

# **FCC SAR Test Report**



This report details the results of the testing carried out on one sample, the results contained in this test report do not relate to other samples of the same product. The manufacturer should ensure that all products in series production are in conformity with the product sample detailed in this report. This report may only be reproduced and distribute in full. If the product in this report is used in any configuration other than that detailed in the report, the manufacturer must ensure the new system complies with all relevant standards. Any mention of ONETECH Corp. or testing done by ONETECH Corp. In connection with distribution or use of the product described in this report must be approved by ONETECH Corp. in writing.

**Tested By :**

No Gyun Im / Manager

**Approved By :** 

Jung Wook Kim / Technical Manager

This report is for your exclusive use. Any copying or replication of this report to or for any other person or entity, or use of our name or trademark, is permitted only with our prior written permission. This report sets forth our findings solely with respect to the test samples identified herein. The results set forth in this report are not indicative or representative of the quality or characteristics of the lot from which a test sample was taken or any similar or identical product unless specifically and expressly noted. Our report includes all of the tests requested by you and the results thereof based upon the information that you provided to us. You have 60 days from date of issuance of this report to notify us of any material error or omission caused by our negligence, provided, however, that such notice shall be in writing and shall specifically address the issue you wish to raise. A failure to raise such issue within the prescribed time shall constitute your unqualified acceptance of the completeness of this report, the tests conducted and the correctness of the report contents. Unless specific mention, the uncertainty of measurement has been explicitly taken into account to declare the compliance or non-compliance to the specification.



## **Revision history**







# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



APPENDIX F: DUT ANTENNA DIAGRAM & SAR TEST SETUP PHOTOGRAPHS...................70

## **1. Summary of Maximum SAR Value**



This wireless portable device has been shown to be capable of compliance for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) for uncontrolled environment/general population exposure limits specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and has been tested in accordance with the measurement procedures specified in Section 8 of this report;

## **2. Device Under Test**

## **2.1. DUT Information**



## **2.2. Device Overview**



## **2.3. Power Reduction for SAR**

There is no power reduction used for any band/mode implemented in the device for SAR purposes.

## **2.4. Nominal and Maximum Output Power Specifications**

This device operates using the following maximum and nominal output power specifications. SAR values were scaled to the maximum allowed power to determine compliance per KDB Publication 447498 D01 v06.

## **Maximum 900 MHz ISM Band Output Power**





## **2.5. DUT Antenna Locations**

The DUT antenna locations are included in the filing.

## **2.6. Near Field Communications (NFC) Antenna**

This DUT does not support NFC operations.

## **2.7. Simultaneous Transmission Capabilities**

This device contains single transmitters that may operate independently, and therefore not requires a simultaneous transmission analysis.

## **2.8. Miscellaneous SAR Test Considerations**

### (A) 900 MHz ISM Band

The modulation type of this DUT is GFSK. This EUT does support hopping mode. During the SAR test, hopping mode was disabled. Please refer to the EMC report or operation description for detail hopping mode.

DUT type of device is headset. Per KDB inquiry (Tracking Number: 886478), Head SAR was tested from SAM phantom. It is necessary to disassemble the device in order for it to be positioned against the SAR phantom. So, The earcup (housing) containing the antenna was removed and it mounted on the SAR phantom.

Since the microphone of the headset is swing type, it was tested on both left and right head exposure conditions. Please refer to the Appendix F (SAR test setup photographs) for the headset mounted conditions.

## **FCC Response (KDB Inquiry Tracking Number: 886478):**

If it is necessary to disassemble the device in order for it to be positioned against the SAR phantom, we will allow it, as long as the procedure is explained in the SAR report. As to which SAR phantom to use, since the device is held next to head/ear, it is better to utilize the head phantom. We would accept the body phantom if there were probe trajectory issues with the head phantom.

## **2.9. Guidance Applied**

- IEEE 1528-2013
- FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06 (General SAR Guidance)
- FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04, D02v01r02 (SAR Measurements up to 6 GHz)
- FCC KDB Publication 690783 D01v01r03 (SAR Listings on Grants)
- April 2019 TCBC Workshop Notes (Tissue Simulating Liquids (TSL))
- KDB Inquiry Tracking Number: 886478

## **2.10. Device Serial Numbers**

Several samples with identical hardware were used to support SAR testing. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units. The serial numbers used for each test are indicated alongside the results in Section 11.



## **3. INTRODUCTION**

The FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada have adopted the guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radio frequency (RF) radiation in ET Docket 93-62 on Aug. 6, 1996 and Health Canada Safety Code 6 to protect the public and workers from the potential hazards of RF emissions due to FCC-regulated portable devices.

The safety limits used for the environmental evaluation measurements are based on the criteria published by the American National Standards Institute (ANSI) for localized specific absorption rate (SAR) in IEEE/ANSI C95.1-1992 Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz and Health Canada RF Exposure Guidelines Safety Code 6. The measurement procedure described in IEEE/ANSI C95.3-2002 Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave is used for guidance in measuring the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) due to the RF radiation exposure from the Equipment Under Test (EUT). These criteria for SAR evaluation are similar to those recommended by the International Committee for Non-Ionizing Radiation Protection (ICNIRP) in Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Fields," Report No. Vol 74. SAR is a measure of the rate of energy absorption due to exposure to an RF transmitting source. SAR values have been related to threshold levels for potential biological hazards.

## **3.1. SAR Definition**

Specific Absorption Rate is defined as the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dU) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dV) of a given density ( ). It is also defined as the rate of RF energy absorption per unit mass at a point in an absorbing body (see Equation 3-1).

$$
SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dV} \right)
$$

#### **Equation 3-1 SAR Mathematical Equation**

SAR is expressed in units of watts per kilogram (W/kg).

$$
SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}
$$

where:

 $\sigma$  = conductivity of the tissue (S/m)

 $\rho$  = mass density of the tissue (kg/m<sup>3</sup>)

 $E = rms$  electric field strength (V/m)

NOTE: The primary factors that control rate of energy absorption were found to be the wavelength of the incident field in relation to the dimensions and geometry of the irradiated organism, the orientation of the organism in relation to the polarity of field vectors, the presence of reflecting surfaces, and whether conductive contact is made by the organism with a ground plane.



## **3.2. SAR Measurement Setup**

A standard high precision 6-axis robot with controller, teach pendant and software. An arm extension for accommodating the data acquisition electronics (DAE). An isotropic Field probe optimized and calibrated for the targeted measurement. Data acquisition electronics (DAE) which performs the signal amplification, signal multiplexing, AD-conversion, offset measurements, mechanical surface detection, collision detection, etc. The unit is battery powered with standard or rechargeable batteries. The signal is optically transmitted to the EOC.

