Report on the Exposure Calculation for DetNet South Africa (Pty) of the Commander, Model: CE4 Commander 1 (declared variant: CE4 Commander 2) In accordance with FCC CFR 47 and ISED RSS-102

Prepared for: DetNet South Africa (Pty) Ltd Block 1B, Founders Hill Office Park, Centenary Road, Modderfontein P O Box 10 Modderfontein 1645 South Africa



Choose certainty. Add value.

COMMERCIAL-IN-CONFIDENCE

Document Number: 75943624-09 | Issue: 01

RESPONSIBLE FOR	NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE	
Project Management	Natalie Bennett	21 November 2018	NBORED	
Authorised Signatory	Matthew Russell	21 November 2018	Aussell	

Signatures in this approval box have checked this document in line with the requirements of TÜV SÜD Product Service document control rules.

ENGINEERING STATEMENT

The calculations shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures described in FCC CFR 47 and ISED RSS-102.

RESPONSIBLE FOR	NAME	DATE	SIGNATURE	
Calculation	Pete Dorey	21 November 2018	P. Doney	

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The calculation of exposure for this product was found to be compliant at 20 cm with FCC CFR 47 and ISED RSS-102.

DISCLAIMER AND COPYRIGHT

This non-binding report has been prepared by TÜV SÜD Product Service with all reasonable skill and care. The document is confidential to the potential Client and TÜV SÜD Product Service. No part of this document may be reproduced without the prior written approval of TÜV SÜD Product Service. © 2018 TÜV SÜD Product Service.

TÜV SÜD Product Service is a trading name of TUV SUD Ltd Registered in Scotland at East Kilbride, Glasgow G75 0QF, United Kingdom Registered number: SC215164 TUV SUD Ltd is a TÜV SÜD Group Company Phone: +44 (0) 1489 558100 Fax: +44 (0) 1489 558101 www.tuv-sud.co.uk TÜV SÜD Product Service Octagon House Concorde Way Fareham Hampshire PO15 5RL United Kingdom

TÜV SÜD Product Service

TÜV®



Contents

1	Report Summary	2
1.1	Report Modification Record	2
1.2	Introduction	2
1.3	Brief Summary of Results	3
1.4	Product Information	4
2	Assessment Details	6
2.1	Assessment Method	6
2.2	Individual Antenna Port Exposure Results	6
2.3	Combined Antenna Port RF Exposure Results	7
2.4	Far Field Region Boundary Results	9
2.5	Uncertainty	9
Annex A	Regional Requirements	



1 Report Summary

1.1 Report Modification Record

Alterations and additions to this report will be issued to the holders of each copy in the form of a complete document.

Issue	Description of Change	Date of Issue
1	First Issue	21 November 2018

1.2 Introduction

Objective	To perform electromagnetic field exposure assessment to determine the equipment under test's (EUT's) compliance with the applied specifications.
Applicant	DetNet South Africa (Pty)
Manufacturer	DetNet South Africa (Pty)
Model Number(s)	Commander 1 (declared variant: Commander 2)
Hardware Version(s)	V5
Software Version(s)	36230C
Specification/Issue/Date	FCC: CFR 47 Pt1.1310:2017 ISED Canada: Health Canada Safety Code 6:2015
Order Number Date	4500347895 14 August 2018
Related Document(s)	 OET65:97 Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guidelines for Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields IEEE C95.3:2002 IEEE Recommended Practice for Measurements and Computations of Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields With Respect to Human Exposure to Such Fields, 100 kHz–300

 GHz
 RSS-102 Issue 5 Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)



1.3 Brief Summary of Results

The wireless device described within this report was compliant with the restrictions related to human exposure to electromagnetic fields for both general public and worker/occupational exposures.

The calculations shown in this report were made in accordance with the procedures specified in the applied test specification(s).

