TEST REPORT

APPLICANT	:	Saunders Midwest LLC
PRODUCT NAME	:	Digital Mirror
MODEL NAME	:	E-DM-1,E-DM-2,E-DM-3
BRAND NAME	:	N/A
FCC ID	:	2AQ8XE-DM
STANDARD(S)	:	FCC 47CFR 2.1093 IEEE 1528-2013
TEST DATE	:	2018-11-12
ISSUE DATE	:	2018-11-13

Reviewed By: _Gan Yueming_
Gan Yueming (Reviewer)

Approved by: _Peng Hui_
Peng Huarui (Supervisor)

DIRECTORY

Version No.	Date	Description
1.0	2018-11-13	Original

Tested By	
Test engineer:	Su Jinhai

1   SAR Results Summary

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during test as bellows:

Frequency Band	Highest SAR Summary
	Body-1g SAR (Separation 5mm)
2.4GHz WLAN	1.270 (W/kg)

Note:

1.   This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR part 2 (2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013.

2   Technical Information

Note: Provide by manufacturer.

2.1   Applicant and Manufacturer Information

Applicant:	Saunders Midwest LLC
Applicant Address:	29 E. Madison Street Suite 900 Chicago Illinois USA
Manufacturer:	ShenZhen Gospell Smarthome Electronic Co., Ltd.
Manufacturer Address:	East of 01st-04st Floor,Block A,No.1 Industrial park, Fenghuanggang,South of No.1 Baotian Road,Xixiang street, Bao'an District,Shenzhen City,Guangdong Province 518126, P.R.China

2.2   Equipment Under Test (EUT) Description

EUT Type:	Digital Mirror	
Hardware Version:	T5709HAA_M02	
Software Version:	E_900.T5709HAA.347	
Operation Frequency:	Wi-Fi: 802.11b/g/n-HT20: 2412MHz ~ 2462 MHz 802.11n-HT40 :2422MHz~2452MHz	
Modulation technology:	Wi-Fi: 802.11b: DSSS, 802.11g/n: OFDM	
Antenna Type:	Wire Antenna	
Battery:	Model Name:	XTY 961378
	Power Rating:	530 mAh 3.7V

Note：

According to the declaration the models (E-DM-1/E-DM-2/E-DM-3) are the same both in software, hardware and RF module. It's only differences for model No.

2.3   Environment of Test Site

Temperature:	18°C ~25°C
Humidity:	35%~75% RH
Atmospheric Pressure:	1010 mbar

3 Introduction

3.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

3.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density (ρ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{dm}\right) = \frac{d}{dt}\left(\frac{dU}{\rho dv}\right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)
SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where: C is the specific heat capacity, δT is the temperature rise and δt is the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma \cdot E^2}{\rho}$$

Where: σ is the conductivity of the tissue, ρ is the mass density of the tissue and E is the RMS electrical field strength.However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.

MORLAB SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **6** of **29**

4   RF Exposure Limits

4.1   Uncontrolled Environment

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of in dividualswho have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employment-related; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

4.2   Controlled Environment

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

4.3   RF Exposure Limits

SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6

HUMAN EXPOSURE LIMITS		
	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population (W/kg) or (mW/g)	CONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT Occupational (W/kg) or (mW/g)
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Brain	1.6	8.0
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR Whole Body	0.08	0.4
SPATIAL PEAK SAR Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.0	20

Note:
1. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube)and over the appropriate averaging time.
2. The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.
3. The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of acube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

4.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR§2.1093	Radio Frequency Radiation Exposure Evaluation: Portable Devices
2	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
3	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Procedures for 802.11 Transmitters
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting
7	KDB 941225 D06v02r01	SAR Evaluation Procedures For Portable Devices With Wireless Router Capabilities

MORLAB SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **8** of **29**

5 SAR Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.

The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

MORLAB SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **9** of **29**

5.1 E-Field Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
- Tip Diameter : 6.5 mm
- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm
- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm
(repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
- Probe linearity: <0.25 dB
- Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB
- Calibration range: 835 to 2500MHz for head & body simulating liquid.
Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°
Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annexe technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.

