



#### FCC RF EXPOSURE REPORT

For

**Hover Hawk Motion Controlled Helicopter** 

MODEL NUMBER: 2362383, ASC-6585

REPORT NUMBER: 4791308945-RF-3

**ISSUE DATE: May 15, 2024** 

FCC ID: 2ASK3ASC-6585R

Prepared for

# AMAX INDUSTRIAL GROUP CHINA CO.,LTD OFFICE NO.3 10/F WITTY COMMERCIAL BUILDING 1A-1L TUNG CHOI STREET MONGKOK KOWLOON HONG KONG

#### Prepared by

UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd, Song Shan Lake Branch

Building 10, Innovation Technology Park, No. 1, Li Bin Road, Song Shan Lake Hi-Tech Development Zone Dongguan, 523808, People's Republic of China

> Tel: +86 769 22038881 Fax: +86 769 33244054 Website: www.ul.com



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3 Page 2 of 9

**Revision History** 

Rev.	Issue Date	Revisions	Revised By	
V0	May 15, 2024	Initial Issue		



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS	4
2.	TEST METHODOLOGY	F
	FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION	
	DESCRIPTION OF EUT	
5	REQUIREMENT	7



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3 Page 4 of 9

#### 1. ATTESTATION OF TEST RESULTS

**Applicant Information** 

Company Name: AMAX INDUSTRIAL GROUP CHINA CO.,LTD

Address: OFFICE NO.3 10/F WITTY COMMERCIAL BUILDING 1A-1L TUNG CHOI STREET MONGKOK KOWLOON HONG KONG

**Manufacturer Information** 

Company Name: AMAX INDUSTRIAL GROUP CHINA CO.,LTD

Address: OFFICE NO.3 10/F WITTY COMMERCIAL BUILDING 1A-1L

TUNG CHOI STREET MONGKOK KOWLOON HONG KONG

**EUT Information** 

EUT Name: Hover Hawk Motion Controlled Helicopter

Model: 2362383, ASC-6585

Model Difference: All the same except for the model name.

Sample Received Date: April 29, 2024

Sample Status: Normal Sample ID: 7186360

Date of Tested: May 7, 2024 to May 13, 2024

APPLICABLE STANDARDS					
STANDARD TEST RESULTS					
FCC 47CFR§2.1091	PASS				
KDB 447498 D04	PASS				

Prepared By:	Checked By:
Donny Grany	kebo. zhanz
Denny Huang	Kebo Zhang
Senior Project Engineer	Senior Project Engineer

Approved By:

Stephen Guo

**Operations Manager** 



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3 Page 5 of 9

#### 2. TEST METHODOLOGY

The tests documented in this report were performed in accordance with 47 CFR FCC Part 1 Subpart I, section 1.1307 and KDB 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01.

#### 3. FACILITIES AND ACCREDITATION

	A2LA (Certificate No.: 4102.01)				
	UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch.				
	has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with A2LA.				
FCC (FCC Designation No.: CN1187)					
	UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch.				
	Has been recognized to perform compliance testing on equipment subject				
	to the Commission's Delcaration of Conformity (DoC) and Certification				
	rules				
	ISED (Company No.: 21320)				
Accreditation	UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch.				
Certificate	has been registered and fully described in a report filed with ISED.				
The Company Number is 21320 and the test lab Conformity Assess Body Identifier (CABID) is CN0046.					
					VCCI (Registration No.: G-20192, R-20202, C-20153 and T-20155)
	UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch.				
	has been assessed and proved to be in compliance with VCCI, the				
	Membership No. is 3793.				
	Facility Name:				
	Chamber D, the VCCI registration No. is G-20192 and C-20153				
	Shielding Room B , the VCCI registration No. is C-20153 and T-20155				

Note 1: All tests measurement facilities use to collect the measurement data are located at Building 10, Innovation Technology Park, Song Shan Lake Hi tech Development Zone, Dongguan, 523808, China

Note 2: The test anechoic chamber in UL Verification Services (Guangzhou) Co., Ltd. Song Shan Lake Branch had been calibrated and compared to the open field sites and the test anechoic chamber is shown to be equivalent to or worst case from the open field site.

Note 3: For below 30MHz, lab had performed measurements at test anechoic chamber and comparing to measurements obtained on an open field site. And these measurements below 30MHz had been correlated to measurements performed on an OFS.



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3

Page 6 of 9

# 4. DESCRIPTION OF EUT

EUT Name	Hover Hawk Motion Controlled Helicopter		
Model	2362383, ASC-6585		
Model Difference	All the same except for the model name.		

