

Page: 1 of 5

# RF Exposure Evaluation FCC ID: 2ATHG-W1

## 1. Client Information

Applicant	X	Weret AB
Address	:	C/O The Techno Creatives Geijersgatan 1B, SE41134
Manufacturer	:	Dissa International Co., Limited
Address		7th Floor, Baojiali Building, Gushu, Bao'an District, Shenzhen (518126), China.

# 2. General Description of EUT

<b>EUT Name</b>		Weret Ocean				
Models No.	:	W1				
Model Difference		N/A				
Product Description	:	Operation Frequency:	Wireless Charger: 110KHz-205KHz Bluetooth V4.2: 2402~2480 MHz			
Power Supply	:	Input: DC 5V, 0.8A Output: DC 5V, 0.5A				
Software Version	:	Input: DC 5V, 0.5A PD2430C, 3.7V, 75mAh, 40uA current consumption.				
Hardware Version	١.	FW: 1.22, iOS App v0.2(b12), Android App v0.4				
Connecting I/O Port(S)	•	Please refer to the User's Manual				

Note: More test information about the EUT please refer the RF Test Report.

TB-RF-074-1. 0



Page: 2 of 5

## **RF Exposure Considerations**

## ----For Wireless Charger

## 1. Measuring Standard

KDB 680106 D01 RF Exposure Wireless Charging App v03.

## 2. Requirements

According to the item 5.2 of KDB 680106 D01v03:

Inductive wireless power transfer applications that meet all of the following requirements are excluded from submitting an RF exposure evaluation:

- (1) Power transfer frequency is less than 1 MHz.
- (2) Output power from each primary coil is less than or equal to 15 watts.
- (3) The transfer system includes only single primary and secondary coils. This includes charging systems that may have multiple primary coils and clients that are able to detect and allow coupling only between individual pairs of coils.
- (4) Client device is placed directly in contact with the transmitter.
- (5) Mobile exposure conditions only (portable exposure conditions are not covered by this exclusion).
- (6) The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 cm surrounding the device and 20 cm above the top surface from all simultaneous transmitting coils are demonstrated to be less than 50% of the MPE limit.

## **Limits For Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)**

Frequency range (MHz)	Electric field strength (V/m)	Magnetic field strength (A/m)	Power density (mW/cm²)	Averaging time (minutes)
	(A) Limits for Occ	cupational/Controlled Ex	posures	
0.3-3.0	614	1.63	*(100)	6
3.0-30	1842/f	4.89/f	*(900/f²)	6
30-300	61.4	0.163	1.0	6
300-1500	1	1	f/300	6
1500-100,000	/	/	5	6
	(B) Limits for Genera	l Population/Uncontrolle	ed Exposure	
0.3-1.34	614	1.63	*(100)	30
1.34-30	824/f	2.19/f	*(180/f <sup>2</sup> )	30
30-300	27.5	0.073	0.2	30
300-1500	1	1	f/1500	30
1500-100,000	1	1	1.0	30

F=frequency in MHz


<sup>\*=</sup>Plane-wave equivalent power density

RF exposure compliance will need to be determined with respect to 1.1307(c) and (d) of the FCC rules. The emissions should be within the limits at 300kHz in Table 1 of 1.1310(use the 300kHz limits for 150kHz:614V/m,1.63A/m).

Report No.: TB-MPE166983 Page: 3 of 5



3. Test Setup



Note: The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 cm surrounding the device and 20 cm above the top surface.

#### **4.Test Procedure**

- 1) The RF exposure test was performed in anechoic chamber.
- 2) The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 cm surrounding the device and 20 cm above the top surface.
- 3) The highest emission level was recorded and compared with limit as soon as measurement of each points (A, B, C, D, E) were completed.
- 4) The EUT was measured according to the dictates of KDB 680106 D01 v03.

#### Remark

The EUT's test position A, B, C, D and E is valid for the E and H field measurements.

## 5. Test Equipment List

Equipment	Manufacturer	Model No.	Serial No.	Last Cal.	Cal. Due Date
Magnetic field meter	NARDA	ELT-400	EE030	Sep. 27, 2018	Sep. 26, 2019

## 6. Mode of operation during the test / Test peripherals used

We tested the load at all three power level modes, and the 100% Power level mode is the worst case, we listed the results in this report.

Item No.	Manufacturer	Model	
1	Client	100% Power level	
2	Client	50% Power level	
3	Client	0% Power level	




Page: 4 of 5

## 7. Test Result

EUT was tested with empty load, half load and full load, the full load is the worst case and we listed the results in the report.

			E-Filed Stre	ength			
Frequency Range (KHz)	Test Position	Test Distance (cm)	Calculated Value (A/m)	Calculated Value (V/m)	50% Limits Test (V/m)	Limits Test (V/m)	Result
A 1	Α	15	0.090	33.976		A T	PASS
	В	15	0.086	32.472		PASS	
110-205	С	15	0.098 36.682 307		614	PASS	
	D	15	0.100	37.584		671	PASS
	E 20		0.090 33.675			10.3	PASS
			H-Filed Str	ength			
Frequency Range (KHz)	Test Position	Test Distance (cm)	Measured Value (uT)	Calculated Value (A/m)	50% Limits Test (A/m)	Limits Test (A/m)	Result
110-205	Α	15	0.113	0.090	HILLIAM	-	PASS
	В	15	0.108	0.086	63	1:32	PASS
	С	15	0.122	0.098	0.815	1.63	PASS
	D	15	0.125	0.100	1333		PASS
	E	20	0.112	0.090	Janes		PASS

Note: The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 cm surrounding the device and 20 cm above the top surface. A/m=uT/1.25





Page: 5 of 5

## **SAR Test Exclusion Calculations**

## ---For Bluetooth

1. FCC: According to KDB 447498 D01 Mobile and Portable Devices RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies v06.

(1) Clause 4.3: General SAR test reduction and exclusion guidance Sub clause 4.31: Standalone SAR test exclusion considerations

separation, mm)]\*[ $\sqrt{f_{(GHz)}}$ ]  $\leq$ 7.5.0 for 10-g SAR

1) The 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6GHz at test separation distance≤5 mm are determined by: [(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation, mm)]\*[√f<sub>(GHz)</sub>] ≤3.0 for 1-g SAR [(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test

#### 2. Calculation:

Test separation: 5mm  Bluetooth Mode (GFSK)								
Frequency (GHz)	Conducted Power (dBm)	Turn-up Power Tolerance (dB)	Max power of tune up tolerance (dbm)	Max power of tune up tolerance (mw)	Calculation Value	Threshold Value		
2.402	-6.432	-7±1	-6	0.251	0.078	3.0		
2.442	-7.354	-7±1	-6	0.251	0.079	3.0		
2.480	-7.792	-7±1	-6	0.251	0.079	3.0		

The worst RF Exposure Evaluation is calculated as 0.079 < limit 3.0, So standalone SAR measurements are not required.

----END OF REPORT----