

FCC SAR REPORT

Applicant: Shenzhen Adreamer Elite Co.,Ltd.

Address of Applicant: Floor4th,Fuanna industrial park,No.1qingning road,qinghu,
Longhua Dist, Shenzhen.China.

Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Product Name: Notebook

Model No.: PN1404P

Trade mark Adreamer

FCC ID: 2AT2F-PN1404P

Applicable standards: FCC 47 CFR Part 2.1093

Date of Test: 13 Jul., 2021 ~ 15 Jul., 2021

Test Result: Maximum Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)
Body: 0.612

Authorized Signature:



Bruce Zhang
Laboratory Manager

This report details the results of the testing carried out on one sample. The results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product and does not permit the use of the JYT product certification mark. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report.

This report may only be reproduced and distributed in full. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards.

This document cannot be reproduced except in full, without prior written approval of the Company. Any unauthorized alteration, forgery or falsification of the content or appearance of this document is unlawful and offenders may be prosecuted to the fullest extent of the law. Unless otherwise stated the results shown in this test report refer only to the sample(s) tested and such sample(s) are retained for 90 days only.

2 Version

Version No.	Date	Description
00	21 Jul., 2021	Original
01	29 Jul., 2021	Changed the power on page 6, page 22 to page 24. Increase simultaneous transmission assessment
02	03 Aug., 2021	Update operation frequency on page 5 and note 5 on page 27

Tested by:Carl Wei**Date:**03 Aug., 2021**Test Engineer****Reviewed by:**Janet Wei**Date:**03 Aug., 2021**Project Engineer**

3 Contents

1	COVER PAGE.....	1
2	VERSION	2
3	CONTENTS	3
4	SAR RESULTS SUMMARY.....	4
5	GENERAL INFORMATION.....	5
5.1	CLIENT INFORMATION.....	5
5.2	GENERAL DESCRIPTION OF EUT	5
5.3	MAXIMUM RF OUTPUT POWER	6
5.4	ENVIRONMENT OF TEST SITE	6
5.5	TEST SAMPLE PLAN	6
5.6	TEST LOCATION	6
6	INTRODUCTION.....	7
6.1	INTRODUCTION	7
6.2	SAR DEFINITION.....	7
7	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS	8
7.1	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT.....	8
7.2	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT	8
7.3	RF EXPOSURE LIMITS.....	8
8	SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM.....	9
8.1	E-FIELD PROBE.....	10
8.2	ROBOT	11
8.3	PHANTOM.....	12
8.4	DEVICE HOLDER.....	12
8.5	TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	13
9	TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS	14
10	SAR SYSTEM VERIFICATION.....	16
11	EUT TESTING POSITION.....	18
11.1	BODY-SUPPORTED CONFIGURATIONS.....	18
12	MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES	19
12.1	SPATIAL PEAK SAR EVALUATION	19
12.2	POWER REFERENCE MEASUREMENT.....	20
12.3	AREA & ZOOM SCAN PROCEDURES.....	20
12.4	VOLUME SCAN PROCEDURES	21
12.5	SAR AVERAGED METHODS	21
12.6	POWER DRIFT MONITORING	21
13	CONDUCTED RF OUTPUT POWER.....	22
13.1	WLAN 2.4 GHz BAND CONDUCTED POWER	22
13.2	WLAN 5.2GHz BAND CONDUCTED POWER	23
13.3	WLAN 5.8GHz BAND CONDUCTED POWER	24
13.4	BLUETOOTH CONDUCTED POWER	25
14	EXPOSURE POSITIONS CONSIDERATION	26
14.1	EUT ANTENNA LOCATIONS.....	26
15	SAR TEST RESULTS SUMMARY	27
15.1	STANDALONE BODY SAR	27
15.2	MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY.....	28
16	REFERENCE.....	31
	APPENDIX A: PLOTS OF SAR SYSTEM CHECK	32
	APPENDIX B: PLOTS OF SAR TEST DATA	36
	APPENDIX C: SYSTEM CALIBRATION CERTIFICATE.....	43

4 SAR Results Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:

<Highest Reported standalone SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)	Equipment Class	Highest Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)
Body (0 mm Gap)	WLAN 2.4GHz	0.612	DTS	0.612
	WLAN 5.2 GHz	0.571	NII	
	WLAN 5.8 GHz	0.531		

<Highest Reported simultaneous SAR Summary>

Exposure Position	Frequency Band	Reported 1-g SAR (W/kg)	Equipment Class	Highest Reported Simultaneous Transmission 1-g SAR (W/kg)
Back	WLAN 5.2 GHz (ANT 1)	0.556	DTS	1.168
	WLAN 2.4 GHz (ANT 2)	0.612	DTS	

Note:

1. The highest simultaneous transmission is scalar summation of Reported standalone SAR per FCC KDB 690783 D01 v01r03, and scalar SAR summation of all possible simultaneous transmission scenarios are < 1.6W/kg.
2. This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

5 General Information

5.1 Client Information

Applicant:	Shenzhen Adreamer Elite Co.,Ltd.
Address of Applicant:	Floor4th,Fuanna industrial park,No.1qingning road,qinghu,Longhua Dist, Shenzhen.China.
Manufacturer:	Shenzhen Adreamer Elite Co.,Ltd.
Address of Manufacturer:	Floor4th,Fuanna industrial park,No.1qingning road,qinghu,Longhua Dist, Shenzhen.China.

5.2 General Description of EUT

Product Name:	Notebook		
Model No.:	PN1404P		
Category of device	Portable device		
Operation Frequency:	Wi-Fi:	2412MHz~2472MHz	5180MHz~5240MHz
		5725MHz~5850MHz	
	Bluetooth: 2402 MHz ~ 2480 MHz		
Modulation technology:	Wi-Fi:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 802.11b(DSSS)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 802.11a/g/n/ac (OFDM)
	Bluetooth:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> BDR(GFSK)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> EDR(π /4-DQPSK, 8DPSK) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> LE(GFSK)
Antenna Type:	Internal Antenna		
Antenna Gain:	Bluetooth: 3.20 dBi; 2.4G Wi-Fi: 3.20 dBi; 5G Wi-Fi: 2.10 dBi		
Dimensions (L*W*H):	332 mm (L)× 223 mm (W)× 23 mm (H)		
Accessories information:	Adapter: Model:JHD-AP045U-190210-AF Input:100-240V AC,50/60Hz 1.5A Output:19V DC 1A		Battery: Rechargeable Li-ion Battery 11.4V 3400mAh

5.3 Maximum RF Output Power

WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)				
Mode/Band	b	g	n (HT-20)	n (HT-40)
WLAN 2.4GHz (ANT 1)	19.95	21.92	21.65	21.25
WLAN 2.4GHz (ANT 2)	19.96	21.63	19.67	18.46