1.3.1 CE4 Commander 1 (declared variant: CE4 Commander 2)

Regional Requirement	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit							
	S Power Density	B Field						
	Summation for simultaneous exposure; value to be <1							
FCC	0.0094 Note 1	N/A						
CANADA	0.0152	0.0152	0.0152	N/A				
Note 1: FCC summation combines S Power Density and E Field contributions as FCC limits are not provided for all frequencies of the EUT.								

Table 1 – Worker/Occupational Exposure Results

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.

Regional Requirement	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit						
	S Power Density	B Field					
	Summation for simultaneous exposure; value to be <1						
FCC	0.0469 Note 1			N/A			
CANADA	0.0986	0.0986	0.0986	N/A			
Note 1: FCC summation combines S Power Density and E Field contributions as FCC limits are not provided for all frequencies of the EUT.							

Table 2 – General Public Exposure Results

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.



1.4 **Product Information**

1.4.1 Technical Description

The IntelliShot system is a system used in the mining blasting industry. There are 2 components of the system called a "Commander" and Tagger. The Commander is placed on the ground and can be used for long range communication capability which can be further enhanced by a repeater functionality giving the user a defined 6 km communication range allowing for improved safety at blast time.

The Commander contains a 2.4 GHz GainSpan Wi-Fi module. The Commander also contains a 900 MHz Laird Transceiver module. There is also a 13.56 MHz NFC device for various applications.

NOTE 1: There will be another Commander unit which contains a different 900 MHz module to the original 900 MHz module becoming obsolete. For the purposes of this report, these different Commander units will be called "Commander 1" and "Commander 2".

1.4.2 Transmitter Description

The following radio access technologies and frequency bands are supported by the equipment under test.

Radio Access	Antenna Port	Frequency Band	Minimum Frequency	Output Power	Duty Cycle			
Technology		MHz	MHz	dBm	%			
WiFi	1	2412 - 2457	2412	18	100			
Long Range RF (Commander 1) Note 1	2	902 - 928	902	30	5			
Long Range RF (Commander 2) Note 1	2	907.125 – 913.325	907.125	27	5			
NFC	3	13.56	13.56	6	100			
Note 1: Commander 1 RF operates over a wider frequency range, lower frequency and higher output power compared to								

Note 1: Commander 1 RF operates over a wider frequency range, lower frequency and higher output power compared Commander 2. Therefore the calculation will be based on the Commander 1 specification as worst cae.

Table 3 – Transmitter Description

1.4.3 Antenna Description

The following antennas are supported by the equipment under test.

Antenna No	Radio Access	Antenna Model	Gain	Antenna length	Minimum Separation Distance	
	rechnology		dBi	cm	cm	
1	WiFi	Internal	2	1.4943	20	
2	Long Range RF	Internal	2	11.5	20	
3	NFC	Internal	2	4.5	20	

Table 4 – Antenna description



1.4.4 Equipment Configuration

Commander equipment operating with simultaneous transmission of WiFi, Long Range RF and NFC.



2 Assessment Details

2.1 Assessment Method

The assessment method is by calculation of the power density S, electric field strength E, magnetic field strength H or magnetic flux density B.

The calculation uses the spherical model applicable under far field conditions.

$$S = E \times H = \frac{E^2}{\eta} = H^2 \times \eta = \frac{P \times G_i}{4 \times \pi \times r^2}$$

Where:

- η Impedance of free space (377 ohm in far field)
- P Transmitter power W
- Gi Antenna gain ratio relative to isotropic
- R Separation distance m

The magnetic flux density is related to the magnetic field strength by a constant:

$$B = \mu_o \times H$$

Where:

μο - Permeability of free space 4xπ E-7 H/m

Where additional calculations are required by the regional specifications these are detailed below.

The far field region boundary depends on the frequency and wavelength and also on the antenna dimension. The boundary of the far field region is calculated below to demonstrate the validity of using the spherical model.

2.2 Individual Antenna Port Exposure Results

2.2.1 Calculation of Exposure at Specified Separation Distance

The frequencies shown in the tables below have been chosen based on the lowest possible frequency that the EUT can transmit. A full list of the regional requirements is shown in Annex A.

