

# **SAR Test Report**

Report No.: AGC01110231241FH01A

**FCC ID** : 2AOKB-A6611L

**APPLICATION PURPOSE**: Class II Permissive Change

**PRODUCT DESIGNATION**: Wireless Headphone

**BRAND NAME**: soundcore

MODEL NAME : A6611L

**APPLICANT**: Anker Innovations Limited

**DATE OF ISSUE** : Jun. 24, 2024

IEEE Std. 1528:2013

**STANDARD(S)** : FCC 47 CFR Part 2§2.1093

IEEE Std C95.1 ™-2005

**REPORT VERSION**: V1.0

Attestation of Global Confilmer (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd.



Page 2 of 43

# **Report Revise Record**

Report Version	Revise Time	Issued Date	Valid Version	Notes	
V1.0	/	Jun. 24, 2024	Valid	Initial Release	

Note: The original test report AGC01110231241FH01 (dated on Mar. 11, 2024 and tested on Feb. 29, 2024) was modified on Jun. 24, 2024, including the following changes and additions:

For above described changes, added all the test data for the new battery.

<sup>-</sup>Added the battery of earphone, the batteries are the same except for the manufacturer and model name

<sup>-</sup>Changed address of applicant and manufacturer




Page 3 of 43

Test Report				
Applicant Name	Anker Innovations Limited			
Applicant Address	Unit 56, 8th Floor, Tower 2, Admiralty Centre, 18 Harcourt Road, Hong Kong			
Manufacturer Name	Anker Innovations Limited			
Manufacturer Address	Unit 56, 8th Floor, Tower 2, Admiralty Centre, 18 Harcourt Road, Hong Kong			
Factory Name	N/A			
Factory Address	N/A			
Product Designation	Wireless Headphone			
Brand Name	soundcore			
Model Name	A6611L			
EUT Voltage	DC 3.85V by battery			
Applicable Standard	IEEE Std. 1528:2013 FCC 47 CFR Part 2§2.1093 IEEE Std C95.1 ™-2005			
Date of receipt of test item	Jun. 04, 2024			
Test Date	Feb. 29, 2024 to Jun. 17, 2024			
Report Template	AGCRT-US-2.4GHz BT/SAR (2021-04-20)			

Note: The results of testing in this report apply to the product/system which was tested only.

	Thea Huai	ng
Prepared By	Thea Huang (Project Engineer)	Jun. 24, 2024
	Calin Li	<b>I</b>
Reviewed By	Calvin Liu (Reviewer)	Jun. 24, 2024
Approved By	Max Zhang	
	Max Zhang (Authorized Officer)	Jun. 24, 2024





### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1. SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE	5
2. GENERAL INFORMATION	6
2.1. EUT DESCRIPTION	6
3. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM	7
3.1. THE SATIMO SYSTEM USED FOR PERFORMING COMPLIANCE TESTS CONSISTS OF FOLLOWING ITEMS 3.2. COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE	8 9 10
4. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE	12
4.1. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)	13
5. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID	17
5.1. THE COMPOSITION OF THE TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID	17
6. SAR SYSTEM CHECK PROCEDURE	19
6.1. SAR SYSTEM CHECK PROCEDURES	
7. EUT TEST POSITION	22
7.1. TEST POSITION	22
8. SAR EXPOSURE LIMITS	23
9. TEST FACILITY	24
10. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST	_
11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY	26
12. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT	32
13. TEST RESULTS	
13.1. SAR Test Results Summary	
APPENDIX A. SAR SYSTEM CHECK DATA	
APPENDIX B. SAR MEASUREMENT DATA	
APPENDIX C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS	
APPENDIX D. CALIBRATION DATA	43



Page 5 of 43

### 1. SUMMARY OF MAXIMUM SAR VALUE

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for EUT are as follows:

Frequency Band	Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg) Head SAR (with 0mm separation)	SAR Test Limit (W/kg)						
	Original battery							
BT(BR/EDR)	0.262	1.6						
	Added battery							
BT(BR/EDR)	0.337	1.6						
SAR Test Result	PASS							

This device is compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6W/kg) specified in IEEE Std. 1528:2013; FCC 47CFR § 2.1093; IEEE/ANSI C95.1:2005 and the following specific FCC Test Procedures:

- KDB 447498 D04 General RF Exposure Guidance v01
- KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100MHz to 6GHz v01r04



Page 6 of 43

# 2. GENERAL INFORMATION

2.1. EUT Description

General Information	
Product Designation	Wireless Headphone
Test Model	A6611L
Hardware Version	D
Software Version	V0.09
Sample ID	240603007
Device Category	Portable
RF Exposure Environment	Uncontrolled
Antenna Type	LDS Antenna
Bluetooth	·
Operation Frequency	2402~2480MHz
Antenna Gain	-4.2dBi
Bluetooth Version	V5.3
Type of modulation	BR/EDR: GFSK, ∏/4-DQPSK, 8-DPSK; BLE: GFSK
Max. PK Power (dBm)	BR/EDR: 7.799dBm; BLE: 3.958dBm
Original Battery	·
Model name	ZWD1040S1H
Rated Voltage & Cap.	3.85V 38mAh
Manufacturer name	Guangdong Mic-power New Energy Co., Ltd.
Added Battery	
Model name	VDL 1040W7
Rated Voltage & Cap.	3.85V 38mAh
Manufacturer name	Chongqing VDL Electronics Co., LTD.

Note: 1.The sample used for testing is end product.


2. The test sample has no any deviation to the test method of standard mentioned in page 1.






### 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM

# 3.1. The SATIMO system used for performing compliance tests consists of following items



The COMOSAR system for performing compliance tests consists of the following items:

- The PC. It controls most of the bench devices and stores measurement data. A computer running WinXP and the Opensar software.
- The E-Field probe. The probe is a 3-axis system made of 3 distinct dipoles. Each dipole returns a voltage in function of the ambient electric field.
- The Keithley multimeter measures each probe dipole voltages.
- The SAM phantom simulates a human head. The measurement of the electric field is made inside the phantom.
- The liquids simulate the dielectric properties of the human head tissues.
- The network emulator controls the mobile phone under test.
- The validation dipoles are used to measure a reference SAR. They are used to periodically check the bench to make sure that there is no drift of the system characteristics over time.
- •The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.





