RF Exposure Evaluation Report

1. Product Information

| FCC ID: | 2AQZ8CTVK08 |
|---------------------|---|
| Product Name | Automatic Car Mount Wireless Charger |
| Model Number | CTVK08 |
| Douges Cumply | Input: DC 5V === 2A, 12V === 1.5A |
| Power Supply | Output : 15W (Max) |
| Modulation Type | MSK |
| Frequency Range | 110.0 - 205.0 KHz |
| Operation Frequency | 160.0 KHz |
| Antenna Type | Coil Antenna |
| Hardware version | V1.0 |
| Software version | V1.0 |
| Accessories | iPhone 8 Plus |
| Exposure category | General population/uncontrolled environment |
| EUT Type | Production Unit |
| Device Type | Mobile Device |

2. Evaluation Method

Per KDB 680106 D01 Section 3. RF Exposure Requirements;

- 1) Consumer wireless power transfer devices approved under Part 18 in some cases have to demonstrate compliance with RF exposure requirements. The potential for exposure must be assessed according to the operating configurations of the wireless system and the exposure conditions of users and bystanders. RF exposure must be evaluated with the client device(s) being charged by the primary at maximum output power. The RF exposure requirements must be determined in conjunction with the device operating characteristics, according to the mobile and portable exposure requirements in Section 2.1091 and Section 2.1093 of the rules. SAR and MPE limits do not cover the frequency range for wireless power transfer applications which operate below 100 kHz and 300 kHz respectively; therefore, RF exposure compliance needs to be determined with respect to 1.1307 (c) and (d) of the FCC rules.
- 2) Based on the design and implementation of the power transfer application, it must be clearly identified if mobile or portable RF exposure conditions apply. Devices that are installed to provide separation of at least 20 cm from users and bystanders may qualify for mobile exposure conditions. For some conditions where users and bystanders may be exposed at closer than 20 cm, section 2.1091(d) (4) of the rules may apply.
- 3) For devices designed for typical desktop applications, such a wireless charging pads, RF exposure evaluation should be conducted assuming a user separation distance of 15 cm. E and H field strength measurements or numerical modeling may be used to demonstrate compliance. Measurements should be made from all sides and the top of the primary/client pair, with the 15 cm measured from the center of the probe(s) to the edge of the device. Emissions between 100 kHz to 300 kHz should be assessed versus the limits at 300 kHz in Table 1 of Section 1.1310: 614 V/m and 1.63 A/m. A KDB inquiry is required to determine the applicable exposure limits below 100 kHz.
- 4) Portable exposure conditions from 100 kHz to 6 GHz are determined with respect to SAR requirements. Existing SAR systems and test procedures are generally intended for measurements above 100 MHz.

While numerical modeling can be an alternative, the constraints of substantial computational resources at low frequencies could introduce further limitations. Under these circumstances, including operations below 100 kHz, the Commission may consider a combination of analytical analysis, field strength, radiated and conducted power measurements, in conjunction with some limited numerical modeling to assess compliance.

- 5) Depending on the operating frequency, existing SAR and MPE measurement procedures may be adapted to evaluate wireless power transfer devices for compliance with respect to mobile or portable exposure conditions. If the grantee or its test lab have any questions regarding RF exposure evaluation they should contact the FCC Laboratory with sufficient system operating configuration details to determine if RF exposure evaluation is necessary and, if required, how to apply specific test procedures. Below 100 MHz, when SAR testing is required and the device is operating at close proximity to persons, information on device design, implementation, operating configurations, exposure conditions of users and bystanders are needed to determine the evaluation and testing requirements. In addition, the influence of nearby objects may also need consideration according to the wireless power transfer system implementation; for example, the effects of placing the device, its coils or radiating elements on or near metallic surfaces
- 6) According to April 2018 TCB Workshop, No need to report E-field measurements. Only H-field required.

3. Evaluation Limit

3.1 Refer Evaluation Method

<u>ANSI C95.1–1999:</u> IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz.