WLAN 5.2 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.2GHz (ANT 1)	19.11	18.60	20.03	17.61	18.76	20.01
WLAN 5.2GHz (ANT 2)	18.85	19.26	20.25	17.61	18.96	20.95

WLAN 5.8 GHz Band Average Power (dBm)						
Mode/Band	a	ac 20	ac 40	ac 80	n 20	n 40
WLAN 5.8GHz (ANT 1)	17.05	17.34	16.89	16.94	16.96	16.14
WLAN 5.8GHz (ANT 2)	16.75	16.75	17.36	16.87	16.72	17.36

Bluetooth Average Power (dBm)				
Mode/Band	1 Mbps(GFSK)	2 Mbps(π /4DQPSK)	3 Mbps (8DPSK)	LE (BT 4.0)
Bluetooth 2.4 GHz (ANT 2)	4.46	1.39	4.44	2.99

5.4 Environment of Test Site

Temperature:	18°C ~25 °C
Humidity:	35%~75% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1010 mbar

5.5 Test Sample Plan

Sample Number	Used for Test Items
1#	SAR

Remark: JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd. is only responsible for the test project data of the above samples, and will keep the above samples for a month.

5.6 Test Location

JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co., Ltd.

No.101, Building 8, Innovation Wisdom Port, No.155 Hongtian Road, Huangpu Community, Xinqiao Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, People's Republic of China.

Tel: +86-755-23118282, Fax: +86-755-23116366

Email: info-JYTee@lets.com, Website: <http://www.ccis-cb.com>

6 Introduction

6.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

6.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C \left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t} \right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength. However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

7 RF Exposure Limits

7.1 Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

7.2 Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

7.3 RF Exposure Limits

SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>General Population</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT <i>Occupational</i> (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

Note:

1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

8 SAR Measurement System




Fig. 8.1 MVG COMOSAR System Configurations

These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system COMOSAR from MVG. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 850 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ± 0.02 mm. Special E- and H-field probes have been developed for measurements close to material discontinuity, the sensors of which are directly loaded with a Schottky diode and connected via highly resistive lines to the data acquisition unit.

The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation. The probe has been calibrated according to the procedure described in SAR standard with accuracy of better than $\pm 10\%$. The spherical isotropy was evaluated with the procedure described in SAR standard and found to be better than ± 0.25 dB. The phantom used was the SAM Phantom as described in FCC supplement C, IEEE P1528.

The MVG COMOSAR system for performance compliance tests is illustrated above graphically. This system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

8.1 E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe (manufactured by MVG). The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. This probe has a built in optical surface detection system to prevent from collision with phantom.

➤ **E-Field Probe Specification**

Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Model	SSE2
Frequency Range	150 MHz to 6 GHz
Dynamic Range	0.01W/kg to 100W/kg
Probe linearity	<0.25dB
Dimensions	Overall length: 330 mm Tip diameter: 2.5 mm Distance between dipoles / probe extremity: 1 mm





Fig. 8.2 Photo of E-Field Probe

➤ **E-Field Probe Calibration**

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with EN/IEC 62209-1/-2 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, MVG proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the technique using reference waveguide.



$$SAR = \frac{4(P_{fw} - P_{bw})}{ab\sigma} \cos^2 \left(\pi \frac{y}{a} \right) e^{-(2\pi/\sigma)z}$$

Where :

- P_{fw} = Forward Power
- P_{bw} = Backward Power
- a and b = Waveguide Dimensions
- σ = Skin Depth

Keithley configuration

Rate=Medium; Filter=ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE=MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO

After each calibration, a SAR measurement performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The Calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N) = SAR(N) / V_{lin}(N) \quad (N=1,2,3)$$

The linearized output voltage V_{lin}(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$V_{lin}(N) = V(N) * (1 + V(N) / DCP(N)) \quad N=1,2,3$$

Where the DCP is the dipole compression point in mV

8.2 Robot

The COMOSAR system uses the high precision robots from KUKA. For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (KUKA-KRC2sr) from KUKA is used. The KUKA robot series have many features that are important for our application:

- High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- Low maintenance costs (virtually maintenance free due to direct drive gears; no belt drives)
- Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (motor control fields shielded via the closed metallic construction shields)



Fig. 8.4 Photo of Robot

8.3 Phantom

<SAM Phantom>


Shell Thickness	2 ± 0.2 mm; Center ear point: 6 ± 0.2 mm	
Filling Volume Dimensions	Approx. 27 liters Length: 1000mm; Width: 500mm; Height: 200mm	
Material	Fiberglass based	
Relative permittivity	3-4	
Loss tangent	0.02	
Measurement Areas	Left Head, Right Head, Flat phantom	

Fig. 8.7 Photo of SAM Phantom

The phantom developed by MVG is produced in accordance with the specified in the standards. It has been designed to fit the COMOSAR phantom tables and is delivered with a plastic cover to prevent liquid evaporation.

8.4 Device Holder

The positioning system is made of an extremely stable material, which ensures easy handling and reproducible positioning. It also allows correct positioning of the dipoles referenced by the IEEE, ANSI and IEC.

<Device Holder for SAM Phantom>


Model	Handset Positioning System	
Material properties	The positioning system is made of PETP. This material offers a low permittivity of 3.2 and low loss, with a loss tangent of 0.005 to minimize the influence of the DUT on measurement results.	
Mechanical properties	The positioning system developed by MVG allows a positioning resolution better than 1 mm. The system is fixed on a bottom rail “x axis” so that the positioning system can be quickly moved from the right to the left part of the phantom. In addition, it can be moved on a perpendicular “y axis” and the height can be adapted. The system is also composed of three rotation points for accurate positioning of the device’s acoustical output.	
Accuracy and precision	A curved rail on the top part allows the fast switch from the cheek to the tilt position. The required 15° angle for the tilt position can be easily checked thanks to a printed scale on the curved rail with a tolerance of ± 1°	

Fig. 8.9 Photo of Device Holder

8.5 Test Equipment List


Manufacturer	Equipment Description	Model	Management Number	Cal. Information	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
MVG	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	SSE2	WXJ076	05.20.2021	05.19.2022
MVG	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	SID2450	WXJ076-12	01.14.2021	01.13.2024
MVG	COMOSAR 5200-5800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	SID5000	WXJ076-21	01.14.2021	01.13.2024
KEITHLEY	DIGIT MULTIMETER	DMM6500	WXJ076-1	12.17.2019	12.16.2022
MVG	MVG Measurement Software	OpenSAR	Version: V5_01_09	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM	N/A	WXG009-2	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	COMOSAR IEEE SAM PHANTOM	N/A	WXG009-3	N.C.R	N.C.R
MVG	MOBILE PHONE POSITIONNING SYSTEM	N/A	WXG009-4	N.C.R	N.C.R
KUKA	Robot	KR 6 R900 sixx	WXG009-1	N.C.R	N.C.R
HP	Network Analyzer	8753D	WXJ024	06.18.2020	06.17.2022
KEYSIGHT	EPM Series Power Meter	N1914A	WXJ075	11.12.2020	11.11.2021
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-1	07.31.2020	07.30.2021
KEYSIGHT	E-Series Power Sensor	E9300H	WXJ075-2	08.21.2020	08.20.2021
KEYSIGHT	Signal Generator	N5173B	WXJ006-7	03.25.2021	03.24.2022
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-13	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-14	See Note 3	
Huber Suhner	RF Cable	SUCOFLEX	WXG008-15	See Note 3	
Weinschel	Attenuator	23-3-34	WXG008-16	See Note 3	
Anritsu	Directional Coupler	MP654A	WXG008-17	See Note 3	
MVG	LIMESAR DIELECTRIC PROBE	SCLMP	WXG009-5	See Note 4	
TXC	Broadband Amplifier	BBA018000	WXG008-11	See Note 5	

