

SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

Shenzhen NED Optics Co., LTD.

Rm W101, 1/F, West Block, PKU-HKUST SZ-HK Institution No15, Gaoxinnan 7th Rd, Nanshan District, Shenzhen, China

FCC ID: 2ATNDGOOVISD3

Report Type: Original Report	Product Type: Portable Media Player
Report Number: <u>RSZ190415003-SAA</u>	
Report Date: <u>2019-09-11</u>	
Reviewed By: <u>Lab Manager</u> 	
Prepared By: Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China Tel: +86-755-33320018 Fax: +86-755-33320008 www.baclcorp.com.cn	

Note: This report must not be used by the customer to claim product certification, approval, or endorsement by A2LA* or any agency of the Federal Government. This report may contain data that are not covered by the A2LA accreditation and are marked with an asterisk "★".

BACL is not responsible for the authenticity of any test data provided by the applicant. Data included from the applicant that may affect test results are marked with an asterisk '*'. Customer model name, addresses, names, trademarks etc. are not considered data.

This report cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested.

Attestation of Test Results			
EUT Information	EUT Description	Portable Media Player	
	Tested Model	D3	
	FCC ID	2ATNDGOOVIDS3	
	Serial Number	19041500308	
	Test Date	2019/04/21 and 2019/04/22	
Mode		Max. SAR Level(s) Reported(W/kg)	Limit(W/Kg)
WLAN 2.4G	1g Body SAR	0.64	1.6
WLAN 5.2G	1g Body SAR	0.51	
WLAN 5.8G	1g Body SAR	0.55	

Applicable Standards	FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: portable devices
	IEEE1528:2013 IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
	IEC 62209-1:2016 Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)
	IEC 62209-2:2010 Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices-Human models, instrumentation, and procedures-Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)
	KDB procedures KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06 KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04 KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting v01r02 KDB 616217 D04 SAR for laptop and tablets v01r02 KDB 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02
	Note: This wireless device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure limits specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2.1093 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013 and RF exposure KDB procedures. The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY.....	4
EUT DESCRIPTION	5
TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION.....	5
REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES	6
SAR LIMITS	7
FACILITIES	8
DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM.....	9
EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION.....	15
EQUIPMENTS LIST & CALIBRATION INFORMATION	15
SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION	16
LIQUID VERIFICATION.....	16
SYSTEM ACCURACY VERIFICATION	18
SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA	19
EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY.....	22
TEST POSITIONS FOR BODY-WORN AND OTHER CONFIGURATIONS	22
TEST DISTANCE FOR SAR EVALUATION	23
SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURE	24
TEST METHODOLOGY	24
CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT	25
PROVISION APPLICABLE.....	25
TEST PROCEDURE.....	25
MAXIMUM TARGET OUTPUT POWER.....	25
TEST RESULTS:.....	26
STANDALONE SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATIONS.....	28
ANTENNA DISTANCE TO EDGE.....	28
SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS	29
SAR TEST DATA	29
5.8 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM SAR TEST EXCLUSION CONSIDERATION:	32
SAR MEASUREMENT VARIABILITY.....	33
SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION.....	34
SAR PLOTS (SUMMARY OF THE HIGHEST SAR VALUES).....	35
APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	50
APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	52
APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES	53
APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS.....	54
APPENDIX E INFORMATIVE REFERENCES	55

DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY

Revision Number	Report Number	Description of Revision	Date of Revision
0	RSZ190415003-SAA	Original Report	2019-09-11

EUT DESCRIPTION

This report has been prepared on behalf of *Shenzhen NED Optics Co., LTD.* and their product **Portable Media Player**, Model: **D3**, FCC ID: **2ATNDGOOVISD3** or the EUT (Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

Note:

1. The device is Portable Media Player, its intended use is handheld mode. Meanwhile we consider the device can be supported on body (the device's back side), so we also test Body supported mode.

**All measurement and test data in this report was gathered from production sample serial number: 19041500308 (Assigned by BACL, Shenzhen). The EUT supplied by the applicant was received on 2019-04-16.*

Technical Specification

Product Type	Portable
Exposure Category:	Population / Uncontrolled
Antenna Type(s):	Internal Antenna
Body-Worn Accessories:	None
Face-Head Accessories:	None
Operation Mode :	Bluetooth, Wi-Fi
Frequency Band:	WLAN (2.4G): 2412 -2462 MHz WLAN (5.2G): 5150-5250 MHz WLAN (5.8G): 5745-5825 MHz Bluetooth: 2402 MHz-2480 MHz
Conducted RF Power:	WLAN (2.4G): 13.08 dBm WLAN (5.2G): 16.79 dBm WLAN (5.8G): 16.55 dBm Bluetooth(BDR/EDR): 4.75 dBm BLE: 0.06 dBm
Power Source:	Rechargeable Battery

REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUIDELINES

FCC:

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

CE:

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

SAR Limits

FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 1 g of tissue)	1.60	8.0
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

CE Limit (10g Tissue)

EXPOSURE LIMITS	SAR (W/kg)	
	(General Population / Uncontrolled Exposure Environment)	(Occupational / Controlled Exposure Environment)
Spatial Average (averaged over the whole body)	0.08	0.4
Spatial Peak (averaged over any 10 g of tissue)	2.0	10
Spatial Peak (hands/wrists/feet/ankles averaged over 10 g)	4.0	20.0

Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

General Population/Uncontrolled environments Spatial Peak limit 1.6W/kg (FCC) & 2 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

FACILITIES

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F., West Wing, Third Phase of Wanli Industrial Building, Shihua Road, Futian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China


DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system DASY6 from Schmid & Partner Engineering AG (SPEAG) which is the Fifth generation of the system shown in the figure hereinafter:



DASY6 System Description

The DASY6 system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:



- A standard high precision 6-axis robot (Staubli TX=RX family) with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE).
- An isotropic field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement.
- A data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal application, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.
- The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server.
- The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts.
- The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning.
- A computer running Win7 professional operating system and the DASY52 software.
- Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc.
- The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.

DASY6 Measurement Server

The DASY6 measurement server is based on a PC/104 CPU board with a 400 MHz Intel ULV Celeron, 128 MB chip-disk and 128 MB RAM. The necessary circuits for communication with the DAE4 (or DAE3) electronics box, as well as the 16-bit AD converter system for optical detection and digital I/O interface are contained on the DASY6 I/O board, which is directly connected to the PC/104 bus of the CPU board.



The measurement server performs all real-time data evaluations of field measurements and surface detection, controls robot movements, and handles safety operations. The PC operating system cannot interfere with these time-critical processes. All connections are supervised by a watchdog, and disconnection of any of the cables to the measurement server will automatically disarm the robot and disable all program-controlled robot movements. Furthermore, the measurement server is equipped with an expansion port, which is reserved for future applications. Please note that this expansion port does not have a standardized pinout, and therefore only devices provided by SPEAG can be connected. Connection of devices from any other supplier could seriously damage the measurement server.

Data Acquisition Electronics

The data acquisition electronics (DAE4) consist of a highly sensitive electrometer-grade preamplifier with auto-zeroing, a channel and gain-switching multiplexer, a fast 16 bit AD-converter and a command decoder with a control logic unit. Transmission to the measurement server is accomplished through an optical downlink for data and status information, as well as an optical uplink for commands and the clock.

The mechanical probe mounting device includes two different sensor systems for frontal and sideways probe contacts. They are used for mechanical surface detection and probe collision detection.

The input impedance of both the DAE4 as well as of the DAE3 box is 200M Ω ; the inputs are symmetrical and floating. Common mode rejection is above 80 dB.

EX3DV4 E-Field Probes

Frequency	10 MHz to > 6 GHz Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (30 MHz to 6 GHz)
Directivity	± 0.3 dB in TSL (rotation around probe axis) ± 0.5 dB in TSL (rotation normal to probe axis)
Dynamic Range	10 μ W/g to > 100 mW/g Linearity: ± 0.2 dB (noise: typically < 1 μ W/g)
Dimensions	Overall length: 337 mm (Tip: 20 mm) Tip diameter: 2.5 mm (Body: 12 mm) Typical distance from probe tip to dipole centers: 1 mm
Application	High precision dosimetric measurements in any exposure scenario (e.g., very strong gradient fields); the only probe that enables compliance testing for frequencies up to 6 GHz with precision of better 30%.
Compatibility	DASY3, DASY4, DASY52 SAR and higher, EASY4/MRI

SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM Twin Phantom (shown in front of DASY6) is a fiberglass shell phantom with shell thickness 2 mm, except in the ear region where the thickness is increased to 6 mm. The phantom has three measurement areas: 1) Left Head, 2) Right Head, and 3) Flat Section. For larger devices, the use of the ELI-Phantom (shown behind DASY6) is required. For devices such as glasses with a wireless link, the Face Down Phantom is the most suitable (between the SAM Twin and ELI phantoms).

When the phantom is mounted inside allocated slot of the DASY6 platform, phantom reference points can be taught directly in the DASY5 V5.2 software. When the DASY6 platform is used to mount the


Phantom, some of the phantom teaching points cannot be reached by the robot in DASY5 V5.2. A special tool called P1a-P2aX-Former is provided to transform two of the three points, P1 and P2, to reachable locations. To use these new teaching points, a revised phantom configuration file is required.

In addition to our standard broadband liquids, the phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.

DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).

Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom. Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the SAM Twin phantom.



ELI Phantom

The ELI phantom is intended for compliance testing of handheld and body-mounted wireless devices in the frequency range of 30MHz to 6 GHz. ELI is fully compatible with the latest draft of the standard IEC 62209-2 and the use of all known tissue simulating liquids. ELI has been optimized for performance and can be integrated into a SPEAG standard phantom table. A cover is provided to prevent evaporation of water and changes in liquid parameters. Reference markings on the phantom allow installation of the complete setup, including all predefined phantom positions and measurement grids, by teaching three points.

The phantom can be used with the following tissue simulating liquids:

- Sugar-water-based liquids can be left permanently in the phantom. Always cover the liquid when the system is not in use to prevent changes in liquid parameters due to water evaporation.
- DGBE-based liquids should be used with care. As DGBE is a softener for most plastics, the liquid should be taken out of the phantom, and the phantom should be dried when the system is not in use (desirable at least once a week).
- Do not use other organic solvents without previously testing the solvent resistivity of the phantom.


