TEST REPORT

FCC ID: 2ARULKLS-203 Product: Wireless Winch Remote Control Kit Model No.: KLS-203

Additional Model: KLS-202, KLS-205, KLS-213, KLS-223, KLS-997, KLS-998

Trade Mark: KLS Report No.: TCT180831E001 Issued Date: Nov. 19, 2018

Issued for:

Hubei Kailisi Electronics Co., Ltd. Xinqiao Village, Huanglong Town, Yinzhou District, Liyang City, Hubei Province, China

Issued By:

Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab. 1B/F., Building 1, Yibaolai Industrial Park, Qiaotou, Fuyong, Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China TEL: +86-755-27673339

FAX: +86-755-27673332

Note: This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab. This document may be altered or revised by Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab. personnel only, and shall be noted in the revision section of the document. The test results in the report only apply to the tested sample.

| Т | | 检 测 | | | Repo | rt No.: TCT18083 | 1E001 |
|----------|--------------------------------------|------------|----------------|---------------|------|------------------|-------|
| | | TABL | <u>E OF CO</u> | <u>NTENTS</u> | | | |
| Ÿ) | K | | | | | | |
| 1. | Test Certificat | - | | | | | 3 |
| 2. | Test Result Su | | | | | | |
| 3. | EUT Descripti | on | | | | | 5 |
| 4. | General Inform | | | | | | |
| | 4.1. Test Enviror | | | | | | |
| | 4.2. Description | | | | | | |
| 5. | Facilities and | | | | | | |
| | 5.1. Facilities | | | | | | |
| | 5.2. Location | | | | | | |
| ~ | 5.3. Measuremei | - | | | | | |
| 6. | Test Results a 6.1. Antenna Rec | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | 6.2. Conducted I 6.3. Radiated En | | | | | | |
| | 6.4. Manually Ac | | | | | | |
| | 6.5. Occupied Ba | | | | | | |
| Ap | pendix A: Phot | | | | | | |
| ~ 1 | pendix B: Phot | <u> </u> | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | Page 2 o | f 29 |
| | | | | | | | |

Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com

1. Test Certification

| Product: Wireless Winch Remote Control Kit | | |
|--|--|---|
| Model No.: | KLS-203 | |
| Additional Model: | KLS-202, KLS-205, KLS-213, KLS-223, KLS-997, KLS-998 | |
| Trade Mark: | KLS | |
| Applicant: | Hubei Kailisi Electronics Co., Ltd. | |
| Address: | Xinqiao Village, Huanglong Town, Yinzhou District, Liyang City, Hubei Province, China | K |
| Manufacturer: | Hubei Kailisi Electronics Co., Ltd. | |
| Address: | Xinqiao Village, Huanglong Town, Yinzhou District, Liyang City, Hubei Province, China | |
| Date of Test: | Sep.01, 2018 - Nov.15, 2018 | |
| Applicable Standards: | FCC CFR Title 47 Part 15 Subpart C Section 15.231 | |

The above equipment has been tested by Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab. and found compliance with the requirements set forth in the technical standards mentioned above. The results of testing in this report apply only to the product/system, which was tested. Other similar equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

Tested By: Date: Nov. 15, 2018 Jin Wang **Reviewed By:** Date: Nov. 19, 2018 Beryl Zhao omsm Approved By: Nov. 19, 2018 Date: Tomsin Page 3 of 29 Hotline: 400-6611-140 Tel: 86-755-27673339 Fax: 86-755-27673332 http://www.tct-lab.com

| Requirement | 0 | CFR 47 Se | ction | | Result |
|---|---------------------------------------|----------------------|-------------------|---|--------|
| Conduction Emission, 0.15MHz to 30MHz | | §15.20 | 7 | | N/A |
| Manually Activated Transmitter | $\langle \mathbf{O} \rangle$ | §15.231 | (a) | | PASS |
| Radiation Emission | §15.231 | l(b), §15.2 §15.3 | 05, §15.209, 5 | | PASS |
| Occupied Bandwidth | | §15.231 | (C) | S | PASS |
| e: . PASS: Test item meets the req 2. Fail: Test item does not meet th 3. N/A: Test case does not apply 9. The test result judgment is dec | he requirement. to the test object | | d. | | |
| Ć | | | - | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