				RF Exposure Level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m								
Regional Requirement	Antenna Port	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	S Powe Density	r (W/m²)	E Field	(V/m)	H Field ((A/m)	B Field (μT)	
				Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	
FCC	1	WiFi	2412	0.20	50.00	8.66	N/A	0.0230	N/A	0.0289	N/A	
FCC	2	Long Range RF	902	0.16	30.07	7.71	N/A	0.0204	N/A	0.0257	N/A	
FCC	3	NFC	13.56	0.01	N/A	1.73	135.84	0.0046	0.3606	0.0058	N/A	
CANADA	1	WiFi	2412	0.20	31.70	8.66	109.32	0.0230	0.2900	0.0289	N/A	
CANADA	2	Long Range RF	902	0.16	19.39	7.71	85.49	0.0204	0.2268	0.0257	N/A	
CANADA	3	NFC	13.56	0.01	10.00	1.73	61.40	0.0046	0.1630	0.0058	N/A	

Table 5 – Worker/Occupational Transmitter Summary



				RF Exposure Level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m							
Regional Requirement	Antenna Port	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	S Powe Density	r (W/m²)	E Field	(V/m)	H Field ((A/m)	B Field (μT)
				Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit	Result	Limit
FCC	1	WiFi	2412	0.20	10.00	8.66	N/A	0.0230	#N/A	0.0289	N/A
FCC	2	Long Range RF	902	0.16	6.01	7.71	N/A	0.0204	#N/A	0.0257	N/A
FCC	3	NFC	13.56	0.01	N/A	1.73	60.76	0.0046	0.1615	0.0058	N/A
CANADA	1	WiFi	2412	0.20	5.37	8.66	44.97	0.0230	0.1193	0.0289	N/A
CANADA	2	Long Range RF	902	0.16	2.74	7.71	32.14	0.0204	0.0853	0.0257	N/A
CANADA	3	NFC	13.56	0.01	2.00	1.73	27.46	0.0046	0.0728	0.0058	N/A

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.

Table 6 – General Public Transmitter Summary

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.

2.3 Combined Antenna Port RF Exposure Results

As the frequency of operation for each transmitter is not the same, in order to evaluate compliance with the limit which is dependent on frequency, the fractional exposure value is calculated: The calculated S power density is divided by the limit to get a fractional exposure value. The calculated E and H fields are divided by the limit and squared to get a fractional exposure value. The summation of the fractional RF exposure results for each transmitter provides the combined result. Any values less than one are compliant with the limit.

FCC OET 65 specifies the method of summation in clause; Multiple-Transmitter Sites and Complex Environments; with results as follows:

Antenna RAT		Frequency	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit					
Ροπ		(MHZ)	S Power Density	E Field	H Field	B Field		
1	WiFi	2412	0.0040	N/A	N/A	N/A		
2	Long Range RF	902	0.0052	N/A	N/A	N/A		
3	NFC	13.56	N/A	0.0002	0.0002	N/A		
Summation		0.0094	N/A					

Table 7 – FCC Worker/Occupational Combined Exposure

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.



Antenna Port	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit			
			S Power Density	E Field	H Field	B Field
1	WiFi	2412	0.0199	N/A	N/A	N/A
2	Long Range RF	902	0.0262	N/A	N/A	N/A
3	NFC	13.56	N/A	0.001	0.001	N/A
Summation		0.0469			N/A	

Table 8 – FCC General Public Combined Exposure

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.

CANADA Health Canada Safety Code 6 specifies the method of summation in clause 2.2.1 Note 6 with results as follows:

Antenna Port	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit			
			S Power Density	E Field	H Field	B Field
1	WiFi	2412	0.0063	0.0063	0.0063	N/A
2	Long Range RF	902	0.0081	0.0081	0.0081	N/A
3	NFC	13.56	0.0008	0.0008	0.0008	N/A
Summation		0.0152	0.0152	0.0152	N/A	

Table 9 – CANADA Worker/Occupational Combined Exposure

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the worker/occupational exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.