Note:

1. The calibration certificate of MVG can be referred to appendix C of this report.
2. Referring to KDB 865664 D01v01r04, the dipole calibration interval can be extended to 3 years with justification. The dipoles are also not physically damaged, or repaired during the interval.
3. The Insertion Loss calibration of Dual Directional Coupler and Attenuator were characterized via the network analyzer and compensated during system check.
4. The dielectric probe kit was calibrated via the network analyzer, with the specified procedure (calibrated in pure water) and calibration kit (standard) short circuit, before the dielectric measurement. The specific procedure and calibration kit are provided by MVG.
5. In system check we need to monitor the level on the spectrum analyzer, and adjust the power amplifier level to have precise power level to the dipole; the measured SAR will be normalized to 1 W input power according to the ratio of 1 W to the input power to the dipole. For system check, the calibration of the power amplifier is deemed not critically required for correct measurement; the spectrum analyzer is critical and we do have calibration for it
6. Attenuator insertion loss is calibrated by the network Analyzer, which the calibration is valid, before system check.
7. N.C.R means No Calibration Requirement.

9 Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 9.1, for body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 9.2.



The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within $\pm 5\%$ of the values given in the table below recommended by the FCC OET 65 supplement C and RSS 102 Issue 5.

Target Frequency (MHz)	Head		Body	
	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)	ϵ_r	σ (S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(ϵ_r = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m

The dielectric parameters of liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using a MVG Liquid measurement Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.

The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Liquid Temp. (°C)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ϵ_r)	Conductivity Target(σ)	Permittivity Target(ϵ_r)	Delta (σ)%	Delta (ϵ_r)%	Limit (%)	Date (mm/dd/yy)
2450	23.5	1.81	39.61	1.80	39.20	0.56	1.05	±5	07.13.2021
5200	23.1	4.78	35.54	4.67	35.96	2.36	-0.86	±5	07.15.2021
5800	23.1	5.24	34.87	5.27	35.30	-0.57	-1.22	±5	07.15.2021

10 SAR System Verification

Each ComoSAR system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the OpenSAR software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System validation kit includes a dipole, tripod holder to fix it underneath the flat phantom and a corresponding distance holder.

➤ Purpose of System Performance check

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

➤ System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:




Fig.10.1 System Verification Setup Diagram




Fig.10.2 Photo of Dipole setup

➤

➤ **System Verification Results**

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by MVG, the verification data should be within its specification of 10%. The table as below indicates the system performance check can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix C of this report.

Date (mm/dd/yy)	Frequency (MHz)	Power fed onto dipole (mW)	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized to 1W 1g SAR (W/kg)	1W Target 1g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
07.13.2021	2450	100	5.36	53.60	52.92	1.28
07.15.2021	5200	100	6.89	6.89	76.67	-3.35
07.15.2021	5800	100	7.37	73.70	78.36	1.59

11 EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in one position. It is Back Side of the EUT with phantom 0 cm gap, as illustrated below, please refer to Appendix B for the test setup photos.

11.1 Body-supported Configurations

- To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with bottom side direct against the flat phantom.
- To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
- To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.




Fig.11.5 Illustration for Body-supported Position

12 Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

<Conducted power measurement>

- For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyzer, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

<Conducted power measurement>

- Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.
- Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the DASY software.
- Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- Power reference measurement
- Area scan
- Zoom scan
- Power drift measurement

12.1 Spatial Peak SAR Evaluation

The procedure for spatial peak SAR evaluation has been implemented according to the test standard. It can be conducted for 1g and 10g, as well as for user-specific masses. The DASY software includes all numerical procedures necessary to evaluate the spatial peak SAR value.

The base for the evaluation is a “cube” measurement. The measured volume must include the 1g and 10 g cubes with the highest averaged SAR values. For that purpose, the center of the measured volume is aligned to the interpolated peak SAR value of a previously performed area scan.

The entire evaluation of the spatial peak values is performed within the post-processing engine (SEMCAD). The system always gives the maximum values for 1g and 10g cubes. The algorithm to find the cube with highest averaged SAR is divided into the following stages:

- Extraction of the measured data (grid and values) from the Zoom Scan.
- Calculation of the SAR value at every measurement point based on all stored data (A/D values and measurement parameters).
- Generation of a high-resolution mesh within the measured volume.
- Interpolation of all measured values from the measurement grid to the high-resolution grid
- Extrapolation of the entire 3-D field distribution to the phantom surface over the distance from sensor to surface
- Calculation of the averaged SAR within masses of 1g and 10g.

12.2 Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

12.3 Area & Zoom Scan Procedures

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR-distribution over 10g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r04 quoted below.

		≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface		5 ± 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5$ mm
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location		$30^\circ \pm 1^\circ$	$20^\circ \pm 1^\circ$
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Area} , Δy_{Area}		≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm
		When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be \leq the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: Δx_{Zoom} , Δy_{Zoom}		≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm*	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm*
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform grid: $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n)$	≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
	graded grid	$\Delta z_{Zoom}(1)$: between 1 st two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm
		$\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$: between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z	≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm
Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details. * When zoom scan is required and the <i>reported</i> SAR from the <i>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</i> procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.			

12.4 Volume Scan Procedures

The volume scan is used for assess overlapping SAR distributions for antennas transmitting in different frequency bands. It is equivalent to an oversized zoom scan used in standalone measurements. The measurement volume will be used to enclose all the simultaneous transmitting antennas. For antennas transmitting simultaneously in different frequency bands, the volume scan is measured separately in each frequency band. In order to sum correctly to compute the 1g aggregate SAR, the EUT remain in the same test position for all measurements and all volume scan use the same spatial resolution and grid spacing. When all volume scan were completed, the software, SEMCAD post-processor scan combine and subsequently superpose these measurement data to calculating the multiband SAR.

12.5 SAR Averaged Methods

In DASYS, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Shepard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

12.6 Power Drift Monitoring

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In DASYS measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in dB. If the power drifts more than 5%, the SAR will be retested.