$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^2\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) c^{(2z/\delta)}$$

Where :
Pfw   = Forward Power
Pbw   = Backward Power
a and b   = Waveguide dimensions
ı   = Skin depth
Keithley configuration:
Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO
After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.
The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:
          CF(N)=SAR(N)/Vlin(N)   (N=1,2,3)
The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using
          Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))   (N=1,2,3)

where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm².

Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating head tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

δt = exposure time (30 seconds),

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

δT = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to ΔT/Δt, the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma|E|^2}{\rho}$$

σ = simulated tissue conductivity,

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

ρ = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm³ for brain tissue)

5.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

5.3 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1°.

Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005

5.4 Test Equipment List

Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Calibration	
				Last Cal.	Due Date
SATIMO	2450MHz System Validation Kit	D2450V2	30/13 DIP2G450-263	2018.05.10	2019.05.09
SATIMO	Dosimetric E-Field Probe	N/A	37/08 EP80	2018.05.10	2019.05.09
Keithley	Voltmeter	2000	1000572	2018.05.10	2019.05.09
SATIMO	SAM Twin Phantom 2	N/A	SN_36_08_SAM62	NCR	NCR
SPEAG	Phone Positioner	N/A	N/A	NCR	NCR
R&S	Network Emulator	CMW500	124534	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Agilent	Network Analyzer	E5071B	MY42404762	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Agilent	Dielectric Probe Kit	85033E	N/A	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
mini-circuits	Amplifier	ZHL-42W+	608501717	NCR	NCR
Agilent	Signal Generator	N5182B	MY53050509	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Agilent	Power Meter	E4416A	MY45102093	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Agilent	Power Senor	N8482A	MY41090849	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
R&S	Power Meter	NRVD	101066	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Anritsu	Power Sensor	MA2411B	N/A	2018.04.17	2019.04.16
Giga-tronics	Directional coupler	N/A	1829112	NA	NA
MCL	Attenuation1	6dBm	351-218-010	NA	NA
N/A	Tissue Simulating Liquids	Body 2450 MHz		Within 24H	
THERMOMETER	Thermo meter	Mode-01	N/A	2018.04.25	2019.04.24

MORLAB   SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **13** of **29**

6   Tissue Simulating Liquids

For the measurement of the field distribution inside the SAM phantom with DASY, the phantom must be filled with around 25 liters of homogeneous body tissue simulating liquid. For head SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.11, for body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm, which is shown in Fig. 6.12.

Fig 6.11 Photo of Liquid Height for Head SAR   **Fig 6.12 Photo of Liquid Height for Body SAR**

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency (MHz)	Water (%)	Sugar (%)	Cellulose (%)	Salt (%)	Preventol (%)	DGBE (%)	Conductivity (σ)	Permittivity (ε_r)
Head								
750	41.1	57.0	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.89	41.9
835	40.3	57.9	0.2	1.4	0.2	0	0.90	41.5
1800, 1900, 2000	55.2	0	0	0.3	0	44.5	1.40	40.0
2450	55.0	0	0	0	0	45.0	1.80	39.2
2600	54.8	0	0	0.1	0	45.1	1.96	39.0
Body								
750	51.7	47.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.96	55.5
835	50.8	48.2	0	0.9	0.1	0	0.97	55.2
1800, 1900, 2000	70.2	0	0	0.4	0	29.4	1.52	53.3
2450	68.6	0	0	0	0	31.4	1.96	52.7
2600	68.1	0	0	0.1	0	31.8	2.16	52.5

Simulating Liquid for 5GHz, Manufactured by SPEAG

Ingredients	(% by weight)
Water	64~78%
Mineral oil	11~18%
Emulsifiers	9~15%
Additives and Salt	2~3%

The relative permittivity and conductivity of the tissue material should be within±5% of the values given in the table below recommended by the FCC OET 65supplement C and RSS 102 Issue 5.