3. EUT Description

| Product Name: | Wireless Winch Remote Control Kit | | | | |
|---------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| Model : | KLS-203 | | | | |
| Additional Model: | KLS-202, KLS-205, KLS-213, KLS-223, KLS-997, KLS-998 | | | | |
| Trade Mark: | KLS | | | | |
| Operation Frequency: | 315MHz | | | | |
| Modulation Technology: | ASK | | | | |
| Antenna Type: | PCB Antenna | | | | |
| Antenna Gain: | 1.5dBi | | | | |
| Power Supply: | ALKALINE BATTERY DC 12V | | | | |
| Remark: | All models above are identical in interior structure, electrical- circuits and components, and just model names are different for the marketing requirement. | | | | |

4. General Information

4.1. Test Environment and Mode

Operating Environment:

| Temperature: | 24.0 °C | |
|-----------------------|-----------|--|
| Humidity: | 54 % RH | |
| Atmospheric Pressure: | 1010 mbar | |

Test Mode:

| Operation mode: | Keep the EUT in continuous transmitting with modulation |
|-----------------|---|

The sample was placed (0.8m below 1GHz, 1.5m above 1GHz) above the ground plane of 3m chamber. Measurements in both horizontal and vertical polarities were performed. During the test, each emission was maximized by: having the EUT continuously working, investigated all operating modes, rotated about all 3 axis (X, Y & Z) and considered typical configuration to obtain worst position, manipulating interconnecting cables, rotating the turntable, varying antenna height from 1m to 4m in both horizontal and vertical polarizations. The emissions worst-case are shown in Test Results of the following pages.

Per-test mode.

We have verified the construction and function in typical operation, The EUT was placed on three different polar directions; i.e. X axis, Y axis, Z axis. which was shown in this test report and defined as follows:

| Axis | Х | Y | Z |
|------------------------|-------|-------|-------|
| Field Strength(dBuV/m) | 68.98 | 65.84 | 62.24 |
| | | X | |

Final Test Mode:

According to ANSI C63.10 standards, the test results are both the "worst case" and "worst setup": X axis (see the test setup photo)

4.2. Description of Support Units

The EUT has been tested as an independent unit together with other necessary accessories or support units. The following support units or accessories were used to form a representative test configuration during the tests.

| Equipment | Model No. | Serial No. | FCC ID | Trade Name |
|-----------|-----------|------------|--------|------------|
| R C | | / | | |

Note:

1. All the equipment/cables were placed in the worst-case configuration to maximize the emission during the test.

2. Grounding was established in accordance with the manufacturer's requirements and conditions for the intended use.

Page 6 of 29

5. Facilities and Accreditations

5.1. Facilities

TCT通测检测 TCT通测检测

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by the following organizations:

FCC - Registration No.: 645098
 Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab

The 3m Semi-anechoic chamber has been registered and fully described in a report with the (FCC) Federal Communications Commission. The acceptance letter from the FCC is maintained in our files.

• IC - Registration No.: 10668A-1

The 3m Semi-anechoic chamber of Shenzhen TCT Testing Technology Co., Ltd. has been registered by Certification and Engineering Bureau of Industry Canada for radio equipment testing

5.2. Location

Shenzhen Tongce Testing Lab


Address: 1B/F., Building 1, Yibaolai Industrial Park, Qiaotou, Fuyong, Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

Tel: 86-755-27673339

5.3. Measurement Uncertainty

The reported uncertainty of measurement $y \pm U$, where expended uncertainty U is based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by a coverage factor of k=2, providing a level of confidence of approximately 95 %.