### 3.2. COMOSAR E-Field Probe

The SAR measurement is conducted with the dosimetric probe manufactured by SATIMO. The probe is specially designed and calibrated for use in liquid with high permittivity. The dosimetric probe has special calibration in liquid at different frequency. SATIMO conducts the probe calibration in compliance with international and national standards (e.g. IEEE1528 etc.) Under ISO17025. The calibration data are in Appendix D.

**Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification** 

100 til 0 pro = 1 10.0	Probe Specification	
Model	SSE2	
Manufacture	MVG	
Identification No.	2023-EPGO-414	
Frequency	0.15GHz-7.5GHz Linearity:±0.09dB(0.15GHz-7.5GHz)	
Dynamic Range	0.01W/kg-100W/kg Linearity:±0.09dB	
Dimensions	Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:24.5mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:2.55mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:12.7mm	
Application	High precision dosimetric measureme (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only compliance testing for frequencies up 30%.	probe which enables

Model	SSE2	
Manufacture	MVG	
Identification No.	2023-EPGO-414	
Frequency	0.15GHz-7.5GHz Linearity:±0.09dB(0.15GHz-7.5GHz)	
Dynamic Range	0.01W/kg-100W/kg Linearity:±0.09dB	
Dimensions	Overall length:330mm Length of individual dipoles:2mm Maximum external diameter:8mm Probe Tip external diameter:2.5mm Distance between dipoles/ probe extremity:1mm	
Application	High precision dosimetric measuremen (e.g., very strong gradient fields). Only compliance testing for frequencies up to 30%.	probe which enables



Page 9 of 43

### 3.3. Robot

The COMOSAR system uses the KUKA robot from SATIMO SA (France). For the 6-axis controller COMOSAR system, the KUKA robot controller version from SATIMO is used.

The XL robot series have many features that are important for our application:

☐ High precision (repeatability 0.02 mm)

☐ High reliability (industrial design)

☐ Jerk-free straight movements

□ Low ELF interference (the closed metallic

construction shields against motor control fields)


☐ 6-axis controller



# 3.4. Video Positioning System

The video positioning system is used in OpenSAR to check the probe. Which is composed of a camera, LED, mirror and mechanical parts. The camera is piloted by the main computer with firewire link. During the process, the actual position of the probe tip with respect to the robot arm is measured, as well as the probe length and the horizontal probe offset. The software then corrects all movements, such that the robot coordinates are valid for the probe tip.

The repeatability of this process is better than 0.1 mm. If a position has been taught with an aligned probe, the same position will be reached with another aligned probe within 0.1 mm, even if the other probe has different dimensions. During probe rotations, the probe tip will keep its actual position.





Page 10 of 43


### 3.5. Device Holder

The COMOSAR device holder is designed to cope with different positions given in the standard. It has two scales for the device rotation (with respect to the body axis) and the device inclination (with respect to the line between the ear reference points). The rotation center for both scales is the ear reference point (EPR).

Thus the device needs no repositioning when changing the angles.

The COMOSAR device holder has been made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity

 $\epsilon r=3$  and loss tangent  $\delta=0.02$ . The amount of dielectric material has been reduced in the closest vicinity of the device, since measurements have suggested that the influence of the clamp on the test results could thus be lowered.





Page 11 of 43

### 3.6. SAM Twin Phantom

The SAM twin phantom is a fiberglass shell phantom with 2mm shell thickness (except the ear region where shell thickness increases to 6mm). It has three measurement areas:

□ Left head

☐ Right head

☐ Flat phantom



The bottom plate contains three pair of bolts for locking the device holder. The device holder positions are adjusted to the standard measurement positions in the three sections. A white cover is provided to tap the phantom during off-periods to prevent water evaporation and changes in the liquid parameters. On the phantom top, three reference markers are provided to identify the phantom position with respect to the robot.



Page 12 of 43

### 4. SAR MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE

### 4.1. Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and occupational/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element(dv) of given mass density ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR can be obtained using either of the following equations:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

$$SAR = c_h \frac{dT}{dt}\Big|_{t=0}$$

Where

SAR is the specific absorption rate in watts per kilogram;

E is the r.m.s. value of the electric field strength in the tissue in volts per meter;

 $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue in siemens per metre;

ρ is the density of the tissue in kilograms per cubic metre;

c<sub>h</sub> is the heat capacity of the tissue in joules per kilogram and Kelvin;

 $\frac{dT}{dt}$  | t = 0 is the initial time derivative of temperature in the tissue in kelvins per second



Page 13 of 43

### 4.2. SAR Measurement Procedure

### Step 1: Power Reference Measurement

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurement are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface is 2.7mm This distance cannot be smaller than the distance os sensor calibration points to probe tip as `defined in the probe properties,

### Step 2: Area Scan

The Area Scan is used as a fast scan in two dimensions to find the area of high field values, before doing a fine measurement around the hot spot. The sophisticated interpolation routines implemented in SATIMO software can find the maximum locations even in relatively coarse grids. When an Area Scan has measured all reachable points, it computes the field maximal found in the scanned area, within a range of the global maximum. The range (in db) is specified in the standards for compliance testing. For example, a 2db range is required in IEEE Standard 1528 and IEC62209 standards, whereby 3db is a requirement when compliance is assessed in accordance with the ARIB standard (Japan) If one Zoom Scan follows the Area Scan, then only the absolute maximum will be taken as reference. For cases where multiple maximum are detected, the number of Zoom Scan has to be increased accordingly.