The Electro-optical converter (EOC) performs the conversion from optical to electrical signals for the digital communication to the DAE. To use optical surface detection, a special version of the EOC is required. The EOC signal is transmitted to the measurement server. The function of the measurement server is to perform the time critical tasks such as signal filtering, control of the robot operation and fast movement interrupts. The Light Beam used is for probe alignment. This improves the (absolute) accuracy of the probe positioning. A computer running WinXP, Win7 or Win10 and the DASY5 software. Remote control and teach pendant as well as additional circuitry for robot safety such as warning lamps, etc. The phantom, the device holder and other accessories according to the targeted measurement.





## **4. DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT**

The evaluation was performed using the following procedure compliant to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013:

- 1. The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head or body was measured at a distance no greater than 5.0 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the device-head and body interface and the horizontal grid resolution was determined per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 5-1) and IEEE 1528-2013.
- 2. The point SAR measurement was taken at the maximum SAR region determined from Step 1 to enable the monitoring of SAR fluctuations/drifts during the 1g/10g cube evaluation. SAR at this fixed was measured and used as a reference value.



- 3. Based on the area scan data, the peak of the region with maximum SAR point was determined by spline interpolation. Around this point, a volume was assessed according to the measurement resolution and volume size requirements of FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 (See Table 4-1) and IEEE 1528-2013. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated with the following procedure (see references or the DASY manual online for more details):
	- a) SAR values at the inner surface of the phantom are extrapolated from the measured values along the line away from the surface with spacing no greater than that in Table 4-1. The extrapolation was based on a least-squares algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in the z-axis (normal to the phantom shell).
	- b) After the maximum interpolated values were calculated between the points in the cube, the SAR was averaged over the spatial volume (1g or 10g) using a 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-spline is composed of three one-dimensional splines with the "Not a knot" condition (in x, y, and z directions). The volume was then integrated with the trapezoidal algorithm. One thousand points  $(10 \times 10 \times 10)$ were obtained through interpolation, in order to calculate the averaged SAR.
	- c) All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.
- 4. The SAR reference value, at the same location as step 2, was re-measured after the zoom scan was complete to calculate the SAR drift. If the drift deviated by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements were repeated.



### **Table 4-1 Area and Zoom Scan Resolutions per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04\***

#### \*Also compliant to IEEE 1528-2013 Table 6



## **5. TEST CONFIGURATION POSITIONS**

## **5.1. Device Holder**

The device holder is made out of low-loss POM material having the following dielectric parameters: relative permittivity  $ε = 3$  and loss tangent  $δ = 0.02$ .

## **5.2. Positioning for Testing**

Based on FCC guidance and expected exposure conditions, the device was positioned with the outside of the device touching the SAM phantom and such that the location of maximum SAR was captured during SAR testing. The SAR test setup photograph is included in Appendix F.



## **6. RF EXPOSURE LIMITS**

In order for users to be aware of the body-worn operating requirements for meeting RF exposure compliance, operating instructions and cautions statements are included in the user's manual.

## **6.1. Uncontrolled Environment**

Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure. The general population/uncontrolled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which the general public may be exposed or in which persons who are exposed as a consequence of their employment may not be made fully aware of the potential for exposure or cannot exercise control over their exposure. Members of the general public would come under this category when exposure is not employmentrelated; for example, in the case of a wireless transmitter that exposes persons in its vicinity.

## **6.2. Controlled Environment**

Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by persons who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation). In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are applicable to situations in which persons are exposed as a consequence of their employment, who have been made fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over their exposure. This exposure category is also applicable when the exposure is of a transient nature due to incidental passage through a location where the exposure levels may be higher than the general population/uncontrolled limits, but the exposed person is fully aware of the potential for exposure and can exercise control over his or her exposure by leaving the area or by some other appropriate means.

	UNCONTROLLED ENVIRONMENT General Population $(W/kg)$ or $(mW/g)$	CONTROLLED ENVIROMENT Professional Population $(W/kg)$ or $(mW/g)$
SPATIAL PEAK SAR <sup>1</sup> Brain	1.60	8.00
SPATIAL AVERAGE SAR <sup>2</sup> Whole Body	0.08	0.40
SPATIAL PEAK SAR3 Hands, Feet, Ankles, Wrists	4.00	20.00

**Table 8-1 SAR Human Exposure Specified in ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and Health Canada Safety Code 6** 

 $^1$  The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 1 gram of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.

The Spatial Average value of the SAR averaged over the whole body.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Spatial Peak value of the SAR averaged over any 10 grams of tissue (defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube) and over the appropriate averaging time.



## **7. FCC MEASUREMENT PROCEDURES**

Power measurements for licensed transmitters are performed using a base station simulator under digital average power.

## **7.1. Measured and Reported SAR**

Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, when SAR is not measured at the maximum power level allowed for production units, the results must be scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit according to the power applied to the individual channels tested to determine compliance. For simultaneous transmission, the measured aggregate SAR must be scaled according to the sum of the differences between the maximum tune-up tolerance and actual power used to test each transmitter. When SAR is measured at or scaled to the maximum tune-up tolerance limit, the results are referred to as *reported* SAR. The highest *reported* SAR results are identified on the grant of equipment authorization according to procedures in KDB 690783 D01v01r03.

Per KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, testing of other required channels within the operating mode of a frequency band is not required when the reported 1g or 10g SAR for the mid-band or highest output power channel is:

- 0.8 W/kg or 2.0 W/kg, for 1g or 10g respectively, when the transmission band is 100 MHz
- 0.6 W/kg or 1.5 W/kg, for 1g or 10g respectively, when the transmission band is between 100 MHz and 200 MHz
- 0.4 W/kg or 1.0 W/kg, for 1g or 10g respectively, when the transmission band is 200 MHz

## **7.2. Procedures Used to Establish RF Signal for SAR**

Chipset based test mode software is hardware dependent and generally varies among manufacturers. The device operating parameters established in test mode for SAR measurements must be identical to those programmed in production units, including output power levels, amplifier gain settings and other RF performance tuning parameters. The reported SAR is scaled to 100% transmission duty factor to determine compliance at the maximum tune-up tolerance limit. Establishing connections in this manner ensure a consistent means for testing SAR and are recommended for evaluating SAR. Devices under test are evaluated prior to testing, with a fully charged battery and were configured to operate at maximum output power. In order to verify that the device is tested throughout the SAR test at maximum output power, the SAR measurement system measures a "point SAR" at an arbitrary reference point at the start and end of the 1 gram SAR evaluation, to assess for any power drifts during the evaluation. If the power drift deviates by more than 5%, the SAR test and drift measurements are repeated.

As required by §§ 2.1091(d)(2) and 2.1093(d)(5), RF exposure compliance must be determined at the maximum average power level according to source-based time-averaging requirements to determine compliance for general population exposure conditions. Unless it is specified differently in the *published RF exposure KDB procedures*, these requirements also apply to test reduction and test exclusion considerations. Time-averaged maximum conducted output power applies to SAR and, as required by § 2.1091(c), time-averaged effective radiated power applies to MPE. When an antenna port is not available on the device to support conducted power measurement, such as for FRS (Part 95) devices and certain Part 15 transmitters with built-in integral antennas, the maximum output power and tolerance allowed for production units should be used to determine RF exposure test exclusion and compliance.