13 Conducted RF Output Power

13.1 WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Conducted Power

ANT 1:

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 b	802.11 g	802.11n (HT20)
CH 01	2412	19.21	19.46	19.12
CH 06	2437	19.95	21.92	21.65
CH 11	2462	19.88	18.26	18.40

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n (HT40)
CH 03	2422	18.46
CH 06	2437	21.25
CH 09	2452	17.76

ANT 2:

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 b	802.11 g	802.11n (HT20)
CH 01	2412	19.90	19.80	19.67
CH 06	2437	19.96	21.63	17.70
CH 11	2462	19.32	10.56	17.25

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n (HT40)
CH 03	2422	15.50
CH 06	2437	18.46
CH 09	2452	16.36

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤50 mm are determined by:

$$\left[\frac{\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}}{\text{min. test separation distance, mm}} \right] \cdot \sqrt{f(\text{GHz})} \leq 3.0$$
for 1-g SAR, where
 - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

ANT 1:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
b/CH 06	2.437	20.5	112.2	5	35.01	3.0
g/CH 06	2.437	22.5	177.8	5	55.48	3.0

ANT 2:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
b/CH 06	2.437	20.5	112.2	5	35.01	3.0
g/CH 06	2.437	22.5	177.8	5	55.48	3.0

- Base on the result of note1, RF exposure evaluation of 802.11 b mode is required.
- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, In the 2.4 GHz band, separate SAR procedures are applied to DSSS and OFDM configurations to simplify DSSS test requirements. SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions:
 - When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
 - When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
- Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 100%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.00.

13.2 WLAN 5.2GHz Band Conducted Power

ANT 1:

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 n20	802.11 ac20
CH 36	5180	17.24	18.17	17.73
CH 40	5200	18.41	18.76	18.30
CH 48	5240	19.11	18.60	18.60

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n 40	802.11ac 40
CH 38	5190	15.69	15.66
CH 46	5230	20.01	20.03

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11ac 80
CH 42	5210	17.61

ANT 2:

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11 a	802.11 n20	802.11 ac20
CH 36	5180	18.48	18.22	17.81
CH 40	5200	18.74	18.86	18.81
CH 48	5240	18.85	18.96	19.26

Average Power (dBm)			
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11n 40	802.11ac 40
CH 38	5190	17.31	16.95
CH 46	5230	20.95	20.25

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	802.11ac 80
CH 42	5210	17.61

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
 - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

ANT 1:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
ac40/CH 46	5.230	21.0	125.9	5	57.66	3.0

ANT 2:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
n40/CH 46	5.230	21.5	141.5	5	64.69	3.0

- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
- Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 100%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.00.

13.3 WLAN 5.8GHz Band Conducted Power

ANT 1:

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)		
		802.11 a	802.11 n20	802.11 ac20
CH 149	5745	16.68	16.95	16.90
CH 157	5785	15.88	15.73	15.72
CH 165	5825	17.05	16.96	17.34

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)	
		802.11n 40	802.11ac 40
CH 151	5755	16.14	16.89
CH 159	5795	15.73	15.55

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)
		802.11ac 80
CH 155	5775	16.94

ANT 2:

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)		
		802.11 a	802.11 n20	802.11 ac20
CH 149	5745	16.23	16.58	16.55
CH 157	5785	15.25	15.21	15.21
CH 165	5825	16.75	16.72	16.75

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)	
		802.11n 40	802.11ac 40
CH 151	5755	17.36	17.36
CH 159	5795	13.09	15.82

Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)
		802.11ac 80
CH 155	5775	16.87

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

$$[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$$
 for 1-g SAR, where
 - f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

ANT 1:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
ac20/CH 165	5.825	18.0	63.1	5	30.41	3.0

ANT 2:

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
ac40/CH 151	5.755	18.0	63.1	5	30.29	3.0

- Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
- Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 100%, so the duty cycle factor is 1.00.

13.4 Bluetooth Conducted Power

ANT 2:

Average Power (dBm)				
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	GFSK	$\pi/4$ -DQPSK	8DPSK
CH 01	2402	4.39	1.05	4.40
CH 39	2441	4.46	1.39	4.44
CH 78	2480	4.20	1.01	4.16

Average Power (dBm)		
Channel	Frequency (MHz)	BLE (BT 4.0)
CH 00	2402	2.71
CH 20	2442	2.99
CH 39	2480	2.59

Note:

- Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at *test separation distances* \leq 50 mm are determined by:
 $[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm})] \cdot [\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}] \leq 3.0$ for 1-g SAR, where
 - $f(\text{GHz})$ is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
 - Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
 - The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

Channel	Frequency (GHz)	Max. tune-up Power (dBm)	Max. Power (mW)	Test distance (mm)	Result	exclusion thresholds for 1-g SAR
CH 39	2.441	5.0	3.16	5	0.99	3.0

- The max. tune-up power was provided by manufacturer, base on the result of note 1, RF exposure evaluation is not required.
- The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case of all mode were shown in report.
- When the minimum *test separation distance* is $<$ 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm according is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

14 Exposure Positions Consideration

14.1 EUT Antenna Locations




Fig.14.1 EUT Antenna Locations

Note: This antenna diagram is only used as a reference for the distance from the antenna to each edge. For the specific shape of the antenna, please refer to the physical photo.

14.2 Test Positions Consideration

Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface Test distance: 0mm				
Antennas	Front	Back	Right Side	Left Side
2.4GWIFI&5.2GWIFI ANT 1	>25mm	<25mm	>25mm	>25mm
2.4GWIFI&5.2GWIFI&BT ANT 2	>25mm	<25mm	>25mm	>25mm

Test Positions Test distance: 0mm				
Antennas	Front	Back	Right Side	Left Side
2.4GWIFI&5.2GWIFI ANT 1	No	Yes	No	No
2.4GWIFI&5.2GWIFI&BT ANT 2	No	Yes	No	No

15 SAR Test Results Summary

15.1 Standalone Body SAR

➤ WLAN 2.4GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (%)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR _{1g} (W/kg)
1	2.4GHz/802.11b (ANT 1)	Back	06	2437.0	19.95	-3.45	20.5	0.461	1.135	1.00	0.523
2	2.4GHz/802.11b (ANT 2)	Back	06	2437.0	19.96	-0.61	20.5	0.541	1.132	1.00	0.612
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g				

➤ WLAN 5.2GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (%)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR _{1g} (W/kg)
3	5.2GHz/802.11 ac40(ANT 1)	Back	46	5230.0	20.03	-1.93	21.0	0.445	1.250	1.00	0.556
4	5.2GHz/802.11 n40(ANT 2)	Back	46	5230.0	20.95	0.89	21.5	0.480	1.135	1.00	0.545
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g				