Area Scan Parameters extracted from KDB 865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100MHz to 6GHz

	≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz		
Maximum distance from closest measurement point (geometric center of probe sensors) to phantom surface	5 ± 1 mm	½·δ·ln(2) ± 0.5 mm		
Maximum probe angle from probe axis to phantom surface normal at the measurement location	30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°		
	≤2 GHz: ≤15 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤12 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 12 mm 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 10 mm		
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: Δx <sub>Area</sub> , Δy <sub>Area</sub>	When the x or y dimension of the test device, in the measurement plane orientation, is smaller than the above, the measurement resolution must be $\leq$ the corresponding x or y dimension of the test device with at least one measurement point on the test device.			

### Step 3: Zoom Scan

Zoom Scan are used to assess the peak spatial SAR value within a cubic average volume containing 1g abd 10g of simulated tissue. The Zoom Scan measures points(refer to table below) within a cube whose base faces are centered on the maxima found in a preceding area scan job within the same procedure. When the measurement is done, the Zoom Scan evaluates the averaged SAR for 1g and 10g and displays these values next to the job's label.



Page 14 of 43

### Zoom Scan Parameters extracted from KDB865664 d01 SAR Measurement 100MHz to 6GHz

Maximum zoom scan s	patial reso	lution: Δx <sub>Zoom</sub> , Δy <sub>Zoom</sub>	≤ 2 GHz: ≤ 8 mm 2 – 3 GHz: ≤ 5 mm*	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 5 mm* 4 – 6 GHz: ≤ 4 mm*
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	uniform	grid: Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (n)	≤ 5 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 4 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
	graded	Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (1): between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≤ 3 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≤ 2.5 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≤ 2 mm
	grid $\Delta z_{Zoom}(n>1)$ : between subsequent points	between subsequent	≤ 1.5·Δz	Zoom(n-1)
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		≥ 30 mm	3 – 4 GHz: ≥ 28 mm 4 – 5 GHz: ≥ 25 mm 5 – 6 GHz: ≥ 22 mm

Note: δ is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.

### Step 4: Power Drift Measurement

The Power Drift Measurement measures the field at the same location as the most recent power reference measurement within the same procedure, and with the same settings. The Power Drift Measurement gives the field difference in dB from the reading conducted within the same settings. This allows a user to monitor the power drift of the device under test within a batch process. The measurement procedure is the same as Step 1.

<sup>\*</sup> When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the area scan based 1-g SAR estimation procedures of KDB 447498 is ≤ 1.4 W/kg, ≤ 8 mm, ≤ 7 mm and ≤ 5 mm zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.



Page 15 of 43


### 4.3. RF Exposure Conditions

Test Configuration and setting:

The device is a bluetooth headset, and supports bluetooth wireless technology.

For SAR testing, the device was controlled by software to test at reference fixed frequency points.

### **Antenna Location:**





Page 16 of 43

### **SAR Test Exclusion Consideration for Adjacent Edges** Per KDB 447498 D04 Appendix B:

B.3 MPE-based Exemption: For mobile devices that are not exempt per Table B.1 [Table 1 of § 1.1307(b)(1)(i)(C)] at distances from 20 cm to 40 cm and in 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz, evaluation of compliance with the exposure limits in § 1.1310 is necessary if the ERP of the device is greater than ERP 20cm in Formula (B.1) [repeated from § 2.1091(c)(1) and § 1.1307(b)(1)(i)(B)].

$$P_{\text{th}} (\text{mW}) = ERP_{20 \text{ cm}} (\text{mW}) = \begin{cases} 2040f & 0.3 \text{ GHz} \le f < 1.5 \text{ GHz} \\ 3060 & 1.5 \text{ GHz} \le f \le 6 \text{ GHz} \end{cases}$$
(B. 1)

B.4 SAR-based Exemption: This method shall only be used at separation distances from 0.5 cm to 40 cm and at frequencies from 0.3 GHz to 6 GHz (inclusive). Pth is given by Formula (B.2).

$$P_{\rm th} ({\rm mW}) = \begin{cases} ERP_{\rm 20~cm} (d/20~{\rm cm})^x & d \le 20~{\rm cm} \\ \\ ERP_{\rm 20~cm} & 20~{\rm cm} < d \le 40~{\rm cm} \end{cases}$$
(B. 2)

where

$$x = -\log_{10}\left(\frac{60}{ERP_{20 \text{ cm}}\sqrt{f}}\right)$$

and f is in GHz, d is the separation distance (cm), and  $ERP_{20cm}$  is per Formula (B.1). The example values shown in Table B.2 are for illustration only.

Table B.2—Example Power Thresholds (mW)

	Table B.2 - Example Tower Thresholds (htw)										
		Distance (mm)									
		5	10	15	20	25	30	35	40	45	50
$\widehat{\mathbf{z}}$	300	39	65	88	110	129	148	166	184	201	217
(MHz)	450	22	44	67	89	112	135	158	180	203	226
	835	9	25	44	66	90	116	145	175	207	240
enc	1900	3	12	26	44	66	92	122	157	195	236
Frequency	2450	3	10	22	38	59	83	111	143	179	219
E	3600	2	8	18	32	49	71	96	125	158	195
	5800	1	6	14	25	40	58	80	106	136	169

Test mode	Ch.(GHz)	Distance (mm)	х	P <sub>th</sub> (mW)	Max.power (dBm)	Max.power (mW)	SAR test (Yes/No)
BR/EDR	2.480	5	1.905	2.717	7.799	6.024	Yes
BT (BLE) _GFSK_1M	2.480	5	1.905	2.717	3.566	2.273	No
BT (BLE) _GFSK_2M	2.480	5	1.905	2.717	3.958	2.488	No



Page 17 of 43

### 5. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUID

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15cm. For head SAR testing the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15cm For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in 5.2

5.1. The composition of the tissue simulating liquid

Ingredient (% Weight) Frequency (MHz)	Water	Nacl	Polysorbate 20	DGBE	1,2 Propanediol	Triton X-100
2450 Head	71.88	0.16	0.0	7.99	0.0	19.97

### 5.2. Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE 1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in IEEE 1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in IEEE 1528.