FCC KDB publication 680106 D01 RF Exposure Wireless Charging Apps v03: RF Exposure Considerations for Low Power Consumer Wireless Power Transfer Applications

FCC CFR 47 part1 1.1310: Radiofrequency radiation exposure limits.

FCC CFR 47 part2 2.1091: Radiofrequency radiation exposure evaluation: mobile devices

FCC CFR 47 part 18.107:Indusial, Scientific, and Medical Equipment

3.2 Limit

Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)/Controlled Exposure

| Frequency | Electric Field | Magnetic Field | Power Density | Averaging Time |
|---------------|----------------|-----------------------|---------------|----------------|
| Range(MHz) | Strength(V/m) | Strength(A/m) | (mW/cm²) | (minute) |
| | Limits for O | ccupational/Controlle | d Exposure | |
| 0.3-3.0 | 614 | 1.63 | *100 | 6 |
| 3.0-30 | 1842/f | 4.89/f | *900/f² | 6 |
| 30-300 | 61.4 | 0.163 | 1.0 | 6 |
| 300-1,500 | / | / | f/300 | 6 |
| 1,500-100,000 | / | / | 5 | 6 |

Limits for Maximum Permissible Exposure (MPE)/Uncontrolled Exposure

| Frequency | Electric Field | Magnetic Field | Power Density | Averaging Time | | |
|---------------|---|----------------|---------------------|----------------|--|--|
| Range(MHz) | Strength(V/m) | Strength(A/m) | (mW/cm²) | (minute) | | |
| | Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure | | | | | |
| 0.3-1.34 | 614 | 1.63 | *100 | 30 | | |
| 1.34-30 | 824/f | 2.19/f | *180/f ² | 30 | | |
| 30-300 | 27.5 | 0.073 | 0.2 | 30 | | |
| 300-1,500 | / | / | f/1500 | 30 | | |
| 1,500-100,000 | / | / | 1.0 | 30 | | |


F=frequency in MHz

According to FCC KDB 680106 D01 Section 3. RF Exposure Requirements clause 3 the Emission-Limits in the frequency range from 100 KHz to 300 KHz should be assessed versus the limits at 300 KHz in Table 1 of CFR 47 – Section1.310 as following (measured distance shall be 15cm from the center of the probe to the edge of the device):

| | E-filed | H-filed | B-filed |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------|
| Frequency | Frequency V/m A/m | | uT |
| 0.3 MHz – 3.0 MHz | 614 | 1.613 | 2.0 |
| 3.0 MHz – 30 MHz | 824/f (=27.5 _{30MHz}) | 2.19/f (=0.073 _{30MHz}) | |

A KDB inquire was required to determine/confirm the applicable limits below 100 KHz.

4. Test Setup Diagram



For mobile RF exposure condition, due to installation limitations no tests from the underside of the charging device are required.

^{*=}Plane-wave equivalent power density

5. Test Equipment

| Equipment | Manufacturer | Model | Serial no. | Calibrated date | Calibrated Due |
|-----------------------|--------------|---------|------------|-----------------|----------------|
| Exposure Level Tester | Narda | ELT-400 | N-0713 | 2019-04-01 | 2020-04-01 |
| B-Field Probe | Narda | ELT-400 | M-1154 | 2019-04-10 | 2020-04-09 |

6. Uncertainties

The probe uncertainties stated by the manufacturers are considered to be the main relevant and dominant issues.

6.1. Typical uncertainty of ELT-400

The uncertainties stated in this document have been determined according to user manual.

They were estimated as expanded uncertainty obtained multiplying the standard by the coverage factor k=2. Corresponding to a confidence level of about 95%.