| No. | Item | MU | |
|-----|-------------------------------|---------|----|
| 1 | Conducted Emission | ±2.56dB | |
| 2 | RF power, conducted | ±0.12dB | K. |
| 3 | Spurious emissions, conducted | ±0.11dB | |
| 4 | All emissions, radiated(<1G) | ±3.92dB | |
| 5 | All emissions, radiated(>1G) | ±4.28dB | |
| 6 | Temperature | ±0.1°C | |
| 7 | Humidity | ±1.0% | |



| 2. Conducted Emiss 2.1. Test Specification | sion | | | | | |
|---|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Test Requirement: | FCC Part15 C Section | 15.207 | | | | |
| Test Method: | ANSI C63.4:2014 | (c) | | | | |
| Frequency Range: | 150 kHz to 30 MHz | | | | | |
| Receiver setup: | RBW=9 kHz, VBW=30 |) kHz, Sweep time | =auto | | | |
| Limits: | Frequency range (MHz) 0.15-0.5 0.5-5 5-30 | Limit (Quasi-peak 66 to 56* 56 60 | dBuV) Average 56 to 46* 46 50 | | | |
| Test Setup: | ter — AC power | | | | | |
| Test Mode: | Transmitting Mode | | | | | |
| Test Procedure: | The E.U.T and simulators are connected to the main power through a line impedance stabilization network (L.I.S.N.). This provides a 50ohm/50uH coupling impedance for the measuring equipment. The peripheral devices are also connected to the main power through a LISN that provides a 50ohm/50uH coupling impedance with 50ohm termination. (Please refer to the block diagram of the test setup and photographs). Both sides of A.C. line are checked for maximum conducted interference. In order to find the maximum emission, the relative positions of equipment and all of the interface cables must be changed according to ANSI C63.4: 2014 on conducted measurement. | | | | | |