## **8. RF CONDUCTED POWERS**

## **8.1. Conducted Powers**

## **8.1.1. 900 MHz ISM Band Conducted Powers**



#### **Table 8-1 900 MHz ISM Band Conducted Powers**

Note: The Bolded channel above were tested for SAR.



## **Figure 8-1 900 MHz ISM Band Transmission Plot**

Date: 1.JAN.2003 00:07:27

### **Equation 8-1 900 MHz ISM Band Duty Cycle Calculation**

- DUTY cycle of this device is 100 %.
- DUTY Cycle [%] = (Pulse / Period) X 100 = (1/1) X 100 = 100 %



## **9. SYSTEM VERIFICATION**

## **9.1. Tissue Verification**



#### **Table 9-1 Measured Head Tissue Properties**

Tissue Verification Notes:

- 1. The above measured tissue parameters were used in the DASY software. The DASY software was used to perform interpolation to determine the dielectric parameters at the SAR test device frequencies (per KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013 6.6.1.2). The tissue parameters listed in the SAR test plots may slightly differ from the table above due to significant digit rounding in the software.
- 2. Per April 2019 TCBC Workshop Notes, effective February 19, 2019, FCC has permitted the use of single head-tissue simulating liquid specified in IEC 62209-1 for all SAR tests.

## **9.2. Test System Verification**

Prior to SAR assessment, the system is verified to ±10% of the SAR measurement on the reference dipole at the time of calibration by the calibration facility. Full system validation status and result summary can be found in Appendix E.

**Table 9-2 System Verification Results – 1 g**

<b>SAR</b> <b>System</b>	Amb. Temp	Liquid Temp.	<b>Test</b> <b>Date</b>	<b>Tissue</b> <b>Type</b>	<b>Frequency</b> (MHz)	<b>Input</b> <b>Power</b> (mW)	<b>1W Target</b> SAR-1 a (W/kg)	<b>Measured</b> SAR-1 g (W/kg)	<b>Normalized</b> to 1W SAR-1 a (W/kg)	<b>Deviation</b> (%)	<b>Dipole</b> S/N	Probe S/N
	22.1	21.8	2019.12.10	Head	900	200	11.00	2.15	10.75	$-2.27$	1d069	3832



**Figure 9-1 System Verification Setup Diagram and Photo**



## **10. SAR TEST DATA SUMMARY**

## **10.1. Standalone Head SAR Data**





## **10.2. SAR Test Notes**

General Notes:

- 1. The test data reported are the worst-case SAR values according to test procedures specified in IEEE 1528-2013, and FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
- 2. Batteries are fully charged at the beginning of the SAR measurements.
- 3. Liquid tissue depth was at least 15.0 cm for all frequencies.
- 4. The manufacturer has confirmed that the device(s) tested have the same physical, mechanical and thermal characteristics and are within operational tolerances expected for production units.
- 5. SAR results were scaled to the maximum allowed power to demonstrate compliance per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06.
- 6. Per FCC KDB 865664 D01v01r04, variability SAR tests may be performed when the measured SAR results for a frequency band were greater than or equal to 0.8 W/kg. Since the measured SAR results of this device were less than or equal to 0.8 W/kg, repeated SAR measurements are not required.
- 7. This device is applied 1g SAR for voice speaking function near the mouth(face) and is tested by contacting to flat phantom.
- 8. Since the microphone of the headset is swing type, it was tested on both left and right head exposure conditions. Please refer to the Appendix F (SAR test setup photographs) for the headset mounted conditions.
- 9. DUT type of device is headset. Per KDB inquiry (Tracking Number: 886478), Head SAR was tested from SAM phantom. It is necessary to disassemble the device in order for it to be positioned against the SAR phantom. So, The earcup (housing) containing the antenna was removed and it mounted on the SAR phantom.

900 MHz ISM Band Notes:

- 1. 900 MHz ISM Band SAR was measured with hopping disabled with Tx Tests test mode type. Duty cycle of this device is 100 %. So, it was tested by 100 % duty cycle. See Section 8.1.1 for the time domain plot and calculation for the duty factor of the device. See Section 8.1.1 for the time domain plot and calculation for the duty factor of the device.
- 2. Per FCC KDB Publication 447498 D01v06, if the reported (Scaled) SAR measured at the middle channel or highest output power channel for each test configuration is 0.8 W/kg for 1g evaluations then testing at the other channels is not required for such test configuration(s). When the maximum output power variation across the required test channels is > 1/2 dB, instead of the middle channel, the highest output power channel was used.



## **11. EQUIPMENT LIST**



Notes:

- 1. CBT (Calibration Before Testing). Prior to testing, the measurement paths containing a cable, amplifier, attenuator, coupler or filter were connected to a calibrated source (i.e. a signal generator) to determine the losses of the measurement path. The power meter offset was then adjusted to compensate for the measurement system losses. This level offset is stored within the power meter before measurements are made. This calibration verification procedure applies to the system verification and output power measurements. The calibrated reading is then taken directly from the power meter after compensation of the losses for all final power measurements.
- 2. All equipment was used solely within its calibration period.



## **12. MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTIES**





EMC-003 (Rev.2)

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



# ONETECH

## **13. CONCLUSION**

## **13.1. Measurement Conclusion**

The SAR evaluation indicates that the EUT complies with the RF radiation exposure limits of the FCC and Innovation, Science, and Economic Development Canada, with respect to all parameters subject to this test. These measurements were taken to simulate the RF effects of RF exposure under worst-case conditions. Precise laboratory measures were taken to assure repeatability of the tests. The results and statements relate only to the item(s) tested.

Please note that the absorption and distribution of electromagnetic energy in the body are very complex phenomena that depend on the mass, shape, and size of the body, the orientation of the body with respect to the field vectors, and the electrical properties of both the body and the environment. Other variables that may play a substantial role in possible biological effects are those that characterize the environment (e.g. ambient temperature, air velocity, relative humidity, and body insulation) and those that characterize the individual (e.g. age, gender, activity level, debilitation, or disease). Because various factors may interact with one another to vary the specific biological outcome of an exposure to electromagnetic fields, any protection guide should consider maximal amplification of biological effects as a result of field-body interactions, environmental conditions, and physiological variables.

## **13.2. Information on the Testing Laboratories**

We, Onetech Corp. Laboratory were founded in 1989 to provide our best service in EMC, Radio, Telecom and Safety consultation. Our laboratories are accredited and approved according to ISO/IEC 17025.

If you have any comments, please feel free to contact us at the following:

Address: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, Korea Republic of, 12735 E-Mail: info@onetech.co.kr Tel: +82-31-799-9500 Fax: +82-31-799-9599



## **14. REFERENCES**

[1] Federal Communications Commission, ET Docket 93-62, Guidelines for Evaluating the Environmental Effects of Radiofrequency Radiation, Aug. 1996.

[2] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-2005, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, 2006.