➤ WLAN 5.8GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Ave. Power (dBm)	Power Drift (%)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Meas. SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	Scaling Factor	D.C Factor	Reported SAR _{1g} (W/kg)
5	5.8GHz/802.11 ac20(ANT 1)	Back	165	5825.0	17.34	0.95	18.0	0.291	1.164	1.00	0.339
6	5.8GHz/802.11 ac40(ANT 2)	Back	151	5755.0	17.36	-1.31	18.0	0.458	1.159	1.00	0.531
ANSI / IEEE C95.1 – SAFETY LIMIT Spatial Peak Uncontrolled Exposure/General Population							1.6 W/kg (mW/g) Averaged over 1g				

Note:

1. Body-supported SAR testing was performed at 0mm separation, and this distance is determined by the typically used in close proximity to users.
2. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel Reported SAR ≤ 0.8 W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
3. Additional WLAN SAR testing was performed for simultaneous transmission analysis.
4. Per KDB 865664 D01v01r04, for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required when the measured SAR is ≥ 0.8 W/kg.
5. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, OFDM SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg. Cuz the maximum output power specified for OFDM and DSSS are ANT 1: 177.8mW(22.5dBm) and 112.2mW(20.5dBm), the scaled SAR would be $0.523 \times (177.8/112.2) = 0.829$ W/Kg < 1.2 W/kg, ANT 2: 177.8mW(22.5dBm) and 112.2mW(20.5dBm), the scaled SAR would be $0.612 \times (177.8/112.2) = 0.970$ W/Kg < 1.2 W/kg ,therefore, SAR is not required for OFDM.
6. According to KDB 865664 D02v01r02, SAR plot is required for the highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination.

15.2 Multi-Band Simultaneous Transmission Considerations

➤ **Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities**

According to FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, transmitters are considered to be transmitting simultaneously when there is overlapping transmission, with the exception of transmissions during network hand-offs with maximum hand-off duration less than 30 seconds. Possible transmission paths for the EUT are shown in below Figure and are color-coded to indicate communication modes which share the same path. Modes which share the same transmission path cannot transmit simultaneously with one another.



Fig.15.1 Simultaneous Transmission Paths

➤ **Simultaneous Transmission Procedures**

This device contains transmitters that may operate simultaneously. Therefore simultaneous transmission analysis is required. Per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06, simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion may be applied when the sum of the 1-g SAR for all the simultaneous transmitting antennas in a specific a physical test configuration is ≤ 1.6 W/kg. When standalone SAR is not required to be measured, per FCC KDB 447498 D01v06 4.3.2), the following equation must be used to estimate the standalone 1g SAR for simultaneous transmission assessment involving that transmitter.

$$\text{Estimated SAR} = \frac{\sqrt{f(\text{GHz})}}{7.5} \cdot \frac{\text{Max. power of channel, mW}}{\text{Min. Separation Distance, mm}}$$

Mode	Max. tune-up Power (dBm)	Exposure Position	Body
		Test Distance (mm)	10
ANT 2 Bluetooth	5.0	Estimated SAR (W/kg)	0.066

15.3 SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

➤ **Body mode Simultaneous Transmission**

Position	ANT 1 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.523	0.612	1.135

Position	ANT 1 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.523	0.545	1.068

Position	ANT 1 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.523	0.531	1.054

Position	ANT 1 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 Bluetooth SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.523	0.066	0.589

Position	ANT 1 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.556	0.612	1.168

Position	ANT 1 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.556	0.545	1.101

Position	ANT 1 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.556	0.531	1.087

Position	ANT 1 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 Bluetooth SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.556	0.066	0.622

Position	ANT 1 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 2.4GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.291	0.612	0.903

Position	ANT 1 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.2GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.291	0.545	0.836

Position	ANT 1 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.291	0.531	0.822

Position	ANT 1 5.8GHz WLAN SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ANT 2 Bluetooth SAR _{1g} (W/kg)	ΣSAR (W/kg)
Back	0.291	0.066	0.357

➤ **Simultaneous Transmission Conclusion**

The above numerical summed SAR results for all the case simultaneous transmission conditions were below the SAR limit. Therefore, the above analysis is sufficient to determine that simultaneous transmission cases will not exceed the SAR limit and therefore no measured volumetric simultaneous SAR summation is required per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.

15.4 Measurement Uncertainty

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz v01r04, when the highest measured 1-g SAR within a frequency band is < 1.5 W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described in IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR reports submitted for equipment approval. The equivalent ratio (1.5/1.6) is applied to extremity and occupational exposure conditions.

16 Reference

- [1]. FCC 47 CFR Part 2 “Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations”
- [2]. ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992, “IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz”, September 1992
- [3]. IEEE Std. 1528-2013, “Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques”, September 2013
- [4]. OpenSAR V5 Software User Manual
- [5]. FCC KDB 248227 D01 v02r02, “SAR GUIDANCE FOR IEEE 802.11 (Wi-Fi) TRANSMITTERS”, October 2015
- [6]. FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06, “RF EXPOSURE PROCEDURES AND EQUIPMENT AUTHORIZATION POLICIES FOR MOBILE AND PORTABLE DEVICES”, October 2015
- [7]. FCC KDB 648474 D04 v01r03, “SAR EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS FOR WIRELESS HANDSETS”, October 2015
- [8]. FCC KDB 941225 D01 v03r01, “3G SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES”, October 2015
- [9]. FCC KDB 941225 D05 v02r05, “SAR EVALUATION CONSIDERATIONS FOR LTE DEVICES”, Dec 2015
- [10]. FCC KDB 941225 D03 v01, “Recommended SAR Test Reduction Procedures for GSM / GPRS / EDGE”, December 2008
- [11]. FCC KDB 941225 D06 v02r01, “SAR EVALUATION PROCEDURES FOR PORTABLE DEVICES WITH WIRELESS ROUTER CAPABILITIES”, October 2015
- [12]. FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04, “SAR MEASUREMENT REQUIREMENTS FOR 100 MHz TO 6 GHz”, August 2015
- [13]. FCC KDB 616217 D04 v01r02, “SAR for laptop and tablets.”, October 2015

Appendix A: Plots of SAR System Check

System check at 2450 MHz

Date of measurement: 13/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.23
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Dipole
Band	CW2450
Channels	Middle
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.614258
Conductivity (S/m)	1.811562

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=0.00; SAR Peak: 10.51 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.448032
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.364025
Variation (%)	-2.540001

E. Z Axis Scan



System check at 5200 MHz

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	1.86
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Dipole
Band	CW5200
Channels	Middle
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5200.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.545072
Conductivity (S/m)	4.783517

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00; SAR Peak: 25.93 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.111555
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	6.887981
Variation (%)	-0.520000

E. Z Axis Scan



System check at 5800 MHz

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.07
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Dipole
Band	CW5800
Channels	Middle
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5800.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	34.871953
Conductivity (S/m)	5.241405