Page 9 of 29

6.3. Radiated Emission Measurement

6.3.1. Test Specification

TCT 通测检测 TESTING CENTRE TECHNOLOGY

| Receiver Setup:150kHz- 30MHzQuasi-peak9kHz30kHzQuasi-peak Va30MHz-1GHzQuasi-peak100KHz300KHzQuasi-peak VaAbove 1GHzPeak1MHz3MHzPeak Value | Test Requirement: | FCC Part15 | C Section 2 | 15.231(a |) and 15 | .209 |
|--|-----------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Measurement Distance: 3 m Antenna Polarization: Horizontal & Vertical Receiver Setup: | Test Method: | ANSI C63.4: 2014 and ANSI C63.10:2013 | | | | |
| Antenna Polarization: Horizontal & Vertical Frequency Detector RBW VBW Remark 9kHz-150kHz Quasi-peak 200Hz 11kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz 30MHz Quasi-peak 9kHz 30kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz Quasi-peak Va 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHZ Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHZ Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHZ Quasi-peak 10MHz 10Hz Average Valu 1kHz 30MHz 30MHz 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz Quasi-peak Value 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz 30MHz 1kHz 1kHz 30Mz 1kHz 1kHz 30Mz 1kHz 1kHz 1kHz <td< td=""><th>Frequency Range:</th><td>9 kHz to 5 G</td><td>Hz</td><td>9</td><td></td><td></td></td<> | Frequency Range: | 9 kHz to 5 G | Hz | 9 | | |
| Frequency Detector RBW VBW Remark 9kH2:150kHz Quasi-peak 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz Quasi-peak 9kHz 30kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz:1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz:1GHz Peak 1MHz 10Hz Average Value Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 10Hz Average Value 1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table meters above the ground in a bot 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from finiterference-receiving antenna, which was mouni on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to femeters above the ground to determine the maxim value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to mat the measurement. 4. For each suspected emissio | Measurement Distance: | 3 m | | | | |
| Receiver Setup: 9kHz-150kHz Quasi-peak 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz 200kHz Quasi-peak 9kHz 30kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz 1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 30Hz Peak Value Peak 1MHz 10Hz Average Value 1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground in abo 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from 1 interference-receiving antenna, which was mount on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maximu value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to ma the measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximu Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode w 10dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not ha | Antenna Polarization: | Horizontal & | Vertical | | | |
| Receiver Setup: 9kHz-150kHz Quasi-peak 200Hz 1kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz 200kHz Quasi-peak 9kHz 30kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz 1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 30Hz Peak Value Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 10Hz Average Value 1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from 1 interference-receiving antenna, which was mount on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maximu value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to ma the measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximu Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode w 10dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not har | | Frequency | Detector | RBW | VBW | Remark |
| Receiver Setup: 150kHz- 30MHz Quasi-peak 9kHz 30kHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va 30MHz-1GHz Peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Va Above 1GHz Peak 10Hz 30MHz Peak Value 1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from interference-receiving antenna, which was mound on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maxim value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to ma the measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maxim Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode w 10dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not hard | | | | | | Quasi-peak Value |
| 30HHz-1GHz Quasi-peak 100KHz 300KHz Quasi-peak Value Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 3MHz Peak Value 1 The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table in meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground in abot 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maximu value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to mathe measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maxim Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode with 0dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not have | Receiver Setup: | 150kHz- | | | | Quasi-peak Value |
| Above 1GHz Peak 1MHz 3MHz Peak Value 1. The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground in about 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maximization of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to mathe measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximi Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode with 0dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not hard | | | Quasi-peak | 100KHz | 300KHz | Quasi-peak Value |
| The EUT was placed on the top of a rotating table i meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground in about 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. The EUT was set 3 meters away from the interference-receiving antenna, which was mount on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. The antenna height is varied from one meter to for meters above the ground to determine the maximus value of the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to matthe measurement. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrang to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Det Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximu Hold Mode. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode w 10dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not harded to the maximum reading. | | | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | | | Peak Value |
| meters above the ground at a 3 meter camber below 1GHz, 1.5m above the ground in about 1GHz. The table was rotated 360 degrees determine the position of the highest radiation. 2. The EUT was set 3 meters away from the interference-receiving antenna, which was mound on the top of a variable-height antenna tower. 3. The antenna height is varied from one meter to from the field strength. Both horizontal a vertical polarizations of the antenna are set to mathe measurement. 4. For each suspected emission, the EUT was arrange to its worst case and then the antenna was tuned heights from 1 meter to 4 meters and the rotata table was turned from 0 degrees to 360 degrees find the maximum reading. 5. The test-receiver system was set to Peak Dete Function and Specified Bandwidth with Maximum Hold Mode. 6. If the emission level of the EUT in peak mode w 10dB lower than the limit specified, then testing co be stopped and the peak values of the EUT would reported. Otherwise the emissions that did not harden and specified bandwidth with did not harden. | | Above TGHZ | Peak | 1MHz | 10Hz | Average Value |
| peak, quasi-peak or average method as specified a then reported in a data sheet. | | The EU interference on the top on the top The anternet meters all value of vertical pertine the meas For each set to its work heights fit table was find the meas The test-Function Hold Mode If the eminant for the test of test of | T was so ace-receiving o of a variation ove the gradient ove the gradient olarizations ourement. Suspected est case and rom 1 meters turned from naximum re- receiver sy and Spec- de. ssion level of than the potherwise gin would | et 3 m ng anten ble-heigl is varied round to strength s of the a emission d then th er to 4 m om 0 deg eading. ystem w cified Ba l of the I limit spec beak valu the emission be re-te | eters a na, whic ht antenr from or determin antenna , the EU he antenr neters ar grees to ras set t ndwidth EUT in p cified, the esions th sted one | way from the h was mounted ha tower. he meter to fou he the maximum horizontal and are set to make T was arranged ha was tuned to ad the rotatable 360 degrees to with Maximum beak mode was en testing could be EUT would be at did not have |



