.0

SAR Evaluation Report 32 of 34

SAR Evaluation Report 33 of 34

Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Kunshan)	Report No.: RSHA200302003-20A
APPENDIX C CALIBRATION CERTIFICA	ΓES
Please Refer to the Attachment.	

SAR Evaluation Report 34 of 34

***** END OF REPORT *****