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00; SAR Peak: 27.51 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.060356
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	7.376353
Variation (%)	1.580001

E. Z Axis Scan



Appendix B: Plots of SAR Test Data

SAR Measurement at IEEE 802.11b ISM (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 13/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.23
Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm
Zoom Scan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Middle
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.646075
Conductivity (S/m)	1.803116

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=8.00, Y=13.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.83 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.215062
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.460944
Variation (%)	-3.450000

E. Z Axis Scan



SAR Measurement at IEEE 802.11b ISM (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 13/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.23
Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm
Zoom Scan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11b ISM
Channels	Middle
Signal	IEEE802.b (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	39.646075
Conductivity (S/m)	1.803116

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-5.00, Y=-23.00 ; SAR Peak: 0.99 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.243169
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.540572
Variation (%)	-0.610001

E. Z Axis Scan



SAR Measurement at CUSTOM (5.2GWIFI802.11ac40) (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	1.86
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11ac40
Channels	Middle
Signal	IEEE802.n40 (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5230.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.670531
Conductivity (S/m)	4.861712

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-8.00, Y=17.00 ; SAR Peak: 1.54 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.130324
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.445431
Variation (%)	-1.930000

E. Z Axis Scan



SAR Measurement at CUSTOM (5.2GWIFI802.11n40) (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	1.86
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11n40
Channels	Middle
Signal	IEEE802.n40 (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5230.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.670531
Conductivity (S/m)	4.861712

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-20.00, Y=-22.00 ; SAR Peak: 1.58 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.141787
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.480296
Variation (%)	0.889999

E. Z Axis Scan



SAR Measurement at CUSTOM (5.8GWIFI802.11ac20) (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.07
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11ac20
Channels	High
Signal	IEEE802.n20 (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5825.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	34.794082
Conductivity (S/m)	5.277451

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-9.00, Y=18.00 ; SAR Peak: 1.10 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.074533
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.291217
Variation (%)	0.950000

E. Z Axis Scan



SAR Measurement at CUSTOM (5.8GWIFI802.11ac40) (Body, Validation Plane)

Date of measurement: 15/7/2021


A. Experimental conditions.

Probe	SN 18/21 EPGO354
ConvF	2.07
Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt
Zoom Scan	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm,Complete
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
Band	IEEE 802.11ac40
Channels	Low
Signal	IEEE802.n40 (Crest factor: 1.0)

B. Permittivity

Frequency (MHz)	5755.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.054139
Conductivity (S/m)	5.187514

C. SAR Surface and Volume




Maximum location: X=-22.00, Y=-5.00 ; SAR Peak: 1.77 W/kg

D. SAR 1g & 10g

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.114482
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.457503
Variation (%)	-1.310001

E. Z Axis Scan



Appendix C: System Calibration Certificate

Calibration information for E-field probes

**COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.140.1.21.BES.B

Cancel and replace the report ACR.140.1.21.BES.A

**JIANYAN TESTING GROUP SHENZHEN
CO.,LTD.**

NO.101, BUILDING 8, INNOVATION WISDOM PORT, NO.155
HONGTIAN ROAD, HUANGPU COMMUNITY, XINQIAO
STREET,
BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, CHINA
MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE
SERIAL NO.: SN 18/21 EPG0354

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable

Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon

29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 05/20/2021

Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr*Summary:*




This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

Page: 1/10



COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.140.1.21.BES.B

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	5/20/2021	
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	5/20/2021	
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	5/21/2021	

	Customer Name
Distribution :	JIANYAN TESTING GROUP SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	5/20/2021	Initial release
B	Jérôme Luc	5/21/2021	Change customer address Add picture 1 Add 1450 MHz calibration

Page: 2/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Device Under Test	4
2	Product Description	4
2.1	General Information	4
3	Measurement Method	4
3.1	Linearity	4
3.2	Sensitivity	5
3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
3.4	Isotropy	5
3.1	Boundary Effect	5
4	Measurement Uncertainty	6
5	Calibration Measurement Results	6
5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
5.2	Linearity	7
5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	8
5.4	Isotropy	9
6	List of Equipment	10

Page: 3/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



1 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SSE2
Serial Number	SN 18/21 EPGO354
Product Condition (new / used)	New
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.202 MΩ Dipole 2: R2=0.217 MΩ Dipole 3: R3=0.225 MΩ

2 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

3 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.



3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis (0°–180°) in 15° increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis (0°–360°).

3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and $d_{be} + \Delta_{step}$ along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$SAR_{uncertainty} [\%] = \frac{\Delta SAR_{be}}{SAR_{be}} \left(\frac{d_{be} + \Delta_{step}}{\Delta_{step}} \right)^{\frac{\delta}{\Delta_{step}}} \left(e^{-4.1(\delta/\Delta_{step})} \right) \quad \text{for } (d_{be} - \Delta_{step}) < 10 \text{ mm}$$

where

$SAR_{uncertainty}$	is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect
d_{be}	is the distance between the surface and the closest <i>zoom-scan</i> measurement point, in millimetre
Δ_{step}	is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect at the second location is negligible
δ	is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent liquids defined in this standard, i.e., $\delta \approx 14$ mm at 3 GHz;
ΔSAR_{be}	in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the distance d_{be} from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.

Page: 5/10

Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



The measured worst case boundary effect SAR uncertainty [%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

4 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2				2	14 %

5 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters	
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

Normx dipole 1 (µV/(V/m)²)	Normy dipole 2 (µV/(V/m)²)	Normz dipole 3 (µV/(V/m)²)
0.86	0.87	0.90


DCP dipole 1 (mV)	DCP dipole 2 (mV)	DCP dipole 3 (mV)
107	101	105

Calibration curves $e_i=f(V)$ (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{E_1^2 + E_2^2 + E_3^2}$$




Calibration curves



5.2 LINEARITY

Linearity



Linearity: +/- 1.55% (+/- 0.07dB)



5.3 SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID

Liquid	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	ConvF
HL450*	450	1.92
BL450*	450	1.87
HL750	750	1.73
BL750	750	1.81
HL850	835	1.68
BL850	835	1.82
HL900	900	1.88
BL900	900	1.92
HL1450	1450	2.25
BL1450	1450	2.54
HL1750	1750	2.07
BL1750	1750	2.20
HL1900	1900	2.14
BL1900	1900	2.23
HL2100	2100	2.09
BL2100	2100	2.27
HL2300	2300	2.23
BL2300	2300	2.48
HL2450	2450	2.23
BL2450	2450	2.58
HL2600	2600	2.15
BL2600	2600	2.38
HL3300	3300	2.02
BL3300	3300	2.19
HL3500	3500	2.11
BL3500	3500	2.29
HL3700	3700	2.13
BL3700	3700	2.28
HL3900	3900	2.26
BL3900	3900	2.48
HL4200	4200	2.58
BL4200	4200	2.63
HL4600	4600	2.44
BL4600	4600	2.60
HL4900	4900	2.34
BL4900	4900	2.32
HL5200	5200	1.86
BL5200	5200	1.75
HL5400	5400	2.07
BL5400	5400	1.94
HL5600	5600	2.20
BL5600	5600	2.11
HL5800	5800	2.07
BL5800	5800	1.99