Target Frequency	Head		Body	
(MHz)	εr	σ(S/m)	εr	σ(S/m)
150	52.3	0.76	61.9	0.80
300	45.3	0.87	58.2	0.92
450	43.5	0.87	56.7	0.94
835	41.5	0.90	55.2	0.97
900	41.5	0.97	55.0	1.05
915	41.5	0.98	55.0	1.06
1450	40.5	1.20	54.0	1.30
1610	40.3	1.29	53.8	1.40
1800-2000	40.0	1.40	53.3	1.52
2450	39.2	1.80	52.7	1.95
3000	38.5	2.40	52.0	2.73
5800	35.3	5.27	48.2	6.00

(εr = relative permittivity, σ = conductivity and ρ = 1000 kg/m^3)

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.
The following table shows the measuring results for simulating liquid.

Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Conductivity (σ)	Conductivity Target (σ)	Delta (σ) (%)	Limit (%)	Date
2450	MSL	21.5	1.965	1.95	0.77	±5	2018.11.12

Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Liquid Temp. (℃)	Permittivity (εr)	Permittivity Target (εr)	Delta (εr) (%)	Limit (%)	Date
2450	MSL	21.5	52.888	52.70	0.36	±5	2018.11.12

MORLAB
SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **15** of **29**

7   SAR System Verification

➢ **Purpose of System Performance check**

The system performance check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. System and operator errors can be detected and corrected. It is recommended that the system performance check be performed prior to any usage of the system in order to guarantee reproducible results. The system performance check uses normal SAR measurements in a simplified setup with a well characterized source. This setup was selected to give a high sensitivity to all parameters that might fail or vary over time. The system check does not intend to replace the calibration of the components, but indicates situations where the system uncertainty is exceeded due to drift or failure.

➢ **System Setup**

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the EUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave that comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The equipment setup is shown below:

Fig.7.1 Photo of Dipole setup

MORLAB   SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **16** of **29**

> ➢ **System Verification Results**
  After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

<1g SAR>

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Input Power (mW)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	Measured 1g SAR (W/kg)	Targeted 1g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized 1g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
2018.11.12	2450	MSL	100	D2450V2-263	EP80	5.090	50.93	50.9	0.06

<10g SAR>

Date	Frequency (MHz)	Tissue Type	Input Power (mW)	Dipole S/N	Probe S/N	Measured 10g SAR (W/kg)	Targeted 10g SAR (W/kg)	Normalized 10g SAR (W/kg)	Deviation (%)
2018.11.12	2450	MSL	100	D2450V2-263	EP80	2.378	23.26	23.78	-2.19

Note: System checks the specific test data please see Annex C

MORLAB

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **17** of **29**

8   EUT Testing Position

This EUT was tested in six different positions. They are Front/Back/Right Side/Top Side/Bottom Side of the EUT with phantom 10 mm gap, as illustrated below, please refer to Appendix B for the test setup photos.

8.1   SAR Evaluations near the Mouth/Jaw Regions of the SAM Phantom

Antennas located near the bottom of a phone may require SAR measurements around the mouth and jaw regions of the SAM head phantom. This typically applies to clam-shell style phones that are generally longer in the unfolded normal use positions or to certain older style long rectangular phones.

Under these circumstances, the following procedures apply, adopted from the FCC guidance on SAR handsets document FCC KDB Publication 648474 D04v01r03. The SAR required in these regions of SAM should be measured using a flat phantom. The phone should be positioned with a separation distance of 4 mm between the ear reference point (ERP) and the outer surface of the flat phantom shell. While maintaining this distance at the ERP location, the low (bottom) edge of the phone should be lowered from the phantom to establish the same separation distance between the peak SAR locations identified by the truncated partial SAR distribution measured with the SAM phantom. The distance from the peak SAR location to the phone is determined by the straight line passing perpendicularly through the phantom surface. When it is not feasible to maintain 4 mm separation at the ERP while also establishing the required separation at the peak SAR location, the top edge of the phone will be allowed to touch the phantom with a separation < 4 mm at the ERP. The phone should not be tilted to the left or right while placed in this inclined position to the flat phantom.

8.2   Body Worn Accessory Configurations

➢ To position the device parallel to the phantom surface with either keypad up or down.
➢ To adjust the device parallel to the flat phantom.
➢ To adjust the distance between the device surface and the flat phantom to 10 mm or holster surface and the flat phantom to 0 mm.