[3] ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, American National Standard safety levels with respect to human exposure to radio frequency electromagnetic fields, 3kHz to 300GHz, New York: IEEE, Sept. 1992.

[4] ANSI/IEEE C95.3-2002, IEEE Recommended Practice for the Measurement of Potentially Hazardous Electromagnetic Fields - RF and Microwave, New York: IEEE, December 2002.

[5] IEEE Standards Coordinating Committee 39 –Standards Coordinating Committee 34 – IEEE Std. 1528-2013, IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques.

[6] NCRP, National Council on Radiation Protection and Measurements, Biological Effects and Exposure Criteria for RadioFrequency Electromagnetic Fields, NCRP Report No. 86, 1986. Reprinted Feb. 1995.

[7] T. Schmid, O. Egger, N. Kuster, Automated E-field scanning system for dosimetric assessments, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, Jan. 1996, pp. 105-113.

[8] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, N. Kuster, Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies, ICECOM97, Oct. 1997, pp. 1 -124.

[9] K. Pokovic, T. Schmid, and N. Kuster, E-field Probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids, Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, June 23-25, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[10] Schmid & Partner Engineering AG, Application Note: Data Storage and Evaluation, June 1998, p2.

[11] V. Hombach, K. Meier, M. Burkhardt, E. Kuhn, N. Kuster, The Dependence of EM Energy Absorption upon Human Modeling at 900 MHz, IEEE Transaction on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44 no. 10, Oct. 1996, pp. 1865-1873.

[12] N. Kuster and Q. Balzano, Energy absorption mechanism by biological bodies in the near field of dipole antennas above 300MHz, IEEE Transaction on Vehicular Technology, vol. 41, no. 1, Feb. 1992, pp. 17-23.

[13] G. Hartsgrove, A. Kraszewski, A. Surowiec, Simulated Biological Materials for Electromagnetic Radiation Absorption Studies, University of Ottawa, Bioelectromagnetics, Canada: 1987, pp. 29-36.

[14] Q. Balzano, O. Garay, T. Manning Jr., Electromagnetic Energy Exposure of Simulated Users of Portable Cellular Telephones, IEEE Transactions on Vehicular Technology, vol. 44, no.3, Aug. 1995.

[15] W. Gander, Computermathematick, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[16] W.H. Press, S.A. Teukolsky, W.T. Vetterling, and B.P. Flannery, Numerical Recipes in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.



[17] N. Kuster, R. Kastle, T. Schmid, Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision, IEEE Transaction on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, May 1997, pp. 645-652.

[18] CENELEC CLC/SC111B, European Prestandard (prENV 50166-2), Human Exposure to Electromagnetic Fields Highfrequency: 10kHz-300GHz, Jan. 1995.

[19] Prof. Dr. Niels Kuster, ETH, Eidgenössische Technische Hoschschule Zürich, Dosimetric Evaluation of the Cellular Phone.

[20] IEC 62209-1, Measurement procedure for the assessment of specific absorption rate of human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Part 1: Devices used next to the ear (Frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz), July 2016.

[21] Innovation, Science, Economic Development Canada RSS-102 Radio Frequency Exposure Compliance of Radiocommunication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands) Issue 5, March 2015.

[22] Health Canada Safety Code 6 Limits of Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields in the Frequency Range from 3 kHz – 300 GHz, 2015

[23] FCC SAR Test Procedures for 2G-3G Devices, Mobile Hotspot and UMPC Devices KDB Publications 941225, D01-D07

[24] SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters, KDB Publication 248227 D01

[25] FCC SAR Considerations for Handsets with Multiple Transmitters and Antennas, KDB Publications 648474 D03-D04

[26] FCC SAR Evaluation Considerations for Laptop, Notebook, Netbook and Tablet Computers, FCC KDB Publication 616217 D04

[27] FCC SAR Measurement and Reporting Requirements for 100MHz – 6 GHz, KDB Publications 865664 D01-D02

[28] FCC General RF Exposure Guidance and SAR Procedures for Dongles, KDB Publication 447498, D01-D02

[29] Anexo à Resolução No. 533, de 10 de Septembro de 2009.

[30] IEC 62209-2, Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz), Mar. 2010.



## **APPENDIX A: SYSTEM VERIFICATION**



Test Laboratory: ONETECH CO., LTD. Lab

Date: 12/10/2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)

#### **System Verification for 900 MHz**

### DUT: D900V2 - SN:1d069

Communication System: CW; Frequency: 900 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used:  $f = 900$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.966$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 41.486$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> Ambient Temperature: 22.1 °C; Liquid Temperature: 21.8 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3832; ConvF(9.05, 9.05, 9.05) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 2/27/2019
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn557; Calibrated: 2/28/2019
- Phantom: Twin-SAM V4.0; Type: QD 000 P40 CC; Serial: 1381
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)

Pin=200mW 2/Area Scan (5x13x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.88 W/kg

Pin=200mW 2/Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 54.13 V/m; Power Drift = - 0.01 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 3,30 W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 2.15 W/kg$ ;  $SAR(10 g) = 1.39 W/kg$ Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 2.92 W/kg





## **APPENDIX B: SAR TEST DATA**



Test Laboratory: ONETECH CO., LTD. Lab

Date: 12/10/2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)

## P02 900 MHz ISM Band Left Ear Ch.120

#### **DUT: X12A-S**

Communication System: 900 MHz ISM Band; Frequency: 915 MHz; Duty Cycle: 1:1 Medium: HSL900 Medium parameters used:  $f = 915$  MHz;  $\sigma = 0.98$  S/m;  $\varepsilon_r = 41.342$ ;  $\rho = 1000$  kg/m<sup>3</sup> Ambient Temperature: 22.1 °C; Liquid Temperature: 21.8 °C

DASY5 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN3832; ConvF(9.05, 9.05, 9.05) @ 915 MHz; Calibrated: 2/27/2019
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn557; Calibrated: 2/28/2019
- Phantom: Twin-SAM V4.0; Type: QD 000 P40 CC; Serial: 1381
- Measurement SW: DASY52, Version 52.10 (2); SEMCAD X Version 14.6.12 (7450)

- Area Scan (9x12x1): Measurement grid: dx=15mm, dy=15mm Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.188 W/kg

- Zoom Scan (5x5x7)/Cube 0: Measurement grid: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm Reference Value = 13.91 V/m; Power Drift = 0.02 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) = 0.203 W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 0.152 W/kg$ ;  $SAR(10 g) = 0.109 W/kg$ Maximum value of SAR (measured) = 0.187 W/kg





# **APPENDIX C: PROBE & DIPOLE ANTENNA CALIBRATION**



**Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner Engineering AG<br>Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio svizzero di taratura **Swiss Calibration Service** 

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

Onetech (Dymstec) Client

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

S

 $\rm \bar{c}$ 

s



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 1 of 20



**Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner **Engineering AG** Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



- Schweizerischer Kallbrierdienst  $\mathbf{s}$
- Service suisse d'étalonnage C
	- Servizio svizzero di taratura
- s **Swiss Calibration Service**