* Frequency not cover by COFRAC scope, calibration not accredited

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg

Page: 8/10


Template_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE_COMOSAR Probe vH

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



5.4 ISOTROPY

HL1900 MHz





6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023

Calibration information for Dipole

**SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref : ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B

Cancel and replace the report ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.A

**JIANYAN TESTING GROUP
SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.**
No.110~116, BUILDING B, JINYUAN BUSINESS BUILDING,
XIXIANG ROAD, BAOAN DISTRICT,
SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, PR CHINA
MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE
FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ
SERIAL NO.: SN 50/20 DIP 2G450-514

Calibrated at MVG
Z.I. de la pointe du diable
Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon
29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 01/14/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed in MVG using the COMOSAR test bench. All calibration results are traceable to national metrology institutions.

Page: 1/13



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.15.13.21.MVGB.B

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	<i>JLS</i>
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	<i>JLS</i>
<i>Approved by :</i>	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	2/8/2021	<i>Yann Toutain</i>

2021.02.0
8 17:56:05
+01'00'

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co.,Ltd.

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	Jérôme LUC	1/15/2021	Initial release
B	Jérôme LUC	2/8/2021	Change customer name/address



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Introduction.....	4
2	Device Under Test.....	4
3	Product Description.....	4
3.1	General Information.....	4
4	Measurement Method.....	5
4.1	Return Loss Requirements.....	5
4.2	Mechanical Requirements.....	5
5	Measurement Uncertainty.....	5
5.1	Return Loss.....	5
5.2	Dimension Measurement.....	5
5.3	Validation Measurement.....	5
6	Calibration Measurement Results.....	6
6.1	Return Loss and Impedance In Head Liquid.....	6
6.2	Return Loss and Impedance In Body Liquid.....	6
6.3	Mechanical Dimensions.....	7
7	Validation measurement.....	7
7.1	Head Liquid Measurement.....	8
7.2	SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid.....	8
7.3	Body Liquid Measurement.....	11
7.4	SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid.....	12
8	List of Equipment.....	13

Page: 3/13

*Template_ACR.DDD.N.YEMVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vG**This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.*



1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID2450
Serial Number	SN 50/20 DIP 2G450-514
Product Condition (new / used)	New

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole



4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm
300 - 450	0.44 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT


The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.



Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)


6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-29.61	-20	51.2 Ω + 3.1 jΩ

6.2 RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE IN BODY LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-23.93	-20	55.8 Ω + 2.7 jΩ



6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
300	420.0 ±1 %		250.0 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
450	290.0 ±1 %		166.7 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
750	176.0 ±1 %		100.0 ±1 %		6.35 ±1 %	
835	161.0 ±1 %		89.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
900	149.0 ±1 %		83.3 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1450	89.1 ±1 %		51.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1500	80.5 ±1 %		50.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1640	79.0 ±1 %		45.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1750	75.2 ±1 %		42.9 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1800	72.0 ±1 %		41.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1900	68.0 ±1 %		39.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
1950	66.3 ±1 %		38.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2000	64.5 ±1 %		37.5 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2100	61.0 ±1 %		35.7 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2300	55.5 ±1 %		32.6 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
2450	51.5 ±1 %	51.45	30.4 ±1 %	30.60	3.6 ±1 %	3.58
2600	48.5 ±1 %		28.8 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3000	41.5 ±1 %		25.0 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3300	-		-		-	
3500	37.0 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3700	34.7 ±1 %		26.4 ±1 %		3.6 ±1 %	
3900	-		-		-	
4200	-		-		-	
4600	-		-		-	
4900	-		-		-	

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.



7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %		0.90 ±10 %	
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	
1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2100	39.8 ±10 %		1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %		1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	41.9	1.80 ±10 %	1.88
2600	39.0 ±10 %		1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %		2.40 ±10 %	
3300	38.2 ±10 %		2.71 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %		2.91 ±10 %	
3700	37.7 ±10 %		3.12 ±10 %	
3900	37.5 ±10 %		3.32 ±10 %	
4200	37.1 ±10 %		3.63 ±10 %	
4600	36.7 ±10 %		4.04 ±10 %	
4900	36.3 ±10 %		4.35 ±10 %	

7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR 15.13.21.MVGB B


Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 41.9 sigma : 1.88
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR (W/kg/W)	
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	52.92 (5.29)	24	23.68 (2.37)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3300	-		-	
3500	67.1		25	
3700	67.4		24.2	
3900	-		-	
4200	-		-	
4600	-		-	
4900	-		-	



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR 15.13.21.MVGB B



Template_ACR.DDD.N.YEMVGB.ISSUE_SAR Reference Dipole vG

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.



7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT


Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
150	61.9 ±10 %		0.80 ±10 %	
300	58.2 ±10 %		0.92 ±10 %	
450	56.7 ±10 %		0.94 ±10 %	
750	55.5 ±10 %		0.96 ±10 %	
835	55.2 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
900	55.0 ±10 %		1.05 ±10 %	
915	55.0 ±10 %		1.06 ±10 %	
1450	54.0 ±10 %		1.30 ±10 %	
1610	53.8 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1800	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
1900	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2000	53.3 ±10 %		1.52 ±10 %	
2100	53.2 ±10 %		1.62 ±10 %	
2300	52.9 ±10 %		1.81 ±10 %	
2450	52.7 ±10 %	53.4	1.95 ±10 %	2.14
2600	52.5 ±10 %		2.16 ±10 %	
3000	52.0 ±10 %		2.73 ±10 %	
3300	51.6 ±10 %		3.08 ±10 %	
3500	51.3 ±10 %		3.31 ±10 %	
3700	51.0 ±10 %		3.55 ±10 %	
3900	50.8 ±10 %		3.78 ±10 %	
4200	50.4 ±10 %		4.13 ±10 %	
4600	49.8 ±10 %		4.60 ±10 %	
4900	49.4 ±10 %		4.95 ±10 %	
5200	49.0 ±10 %		5.30 ±10 %	
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %		5.53 ±10 %	
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %		5.77 ±10 %	
5800	48.2 ±10 %		6.00 ±10 %	



7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPG0333
Liquid	Body Liquid Values: ϵ_{ps}^* : 53.4 σ : 2.14
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	$\Delta x=8\text{mm}/\Delta y=8\text{mm}$
Zoon Scan Resolution	$\Delta x=5\text{mm}/\Delta y=5\text{mm}/\Delta z=5\text{mm}$
Frequency	2450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)	10 g SAR (W/kg/W)
	measured	measured
2450	54.47 (5.45)	23.42 (2.34)





8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023



SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.15.22.21.MVGB.B

Cancel and replace the report ACR.15.22.21.MVGB.A

JIANYAN TESTING GROUP SHENZHEN CO.,LTD.