Fig.8.1 Illustration for Body Worn Position

8.3 Wireless Router (Hotspot) Configurations

Some battery-operated handsets have the capability to transmit and receive internet connectivity through simultaneous transmission of WIFI in conjunction with a separate licensed transmitter. The FCC has provided guidance in KDB Publication 941225 D06 where SAR test considerations for handsets (L x W ≥ 9 cm x 5 cm) are based on a composite test separation distance of 10 mm from the front, back and edges of the device with antennas 2.5 cm or closer to the edge of the device, determined from general mixed use conditions for this type of devices. Since the hotspot SAR results may overlap with the body-worn accessory SAR requirements, the more conservative configurations can be considered, thus excluding some body-worn accessory SAR tests.

When the user enables the personal wireless router functions for the handset, actual operations include simultaneous transmission of both the WIFI transmitter and another licensed transmitter. Both transmitters often do not transmit at the same transmitting frequency and thus cannot be evaluated for SAR under actual use conditions. Therefore, SAR must be evaluated for each frequency transmission and mode separately and summed with the WIFI transmitter according to KDB 648474 publication procedures. The "Portable Hotspot" feature on the handset was NOT activated, to ensure the SAR measurements were evaluated for a single transmission frequency RF signal.


Fig.8.2 Illustration for Hotspot Position

9　Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as bellows:

<Conducted power measurement>
➢ For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transition in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
➢ Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
➢ For WLAN/BT power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
➢ Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter or spectrum analyzer, and measure WLAN/BT output power.

<Conducted power measurement>
➢ Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/BT continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
➢ Place the EUT in positions as Appendix B demonstrates.
➢ Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
➢ Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
➢ Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the Reported SAR or highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

➢ Power reference measurement
➢ Area scan
➢ Zoom scan

9.1　Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

9.2　Area Scan Procedures

Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a $10mm^2$ step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.

When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maxima found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in dB) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2 dB range is required in IEEE1528-2003, EN 50361 and IEC 62209 standards, whereby 3 dB is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan).

MORLAB

SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555　　Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn　　E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **20** of 29

9.3   Zoom Scan Procedures

Zoom Scans are used to assess the peak spatial SAR values within a cubic averaging volume containing 1 g and 10 g of simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m^3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications utilize a physical step of 5x5x7 (8mmx8mmx5mm)providing a volume of 32mm in the X & Y axis, and 30mm in the Z axis.

9.4   SAR Averaged Methods

In SATIMO, the interpolation and extrapolation are both based on the modified Quadratic Sheppard's method. The interpolation scheme combines a least-square fitted function method and a weighted average method which are the two basic types of computational interpolation and approximation.

Extrapolation routines are used to obtain SAR values between the lowest measurement points and the inner phantom surface. The extrapolation distance is determined by the surface detection distance and the probe sensor offset. The uncertainty increases with the extrapolation distance. To keep the uncertainty within 1% for the 1g and 10g cubes, the extrapolation distance should not be larger than 5 mm.

10 Conducted RF Output Power

10.1 WLAN 2.4 GHz Band Conducted Power

	Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Average power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit	Power Setting	Duty Cycle %
2.4GHz WLAN	802.11b 1Mbps	CH 1	2412	17.28	17.50	40	98.20
		CH 6	2437	18.57	19.00	40	
		CH 11	2462	**18.71**	**19.00**	40	
	802.11g 6Mbps	CH 1	2412	11.97	12.50	40	91.28
		CH 6	2437	13.55	14.00	40	
		CH 11	2462	**13.81**	**14.00**	40	
	802.11n-HT20 MCS0	CH 1	2412	11.78	12.00	40	96.59
		CH 6	2437	13.30	13.50	40	
		CH 11	2462	13.02	13.50	40	
	802.11n-HT40 MCS0	CH 3	2422	11.79	12.00	40	89.36
		CH 6	2437	12.56	13.00	40	
		CH 9	2452	12.90	13.50	40	