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS) The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:



Connector Angle information used in DASY system to align probe sensor X to the robot coordinate system

#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, 'IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific a) Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement
- Techniques", June 2013<br>IEC 62209-1, ", "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand $b)$ held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices  $\alpha$ used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:

- NORMx, y,z: Assessed for E-field polarization  $9 = 0$  (f < 900 MHz in TEM-cell; f > 1800 MHz; R22 waveguide) NORMx, y, z are only intermediate values, i.e., the uncertainties of NORMx, y, z does not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see below CanvF).
- NORM(f)x,y,z = NORMx,y,z \* frequency\_response (see Frequency Response Chart). This linearization is implemented in DASY4 software versions later than 4.2. The uncertainty of the frequency response is included in the stated uncertainty of ConvF.
- DCPx,y,z: DCP are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep with CW signal (no uncertainty required). DCP does not depend on frequency nor media.
- PAR. PAR is the Peak to Average Ratio that is not calibrated but determined based on the signal characteristics
- Ax, y, z; Bx, y, z; Cx, y, z; Dx, y, z; VRx, y, z; A, B, C, D are numerical linearization parameters assessed based on the data of power sweep for specific modulation signal. The parameters do not depend on frequency nor media. VR is the maximum calibration range expressed in RMS voltage across the diode.
- ConvF and Boundary Effect Parameters: Assessed in flat phantom using E-field (or Temperature Transfer Standard for f < 800 MHz) and inside waveguide using analytical field distributions based on power measurements for f > 800 MHz. The same setups are used for assessment of the parameters applied for boundary compensation (alpha, depth) of which typical uncertainty values are given. These parameters are used in DASY4 software to improve probe accuracy close to the boundary. The sensitivity in TSL corresponds to NORMx, y,z \* ConvF whereby the uncertainty corresponds to that given for ConvF. A frequency dependent<br>ConvF is used in DASY version 4.4 and higher which allows extending the validity from ± 50 MHz to ± 100 MH<sub>z</sub>
- Spherical isotropy (3D deviation from isotropy): in a field of low gradients realized using a flat phantom exposed by a patch antenna.
- Sensor Offset: The sensor offset corresponds to the offset of virtual measurement center from the probe tip (on probe axis). No tolerance required.
- Connector Angle: The angle is assessed using the Information gained by determining the NORMx (no uncertainty required).

Certificate No: EX3-3832 Feb19

Page 2 of 20



February 27, 2019

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3832

#### **Basic Calibration Parameters**



#### **Calibration Results for Modulation Response**



Note: For details on UID parameters see Appendix

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage<br>probability of approximately 95%.

A The uncertainties of Norm X,Y,Z do not affect the E<sup>2</sup>-field uncertainty inside TSL (see Pages 5 and 6).<br><sup>8</sup> Numerical linearization parameter: uncertainty not required.<br><sup>8</sup> Uncertainty is determined using the max, devia

field value

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 3 of 20



February 27, 2019

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3832

#### **Sensor Model Parameters**



#### **Other Probe Parameters**



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 4 of 20



February 27, 2019

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3832





<sup>12</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The<br>uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency

The ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.<br>The ConvF uncertainty for indicated target tissue parameters.<br>The termining deviation due to the boundary effect after compensation is<br>always less than  $\pm$  1%

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 5 of 20



FX3DV4-SN-3832

February 27, 2019

## DASY/EASY - Parameters of Probe: EX3DV4 - SN:3832





<sup>C</sup> Frequency validity above 300 MHz of  $\pm$  100 MHz only applies for DASY v4.4 and higher (see Page 2), else it is restricted to  $\pm$  50 MHz. The uncertainty is the RSS of the ConvF uncertainty at calibration frequency a

diameter from the boundary

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 6 of 20



February 27, 2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)

# Frequency Response of E-Field<br>(TEM-Cell:ifi110 EXX, Waveguide: R22)



Uncertainty of Frequency Response of E-field: ± 6.3% (k=2)

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 7 of 20

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)







EX3DV4-SN:3832 February 27, 2019 Dynamic Range f(SARhead)<br>(TEM cell, f<sub>eval</sub>= 1900 MHz)  $10<sup>6</sup>$  $10^{5}$ Input Signal [uV]  $10<sup>4</sup>$  $10^{5}$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $10^{3}$  $10^{-3}$ 10<sup>0</sup><br>SAR [mW/cm3]  $10^{-1}$  $10'$  $10<sup>2</sup>$  $10<sup>3</sup>$ compensated not compensated  $\overline{2}$ đ Error [dB]  $\mathbf{0}$  $\cdot$ 1  $\ddot{z}$  $\begin{array}{c}\n100 \\
\text{SAR [mW/cm3]}\n\end{array}$  $10^{-3}$  $10^{-2}$  $10 - t$  $101$ 102  $10<sup>3</sup>$ not compensated compensated Uncertainty of Linearity Assessment: ± 0.6% (k=2) Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19 Page 9 of 20



EX3DV4-SN:3832 February 27, 2019 **Conversion Factor Assessment**  $f = 900$  MHz. WGLS R9 (H\_convF) f = 1750 MHz, WGLS R22 (H\_convF) in.  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ ×  $10<sub>1</sub>$  $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{2}}\sum_{\substack{p\in\mathbb{N}\\ p\neq p}}\frac{1}{p}$ WW. ŝ Ŕ 13  $\overline{\mathbf{r}}$ 38  $\alpha$ ü  $\pm 1$  $\bar{\nu}$  $\equiv \frac{3}{2}$  $z$  form  $\mathbf{E}$  $rac{d}{dx}$  $\frac{1}{2}$ Deviation from Isotropy in Liquid Error ( $\phi$ ,  $\theta$ ),  $f = 900$  MHz 1.0  $0,8$  $0,8$  $Devabola$ <br> $0.02$ <br> $0.02$  $-0.4$  $-0.6$  $-0.8$  $-1.0$  $\Omega$ 45 90 135  $+$   $\frac{180}{\log y}$ 225 60 50 270 40 30  $\overline{20}$ y luegi 315 10  $\overline{\mathfrak{o}}$  $-1.0$   $-0.8$   $-0.6$   $-0.4$   $-0.2$  0.0 0.2  $0.4$  $0.6$  $0.8$  $1.0$ Uncertainty of Spherical Isotropy Assessment: ± 2.6% (k=2)

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 10 of 20



EX3DV4-SN:3832

## February 27, 2019

#### **Appendix: Modulation Calibration Parameters**



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 11 of 20

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



February 27, 2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 12 of 20



February 27, 2019



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 13 of 20



February 27, 2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 14 of 20

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



February 27, 2019



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 15 of 20



February 27, 2019



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 16 of 20



February 27, 2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 17 of 20

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



February 27, 2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 18 of 20

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



February 27, 2019



Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 19 of 20

 EMC-003 (Rev.2) **ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



February 27, 2019



E Uncertainty is determined using the max, deviation from linear response applying rectangular distribution and is expressed for the square of the<br>field value.