Target Frequency	h	ead	bo	ody
(MHz)	εr	σ (S/m)	εr	σ (S/m)
300	45.3	0.87	45.3	0.87
450	43.5	0.87	43.5	0.87
835	41.5	0.90	41.5	0.90
900	41.5	0.97	41.5	0.97
915	41.5	1.01	41.5	1.01
1450	40.5	1.20	40.5	1.20
1610	40.3	1.29	40.3	1.29
1800 – 2000	40.0	1.40	40.0	1.40
2450	39.2	1.80	39.2	1.80
3000	38.5	2.40	38.5	2.40

( $\epsilon r = relative permittivity, \sigma = conductivity and \rho = 1000 kg/m3)$ 



Page 18 of 43

### 5.3. Tissue Calibration Result

The dielectric parameters of the liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using SATIMO Dielectric Probe Kit and R&S Network Analyzer ZVL6.

	Tissue Stimulant Measurement for 2450MHz								
	Fr.	Dielectric Para	Dielectric Parameters (±10%)						
	(MHz)	εr39.2(35.28-43.12)	δ[s/m]1.80(1.62-1.98)	Temp [°C]	Test time				
Head	2402	40.13	1.79						
	2441	39.82	1.80	19.7	Feb. 29, 2024				
	2450	39.27	1.81	19.7	1 60. 29, 2024				
	2480	38.63	1.83						

	Tissue Stimulant Measurement for 2450MHz								
	Fr.	Dielectric Para	ameters (±10%)	Tissue	To ad dissa				
	(MHz)	εr39.2(35.28-43.12)	δ[s/m]1.80(1.62-1.98)	Temp [°C]	Test time				
Head	2402	40.36	1.73						
	2441	39.67	1.75	21.0	Jun. 17, 2024				
	2450	38.52	1.76	21.0	Juli. 17, 2024				
	2480	37.91	1.81						



Page 19 of 43


### 6. SAR SYSTEM CHECK PROCEDURE

### 6.1. SAR System Check Procedures

SAR system check is required to confirm measurement accuracy, according to the tissue dielectric media, probe calibration points and other system operating parameters required for measuring the SAR of a test device. The system verification must be performed for each frequency band and within the valid range of each probe calibration point required for testing the device. The same SAR probe(s) and tissue-equivalent media combinations used with each specific SAR system for system verification must be used for device testing. When multiple probe calibration points are required to cover substantially large transmission bands, independent system verifications are required for each probe calibration point. A system verification must be performed before each series of SAR measurements using the same probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium. Additional system verification should be considered according to the conditions of the tissue-equivalent medium and measured tissue dielectric parameters, typically every three to four days when the liquid parameters are remeasured or sooner when marginal liquid parameters are used at the beginning of a series of measurements.

Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system check kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable the user to conduct the system check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.


The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system check setup is shown as below.





Page 20 of 43

# 6.2. SAR System Check 6.2.1. Dipoles



The dipoles are based on the IEEE-1528 standard, and are complied with mechanical and electrical specifications in line with the requirements of IEEE. the table below provides details for the mechanical and electrical Specifications for the dipoles.

Frequency	L (mm)	h (mm)	d (mm)
2450MHz	51.5	30.4	3.6



Page 21 of 43

### 6.2.2. System Check Result

System Per	System Performance Check at 2450MHz for Head									
Validation I	Validation Kit: SN 29/15 DIP 2G450-393									
Frequency		get (W/kg)	Reference (± 10			alized (W/kg)	Tissue Temp.	Test time		
[MHz]	1g	10g	1g	10g	1g	10g	[°C]			
2450	54.32	24.25	48.888-59.752	21.825-26.675	52.89	23.69	19.7	Feb. 29, 2024		
2450	54.32	24.25	48.888-59.752	21.825-26.675	52.53	23.70	21.0	Jun. 17, 2024		

#### Note:

(1) We use a CW signal of 18dBm for system check, and then all SAR values are normalized to 1W forward power. The result must be within  $\pm 10\%$  of target value.



Page 22 of 43

### 7. EUT TEST POSITION

This EUT was tested in Back, Front.

### 7.1. Test Position

- (1) To position the EUT parallel to the phantom surface.
- (2) To adjust the EUT parallel to the flat phantom.
- (3) To adjust the distance between the EUT surface and the flat phantom to 0mm.



Page 23 of 43

### 8. SAR EXPOSURE LIMITS

Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

	\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
Type Exposure	Uncontrolled Environment Limit (W/kg)
Spatial Peak SAR (1 g cube tissue for brain or body)	1.60
Spatial Average SAR (Whole body)	0.08
Spatial Peak SAR (Limbs)	4.0



Page 24 of 43

### 9. TEST FACILITY

Test Site	Attestation of Global Compliance (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd
Location	1-2/F, Building 19, Junfeng Industrial Park, Chongqing Road, Heping Community, Fuhai Street, Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China
Designation Number	CN1259
FCC Test Firm Registration Number	975832
A2LA Cert. No.	5054.02
Description	Attestation of Global Compliance(Shenzhen) Co., Ltd is accredited by A2LA