Note:
1. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, choose the highest output power channel to test SAR and determine further SAR exclusion.
2. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, In the 2.4 GHz band, separate SAR procedures are applied to DSSS and OFDM configurations to simplify DSSS test requirements.SAR is not required for the following 2.4 GHz OFDM conditions:
   1) When KDB Publication 447498 SAR test exclusion applies to the OFDM configuration.
   2) When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg.
3. The output power of all data rate were pre-scan, just the worst case (the lowest data rate) of all mode were shown in report.
4. Per KDB 248227 D01V02r02 section 2.2, when the EUT in continuously transmitting mode, the actual duty cycle is 98.20%, so the duty cycle factor is 0.08.

11 Exposure Positions Consideration

11.1 EUT Antenna Locations

Fig.11.1 EUT Antenna Locations

11.2 Test Positions Consideration

Distance of Antennas to EUT edge/surface Test distance: 10mm						
Antennas	**Back**	**Front**	**Top Side**	**Bottom Side**	**Right Side**	**Left Side**
WLAN	<25mm	<25mm	<25mm	<25mm	<25mm	<25mm

Test Positions Test distance: 10mm						
Antennas	**Back**	**Front**	**Top Side**	**Bottom Side**	**Right Side**	**Left Side**
WLAN	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes	Yes

Note:
1. Body-worn mode SAR assessments are required.
2. Referring to KDB 941225 D06 v02r01, when the overall device length and width are < 9cm * 5cm, the test distance is 5mm. SAR must be measured for all sides and surfaces with a transmitting antenna located within 25mm from that surface or edge.
3. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for handsets the test separation distance is determined by the smallest distance between the outer surface of the device and the user, which is 5 mm for body-worn SAR.

12 SAR Test Results Summary

12.1 Standalone Body SAR

➢ WLAN 2.4 GHz Body SAR

Plot No.	Band/Mode	Test Position	Gap.	CH.	Ave. Power (dBm)	Tune-Up Limit (dBm)	Tune-Up Scaling Factor	Duty Cycle Scaling Factor	Meas. SAR$_{1g}$ (W/kg)	Reported SAR$_{1g}$ (W/kg)
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Front	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.553	0.602
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Back	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.106	0.115
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Left	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.037	0.040
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Right	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.084	0.091
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.970	1.056
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	5mm	1	17.28	17.50	1.052	1.018	0.457	0.489
1#	2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	5mm	6	18.57	19.00	1.104	1.018	1.130	**1.270**
	2.4GHz/802.11b	**Top**	**5mm**	**6**	**18.57**	**19.00**	**1.104**	**1.018**	**0.956**	**1.074**
	2.4GHz/802.11b	Bottom	5mm	11	18.71	19.00	1.069	1.018	0.037	0.040

Note:
1. Per KDB 447498 D01v06, for each exposure position, if the highest output channel Reported SAR ≤0.8W/kg, other channels SAR testing is not necessary.
2. Additional WLAN SAR testing was performed for simultaneous transmission analysis.
3. Per KDB 865664 D01v01r04, for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required when the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/kg.
4. According to KDB 865664 D02v01r02, SAR plot is required for the highest measured SAR in each exposure configuration, wireless mode and frequency band combination.
5. Per KDB 248227 D01v02r02, OFDM SAR is not required when the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is ≤ 1.2 W/kg. Cuz the maximum output power specified for OFDM and DSSS are 24.04mW(13.81dBm) and 74.30mW(18.71dBm), the scaled SAR would be 1.27×(24.04/74.30)=0.41W/Kg<1.2 W/kg, therefore, SAR is not required for OFDM.
6. Highlight part of test data means repeated test.

12.2 Repeated SAR measurement

Band/ Mode	Test Position	CH.	Freq. (MHz)	Measured SAR (W/kg)				
				Original	1st Repeated		2nd Repeated	
					Value	Ratio	Value	Ratio
2.4GHz/802.11b	Top	6	2437	1.130	0.956	1.18	/	/

Note:
1. Per KDB 865664 D01v01r04, for each frequency band, repeated SAR measurement is required only when the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/kg
2. Per KDB 865664 D01v01r04, if the ratio of *original* and *repeated* is ≤ 1.2and the measured SAR <1.45W/kg,only one repeated measurement is required.