Product Description for SRD	Operation Frequency	2420 MHz ~ 2460 MHz	
Product Description for SKD	Modulation Type	GFSK	

	Frequency Range:	2412 MHz to 2462 MHz
	Support Standards:	IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11g, IEEE 802.11n HT20
Product Description for 2.4GHz WiFi	Type of Modulation:	IEEE 802.11b: DSSS (CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK) IEEE 802.11g: OFDM (64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK, BPSK) IEEE 802.11n HT20: OFDM (256QAM, 64QAM, 16QAM, QPSK, BPSK) IEEE 802.11be HE20: OFDMA (256QAM, 64-QAM, 16-QAM, QPSK, BPSK)
	Normal Test Voltage:	DC 3.7 V



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3 Page 7 of 9

5. REQUIREMENT

#### **LIMIT AND CALCULATION METHOD**

According to 447498 D04 Interim General RF Exposure Guidance v01,

#### 2.1.4 MPE-Based Exemption

An alternative to the SAR-based exemption is provided in § 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(C), for a much wider frequency range, from 300 kHz to 100 GHz, applicable for separation distances greater or equal to  $\lambda/2\pi$ , where  $\lambda$  is the free-space operating wavelength in meters. The MPE-based test exemption condition is in terms of ERP, defined as the product of the maximum antenna gain and the delivered maximum time-averaged power.10 For this case, a RF source is an RF exempt device if its ERP (watts) is no more than a frequency-dependent value, as detailed tabular form in Appendix B. These limits have been derived based on the basic specifications on Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE) considered for the FCC rules in § 1.1310(e)(1).

#### **B.4 SAR-based Exemption**

SAR-based thresholds are derived based on frequency, power, and separation distance of the RF source. The formula defines the thresholds in general for either available maximum time-averaged power or maximum time-averaged ERP, whichever is greater.

If the ERP of a device is not easily determined, such as for a portable device with a small form factor, the applicant may use the available maximum time-averaged power exclusively if the device antenna or radiating structure does not exceed an electrical length of  $\lambda/4$ .

As for devices with antennas of length greater than  $\lambda/4$  where the gain is not well defined, but always less than that of a half-wave dipole (length  $\lambda/2$ ), the available maximum time-averaged power generated by the device may be used in place of the maximum time-averaged ERP, where that value is not known. The separation distance is the smallest distance from any part of the antenna or radiating structure for all persons, during operation at the applicable ERP. In the case of mobile or portable devices, the separation distance is from the outer housing of the device where it is closest to the antenna.

The SAR-based exemption formula of  $\S 1.1307(b)(3)(i)(B)$ , repeated here as Formula (B.2), applies for single fixed, mobile, and portable RF sources with available maximum time-averaged power or effective radiated power (ERP), whichever is greater, of less than or equal to the threshold Pth (mW).

This method shall only be used at separation distances from 0.5 cm to 40 cm and at frequencies from 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz (inclusive). Pth is given by Formula (B.2).



#### **MPE-based Exemption**

$$P_{\text{th }}(\text{mW}) = ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}(\text{mW}) = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \le f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \le f \le 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$$
(B. 1)

$$P_{\text{th (mW)}} = \begin{cases} ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (d/20 \text{ cm})^x & d \le 20 \text{ cm} \\ ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} & 20 \text{ cm} < d \le 40 \text{ cm} \end{cases}$$
(B. 2)

where

$$x = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}\sqrt{f}}\right)$$

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and  $ERP_{20cm}$  is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Distance (mm) Frequency (MHz) 

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

### Fixed RF sources operating in the same time-averaging period- § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)

Either SAR-based or MPE-based exemption may be considered for test exemption for fixed, mobile, or portable device exposure conditions; therefore, the contributions from each exemption in conjunction with the measured SAR (Evaluatedk term) shall be used to determine exemption for simultaneous transmission according to Formula (C.1) [repeated from § 1.1307(b)(3)(ii)(B)].

$$\sum_{i=1}^{a} \frac{P_i}{P_{\text{th},i}} + \sum_{j=1}^{b} \frac{ERP_j}{ERP_{\text{th},j}} + \sum_{k=1}^{c} \frac{Evaluated_k}{Exposure\ Limit_k} \le 1$$
 (C. 1)



REPORT NO.: 4791308945-RF-3

Page 9 of 9

#### **CALCULATED RESULTS**

#### For Single RF Source

Operating Mode	Average Field Strength	ERP	ERP	Distance	Limit Threshold
iviode	(dBuV/m)	(dBm)	(mW)	(cm)	(mW)
2.4GHz SRD	93.69	-3.71	0.43	20	3060

## For Single RF Source

Operating Mode	Max. Tune up Power	Antenna Gain	EIRP	ERP	ERP	Distance	Limit Threshold
Mode	(dBm)	(dBi)	(dBm)	(dBm)	(mW)	(cm)	(mW)
2.4GHz WLAN	17	2.56	19.56	17.41	55.08	20	3060

#### Note:

- 1. The maximum power comes from operation description.
- 2. ERP= Average Field Strength-97.4
- 3. All the modes had been tested, but only the worst data was recorded in the report.
- 4. The assess distance is 20 cm.
- 5. 2.4 GHz SRD+ 2.4 GHz WLAN = 0.43/3060 + 55.08/3060 <1. Therefore the maximum calculations of above situations are less than the "1" limit.

**END OF REPORT**