**No.110~116, BUILDING B, JINYUAN BUSINESS BUILDING,
XIXIANG ROAD, BAOAN DISTRICT,
SHENZHEN, GUANGDONG, PR CHINA**

MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

FREQUENCY: 5200-5800 MHZ

SERIAL NO.: SN 50/20 DIP 5G000-523

Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable

Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon

29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 01/14/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814
Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

Summary:




This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).

Page: 1/14



SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR 15.22.20.MVGB B

	<i>Name</i>	<i>Function</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Signature</i>
<i>Prepared by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	
<i>Checked by :</i>	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	1/15/2021	
<i>Approved by :</i>	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	2/8/2021	

2021.02.08
18:03:01 +01'00'

	<i>Customer Name</i>
<i>Distribution :</i>	JianYan Testing Group Shenzhen Co.,Ltd.

<i>Issue</i>	<i>Name</i>	<i>Date</i>	<i>Modifications</i>
A	Jérôme LUC	1/15/2021	Initial release
B	Jérôme LUC	2/8/2021	Change customer name/address



TABLE OF CONTENTS

1 Introduction..... 4

2 Device Under Test 4

3 Product Description 4

 3.1 General Information 4

4 Measurement Method 5

 4.1 Return Loss Requirements 5

 4.2 Mechanical Requirements 5

5 Measurement Uncertainty 5

 5.1 Return Loss 5

 5.2 Dimension Measurement 5

 5.3 Validation Measurement 5

6 Calibration Measurement Results 6

 6.1 Return Loss In Head Liquid 6

 6.2 Return Loss In Body Liquid 6

 6.3 Mechanical Dimensions 7

7 Validation measurement 7

 7.1 Head Liquid Measurement 7

 7.2 SAR Measurement Result With Head Liquid 8

 7.3 Body Liquid Measurement 11

 7.4 SAR Measurement Result With Body Liquid 11

8 List of Equipment 14



1 INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

2 DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test	
Device Type	COMOSAR 5200-5800 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SID5000
Serial Number	SN 50/20 DIP 5G000-523
Product Condition (new / used)	New

3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG’s COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole



4 MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

5 MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm

5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT


The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)




6 CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

6.1 RETURN LOSS IN HEAD LIQUID



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
5200	-23.48	-20	46.37 Ω - 5.61 jΩ
5400	-23.72	-20	48.57 Ω + 6.35 jΩ
5600	-24.79	-20	45.54 Ω + 3.63 jΩ
5800	-23.58	-20	45.27 Ω + 4.61 jΩ

6.2 RETURN LOSS IN BODY LIQUID





Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
5200	-24.36	-20	45.10 Ω - 3.52 jΩ
5400	-25.13	-20	48.91 Ω + 5.42 jΩ
5600	-24.16	-20	46.63 Ω + 5.18 jΩ
5800	-22.79	-20	44.74 Ω + 4.95 jΩ

6.3 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured
5000 to 6000	20.6±1 %	20.64	40.3 ±1 %	40.09	3.6 ±1 %	3.60

7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ε _r)		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
5000	36.2 ±10 %		4.45 ±10 %	
5100	36.1 ±10 %		4.56 ±10 %	
5200	36.0 ±10 %	34.06	4.66 ±10 %	4.70
5300	35.9 ±10 %		4.76 ±10 %	
5400	35.8 ±10 %	33.39	4.86 ±10 %	4.91
5500	35.6 ±10 %		4.97 ±10 %	
5600	35.5 ±10 %	32.77	5.07 ±10 %	5.13
5700	35.4 ±10 %		5.17 ±10 %	
5800	35.3 ±10 %	32.40	5.27 ±10 %	5.34
5900	35.2 ±10 %		5.38 ±10 %	
6000	35.1 ±10 %		5.48 ±10 %	



7.2 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH HEAD LIQUID


At those frequencies, the target SAR value can not be generic. Hereunder is the target SAR value defined by MVG, within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W net power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values 5200 MHz: eps' :34.06 sigma : 4.70 Head Liquid Values 5400 MHz: eps' :33.39 sigma : 4.91 Head Liquid Values 5600 MHz: eps' :32.77 sigma : 5.13 Head Liquid Values 5800 MHz: eps' :32.40 sigma : 5.34
Distance between dipole and liquid	10 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=4mm/dy=4m/dz=2mm
Frequency	5200 MHz 5400 MHz 5600 MHz 5800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %


Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR (W/kg)		10 g SAR (W/kg)	
	required	measured	required	measured
5200	76.50	76.67 (7.67)	21.60	22.60 (2.26)
5400	-	82.01 (8.20)	-	23.97 (2.40)
5600	-	77.76 (7.78)	-	23.08 (2.31)
5800	78.00	78.36 (7.84)	21.90	22.93 (2.29)




SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5200 MHz



SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5400 MHz




SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5600 MHz





SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5800 MHz





7.3 BODY LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative permittivity (ϵ_r')		Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
5200	49.0 ±10 %	45.50	5.30 ±10 %	5.63
5300	48.9 ±10 %		5.42 ±10 %	
5400	48.7 ±10 %	44.78	5.53 ±10 %	5.95
5500	48.6 ±10 %		5.65 ±10 %	
5600	48.5 ±10 %	44.85	5.77 ±10 %	6.26
5800	48.2 ±10 %	44.45	6.00 ±10 %	6.58


7.4 SAR MEASUREMENT RESULT WITH BODY LIQUID

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Body Liquid Values 5200 MHz: ϵ_r' :45.50 sigma : 5.63 Body Liquid Values 5400 MHz: ϵ_r' :44.78 sigma : 5.95 Body Liquid Values 5600 MHz: ϵ_r' :44.85 sigma : 6.26 Body Liquid Values 5800 MHz: ϵ_r' :44.45 sigma : 6.58
Distance between dipole and liquid	10 mm
Area scan resolution	$\Delta x=8\text{mm}/\Delta y=8\text{mm}$
Zoon Scan Resolution	$\Delta x=4\text{mm}/\Delta y=4\text{m}/\Delta z=2\text{mm}$
Frequency	5200 MHz 5400 MHz 5600 MHz 5800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %


Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR (W/kg) measured	10 g SAR (W/kg) measured
5200	71.91 (7.19)	21.26 (2.13)
5400	76.01 (7.60)	22.21 (2.22)
5600	76.61 (7.66)	22.53 (2.25)
5800	72.55 (7.25)	21.08 (2.11)




BODY SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5200 MHz



BODY SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5400 MHz




BODY SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5600 MHz





BODY SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5800 MHz





8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Temperature and Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023

-----End of Report----