Approximately 25 liters of liquid is required to fill the ELI phantom.

Robots

The DASY6 system uses the high-precision industrial robots TX60L, TX90XL, and RX160L from Staubli SA (France). The TX robot family - the successor of the well-known RX robot family - continues to offer the features important for DASY6 applications:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements (brushless synchrony motors; no stepper motors)
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)

The robots are controlled by the Staubli CS8c robot controllers. All information regarding the use and maintenance of the robot arm and the robot controller is provided



Calibration Frequency Points for EX3DV4 E-Field Probes SN: 7522 Calibrated: 2018/11/02

Calibration Frequency Point(MHz)	Frequency Range(MHz)		Conversion Factor		
	From	To	X	Y	Z
750 Head	650	800	9.78	9.78	9.78
750 Body	650	800	9.8	9.8	9.8
850 Head	800	950	9.46	9.46	9.46
850 Body	800	950	9.54	9.54	9.54
1750 Head	1650	1810	8.2	8.2	8.2
1750 Body	1650	1810	7.88	7.88	7.88
1900 Head	1810	1920	7.91	7.91	7.91
1900 Body	1810	1920	7.48	7.48	7.48
2000 Head	1920	2100	7.78	7.78	7.78
2000 Body	1920	2100	7.36	7.36	7.36
2300 Head	2200	2399	7.35	7.35	7.35
2300 Body	2200	2399	7.27	7.27	7.27
2450 Head	2399	2500	6.97	6.97	6.97
2450 Body	2399	2500	7.05	7.05	7.05
2600 Head	2500	2700	6.79	6.79	6.79
2600 Body	2500	2700	6.95	6.95	6.95
5250 Head	5140	5360	5.05	5.05	5.05
5250 Body	5140	5360	4.77	4.77	4.77
5600 Head	5490	5700	4.48	4.48	4.48
5600 Body	5490	5700	4.27	4.27	4.27
5800 Head	5700	5910	4.76	4.76	4.76
5800 Body	5700	5910	4.31	4.31	4.31

Area Scans

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 15mm 2 step integral, with 1.5mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

Where the system identifies multiple SAR peaks (which are within 25% of peak value) the system will provide the user with the option of assessing each peak location individually for zoom scan averaging.

Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)

The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the DASY5 software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m³ is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10g cube is 21.5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 7 x 7 x 7 (5mmx5mmx5mm) providing a volume of 30 mm in the X & Y & Z axis.

Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEC 62209-1:2016

Recommended Tissue Dielectric properties for Head liquid**Table A.3 – Dielectric properties of the head tissue-equivalent liquid**

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity ϵ_r	Conductivity (σ) S/m
300	45,3	0,87
450	43,5	0,87
750	41,9	0,89
835	41,5	0,90
900	41,5	0,97
1 450	40,5	1,20
1 500	40,4	1,23
1 640	40,2	1,31
1 750	40,1	1,37
1 800	40,0	1,40
1 900	40,0	1,40
2 000	40,0	1,40
2 100	39,8	1,49
2 300	39,5	1,67
2 450	39,2	1,80
2 600	39,0	1,96
3 000	38,5	2,40
3 500	37,9	2,91
4 000	37,4	3,43
4 500	36,8	3,94
5 000	36,2	4,45
5 200	36,0	4,66
5 400	35,8	4,86
5 600	35,5	5,07
5 800	35,3	5,27
6 000	35,1	5,48

NOTE For convenience, permittivity and conductivity values at those frequencies which are not part of the original data provided by Drossos et al. [33] or the extension to 5 800 MHz are provided (i.e. the values shown in *italics*). These values were linearly interpolated between the values in this table that are immediately above and below these values, except the values at 6 000 MHz that were linearly extrapolated from the values at 3 000 MHz and 5 800 MHz.


EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION

Equipments List & Calibration Information

Equipment	Model	S/N	Calibration Date	Calibration Due Date
DASY5 Test Software	DASY52 52.10.2	N/A	NCR	NCR
DASY6 Measurement Server	DASY6 6.0.31	N/A	NCR	NCR
Data Acquisition Electronics	DAE4	1562	2018/11/06	2019/11/06
E-Field Probe	EX3DV4	7522	2018/11/02	2019/11/02
Mounting Device	MD4HHTV5	SD 000 H01 KA	NCR	NCR
SAM Twin Phantom	SAM-Twin V8.0	1962	NCR	NCR
Dipole, 2450MHz	D2450V2	751	2017/10/12	2020/10/12
Dipole, 5200MHz	ALS-D-5200-S-2	230-00805	2016/10/5	2019/10/5
Dipole, 5800MHz	ALS-D-5800-S-2	240-00855	2016/10/5	2019/10/5
Simulated Tissue Liquid Head	HBBL600-10000V6	180622-2	Each Time	
Network Analyzer	8753D	3410A08288	2019/4/24	2020/4/24
Dielectric Assessment Kit	DAK-3.5	1248	NCR	NCR
Anritsu Signal Generator	68369B	4114	2018/12/24	2019/12/24
Power Meter	E4419B	GB39511341	2019/6/23	2020/6/23
Power Amplifier	5S1G4	71377	NCR	NCR
Directional Coupler	4242-10	3307	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	3dB	5402	NCR	NCR
Attenuator	10dB	AU 3842	NCR	NCR
WIDEBAND RADIO COMMUNICATION TESTER	CMW500	1201.002K50-146520-wh	2019/4/23	2020/4/23

SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION

Liquid Verification



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

Liquid Verification Results

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance (%)
		ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	$\Delta\epsilon_r$	$\Delta\sigma$	
2412	Head	40.363	1.773	39.28	1.77	2.76	0.17	± 5
2437	Head	40.088	1.817	39.23	1.79	2.19	1.51	± 5
2450	Head	40.091	1.823	39.20	1.80	2.27	1.28	± 5
2462	Head	40.31	1.839	39.18	1.81	2.88	1.6	± 5

*Liquid Verification was performed on 2019/04/21.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance (%)
		ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	$\Delta\epsilon_r$	$\Delta\sigma$	
5180	Head	36.721	4.671	36.02	4.64	1.95	0.67	± 5
5200	Head	36.545	4.689	36.00	4.66	1.51	0.62	± 5
5240	Head	36.114	4.723	35.96	4.70	0.43	0.49	± 5

*Liquid Verification was performed on 2019/04/22.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Type	Liquid Parameter		Target Value		Delta (%)		Tolerance (%)
		ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	$\Delta\epsilon_r$	$\Delta\sigma$	
5745	Head	35.625	5.322	35.28	5.22	0.98	1.95	± 5
5785	Head	35.438	5.356	35.22	5.26	0.62	1.83	± 5
5800	Head	35.705	5.372	35.30	5.27	1.15	1.94	± 5
5825	Head	35.564	5.398	35.28	5.30	0.8	1.85	± 5

*Liquid Verification was performed on 2019/04/22.


System Accuracy Verification

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of $\pm 10\%$. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

The spacing distances in the **System Verification Setup Block Diagram** is given by the following:

- $s = 15 \text{ mm} \pm 0,2 \text{ mm}$ for $300 \text{ MHz} \leq f \leq 1\,000 \text{ MHz}$;
- $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0,2 \text{ mm}$ for $1\,000 \text{ MHz} < f \leq 3\,000 \text{ MHz}$;
- $s = 10 \text{ mm} \pm 0,2 \text{ mm}$ for $3\,000 \text{ MHz} < f \leq 6\,000 \text{ MHz}$.

System Verification Setup Block Diagram



System Accuracy Check Results

Date	Frequency Band (MHz)	Liquid Type	Input Power (mW)	Measured SAR (W/kg)		Normalized to 1W (W/kg)	Target Value (W/Kg)	Delta (%)	Tolerance (%)
2019/04/21	2450	Head	100	1g	5.51	55.1	52.5	4.952	± 10
2019/04/22	5200	Head	100	1g	7.58	75.8	73.68	2.877	± 10
2019/04/22	5800	Head	100	1g	8.13	81.3	78.05	4.164	± 10

Note:

All the SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA

System Performance 2450 MHz Head

DUT: Dipole 2450MHz; Type: D2450V2; Serial: 751

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 2450 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 2450$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.823$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.091$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2450 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: ELI V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD OVA 004 AA; Serial: 2092
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)

Head 2450MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (81x121x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 5.73 W/kg


Head 2450MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 52.67 V/m; Power Drift = -0.012 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 10.1 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 5.51 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.63 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 5.89 W/kg



0 dB = 5.89 W/kg = 7.70 dBW/kg

System Performance 5200 MHz Head**DUT: Dipole 5200MHz; Type: ALS-D-5200-S-2; Serial: 230-00805**

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.689$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36.545$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Head 5200MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (61x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 13.9 W/kg


Head 5200MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 35.86 V/m; Power Drift = 0.164 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 28.7 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 7.58 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.52 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 13.6W/kg



0 dB = 13.6 W/kg = 11.34 dBW/kg

System Performance 5800 MHz Head**DUT: Dipole 5800MHz; Type: ALS-D-5800-S-2; Serial: 240-00855**

Communication System: UID 0, CW (0); Frequency: 5800 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5800$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.372$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.705$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5800 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Head 5800MHz Pin=100mW/Area Scan (61x81x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 14.8 W/kg


Head 5800MHz Pin=100mW/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 52.05V/m; Power Drift = 0.014 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 41.5W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 8.13 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 2.47 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 16.8 W/kg



0 dB = 16.8 W/kg = 12.25 dBW/kg

EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY

Test positions for body-worn and other configurations

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to be authorized for body-worn use. A separation distance of 1.5 cm between the back of the device and a flat phantom is recommended for testing body-worn SAR compliance under such circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components.





Figure 5 – Test positions for body-worn devices

Test positions for Body-supported device

A typical example of a body supported device is a wireless enabled laptop device that among other orientations may be supported on the thighs of a sitting user. To represent this orientation, the device shall be positioned with its base against the flat phantom. Other orientations may be specified by the manufacturer in the user instructions. If the intended use is not specified, the device shall be tested directly against the flat phantom in all usable orientations.