12.3 SAR Simultaneous Transmission Analysis

This DUT supports 2.4GHz WLAN according to the network signal condition, therefore, it will not transmit simultaneously.

MORLAB
SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **25** of **29**

12.4 Measurement Uncertainty

The component of uncertainly may generally be categorized according to the methods used to evaluate them. The evaluation of uncertainly by the statistical analysis of a series of observations is termed a Type A evaluation of uncertainty. The evaluation of uncertainty by means other than the statistical analysis of a series of observation is termed a Type B evaluation of uncertainty. Each component of uncertainty, however evaluated, is represented by an estimated standard deviation, termed standard uncertainty, which is determined by the positive square root of the estimated variance.

A Type A evaluation of standard uncertainty may be based on any valid statistical method for treating data. This includes calculating the standard deviation of the mean of a series of independent observations; using the method of least squares to fit a curve to the data in order to estimate the parameter of the curve and their standard deviations; or carrying out an analysis of variance in order to identify and quantify random effects in certain kinds of measurement.

A Type B evaluation of standard uncertainty is typically based on scientific judgment using all of the relevant information available. These may include previous measurement data, experience, and knowledge of the behavior and properties of relevant materials and instruments, manufacture's specification, data provided in calibration reports and uncertainties assigned to reference data taken from handbooks. Broadly speaking, the uncertainty is either obtained from an outdoor source or obtained from an assumed distribution, such as the normal distribution,rectangular or triangular distributions indicated in below Table.

Uncertainty Distributions	Normal	Rectangular	Triangular	U-Shape
Multi-plying Factor	$1/k(b)$	$1/\sqrt{3}$	$1/\sqrt{6}$	$1/\sqrt{2}$

Standard Uncertainty for Assumed Distribution

The combined standard uncertainty of the measurement result represents the estimated standard deviation of the result. It is obtained by combining the individual standard uncertainties of both Type A and Type B evaluation using the usual "root-sum-squares" (RSS) methods of combining standard deviations by taking the positive square root of the estimated variances.

Expanded uncertainty is a measure of uncertainty that defines an interval about the measurement result within which the measured value is confidently believed to lie. It is obtained by multiplying the combined standard uncertainty by a coverage factor. Typically, the coverage factor ranges from 2 to 3. Using a coverage factor allows the true value of a measured quantity to be specified with a defined probability within the specified uncertainty range. For purpose of this document, a coverage factor two is used, which corresponds to confidence interval of about 95 %. The SATIMO uncertainty Budget is shown in the following tables.

Uncertainty Evaluation For Handset SAR Test

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/e	j
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	5.83	N	1	1	1	5.83	5.83	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.02	2.02	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.41	3.41	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation Response	E.2.4	4.1	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.4	2.4	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	3.0	3.0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	2.3	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.33	1.33	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.1	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	N-1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.1	3.0	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0	N-1
Output power Power drift - SAR drift measurement	6.6.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.13	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	2.5	N	1	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.15	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.0	N	1	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.90	M
Liquid conductivity –temperature uncertainty	E.3.4		R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.41			∞

Liquid permittivity –temperature uncertainty	E.3.4		R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.23	0.26			∞
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				11.55	12.07	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				±23.20	±24.17	

Uncertainty For System Performance Check

a	b	c	d	e= f(d,k)	f	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	Vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1	1	1	4.76	4.76	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.44	1.41	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.32	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1	1	1	0.02	0.02	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.03	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole									
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4.2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.33	∞
Phantom and Tissue Parameters									
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.03	0.03	∞
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.13	∞

Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.24	M
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.04	∞
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.83	M
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				8.83	8.37	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				17.66	16.73	

12.5 Measurement Conclusion

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the CE, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested. Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

MORLAB
SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.
FL1-3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road,
Block67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen , GuangDong Province, P. R. China

Tel: 86-755-36698555   Fax: 86-755-36698525

Http://www.morlab.cn   E-mail: service@morlab.cn

Page **29** of **29**