Page 25 of 43

# **10. TEST EQUIPMENT LIST**

Equipment description	Manufacturer/ Model	Identification No.	Software version	Current calibration date	Next calibration date
SAR Probe	MVG	2023-EPGO-414	N/A	May 31, 2023	May 30, 2024
SAR Probe	MVG	2023-EPGO-414 2023-EPGO-414	N/A		•
SAR Probe	MVG	2023-EPGO-414	IN/A	Apr. 30, 2024 Validated. No cal	Apr. 29, 2025 Validated. No cal
Phantom	SATIMO	SN_4511_SAM90	N/A	required.	required.
Liquid	SATIMO	N/A	N/A	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1350784	N/A	Jun. 02, 2023	Jun. 01, 2024
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1350784	N/A	May 24, 2024	May 23, 2025
SAR Software	SATIMO-OpenSAR	N/A	OpenSAR V4_02_32	N/A	N/A
Dipole	SATIMO SID2450	SN 29/15 DIP 2G450-393	N/A	Apr. 28, 2022	Apr. 27, 2025
Signal Generator	Agilent-E4438C	US41461365	V5.03	Jun. 01, 2023	May 31, 2024
Signal Generator	Agilent-E4438C	US41461365	V5.03	May 24, 2024	May 23, 2025
EXA Signal Analyzer	Agilent / N9010A	MY53470504	N/A	Jun. 01, 2023	May 31, 2024
EXA Signal Analyzer	Agilent / N9010A	MY53470504	N/A	May 28, 2024	May 27, 2025
Network Analyzer	Rhode & Schwarz ZVL6	101443	3.2	Sep. 21, 2023	Sep. 20, 2024
Attenuator	Warison /WATT-6SR1211	S/N:WRJ34AYM2F1	N/A	June 07, 2023	June 06, 2024
Attenuator	Warison /WATT-6SR1211	S/N:WRJ34AYM2F1	N/A	June 06, 2024	June 05, 2025
Attenuator	Mini-circuits / VAT-10+	31405	N/A	June 07, 2023	June 06, 2024
Attenuator	Mini-circuits / VAT-10+	31405	N/A	June 06, 2024	June 05, 2025
Amplifier	AS0104-55_55	1004793	N/A	N/A	N/A
Directional Couple	Werlatone/ C5571-10	SN99463	N/A	Feb. 01, 2024	Jan. 31, 2026
Directional Couple	Werlatone/ C6026-10	SN99482	N/A	Feb. 01, 2024	Jan. 31, 2026
Power Sensor	NRP-Z21	1137.6000.02	N/A	Sep. 05, 2023	Sep. 04, 2024
Power Sensor	NRP-Z23	100323	N/A	Jun. 06, 2023	Jun. 05, 2024
Power Sensor	NRP-Z23	100323	N/A	Jun. 05, 2024	Jun. 04, 2025
Power Viewer	R&S	V2.3.1.0		N/A	N/A
Calibration standard parts for network sub - port	R&S/ ZV-Z132	N/A	V2.3.1.0	Nov. 11, 2023	Nov. 10, 2024

Note: Per KDB 865664 Dipole SAR Validation, AGC Lab has adopted 3 years calibration intervals. On annual basis, every measurement dipole has been evaluated and is in compliance with the following criteria:


- 1. There is no physical damage on the dipole;
- 2. System validation with specific dipole is within 10% of calibrated value;
- 3. Return-loss is within 20% of calibrated measurement;
- 4. Impedance is within  $5\Omega$  of calibrated measurement.



Page 26 of 43


### 11. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

11. MEASUREMENT		SATIMO Uno		2023-EDG	7-414				
M	ہ easurement ر					10 gram.			
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System		1 (1 70)	_ Diot.	ı			(1 70)	(1 70)	<u>,L</u>
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.000	N	1	1	1	7.000	7.000	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	0.692	0.692	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	1.732	0.707	0.707	0.692	0.692	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	1.732	1	1	0.577	0.577	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	2.250	R	1.732	1	1	1.299	1.299	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1.000	R	1.732	1	1	0.577	0.577	∞
Modulation response	E2.5	3.000	R	1.732	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	1	1	1	0.021	0.021	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.000	R	1.732	1	1	0.000	0.000	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.400	R	1.732	1	1	0.808	0.808	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3.000	R	1.732	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3.000	R	1.732	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.400	R	1.732	1	1	0.808	0.808	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.400	R	1.732	1	1	0.808	0.808	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.300	R	1.732	1	1	1.328	1.328	∞
Test sample Related									
Test sample positioning	E.4.2	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.60	2.60	∞
Device holder uncertainty	E.4.1	3	N	1	1	1	3.00	3.00	∞
Output power variation—SAR drift measurement	E.2.9	5	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89	8
SAR scaling	E.6.5	5	R	1.732	1	1	2.89	2.89	$\infty$
Phantom and tissue parameter	rs								
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness, and permittivity	E.3.1	4	R	1.732	1	1	2.309	2.309	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.900	1.596	8
Liquid conductivity measurement	E.3.3	4	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.120	2.840	М
Liquid permittivity measurement	E.3.3	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.150	1.300	М
Liquid conductivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	1.732	0.78	0.71	1.126	1.025	∞
Liquid permittivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	1.732	0.23	0.26	0.332	0.375	8
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.616	10.432	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				21.232	20.865	






System		SATIMO Und uncertainty				n / 10 gram			
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System									
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.000	N	1	1	1	7.000	7.000	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	0.979	0.979	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	1.732	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	0.577	0.577	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	2.250	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	1.299	1.299	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1.000	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	0.577	0.577	∞
Modulation response	E2.5	3.000	R	1.732	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	1.000	1.000	1.000	0.021	0.021	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.000	R	1.732	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.400	R	1.732	0.000	0.000	0.000	0.000	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3.000	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	1.732	1.732	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3.000	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	1.732	1.732	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.400	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	0.808	0.808	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.400	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	0.808	0.808	8
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.300	R	1.732	1.000	1.000	1.328	1.328	8
System validation source									
Deviation of experimental dipole from numerical dipole	E.6.4	5	N	1	1	1	5	5	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.4	5	R	1.732	1	1	2.887	2.887	8
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8,E.6.6	2	R	1.732	1	1	1.155	1.155	$\infty$
Phantom and set-up									
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness, and permittivity	E.3.1	4	R	1.732	1	1	2.309	2.309	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.9	1.596	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	E.3.3	4	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	E.3.3	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.3	М
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	E.3.4	2.5	R	1.732	0.78	0.71	1.126	1.025	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	E.3.4	2.5	R	1.732	0.23	0.26	0.332	0.375	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.572	10.387	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				21.143	20.775	