The screen portion of the device shall be in an open position at a 90° angle as seen in Figure below (left side), or at an operating angle specified for intended use by the manufacturer in the operating instructions. Where a body supported device has an integral screen required for normal operation, then the screen-side will not need to be tested if it ordinarily remains 200 mm from the body. Where a screen mounted antenna is present, this position shall be repeated with the screen against the flat phantom as shown in Figure below (right side), if this is consistent with the intended use.

Other devices that fall into this category include tablet type portable computers and credit card transaction authorisation terminals, point-of-sale and/or inventory terminals. Where these devices may be torso or limb-supported, the same principles for body-supported devices are applied.



a) Portable computer with external antenna plug-in-radio-card (left side) or with internal antenna located in screen section (right side)

Test Distance for SAR Evaluation

For this case the EUT(Equipment Under Test) is set 5mm away from the phantom, the test distance is 5mm.

SAR Evaluation Procedure

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

- Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.
- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 30 mm x 30 mm x 30 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
- 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
 - 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes (1 g or 10 g) were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points (10 x 10 x 10) were interpolated to calculate the averages. All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

Test methodology

ARIB STD-T56 V3.3
IEC62209-2:2010
IEC62479:2010
IEEE1528:2013


CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT

Provision Applicable

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

Test Procedure

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the EMI Receiver through sufficient attenuation.



Maximum Target Output Power

Max Target Power(dBm)				
Mode/Band		Channel		
		Low	Middle	High
2.4G WLAN	802.11b	13.3	13.3	13.3
	802.11g	12.3	12.3	12.3
	802.11n HT20	11.5	11.5	11.5
	802.11n HT40	11.6	11.6	11.6
5.2G WLAN	802.11a	13.0	13.0	13.6
	802.11n20	13.7	13.7	13.7
	802.11n40	15.7	15.7	15.7
	802.11ac20	15.6	15.6	15.6
	802.11ac40	17.0	17.0	17.0
	802.11ac80	15.7	15.7	15.7
5.8G WLAN	802.11a	13.6	13.6	13.6
	802.11n20	13.5	13.5	13.5
	802.11n40	16.8	16.8	16.8
	802.11ac20	16.5	16.5	16.5
	802.11ac40	16.7	16.7	16.7
	802.11ac80	16.6	16.6	16.6
Bluetooth	BDR(GFSK)	3.5	3.5	3.5
	EDR($\pi/4$ -DQPSK)	4.6	4.6	4.6
	EDR(8-DPSK)	5.0	5.0	5.0
Bluetooth BLE		0.2	0.2	0.2

Test Results:**Bluetooth:**

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	RF Output Power (dBm)
BDR(GFSK)	2402	2.97
	2441	3.22
	2480	2.11
EDR($\pi/4$ -DQPSK)	2402	4.20
	2441	4.37
	2480	3.31
EDR(8-DPSK)	2402	4.75
	2441	4.47
	2480	3.87
Bluetooth LE	2402	-0.38
	2440	0.06
	2480	-1.17

WLAN 2.4G:

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	RF Output Power(dBm)
802.11b	2412	1Mbps	12.96
	2437		13.08
	2462		12.89
802.11g	2412	6Mbps	12.01
	2437		11.21
	2462		12.12
802.11n HT20	2412	MCS0	11.04
	2437		10.20
	2462		11.29
802.11n HT40	2422	MCS0	11.39
	2437		10.44
	2452		11.35

WLAN 5.2G:


Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	RF Output Power(dBm)
802.11a	5180	1Mbps	12.71
	5200		12.83
	5240		13.31
802.11n20	5180	6Mbps	12.90
	5200		13.15
	5240		13.48
802.11n40	5190	MCS0	14.81
	5230		15.48
802.11ac20	5180	MCS0	15.36
	5200		15.14
	5240		14.38
802.11ac40	5190	MCS0	16.19
	5230		16.79
802.11ac80	5210	MCS0	15.45

WLAN 5.8G:

Mode	Channel frequency (MHz)	Data Rate	RF Output Power(dBm)
802.11a	5745	1Mbps	13.31
	5785		13.28
	5825		13.10
802.11n20	5745	6Mbps	12.41
	5785		12.51
	5825		13.20
802.11n40	5755	MCS0	16.46
	5795		16.55
802.11ac20	5745	MCS0	16.07
	5785		15.89
	5825		16.24
802.11ac40	5755	MCS0	16.15
	5795		16.45
802.11ac80	5775	MCS0	16.35

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Antennas Location:



Antenna Distance To Edge

Antenna Distance To Edge(mm)						
Antenna	Front	Back	Left	Right	Top	Bottom
BT&2.4GWIFI Antenna	<5	<5	<5	28	130	<5
5G WIFI Antenna	<5	<5	28	<5	130	<5

Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	P _{avg} (dBm)	P _{avg} (mW)	Distance (mm)	Calculated value	Threshold (1-g)	SAR Test Exclusion
WLAN 2.4G	2462	13.3	21.38	0	6.7	3.0	NO
WLAN 5.2 G	5240	17.0	50.12	0	22.9	3.0	NO
WLAN 5.8 G	5825	16.8	47.86	0	23.1	3.0	NO
Bluetooth	2480	5.0	3.16	0	1.0	3.0	YES

NOTE:

The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot$

$[\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR and ≤ 7.5 for 10-g extremity SAR, where

1. $f(\text{GHz})$ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz.

2. Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation.

3. The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison.

4. When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test Exclusion.

SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

SAR Test Data

Environmental Conditions

Temperature:	21.1-22.8℃	23.1-24.6℃
Relative Humidity:	58-65%	55-61%
ATM Pressure:	101.1kPa	101.3kPa
Test Date:	2019/04/21	2019/04/22

Testing was performed by Seven Liang, Ricardo Lan.

WLAN 2.4G:

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Max. Meas. Power (dBm)	Max. Rated Power (dBm)	1g SAR (W/kg)			
					Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Body Front (5mm)	2412	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
	2437	802.11b	13.08	13.3	1.052	0.324	0.34	1#
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
Body Back (5mm)	2412	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
	2437	802.11b	13.08	13.3	1.052	0.612	0.64	2#
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
Body Left (5mm)	2412	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
	2437	802.11b	13.08	13.3	1.052	0.529	0.56	3#
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
Body Right (5mm)	2412	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
	2437	802.11b	13.08	13.3	1.052	0.181	0.19	4#
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
Body Top (5mm)	2412	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/
	2437	802.11b	13.08	13.3	1.052	0.429	0.45	5#
	2462	802.11b	/	/	/		/	/

WLAN 5.2G:

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Max. Meas. Power (dBm)	Max. Rated Power (dBm)	1g SAR (W/kg)			
					Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Body Front (5mm)	5180	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5200	802.11a	12.83	13.0	1.194	0.156	0.19	6#
	5240	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Back (5mm)	5180	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5200	802.11a	12.83	13.0	1.194	0.492	0.51	7#
	5240	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Left (5mm)	5180	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5200	802.11a	12.83	13.0	1.194	0.261	0.31	8#
	5240	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Right (5mm)	5180	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5200	802.11a	12.83	13.0	1.194	0.321	0.38	9#
	5240	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Top (5mm)	5180	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5200	802.11a	12.83	13.0	1.194	0.152	0.18	10#
	5240	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/

WLAN 5.8G:

EUT Position	Frequency (MHz)	Test Mode	Max. Meas. Power (dBm)	Max. Rated Power (dBm)	1g SAR (W/kg)			
					Scaled Factor	Meas. SAR	Scaled SAR	Plot
Body Front (5mm)	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5785	802.11a	13.28	13.6	1.076	0.161	0.17	11#
	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Back (5mm)	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5785	802.11a	13.28	13.6	1.076	0.51	0.55	12#
	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Left (5mm)	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5785	802.11a	13.28	13.6	1.076	0.151	0.16	13#
	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Right (5mm)	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5785	802.11a	13.28	13.6	1.076	0.329	0.35	14#
	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
Body Top (5mm)	5745	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/
	5785	802.11a	13.28	13.6	1.076	0.177	0.19	15#
	5825	802.11a	/	/	/	/	/	/

Note:

1. When the 1-g SAR is $\leq 0.8\text{W/Kg}$, testing for other channels are optional.
2. When the highest *reported* SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is $\leq 1.2\text{ W/kg}$, OFDM SAR is not required.
3. When SAR or MPE is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance.

2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Consideration:

Modulation Mode	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Reported SAR(W/kg)	Adjusted SAR(W/kg)	Limit(W/kg)	SAR Test Exclusion
802.11b	13.3	21.380	0.64	/	/	/
802.11g	12.3	16.982	/	0.51	1.2	Yes
802.11n HT20	11.5	14.125	/	0.43	1.2	Yes
802.11n HT40	11.6	14.454	/	0.43	1.2	Yes

Note:

KDB 248227 D01-When SAR measurement is required for 2.4 GHz 802.11g/n OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions.

- a) When KDB Publication 447498 D01 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- b) When the highest *reported* SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is $\leq 1.2\text{ W/kg}$.

5.2 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Consideration:

Modulation Mode	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Reported SAR(W/kg)	Adjusted SAR(W/kg)	Limit(W/kg)	SAR Test Exclusion
802.11a	13.6	22.909	0.51	/	/	/
802.11n20	13.7	23.442	/	0.57	1.2	Yes
802.11n40	15.7	37.154	/	0.82	1.2	Yes
802.11ac20	15.6	36.308	/	0.81	1.2	Yes
802.11ac40	17	50.119	/	1.11	1.2	Yes
802.11ac80	15.7	37.154	/	0.82	1.2	Yes

Note:

KDB 248227 D01-When SAR measurement is required for 5.2 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 5.2 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM conditions.

- When KDB Publication 447498 D01 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- When the highest *reported* SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

5.8 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM SAR Test Exclusion Consideration:

Modulation Mode	Pavg (dBm)	Pavg (mW)	Reported SAR(W/kg)	Adjusted SAR(W/kg)	Limit(W/kg)	SAR Test Exclusion
802.11a	13.6	22.909	0.55	/	/	/
802.11n20	13.5	22.387	/	0.55	1.2	Yes
802.11n40	16.8	47.863	/	1.15	1.2	Yes
802.11ac20	16.5	44.668	/	1.10	1.2	Yes
802.11ac40	16.7	46.774	/	1.15	1.2	Yes
802.11ac80	16.6	45.709	/	1.15	1.2	Yes

Note:

KDB 248227 D01-When SAR measurement is required for 5.8 GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM configurations, the measurement and test reduction procedures for OFDM are applied. SAR is not required for the following 5.8GHz 802.11 a/g/n/ac OFDM conditions.