6.3.2. Limit

| Fundamental Frequency (MHz) | Filed Strength of Fundamental (microvolts/meter) | Filed Strength of Spurious Emission (microvolts/meter) |
|--------------------------------|--|--|
| 40.66-40.70 | 2250 | 225 |
| 70-130 | 1250 | 125 |
| 130-174 | 1250 to 3750* | 125 to 375* |
| 174-260 | 3750 | 375 |
| 260-470 | 3750 to 12500* | 375 to 1250* |
| Above 470 | 12500 | 1250 |
| Horn Antenna | Schwarzbeck | BBHA 9120D |
| *Linear interpolations | | (\mathcal{S}) |

[Where F is the frequency in MHz, the formulas for calculating the maximum permitted fundamental field strengths are as follows:

For the band 130-174 MHz, $\mu V/m$ at 3 meters = 56.81818(F) - 6136.3636;

for the band 260-470 MHz, μ V/m at 3 meters = 41.6667(F) - 7083.3333. The maximum permitted unwanted emission level is 20 dB below the maximum permitted fundamental level.

For EUT

| | damental equency (MHz) | F | ed Strength of undamental ΒμV/m@3m) | Spuriou | Strength of Is Emission //m@3m) | |
|---|---|---|--|--|--|---|
| | 315 | | 75.62 | (C) 5 | 5.62 | 6 |
| limits on the measured e 2.According to on measuri | e field strength of en emissions. 5 15.35, on any freq ng equipment emplo | nissions, as shown uency or frequencie bying a CISPR qua | is of this Section shall in the above table, ba es below or equal to 10 si-peak detector functi | sed on the averag | e value of the Shown are based asurement | |
| 3. According t on the fund average (or | ermitted average en o 15.231(b), The lin amental frequency (| nission limit applica nits on the field stre of the intentional ra R quasi-peak) limit | able to the equipment ongth of the spurious e diator. Spurious emiss s shown in this table o | under test. missions in the ab ions shall be atter | ove table is based nuated to the | X |
| 3. According t on the fund average (or | ermitted average en o 15.231(b), The lin amental frequency o ; alternatively, CISF | nission limit applica nits on the field stre of the intentional ra R quasi-peak) limit | able to the equipment ongth of the spurious e diator. Spurious emiss s shown in this table o | under test. missions in the ab ions shall be atter | ove table is based nuated to the | |

Frequencies in restricted band are complied to limit on Paragraph 15.209

| Frequency Range (MHz) | quency Range (MHz) Distance (m) | |
|-----------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.009-0.490 | 3 | 20log 2400/F (kHz) + 80 |
| 0.490-1.705 | 3 | 20log 24000/F (kHz) + 40 |
| 1.705-30 | 3 (6) | 20log 30 + 40 |
| 30-88 | 3 | 40.0 |
| 88-216 | 3 | 43.5 |
| 216-960 | 63 | 46.0 |
| Above 960 | 3 | 54.0 |
| | | |

Note:

1. RF Voltage (dBuV) = 20 log RF Voltage (uV)

2. In the Above Table, the tighter limit applies at the band edges.

3. Distance refers to the distance in meters between the measuring instrument antenna and the EUT

4. The radiated emissions should be tested under 3-axes position (Lying, Side, and Stand), After pre-test. It was found that the worse radiated emission was get at the lying position.