	<u> </u>	SATIMO Uno	ortainty-	2023-EDG	O-414				
Sy	stem Check u					/ 10 gram.			
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System	L	( , , , ,		I		L	( , , , ,	( , , , ,	
Probe calibration drift	E.2.1.3	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.5	0.5	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	1.695	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	2.250	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Modulation response	E2.5	3	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.4	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3	R	√3	0	0	0	0	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.3	R	√3	0	0	0	0.00	∞
System check source (dipole)			•	•		1	•		
Deviation of experimental dipoles	E.6.4	2	N	1	1	1	2	2	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.4	5	R	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8,E.6.6	2	R	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and tissue parameter	rs								
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness,	E.3.1	4	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
and permittivity Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1.000	1	0.84	1.90	1.60	∞
Liquid conductivity measurement	E.3.3	4	N	1.000	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	∞
Liquid permittivity measurement	E.3.3	5	N	1.000	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	М
Liquid conductivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	√3	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.02	8
Liquid permittivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.33	0.38	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				5.562	5.203	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				11.124	10.406	






M	easurement (	SATIMO Und				10 gram.			
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System		( <del>T</del> - /0)	Dist.				( <del>*</del> -70)	( <del>*</del> -70)	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.000	N	1	1	1	7.000	7.000	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	$\sqrt{3}$	√0.5	√0.5	0.037	0.037	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	$\sqrt{3}$	√0.5	√0.5	0.037	0.037	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.577	0.577	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.890	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.514	0.514	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.577	0.577	∞
Modulation response	E2.5	3.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	1	1	1	0.021	0.021	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.000	0.000	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.400	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.808	0.808	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3.000	R	√3	1	1	1.732	1.732	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.400	R	√3	1	1	0.808	0.808	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.400	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.808	0.808	8
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.300	R	√3	1	1	1.328	1.328	∞
Test sample Related		•	•	•	•	•	•	•	•
Test sample positioning	E.4.2	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.600	2.600	$\infty$
Device holder uncertainty	E.4.1	3	N	1	1	1	3.000	3.000	∞
Output power variation—SAR drift measurement	E.2.9	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.887	2.887	8
SAR scaling	E.6.5	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.887	2.887	8
Phantom and tissue parameter	s								
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness, and permittivity	E.3.1	4	R	√3	1	1	2.309	2.309	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.900	1.596	∞
Liquid conductivity measurement	E.3.3	4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.78	0.71	3.120	2.840	8
Liquid permittivity measurement	E.3.3	5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.150	1.300	М
Liquid conductivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	√3	0.23	0.26	1.126	1.025	8
Liquid permittivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.332	0.375	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.526	10.341	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				21.052	20.682	





System		ATIMO Und				n / 10 gram			
	Sec.	Tol	Prob.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui	10g Ui	vi
Uncertainty Component  Measurement System		(+- %)	Dist.		- ( 3/	- ( -3)	(+-%)	(+-%)	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	7.000	N	1	1	1	7.000	7.000	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	√3	1	1	0.052	0.052	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.000	0.000	8
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.577	0.577	8
Linearity	E.2.4	0.890	R	√3	1	1	0.514	0.514	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1.0	R	√3	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
Modulation response	E2.5	3.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	1	1	1	0.021	0.021	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.4	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3.0	R	√3	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
Probe positioner mechanical tolerance	E.6.2	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.3	R	√3	1	1	1.33	1.33	8
System validation source									
Deviation of experimental dipole from numerical dipole	E.6.4	5.0	N	1	1	1	5.00	5.00	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.4	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	∞
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8,E.6.6	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and set-up									
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness, and permittivity	E.3.1	4.0	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	∞
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.90	1.60	∞
Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	E.3.3	2.5	R	√3	0.78	0.71	1.13	1.02	∞
Liquid conductivity (measured)	E.3.3	4	N	1	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	М
Liquid permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	E.3.4	2.5	R	√3	0.23	0.26	0.33	0.38	∞
Liquid permittivity (measured)	E.3.4	5	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				10.459	10.272	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				20.917	20.545	





SATIMO Uncertainty- 2023-EPGO-414 System Check uncertainty for DUT averaged over 1 gram / 10 gram.									
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob. Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+-%)	vi
Measurement System		(1 /0)	Dist.	l		<u> </u>	(1 70)	(1 70)	
Probe calibration drift	E.2.1.3	0.500	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	×
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	×
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	0.090	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.000	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Linearity	E.2.4	0.890	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
System detection limits	E.2.4	1.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	× ×
Modulation response	E2.5	3.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	oo
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.021	N	1	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Response Time	E.2.7	0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	1.4	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	× ×
RF ambient conditions-Noise	E.6.1	3.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	∞
RF ambient conditions-reflections	E.6.1	3.0	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	oo
Probe positioner mechanical	E.6.2	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	8
tolerance Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	E.6.3	1.4	R	√3	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation, and integrations algorithms for max. SAR evaluation	E.5	2.3	R	√3	0	0	0.00	0.00	8
System check source (dipole)					_				
Deviation of experimental dipoles	E.6.4	2.0	N	1	1	1	2.00	2.00	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6.4	5.0	R	√3	1	1	2.89	2.89	8
Dipole axis to liquid distance	8,E.6.6	2.0	R	√3	1	1	1.15	1.15	∞
Phantom and tissue parameter	rs								
Phantom shell uncertainty—shape, thickness, and permittivity	E.3.1	4	R	√3	1	1	2.31	2.31	8
Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviations in permittivity and conductivity	E.3.2	1.9	N	1	1	0.84	1.90	1.60	8
Liquid conductivity measurement	E.3.3	4	R	√3	0.78	0.71	3.12	2.84	8
Liquid permittivity measurement	E.3.3	5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.15	1.30	М
Liquid conductivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	R	√3	0.23	0.26	1.13	1.02	8
Liquid permittivity—temperature uncertainty	E.3.4	2.5	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.33	0.38	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty			RSS				5.562	5.203	
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)			K=2				11.124	10.406	