- When KDB Publication 447498 D01 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
- When the highest *reported* SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.

SAR Measurement Variability

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg ($\sim 10\%$ from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥ 1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20 .

Note: The same procedures should be adapted for measurements according to extremity and occupational exposure limits by applying a factor of 2.5 for extremity exposure and a factor of 5 for occupational exposure to the corresponding SAR thresholds.

The Highest Measured SAR Configuration in Each Frequency Band

Head

SAR probe calibration point	Frequency Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Meas. SAR (W/kg)		Largest to Smallest SAR Ratio
				Original	Repeated	
/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Body

SAR probe calibration point	Frequency Band	Freq.(MHz)	EUT Position	Meas. SAR (W/kg)		Largest to Smallest SAR Ratio
				Original	Repeated	
/	/	/	/	/	/	/

Note:

1. Second Repeated Measurement is not required since the ratio of the largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurement is not > 1.20 .
2. The measured SAR results **do not** have to be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance to determine if repeated measurements are required.
3. SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the **SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium** used for the device measurements..

SAR SIMULTANEOUS TRANSMISSION DESCRIPTION

Simultaneous Transmission:

Description of Simultaneous Transmit Capabilities	
Transmitter Combination	Simultaneous?
2.4G WIFI + BT	×
5G WIFI + BT	×
2.4G WIFI+5G WIFI	×

Note: BT and 2.4G WIFI Antenna Shared the same antenna, which cannot transmit simultaneously.

SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)**Test Plot 1#****DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 2.4G DTS (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.817$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.981$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2437 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Front/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.355 W/kg


Body Front/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.762 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.799 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.324 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.172 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.354 W/kg



0 dB = 0.354 W/kg = -4.51 dBW/kg

Test Plot 2#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 2.4G DTS (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.817$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.981$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2437 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Back/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.355 W/kg


Body Back/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.762 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.99 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.612 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.272 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.29 W/kg



0 dB = 1.29 W/kg = 1.11 dBW/kg

Test Plot 3#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 2.4G DTS (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.817$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.981$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2437 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Left/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.734 W/kg


Body Left/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 14.32 V/m; Power Drift = 0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.01 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.529 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.216 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.585 W/kg



0 dB = 0.585 W/kg = -2.33 dBW/kg

Test Plot 4#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 2.4G DTS (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.817$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.981$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2437 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Right/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.221 W/kg


Body Right/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 7.420 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.370 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.182 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.108 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.194 W/kg



Test Plot 5#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 2.4G DTS (0); Frequency: 2437 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 2437$ MHz; $\sigma = 1.817$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 40.981$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(6.97, 6.97, 6.97) @ 2437 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Top/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.538 W/kg


Body Top/WLAN 2.4G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Reference Value = 16.42 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.855 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.429 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.210 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.489 W/kg



0 dB = 0.489 W/kg = -3.11 dBW/kg

Test Plot 6#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 5.2G WiFi (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200$ MHz; $\sigma = 4.692$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 36.686$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Front/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.172 W/kg


Body Front/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 5.315 V/m; Power Drift = 0.11 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.196 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.156 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.148 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.190 W/kg



0 dB = 0.190 W/kg = -7.21 dBW/kg

Test Plot 7#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 5.2G WiFi (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.692 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.686$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Back/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.51 W/kg


Body Back/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$

Reference Value = 5.239 V/m; Power Drift = 0.08 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3.71 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.492 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.226 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.37 W/kg



0 dB = 1.37 W/kg = 1.37 dBW/kg

Test Plot 8#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**


Communication System: UID 0, 5.2G WiFi (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.692 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.686$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Left/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$ Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.469 W/kg **Body Left/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 7.278 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.11 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.865 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.261 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.171 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.509 W/kg 

Test Plot 9#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**


Communication System: UID 0, 5.2G WiFi (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.692 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.686$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Right/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$ Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.417 W/kg **Body Right/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 8.357 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.08 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 2.45 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.321 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.192 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.579 W/kg  $0 \text{ dB} = 0.579 \text{ W/kg} = -2.37 \text{ dBW/kg}$

Test Plot 10#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**


Communication System: UID 0, 5.2G WiFi (0); Frequency: 5200 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used: $f = 5200 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 4.692 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 36.686$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(5.05, 5.05, 5.05) @ 5200 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Top/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$ Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.173 W/kg **Body Top/WLAN 5.2G 802.11b Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 5.309 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.183 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.152 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.146 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.158 W/kg 0 dB = 0.158 W/kg = -8.01 dBW/kg

Test Plot 11#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 5.8G NII (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5785$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.356$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.438$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5785 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Front/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.174 W/kg


Body Front/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 5.009 V/m; Power Drift = 0.05 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.339 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.161 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.150 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.190 W/kg