5. If measurement is made at 3m distance, then F.S Limitation at 3m distance is adjusted by using the formula Ld1 = Ld2 * (d2/d1)

6.3.3. Test Instruments

| Radiated Emission Test Site (966) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---------------|------------------|--------------------|--|--|--|
| Name of Equipment | Manufacturer | Model | Serial Number | Calibration Due | | | |
| ESPI Test Receiver | ROHDE&SCHWARZ | ESVD | 100008 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Spectrum Analyzer | ROHDE&SCHWARZ | FSEM | 848597/001 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Pre-amplifier | EM Electronics Corporation CO.,LTD | EM30265 | 07032613 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Pre-amplifier | HP | 8447D | 2727A05017 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Loop antenna | ZHINAN | ZN30900A | 12024 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Broadband Antenna | Schwarzbeck | VULB9163 | 340 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Horn Antenna | Schwarzbeck | BBHA 9120D | 631 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Coax cable | ТСТ | N/A | N/A | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Coax cable | тст | N/A | N/A | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Coax cable | тст | N/A | N/A | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| Coax cable | ТСТ | N/A | N/A | Aug. 27, 2019 | | | |
| EMI Test Software | Shurple Technology | EZ-EMC | N/A | N/A | | | |

Note: The calibration interval of the above test instruments is 12 months and the calibrations are traceable to international system unit (SI).

Page 13 of 29



| Report | No.: | TCT180831E001 |
|--------|------|---------------|
| nopore | | 1011000012001 |

Field Strength of Fundamental

| C . | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Emission PK (dBuV/m) | Horizontal /Vertical | Limits PK (dBuV/m) | Margin (dB) |
| 315 | 68.98 | н | 95.62 | -26.64 |
| 315 | 59.39 | V | 95.62 | -36.23 |

| Frequency (MHz) | Emission PK (dBuV/m) | AV Factor(dB) | Horizontal /Vertical | Emission AVG (dBuV/m) | Limits AV (dBuV/m) | Margin (dB) | KO. |
|--------------------|-------------------------|------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|----------------|-----|
| 315 | 68.98 | -8.04 | Н | 60.94 | 75.62 | -14.68 | |
| 315 | 59.39 | -8.04 | V | 51.35 | 75.62 | -24.27 | |
| (JG) | | (\mathcal{G}) | | 51) | U C | `) | - |


Harmonics and Spurious Emissions


Frequency Range (9 kHz-30MHz)

| Frequency (MHz) | Level@3m (dBµV/m) | Limit@3m (dBµV/m) |
|-----------------|---|-------------------|
| | | |
| | ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~ ~~~~~~ | (4) |
| (KOT) (| KO) (KO) | (<u>k</u> C) |
| | - | |

Note: 1. Emission Level=Reading+ Cable loss-Antenna factor-Amp factor

2. The emission levels are 20 dB below the limit value, which are not reported. It is deemed to comply with the requirement





Above 1GHz

| Above IGHZ | | | | | | | | |
|--------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------------|--------------------------|-------------------|------------------------|-----------------------|--------------|
| Frequency (MHz) | Read Level (dBuV) | Antenna Factor (dB/m) | Cable Loss (dB) | Preamp Factor (dB) | Level (dBuV/m) | Limit Line (dBuV/m) | Over Limit (dB) | Polarization |
| 1260.0 | 36.97 | 25.66 | 4.59 | 33.39 | 33.83 | 74 | -40.17 | Vertical |
| 1575.0 | 37.16 | 27.69 | 5.34 | 34.05 | 36.14 | 74 | -37.86 | Vertical |
| 3415.0 | 36.42 | 28.67 | 6.8 | 32.85 | 39.04 | 74 | -34.96 | Vertical |
| 4150.0 | 32.69 | 30.06 | 8.01 | 32.01 | 38.75 | 74 | -35.25 | Vertical |
| 4695.0 | 33.11 | 31.65 | 8.51 | 32.03 | 41.24 | 74 | -32.76 | Vertical |
| 5645.0 | 30.65 | 32.36 | 9.72 | 32.35 | 40.38 | 74 | -33.62 | Vertical |
| 1260.0 | 36.25 | 25.42 | 4.64 | 33.47 | 32.84 | 74 | -41.16 | Horizontal |
| 1575.0 | 35.78 🔇 | 27.57 | 5.4 | 33.99 | 34.76 | 74 | -39.24 | Horizontal |
| 3395.0 | 35.81 | 28.6 | 6.76 | 32.87 | 38.3 | 74 | -35.7 | Horizontal |
| 4115.0 | 32.44 | 29.95 | 7.97 | 32.05 | 38.31 | 74 | -35.69 | Horizontal |
| 4635.0 | 32.36 | 31.57 | 8.46 | 32.01 | 40.38 | 74 | -33.62 | Horizontal |
| 5590.0 | 28.56 | 32.22 | 9.63 | 32.38 | 38.03 | 74 | -35.97 | Horizontal |
| | | | | | | | | |