Page 32 of 43

### 12. CONDUCTED POWER MEASUREMENT

### Bluetooth V5.3

Modulation	Channel	Frequency(MHz)	PK. Power (dBm)
BR/EDR			
	0	2402	7.223
GFSK	39	2441	7.344
	78	2480	7.799
	0	2402	5.682
π /4-DQPSK	39	2441	6.182
	78	2480	6.047
	0	2402	6.285
8-DPSK	39	2441	6.660
	78	2480	6.581
BLE			
	0	2402	2.379
GFSK 1M	19	2440	2.732
	39	2480	3.566
	0	2402	2.685
GFSK 2M	19	2440	3.087
	39	2480	3.958



Page 33 of 43

### 13. TEST RESULTS

# 13.1. SAR Test Results Summary 13.1.1. Test position and configuration

1. The EUT is a model of buetooth headset.

According to KDB 447498 D04 General RF Exposure Guide v01, due to maximum peak power for bluetooth is more than just a test exclusion threshold, which must be tested.

- 2. Test procedure:
  - (1) For the back and front side, test 1-g SAR at a test separation distance of 0mm from the flat phantom filled with head tissue simulating Liquid.
- 3. For SAR testing, the device was controlled by software to test at reference fixed frequency points.

### 13.1.2. Operation Mode

- 1. Per KDB 447498 D04 v01 ,for each exposure position, if the highest 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
- 2. Per KDB 865664 D01 v01r04,for each frequency band, if the measured SAR is ≥0.8W/kg, testing for repeated SAR measurement is required, that the highest measured SAR is only to be tested. When the SAR results are near the limit, the following procedures are required for each device to verify these types of SAR measurement related variation concerns by repeating the highest measured SAR configuration in each frequency band.
  - (1) When the original highest measured SAR is  $\geq$ 0.8W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
  - (2) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is >1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥1.45 W/kg.
  - (3) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first and second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second measurement is ≥ 1.20.
- Maximum Scaling SAR in order to calculate the Maximum SAR values to test under the standard Peak Power, Calculation method is as follows:
   Maximum Scaling SAR =tested SAR (Max.) ×[maximum turn-up power (mW)/ maximum measurement

Maximum Scaling SAR =tested SAR (Max.)  $\times$  [maximum turn-up power (mW)/ maximum measurement output power(mW)]



Page 34 of 43

# 13.1.3. SAR Test Results Summary

Depth of Liquid (cm):>15

Product: Wireless Headphone

Position	Mode	Ch.	Fr. (MHz)	Power Drift (<±5%)	SAR (1g) (W/kg)	Max. Tune-up Power (dBm)	Meas. output Power (dBm)	Scaled SAR (W/kg)	Limit W/kg
Test Mode: BT (BR/E	DR) - Orig	inal batter	у						
Back	1DH5	0	2402	-0.12	0.155	8.00	7.223	0.185	1.6
Back	1DH5	39	2441	0.23	0.225	8.00	7.344	0.262	1.6
Back	1DH5	78	2480	-0.32	0.133	8.00	7.799	0.139	1.6
Front	1DH5	39	2441	0.14	0.122	8.00	7.344	0.142	1.6
Test Mode: BT (BR/E	Test Mode: BT (BR/EDR)- Added battery								
Back	1DH5	0	2402	-0.26	0.254	8.00	7.223	0.304	1.6
Back	1DH5	39	2441	0.12	0.290	8.00	7.344	0.337	1.6
Back	1DH5	78	2480	-0.15	0.270	8.00	7.799	0.283	1.6
Front	1DH5	39	2441	0.31	0.134	8.00	7.344	0.156	1.6

#### Note:

- When the 1-g SAR is  $\leq$  0.8W/kg, testing for low and high channel is optional.
- The test separation of all above table is 0mm.
- Plots are only shown for the bold markered worst case SAR results.



Page 35 of 43

# APPENDIX A. SAR SYSTEM CHECK DATA

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab Date: Feb. 29, 2024

System Check Head 2450 MHz

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz Type: SID 2450

Communication System CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=2.29 Frequency: 2450 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma$ =1.81 mho/m;  $\epsilon$ r =39.27;  $\rho$ = 1000 kg/m³; Phantom section: Flat Section; Input Power=18dBm

Ambient temperature (°C):20.1, Liquid temperature (°C): 19.7, Relative Humidity (%): 46.9

### **SATIMO Configuration**


• Probe: SSE2; Calibrated: May 31, 2023; Serial No.: 2023-EPGO-414

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

· Phantom: SAM twin phantom


• Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_32

Configuration/System Check 2450MHz Head/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/System Check 2450MHz Head/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm





Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=0.00 SAR Peak: 6.20 W/kg

<b>SAR 10g (W/Kg)</b>	1.494573
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.337059











Date: Jun. 17, 2024

Page 37 of 43

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab System Check Head 2450 MHz

DUT: Dipole 2450 MHz Type: SID 2450

Communication System CW; Communication System Band: D2450 (2450.0 MHz); Duty Cycle: 1:1; Conv.F=2.18 Frequency: 2450 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.76$  mho/m;  $\epsilon r = 38.52$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³; Phantom section: Flat Section: Input Power=18dBm

Thantom section. That decition, input tower=roubin

Ambient temperature (°C):21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.0, Relative Humidity (%): 52.0

### **SATIMO Configuration**


• Probe: SSE2; Calibrated: Apr. 30, 2024; Serial No.: 2023-EPGO-414

• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

· Phantom: SAM twin phantom


Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_32

Configuration/System Check 2450MHz Head/Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/System Check 2450MHz Head/Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm





Maximum location: X=-7.00, Y=1.00 SAR Peak: 6.15 W/kg

<b>SAR 10g (W/Kg)</b>	1.495307
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	3.314221











Date: Feb. 29, 2024



APPENDIX B. SAR MEASUREMENT DATA

BT (BR/EDR)
Original battery
Test Laboratory: AGC Lab

BT (BR/EDR) Mid- Back (1DH5)

DUT: Wireless Headphone; Type: A6611L

Communication System: BT; Communication System Band: Bluetooth; Duty Cycle:57.54%; Conv.F=2.29; Frequency: 2441 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.80$  mho/m;  $\epsilon = 39.82$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³;

Phantom section: Flat Section

Ambient temperature (°C):20.1, Liquid temperature (°C): 19.7

### SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE2; Calibrated: May 31, 2023; Serial No.: 2023-EPGO-414


• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

· Phantom: SAM twin phantom

• Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_32


Configuration/BT (BR/EDR) Mid -Back /Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/BT (BR/EDR) Mid -Back /Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm,dy=5mm, dz=5mm;

Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Back
Band	BT (BR/EDR)
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.74





Maximum location: X=1.00, Y=7.00 SAR Peak: 0.53 W/kg

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.086394
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.225221











Page 41 of 43

Added battery

Test Laboratory: AGC Lab Date: Jun. 17, 2024

BT (BR/EDR) Mid- Back (1DH5)

DUT: Wireless Headphone; Type: A6611L

Communication System: BT; Communication System Band: Bluetooth; Duty Cycle:57.54%; Conv.F=2.18; Frequency: 2441 MHz; Medium parameters used: f = 2450 MHz;  $\sigma = 1.75$  mho/m;  $\epsilon = 39.67$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m³;

Phantom section: Flat Section

Ambient temperature (°C):21.2, Liquid temperature (°C): 21.0

### SATIMO Configuration:

Probe: SSE2; Calibrated: Apr. 30, 2024; Serial No.: 2023-EPGO-414


• Sensor-Surface: 4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)

· Phantom: SAM twin phantom

Measurement SW: OpenSAR V4\_02\_32

Configuration/BT (BR/EDR) Mid - Back /Area Scan: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm Configuration/BT (BR/EDR) Mid - Back /Zoom Scan: Measurement grid: dx=5mm,dy=5mm, dz=5mm;

Area Scan	surf_sam_plan.txt, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Back
Band	BT (BR/EDR)
Channels	Middle
Signal	Crest factor: 1.74




Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=9.00 SAR Peak: 0.64 W/kg


SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.112364
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.289572


Any report having not been signed by authorized approver, or having been altered without authorization, or having not been stamped by the "Dedicated Testing/Inspection Stamp" is deemed to be invalid. Copying or excerpting portion of, or altering the content of the report is not permitted without the written authorization of AGC. The test results presented in the report apply only to the tested sample. Any objections to report issued by AGC should be submitted to AGC within 15days after the issuance of the test report. Further enquiry of validity or verification of the test report should be addressed to AGC by agc01@agccert.com.

Tel: +86-755 2523 4088 E-mail: agc@agccert.com Web: http://www.agccert.com/











Page 43 of 43

# **APPENDIX C. TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS**

Refer to Attached files.

# APPENDIX D. CALIBRATION DATA

Refer to Attached files.

----END OF REPORT----



# Conditions of Issuance of Test Reports

- 1. All samples and goods are accepted by the Attestation of Global Compliance (Shenzhen) Co., Ltd (the "Company") solely for testing and reporting in accordance with the following terms and conditions. The company provides its services on the basis that such terms and conditions constitute express agreement between the company and any person, firm or company requesting its services (the "Clients").
- 2. Any report issued by Company as a result of this application for testing services (the "Report") shall be issued in confidence to the Clients and the Report will be strictly treated as such by the Company. It may not be reproduced either in its entirety or in part and it may not be used for advertising or other unauthorized purposes without the written consent of the Company. The Clients to whom the Report is issued may, however, show or send it, or a certified copy thereof prepared by the Company to its customer, supplier or other persons directly concerned. The Company will not, without the consent of the Clients, enter into any discussion or correspondence with any third party concerning the contents of the Report, unless required by the relevant governmental authorities, laws or court orders.
- 3. The Company shall not be called or be liable to be called to give evidence or testimony on the Report in a court of law without its prior written consent, unless required by the relevant governmental authorities, laws or court orders.
- 4. In the event of the improper use of the report as determined by the Company, the Company reserves the right to withdraw it, and to adopt any other additional remedies which may be appropriate.
- 5. Samples submitted for testing are accepted on the understanding that the Report issued cannot form the basis of, or be the instrument for, any legal action against the Company.
- 6. The Company will not be liable for or accept responsibility for any loss or damage however arising from the use of information contained in any of its Reports or in any communication whatsoever about its said tests or investigations.
- 7.Clients wishing to use the Report in court proceedings or arbitration shall inform the Company to that effect prior to submitting the sample for testing.
- 8. The Company is not responsible for recalling the electronic version of the original report when any revision is made to them. The Client assumes the responsibility to providing the revised version to any interested party who uses them.
- 9. Subject to the variable length of retention time for test data and report stored hereinto as otherwise specifically required by individual accreditation authorities, the Company will only keep the supporting test data and information of the test report for a period of six years. The data and information will be disposed of after the aforementioned retention period has elapsed. Under no circumstances shall we provide any data and information which has been disposed of after retention period. Under no circumstances shall we be liable for damage of any kind, including (but not limited to) compensatory damages, lost profits, lost data, or any form of special, incidental, indirect, consequential or punitive damages of any kind, whether based on breach of contract of warranty, tort (including negligence), product liability or otherwise, even if we are informed in advance of the possibility of such damages.