0 dB = 0.190 W/kg = -7.21 dBW/kg

Test Plot 12#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**


Communication System: UID 0, 5.8G NII (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5785 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.356 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 35.438$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5785 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Back/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$ Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 1.33 W/kg **Body Back/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 5.010 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.01 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 7.53 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.510 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.281 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 1.98 W/kg  $0 \text{ dB} = 1.98 \text{ W/kg} = 2.97 \text{ dBW/kg}$

Test Plot 13#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 5.8G NII (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5785$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.356$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.438$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5785 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Left/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.146 W/kg


Body Left/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 5.015 V/m; Power Drift = 0.04 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.159 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.151 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.149 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.159 W/kg



0 dB = 0.159 W/kg = -7.99 dBW/kg

Test Plot 14#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**

Communication System: UID 0, 5.8G NII (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5785$ MHz; $\sigma = 5.356$ S/m; $\epsilon_r = 35.438$; $\rho = 1000$ kg/m³

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5785 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Right/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: dx=1.000 mm, dy=1.000 mm

Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.615 W/kg


Body Right/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=4mm, dy=4mm, dz=2mm

Reference Value = 7.456 V/m; Power Drift = 0.03 dB

Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 1.05 W/kg

SAR(1 g) = 0.329 W/kg; SAR(10 g) = 0.218 W/kg

Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.627 W/kg



0 dB = 0.627 W/kg = -2.03 dBW/kg

Test Plot 15#**DUT: Portable Media Player; Type: D3; Serial: 19041500308**


Communication System: UID 0, 5.8G NII (0); Frequency: 5785 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1

Medium parameters used (interpolated): $f = 5785 \text{ MHz}$; $\sigma = 5.356 \text{ S/m}$; $\epsilon_r = 35.438$; $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$

Phantom section: Flat Section

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 - SN7522; ConvF(4.76, 4.76, 4.76) @ 5785 MHz;
- Sensor-Surface: 2mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn1562; Calibrated: 11/6/2018
- Phantom: SAM-Twin V8.0 P1aP2a; Type: QD 000 P41 AA ; Serial: 1962
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2);

Body Top/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Area Scan (91x101x1): Interpolated grid: $dx=1.000 \text{ mm}$, $dy=1.000 \text{ mm}$ Maximum value of SAR (interpolated) = 0.192 W/kg **Body Top/WLAN 5.8G 802.11a Mid/Zoom Scan (8x8x12)/Cube 0:** Measurement grid: $dx=4\text{mm}$, $dy=4\text{mm}$, $dz=2\text{mm}$ Reference Value = 5.537 V/m ; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.186 W/kg **SAR(1 g) = 0.177 W/kg ; SAR(10 g) = 0.175 W/kg** Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.184 W/kg  $0 \text{ dB} = 0.184 \text{ W/kg} = -7.35 \text{ dBW/kg}$

APPENDIX A MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEEE1528-2013 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
Measurement system							
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.0	0.0
Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.0	0.0
Integration time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.0	0.0
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.9	3.9
Post-processing	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.2	1.2
Test sample related							
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8
Device holder uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3
Drift of output power	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.9	2.9
Phantom and set-up							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3
Liquid conductivity target)	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.8	1.2
Liquid conductivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1
Liquid permittivity target)	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.7	1.4
Liquid permittivity meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.0
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.3	23.9

Measurement uncertainty evaluation for IEC62209-2 SAR test

Source of uncertainty	Tolerance/ uncertainty ± %	Probability distribution	Divisor	ci (1 g)	ci (10 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (1 g)	Standard uncertainty ± %, (10 g)
Measurement system							
Probe calibration	6.55	N	1	1	1	6.6	6.6
Axial Isotropy	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Hemispherical Isotropy	9.6	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.0	0.0
Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.7	2.7
Modulation Response	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.0	0.0
Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Readout electronics	0.3	N	1	1	1	0.3	0.3
Response time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.0	0.0
Integration time	0.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.0	0.0
RF ambient conditions – noise	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
RF ambient conditions–reflections	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6
Probe positioner mech. Restrictions	0.8	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.5	0.5
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	6.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.9	3.9
Post-processing	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.2	1.2
Test sample related							
Device holder Uncertainty	6.3	N	1	1	1	6.3	6.3
Test sample positioning	2.8	N	1	1	1	2.8	2.8
Power scaling	4.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.6	2.6
Drift of output power	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.9	2.9
Phantom and set-up							
Phantom uncertainty (shape and thickness tolerances)	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.3	2.3
Algorithm for correcting SAR for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.1	0.9
Liquid conductivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	1.6	1.1
Liquid permittivity (meas.)	2.5	N	1	0.6	0.49	1.5	1.2
Temp. unc. - Conductivity	1.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	0.8	0.7
Temp. unc. - Permittivity	0.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26	0.0	0.0
Combined standard uncertainty		RSS				12.2	12.1
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence interval)						24.5	24.2

APPENDIX B PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Please Refer to the Attachment.

APPENDIX C DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES

Please Refer to the Attachment.

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS

Please Refer to the Attachment.

APPENDIX E INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, "Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, "Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, Office of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, "Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105-113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K. Kastele, and Thomas Schmid, "Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEEE Transactions on Communications, vol. 45, no. 5, pp. 645-652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, "Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM '97, Dubrovnik, October 15-17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, "E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23-25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. Uhn, and Niels Kuster, "The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastele, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, "The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, "The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, "Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

***** END OF REPORT *****