The total uncertainty of the probe derived from typical contributions of linearity, anisotropy, frequency response, temperature, relative humidity and with/without contribution of uncertainty of calibration.

| | | Total expanded uncertainty (k=2) | | |
|--|------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Magnetic probe (1) | Magnetic flux density | Without contribution of | With contribution of | |
| iviagnetic probe | iviagnetic nux density | uncertainty of calibration | uncertainty of | |
| | | (%) | calibration U _T (%) | |
| | 0.1 uT to < 0.3 uT | 4.1 | 4.2 ⁽²⁾ | |
| 5 | 0.3 uT to < 10.0 uT | 3.3 | 3.5 ⁽²⁾ | |
| Frequency at 50 Hz | 10 uT to < 100 uT | 3.7 | 4.3 ⁽³⁾ | |
| | 100 uT to 500 uT | 4.1 | 4.8 (4) | |
| Frequency from 40 to 10 0.1 uT to 0.3 uT | | 6.5 | 6.7 ⁽⁵⁾ | |
| kHz | 0.3 uT to 10.0 uT | 6.1 | 6.3 ⁽⁵⁾ | |

- (1) The temperature range is from -10 degree to 23 degree and relative humidity is from 20% to 50%
- (2) (5) The uncertainty or calibrated used is 1.5%
- (3) The uncertainty of calibration used is 2.0%
- (4) The uncertainty of calibration used is 2.7%

| | | Total expanded uncertainty (k=2) | | |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| Electric probe ⁽⁶⁾ | Floatric fraguency range | Without contribution of | With contribution of | |
| Electric probe (*) | Electric frequency range | uncertainty of calibration | uncertainty of | |
| | | (%) | calibration U _T (%) | |
| Fraguency at FO Hz | 10 V/m to 500 V/m | 7.8 | 8.2 ⁽⁷⁾ | |
| Frequency at 50 Hz | 10 V/m to < 100 kV/m | 8.4 | 8.8 (8) | |
| Frequency from 40 to 10 | 10.V/m to < 500.V/m | 0.5 | 9.9 ⁽⁹⁾ | |
| kHz | 10 V/m to < 500 V/m | 9.5 | 9.9 | |

- (6) The temperature range is from -10 degree to 23 degree and relative humidity from 20% to 50%
- (7) The uncertainty of calibration used is 2.0%
- (8) The uncertainty of calibration used is 2.5%

7. Measurement Procedure

- a) The RF exposure test was performed on 360 degree turn table in anechoic chamber.
- b) The measurement probe was placed at test distance (15cm) which is between the edge of the charger and the geometric center of probe.
- c) The turn table was rotated 360d degree to search of highest strength.
- d) The highest emission level was recorded and compared with limit as soon as measurement of each points(A, B, C, D, E) were completed.
- e) The EUT were measured according to the dictates of KDB 680106D01v03.

8. Equipment Approval Considerations

The EUT does comply with item 5.2 of KDB 680106 D01v02 as follows table;

| Requirements of KDB 680106 D01 | Yes / No | Description |
|---|----------|--|
| Power transfer frequency is less than 1 MHz | Yes | The device operate in the frequency |
| rower transfer frequency is less triair 1 ivinz | 163 | range 110.0 KHz - 205.0 KHz |
| Output power from each primary coil is less than 15 | Yes | The maximum output power of the |
| watts | 163 | primary coil is 15W. |
| The transfer system includes only single primary | | |
| and secondary coils. This includes charging systems | | The transfer system includes single coil |
| that may have multiple primary coils and clients | Yes | that is able to detect receiver device. |
| that are able to detect and allow coupling only | | that is able to detect receiver device. |
| between individual pairs of coils. | | |
| Client device is placed directly in contact with the | Yes | Client device is placed directly in |
| transmitter. | 163 | contact with the transmitter. |
| Mobile exposure conditions only (portable | | |
| exposure conditions are not covered by this | Yes | Mobile exposure conditions only |
| exclusion). | | |
| The aggregate H field strengths at 15 cm | | The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 |
| The aggregate H-field strengths at 15 cm | | cm surrounding the device and 20 cm |
| surrounding the device and 20 cm above the top | Yes | above the top surface from all |
| surface from all simultaneous transmitting coils are demonstrated to be less than 50% of the MPE limit. | | simultaneous transmitting coils are less |
| demonstrated to be less than 50% of the MPE limit. | | than 50% the MPE limit. |

In all other cases, unless excluded above, an RF exposure evaluation report must be reviewed and accepted through a KDB or PBA inquiry to enable authorization of the equipment. When evaluation is required to show compliance; for example, using field strength, power density, SAR measurements or computational modeling etc., the specific authorization requirements will be determined based on the results of the RF exposure evaluation.