Certificate No: EX3-3832\_Feb19

Page 20 of 20





Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 1 of 8



#### **Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrasse 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Ś Ċ ς

Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienet Service suisse d'étalonnage Servizio avizzero di taratura

**Swiss Calibration Service** 

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilaleral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### Glossary:



#### Calibration is Performed According to the Following Standards:

- a) IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- b) IEC 62209-1, "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 6 GHz)", July 2016
- c) IEC 62209-2, "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)", March 2010
- d) KDB 865664, "SAR Measurement Requirements for 100 MHz to 6 GHz"

#### **Additional Documentation:**

e) DASY4/5 System Handbook

#### **Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters:**

- Measurement Conditions: Further details are available from the Validation Report at the end of the certificate. All figures stated in the certificate are valid at the frequency indicated.
- Antenna Parameters with TSL: The dipole is mounted with the spacer to position its feed point exactly below the center marking of the flat phantom section, with the arms oriented parallel to the body axis.
- Feed Point Impedance and Return Loss: These parameters are measured with the dipole positioned under the liquid filled phantom. The impedance stated is transformed from the measurement at the SMA connector to the feed point. The Return Loss ensures low reflected power. No uncertainty required.
- Electrical Delay: One-way delay between the SMA connector and the antenna feed point. No uncertainty required.
- SAR measured: SAR measured at the stated antenna input power.
- SAR normalized: SAR as measured, normalized to an input power of 1 W at the antenna connector.
- SAR for nominal TSL parameters: The measured TSL parameters are used to calculate the nominal SAR result.

The reported uncertainty of measurement is stated as the standard uncertainty of measurement multiplied by the coverage factor k=2, which for a normal distribution corresponds to a coverage probability of approximately 95%.

Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 2 of 8



#### **Measurement Conditions**

DASY system configuration, as far as not given on page 1.



## **Head TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.



#### **SAR result with Head TSL**



## **Body TSL parameters**

The following parameters and calculations were applied.



#### **SAR result with Body TSL**



Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 3 of 8



#### Appendix (Additional assessments outside the scope of SCS 0108)

#### **Antenna Parameters with Head TSL**



#### Antenna Parameters with Body TSL



#### **General Antenna Parameters and Design**



After long term use with 100W radiated power, only a slight warming of the dipole near the feedpoint can be measured.

The dipole is made of standard semirigid coaxial cable. The center conductor of the feeding line is directly connected to the second arm of the dipole. The antenna is therefore short-circuited for DC-signals. On some of the dipoles, small end caps are added to the dipole arms in order to improve matching when loaded according to the position as explained in the "Measurement Conditions" paragraph. The SAR data are not affected by this change. The overall dipole length is still according to the Standard.

No excessive force must be applied to the dipole arms, because they might bend or the soldered connections near the feedpoint may be damaged.

#### **Additional EUT Data**



Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 4 of 8



#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Head TSL**

Date: 25.04.2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:1d069

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 900 MHz Medium parameters used:  $f = 900 \text{ MHz}$ ;  $\sigma = 0.94 \text{ S/m}$ ;  $\varepsilon_r = 41.6$ ;  $\rho = 1000 \text{ kg/m}^3$ Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN7349; ConvF(9.61, 9.61, 9.61) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 31.12.2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.10.2018  $\bullet$
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9 (front); Type: QD 00L P49 AA; Serial: 1001  $\bullet$
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)  $\bullet$

#### Dipole Calibration for Head Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $65.71$  V/m; Power Drift = 0.01 dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $4.10$  W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 2.68$  W/kg;  $SAR(10 g) = 1.72$  W/kg Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $3.61$  W/kg



Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 5 of 8



#### **Impedance Measurement Plot for Head TSL**



Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 6 of 8



#### **DASY5 Validation Report for Body TSL**

Date: 25.04.2019

EMC-003 (Rev.2)

Test Laboratory: SPEAG, Zurich, Switzerland

#### DUT: Dipole 900 MHz; Type: D900V2; Serial: D900V2 - SN:1d069

Communication System: UID 0 - CW; Frequency: 900 MHz Medium parameters used: f = 900 MHz; σ = 1.04 S/m; ε<sub>τ</sub> = 53.6; ρ = 1000 kg/m<sup>3</sup> Phantom section: Flat Section Measurement Standard: DASY5 (IEEE/IEC/ANSI C63.19-2011)

DASY52 Configuration:

- Probe: EX3DV4 SN7349; ConvF(9.78, 9.78, 9.78) @ 900 MHz; Calibrated: 31.12.2018
- Sensor-Surface: 1.4mm (Mechanical Surface Detection)
- Electronics: DAE4 Sn601; Calibrated: 04.10.2018  $\bullet$
- Phantom: Flat Phantom 4.9 (Back); Type: QD 00R P49 AA; Serial: 1005  $\bullet$
- DASY52 52.10.2(1495); SEMCAD X 14.6.12(7450)  $\bullet$

#### Dipole Calibration for Body Tissue/Pin=250 mW, d=15mm/Zoom Scan (7x7x7)/Cube 0:

Measurement grid: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm Reference Value =  $60.75$  V/m; Power Drift =  $-0.00$  dB Peak SAR (extrapolated) =  $4.08$  W/kg  $SAR(1 g) = 2.76 W/kg$ ;  $SAR(10 g) = 1.79 W/kg$ Maximum value of SAR (measured) =  $3.67$  W/kg



 $0 dB = 3.67 W/kg = 5.65 dBW/kg$ 

Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 7 of 8



#### Impedance Measurement Plot for Body TSL



Certificate No: D900V2-1d069\_Apr19

Page 8 of 8



## **APPENDIX D: SAR TISSUE SPECIFICATIONS**

Measurement Procedure for Tissue verification:

- 1) The network analyzer and probe system were configured and calibrated.
- 2) The probe was immersed in the tissue. The tissue was placed in a nonmetallic container. Trapped air bubbles beneath the flange were minimized by placing the probe at a slight angle.
- 3) The complex admittance with respect to the probe aperture was measured.
- 4) The complex relative permittivity  $\varepsilon_r$  can be calculated from the below equation (Pournaropoulos and Misra):

$$
Y = \frac{j2\omega\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0}{[\ln(b/a)]^2} \int_a^b \int_a^b \int_0^{\pi} \cos\phi' \frac{\exp[-j\omega r(\mu_0\varepsilon_r\varepsilon_0)^{1/2}]}{r} d\phi' d\rho' d\rho
$$

where Y is the admittance of the probe in contact with the sample, the primed and unprimed coordinates refer to source and observation points, respectively,  $r^2 = \rho^2 + \rho'^2 - 2\rho\rho' \cos\phi'$ ,  $\omega$  is the angular frequency, and  $j = \sqrt{-1}$ .