Note:

1. Emission Level=Peak Reading + Correction Factor; Correction Factor= Antenna Factor + Cable loss - Pre-amplifier

2. Margin (dB) = Emission Level (dB μ V/m)- limit (dB μ V/m)

3. The emission levels of other frequencies are very lower than the limit and not show in test report.

4. Measurements were conducted from 1 GHz to the 10th harmonic of highest fundamental frequency.

5. Data of measurement shown " * "in the above table mean that the reading of emissions is attenuated more than 20 dB below the limits or the field strength is too small to be measured.

Page 18 of 29

6.4. Manually Activated Transmitter


6.4.1. Test Specification

| Test Requirement: | FCC Part15 C Section 15.215(a) |
|-------------------|---|
| Test Method: | ANSI C63.10: 2013 |
| Limit: | According to 15.231(a), A manually operated transmitter shall employ a switch that will automatically deactivate the transmitter within not more than 5 seconds of being released. |
| | According to the follow Test-setup, keep the relative position between the artificial antenna and the EUT. Set to the maximum power setting and enable the EUT transmit continuously. Use the following spectrum analyzer settings. VBW = 1MHz, VBW ≥ RBW; Span = 0; Sweep Time = 5s; Detector function = peak; Measure and record the results in the test report. |
| Test setup: | Spectrum Analyzer |
| Test Mode: | Transmitting Mode |
| Test results: | PASS |

6.4.2. Test Instruments

| RF Test Room | | | | | | |
|-------------------|--|-----|--------|---------------|--|--|
| Equipment | Equipment Manufacturer Model Serial Number Calibration Due | | | | | |
| Spectrum Analyzer | R&S | FSU | 200054 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | |

Note: The calibration interval of the above test instruments is 12 months and the calibrations are traceable to international system unit (SI).



6.5. Occupied Bandwidth

6.5.1. Test Specification

| Test Requirement: | FCC Part15 C Section 15.215(c) |
|-------------------|--|
| Test Method: | ANSI C63.10: 2013 |
| Limit: | According to 15.231(c), The bandwidth of the emission shall be no wider than 0.25% of the centre frequency fo devices operating above 70 MHz and below 900 MHz For devices operating above 900 MHz, the emission shall be no wider than 0.5% of the centre frequency Bandwidth is determined at the points 20 dB down from the modulated carrier. |
| | According to the follow Test-setup, keep the relative position between the artificial antenna and the EUT. Set to the maximum power setting and enable the EUT transmit continuously. Use the following spectrum analyzer settings fo 20dB Bandwidth measurement. Span = approximately 2 to 3 times the 20 dE bandwidth, centered on a hopping channel; RBW ≥ 1% of the 20 dB bandwidth; VBW ≥RBW; Sweep = auto; Detector function = peak; Trace = max hold. Measure and record the results in the test report. |
| Test setup: | Spectrum Analyzer EUT |
| Test Mode: | Transmitting Mode |
| Test results: | PASS |

6.5.2. Test Instruments

| RF Test Room | | | | | | |
|--|-----|-----|--------|---------------|--|--|
| Equipment Manufacturer Model Serial Number Calibration Due | | | | | | |
| Spectrum Analyzer | R&S | FSU | 200054 | Aug. 27, 2019 | | |

Note: The calibration interval of the above test instruments is 12 months and the calibrations are traceable to international system unit (SI).