9. E and H field Strength


| Test Mod | es: | | | | |
|-----------|---|------------|--|--|--|
| TM1 | Car Charger (12V/1.5A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: <1%) | Record | | | |
| TM2 | Car Charger (12V/1.5A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: <50%) | Pre-tested | | | |
| TM3 | Car Charger (12V/1.5A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: 100%) | Pre-tested | | | |
| TM4 | Car Charger (5V/3A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: <1%) | Pre-tested | | | |
| TM5 | Car Charger (5V/3A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: <50%) | Pre-tested | | | |
| тм6 | Car Charger (5V/3A) + EUT + Mobile Phone iPhone 8 Plus (Battery Status: 100%) | Pre-tested | | | |
| Note: All | Note: All test modes were pre-tested, but we only recorded the worst case in this report. | | | | |

H-Field Strength at 15 cm from the edges surrounding the EUT and 15cm from the top surface of the EUT

| Charging | Fraguency | Me | easured H-F | ield Strengtl | n Values (A/ | m) | FCC H-Field | FCC H-Field |
|----------|-----------|----------|-------------|---------------|--------------|----------|-------------|-------------|
| Charging | Frequency | Test | Test | Test | Test | Test | Strength50 | Strength |
| Battery | Range | Position | Position | Position | Position | Position | % Limits | Limits |
| Level | (MHz) | Α | В | С | D | Е | (A/m) | (A/m) |
| 1% | 0.160 | 0.106 | 0.095 | 0.106 | 0.106 | 0.110 | 0.815 | 1.63 |
| 50% | 0.160 | 0.098 | 0.099 | 0.104 | 0.093 | 0.118 | 0.815 | 1.63 |
| 99% | 0.160 | 0.093 | 0.086 | 0.097 | 0.095 | 0.114 | 0.815 | 1.63 |


H-Field Strength at 20cm from the top surface of the EUT

| Charging | Fraguency Bango | Measured H-Field Strength | FCC H-Field | FCC H-Field |
|---------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------|--------------|
| | Charging Frequency Range | Values (A/m) | Strength50% Limits | Strength |
| Battery Level | (MHz) | Test Position E | (A/m) | Limits (A/m) |
| 1% | 0.160 | 0.095 | 0.815 | 1.63 |
| 50% | 0.160 | 0.084 | 0.815 | 1.63 |
| 99% | 0.160 | 0.081 | 0.815 | 1.63 |




10. Test Setup Photos

10.1 Test Position E-Exposure photo from top surface (20cm)




TM1

9.2Test Position E-Exposure photo from top surface (15cm)




TM1

9.3 Test Position A-Exposure photo from side edge surface-Rear




TM1

9.4 Test Position B-Exposure photo from side edge surface-Left




TM1

9.5 Test Position C-Exposure photo from side edge surface-Front



TM1

9.6 Test Position D-Exposure photo from side edge surface-Right



TM1

11. Conclusion

A minimum safety distance of 20 cm to the antenna is required when the device is charging a smart phone. The detected emissions with a distance of 20 cm from center of probe to the top surface of EUT and 15cm from center of probe to edge of EUT are below the limitations according to FCC KDB 680106 D01 Section 3. RF Exposure Requirement Clause 3.

Revision History

| Revision | Issue Date | Revisions | Revised By |
|----------|---------------|---------------|-------------|
| 000 | July 23, 2019 | Initial Issue | Gavin Liang |
| | | | |
| | | | |
| | | | |

| END OF | REPORT |
|---------------|---------------|
| ····· LIND OI | 1 \ L O \ |