#### **Table D-1 Composition of the Tissue Equivalent Matter**







**Figure D-1 Liquid Height for Head & Body Position (SAM Twin Phantom)** 









## **Appendix D.1 DAK3.5 Dielectric Probe Calibration**







**Calibration Laboratory of** Schmid & Partner Engineering AG Zeughausstrassa 43, 8004 Zurich, Switzerland



Schweizerischer Kalibrierdienst Ś Sérvice suisse d'étalonnage C Servizio svizzaro di taratura **Q** Swiss Calibration Service

Accreditation No.: SCS 0108

Accredited by the Swiss Accreditation Service (SAS)

The Swiss Accreditation Service is one of the signatories to the EA Multilateral Agreement for the recognition of calibration certificates

#### References

- IEEE Std 1528-2013, "IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Averaged  $[1]$ Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques", June 2013
- IEC 62209-1. "Measurement procedure for the assessment of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) from  $[2]$ hand-held and body-mounted devices used next to the ear (frequency range of 300 MMz to 6 GHz)" **July 2016**
- IEC 62209-2 Ed.1, "Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Fields from Handheld and Body-Mounted  $[3]$ Wireless Communication Devices - Human models, Instrumentation, and Procedures Part 2 Procedure to determine the specific absorption rate (SAR) for mobile wireless communication devices used in close proximity to the human body (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)". March 2010
- A. P. Gregory and R. N. Clarke, "NPL Report MAT 23", January 2012  $[4]$
- Tables of the Complex Permittivity of Dielectric Reference Liquids at Frequencies up to 5 GHz  $(5)$ DAK Professional Handbook, SPEAG, September 2018
- A. Toropainen et al. "Method for accurate measurement of complex permittivity of tissue equivalent  $[6]$
- liquids", Electronics Letters 36 (1) 2000 pp32-34
- J. Hilland, "Simple sensor system for measuring the dielectric properties of saline solutions", Meas.  $[7]$ Sci. Technol. 8 pp901-910 (1997)
- K. Nörtemann, J. Hilland and U. Kaatze, "Dielectric Properties of Aqueous NaCl Solutions at  $[6]$ Microwave Frequencies", J. Phys. Chem. A 101 pp6864-6869 (1997)
- R. Buchner, G. T. Hefter and Peter M. May, "Dielectric Relaxation of Aqueous NaCl Solutions", J.  $|9|$ Phys. Chem. A 103 (1) (1999)

#### Description of the dielectric probe

Dielectric probes are used to measure the dielectric parameters of tissue simulating media in a wide frequency range. The complex permittivity  $u_i = (v' / v_0) - [(v'' / v_0)]$  is determined from the S parameters measured with a vector network analyzer (VNA) with software specific to the probe type. The parameters of interest e.g. in standards [1, 2, 3] and for other applications are presented are calculated as follows:

(Relative) permittivity  $c'$  (real part of  $c_i = (c'/c_0) - j(c''/c_0)$  where  $c_0 = 8.854$  pF/m is the permittivity in free space)

Conductivity  $\sigma = 2 \pi f \kappa^* \varepsilon_0$ , Loss Tangent =  $(r'/c')$ 

The OCP (open ended coaxial) is a cut off section of 50 Ohm transmission line, similar to the system<br>described in [1, 2, 3, 5], used for contact measurement The material is measured either by touching the probe to the surface of a solid/gelly or by immersing it into a liquid media. The electromagnetic fields at the probe end fringe into the material to be measured, and its parameters are determined from the change of the S<sub>1</sub>, parameters. With larger diameter of the dielectrics, the probe can be used down to lower frequencies

The flange surrounding the active area shapes the near field similar to a semi-infinite geometry and is inserted fully into the measured lossy liquid

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046 Apr19

Page 2 of 13



The probe is connected with a phase and amplitude stable cable to a VNA which is then calibrated with Open, Short and a Liquid with well-known parameters. All parts in the setup influencing the amplitude and phase of the signal are important and shall remain stable

#### Handling of the Item

Before usage, the active probe area has to be cleaned from any material residuals potentially contaminating the reference standards. The metal and dielectric surface must be protected to keep the precision of the critical mechanical dimensions. The connector and cable quality are critical; any movements between calibration and measurement shall be avoided.

The temperature must be stable and must not differ from the material temperature.

#### Methods Applied and Interpretation of Parameters

The calibration of the dielectric probe system is done in the steps described below for the desired frequency range and calibration package (SAR/MRI liquids, Semi-solid/solid material). Because the standard calibration in step 3 is critical for the results in steps 4 to 8, the sequence 3 to 8 is repeated 3 times. As a result, the result from these 3 sets is represented.

- Configuration and mechanical / optical status
- Measurement resolution is 5 MHz from 10 to 300 MHz, 50 MHz from 300 to 6000 MHz and 250 MHz. 2 from 6 to 20 GHz
- Standard calibration uses Air / Short / Liquid, 1 liter liquid quantity is used to reduce the influence the reflections. The liquid type is selected depending on the lowest frequency and probe diameter
	- DAK-1.2, DAK-3.5, Agilent OCP: de-ionized water (approx. 22 °C)
		- DAK-12: saline solution with static conductivity | S/m (approx. 22 °C)
		- NPL OCP, pure ethanol (approx. 22 °C)
- 4. The cable used in the setup stays in a fixed position, i.e. the probe is fixed and measuring from the top in an angle of typ. 20° from the vertical axis. For DAK and Agilent probes, the refresh function (air standard) is used previous to the individual measurements in order to compensate for possible deviations from cable movements. After insertion of the probe into a liquid, the possible air bubbles are removed from the active surface.
- 5. Measurement of multiple shorts if not already available from the calibration in the previous step (NPL) Evaluation of the deviation from the previous calibration short with graphical representation of the complex quantities and magnitude over the frequency range. Probe specific short is used. This assessment shows ability to define a short circuit at the end of the probe for the VNA calibration in the setup which is essential at high frequencies and depends on the probe surface quality
- Measurement of validation liquids in a quantity of 1 liter at well defined temperature. Evaluation of the deviations from the target. The targets base on traceable data from reference sources. The deviation of the measurement is graphically presented for permittivity and conductivity (for lossy liquids) or loss tangent (for low losses at low frequencies).
- Measurement of lossy liquids in a quantity of 1 liter at well defined temperature. Head bssue<br>simulating liquid or saline solution with 0.5 S/m static conductivity are representative. The target data T base on traceable data from reference sources or from multiple measurements with precision reference probes or different evaluations such as transmission line or slotted line methods Evaluation of the deviation from the target and graphical representation for permittivity and conductivity over the frequency range
- D. Semi-solid / solid material calibration

Measurements of an elastic lossy broadband semi-solid gel with parameters close to the head lissue target. Measurements of a planar very low loss solid microwave-substrate The average of 4 measurements of the same sample at different location is shown as a single result. The deviation of the permittivity and conductivity from the reference data is evaluated.

Measurements of a planar very low loss solid microwave-substrate. The average of 4 measurements of the same sample at different location is shown as a single result. The relative deviation of the permittivity and the absolute deviation of the loss tangent is evaluated.