Antenna Port	RAT	Frequency (MHz)	Calculated RF exposure level at compliance boundary of 0.2 m as a fraction of the limit			
			S Power Density	E Field	H Field	B Field
1	WiFi	2412	0.0371	0.0371	0.0371	N/A
2	Long Range RF	902	0.0575	0.0575	0.0575	N/A
3	NFC	13.56	0.0040	0.0040	0.0040	N/A
Summation			0.0986	0.0986	0.0986	N/A

Table 10 – CANADA General Public Combined Exposure

The calculations show that the EUT complies with the general public exposure levels described in in the listed specifications in Annex A at the point of investigation, 0.2 m.



2.4 Far Field Region Boundary Results

IEEE C95.3 Annex B.2 specifies the far field region boundary calculation:

Near Field / Far Field Boundary				
	Antennas - on axis Far Field Region (Ref: IEEE C95.3 Annex B.2)			
KAT Name	2D²/λ (m)			
WiFi	0.0036			
Long Range RF	0.0795			
NFC	0.0002			

Table 11 – Far Field Boundary

The worst case far field boundary is 0.08 m. The 0.2 m compliance boundary is beyond this distance and in the far field and therefore the approach described in section 2.1 is valid. However at 0.2 m the compliance boundary is within the NFC wavelength of 22 m and therefore in the reactive near-field. The NFC exposure contribution shown in Section 2.3 is less than 0.004 (0.4 %) and therefore the uncertainties of the calculation method in the reactive near-field are not significant to the overall simultaneous exposure result.

2.5 Uncertainty

The basic computation formulas presented in section 2.1 are conservative formulas for the estimation of RF field strength or power density. No uncertainty estimations are required when using these formulas but there is clear guidance on where and when these formulas are applicable. (Reference EN 62232 clause B.4.1).

For the estimate of S, E or H to be conservative, the transmitter power P and antenna gain G_i values shall be the upper bounds of uncertainty therefore maximum values are used.

The spherical formula is valid under far field conditions which are established in section 0.



ANNEX A

REGIONAL REQUIREMENTS



Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
0 - 0.3	-	-	-
0.3 - 3	100	614	1.63
3 - 30	900/f^2	1842/f	4.89/f
30 - 300	1	61.4	0.163
300 - 1500	f/300	-	-
1500 - 100000	5	-	-

Table A.1 – CFR 47 Pt1.1310 (2017) Worker/Occupational Limits

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (mW/cm ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
0 - 0.3	-	-	-
0.3 - 3	100	614	1.63
3 - 30	180/f^2	824/f	2.19/f
30 - 300	0.2	27.5	0.073
300 - 1500	f/1500	-	-
1500 - 100000	1	-	-

Table A.2 – CFR 47 Pt1.1310 (2017) General Public Limits

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (W/m ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)	
10 - 20	10	61.4	0.163	
20 - 48	44.72/f^0.5	129.8/f^0.25	0.3444/f^0.25	
48 - 100	6.455	49.33	0.1309	
100 - 6000	0.6455*f^0.5	15.60*f^0.25	0.04138*f^0.25	
6000 - 150000	50	137	0.364	

Table A.3 – Health Canada Safety Code 6 Worker/Occupational Limits

Frequency Range (MHz)	Power Density (W/m ²)	Electric Field Strength (V/m)	Magnetic Field Strength (A/m)
10 - 20	2	27.46	0.0728
20 - 48	8.944/f^0.5	58.07/f^0.25	0.1540/f^0.25
48 - 300	1.291	22.06	0.05852
300 - 6000	0.02619*f^0.6834	3.142*f^0.3417	0.008335*f^0.3417
6000 - 15000	10	61.4	0.163

Table A.4 – Health Canada Safety Code 6 General Public Limits