The targets base on multiple measurements (on the same material batch at identical temperature) on convex and planar surfaces with precision reference OCP.

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046 Apr19

Page 3 of 13



The measurement on semi-solid / solid materials is sensitive to the quality and planarity of the probe contact area, such as air gaps due to imperfect probes (resulting lower permittivity values).

Table for the probe uncertainty: The uncertainty of the probe depending on probe type, size, material  $\mathbf{a}$ parameter range and frequency is given in a table. It represents the best measurement capability of the specific probe but does not include the material (deviation from the target values)

10. Appendix with detailed results of all measurements with the uncertainties for the specific measurement. In addition to the probe uncertainty (see above), it includes the uncertainty of the reference material used for the measurement. A set of results from independent calibrations represents the capability of the setup and the lossy materials used, including the precision of the measured material and the influence of temperature deviations. Temperature and operator influence was minimized and gives a good indication of the achievable repeatability of a measurement

11. Summary assessment of the measured deviations and detailed comments if not typical for the probe type.

#### Dielectric probe identification and configuration data

Item description



SCS 0108 Accessories used for customer probe calibration



Additional items used during measurements



#### **Notes**

- Before the calibration, the connectors of the probe and cable were inspected and cleaned.
- Probe visual inspection: according to requirements
- Short inspection: according to the requirements

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 4 of 13



#### **Probe Uncertainty**

The following tables provide material and frequency specific uncertainties  $(k=2)$  for the dielectric probe. The values in the tables represent the measurement capability for the probe when measuring a material in the indi

- 
- possible systematic errors due to the design ×,
- calibration
- temperature differences during the calibration and measurements, as described,
- VNA noise

Apart from the material used for the calibration (de-ionized water), material uncertainties of the reference<br>materials used during the measurement in Appendix A are not included in these tables.



Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 5 of 13



#### **Calibration Results**

Uncertainty limits ( $k=2$ ) for the material measurements in the figures of Appendix A are represented with<br>red dashed lines. These uncertainties contain - in addition to probe uncertainty - the uncertainty of the<br>material

repeatability and shall lie within the uncertainties stated in the tables.

Materials for DAK-3.5 calibration:

Appendix A with curves for Methanol, HBBL, and 0.05 mol/L NaCl solution (200 MHz - 6 GHz, optional 20<br>GHz), HS gel and low loss solid substrate are optional.

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 6 of 13



#### Appendix A: **Detailed Results**

#### Probe appearance and calibration sequence

#### A.1.1 Appearance

The OCP appearance is fully according to the expectations: the flange surface is intact

#### A.1.2 Calibration sequence

The following sequence was repeated 3 times in the low frequency range from 200 - 300 MHz in 5 MHz steps and in the high frequency range from 300 to 6000 MHz in 50 MHz steps, and from 6 GHz to 20 GHz in 250 MHz steps.

Att

- Short 1 short, then immediate verification with a second short (with eventual repetition)
- Water De-ionized water, temperature measured and set in the software (for DAK-12 0.1 mol/L saline solution, temperature measured and set in the software)
- Methanol Pure methanol, temperature measured and set in the software
- Measurement of further liquids (e.g. Head tissue simulating liquid and 0.05 mol/i saline) Liquids
- Cleaning Probe washed with water and isopropanol at the end of the sequence.
- 4 additional separate short measurements to determine the deviation from the onginal Shorts Refresh Refresh with Air
- 
- Solid 4 separate solid low loss planar substrate measurements to determine one average (optional)
- 4 separate head gel measurements on fresh intact surface to determine one average Semisolid (optional)
- Cleaning Probe washed with water and isopropanol at the end of the sequence

Evaluation of the additional shorts from the calibrated (ideal) short point at the left edge of the Smith Chart, represented as magnitude over the frequency range (fig. 2.1.x) and in polar representation (fig. 2.2.x).

Evaluation of the Liquid measurements and representation of the permittivity and conductivity deviation from their reference data at the measurement temperature. The results of each of the 3 calibrations is shown in the appendix for each material (fig. 3ff) in black, red, blue. The red dashed line shows the uncertainty of the reference material parameter determination.

Evaluation of the Semisolid measurements (optional) by representing the 3 average deviations (each resulting from the 4 separate measurements per set), equivalent to the liquid measurement. Representation of the permittivity and conductivity deviation from their reference data at the nominal temperature

Evaluation of the Solid measurements (optional) by representing the 3 average deviations (each resulting from the 4 separate measurements per set), equivalent to the liquid measurement. Representation of the permittivity deviation from their reference data and the loss tangent at the nominal temperature.

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3 5-1046 Apr19

Page 7 of 13



#### $A.2$ Short residual magnitudes

After each of the 3 calibrations with a single short (as per the DAK software), 4 additional separate, short measurements were performed after the liquid measurements and evaluated from the S11 data. The residuals in the graphs represent the deviation from the ideal short point on the polar representation on the VNA screen.



Fig. 2.1a

Magnitude of the residual of the shorts, 200 MHz - 20 GHz, after calibration a)





Magnitude of the residual of the shorts, 200 MHz - 20 GHz, after calibration b)





Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 8 of 13





Complex representation of the residuals of the shorts, 200 MHz - 20 GHz, after calibrations a)-b) in the top and c) in the bottom Fig. 2.2a-c

All shorts have good quality. Some minor deviations might be visible from contact quality (left - right).

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 9 of 13



#### Methanol  $A.3$

A.3 Methanol (99.9% pure) was measured at a temperature of 22  $+/-$  2 °C. The liquid lemperature was stabilized within 0.05 °C of the desired temperature. Deviations are presented relative to the nominal material parameter measurements the Noise Filter was activated in the software.



#### Fig. 3.1

Methanol permittivity deviation from target, 200 MHz - 10 GHz.





Note: Conductivity error can be high at low frequencies due to the low absolute conductivity values.

Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 10 of 13



#### A.4 **Head Tissue**

Broadband head simulating liquid was measured at a temperature of  $22 +1-2$  °C. The liquid temperature was stabilized within 0.05 °C of the desired temperature. Deviations are presented relative to the reference data for this material. Those parameters have been evaluated from multiple measurements on the used bath with precision reference OCP and further methods. For the measurements the Noise Filter was activated in the software.









Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 11 of 13



#### 0.05 mol/L NaCl solution  $A.5$

0.05 mol/L NaCl / water solution has a static conductivity of 0.5 S/m, similar to MRI HCL (High Conductivity Liquid). It was measured at a temperature of 22 +/- 2 °C. The liquid temperature was stabilized within 0.05 °C o These parameters have been derived from the theoretical model according to [7], matched to the measurements from reference probes and other sources.

A quantity of 1 liter was used for the measurement. For the measurements the Noise Filter was activated in the software.





0.05 mol/L solution permittivity deviation from target, 200 MHz - 20 GHz





Certificate No: OCP-DAK3.5-1046\_Apr19

Page 12 of 13





# Appendix B: Nominal parameters of reference materials used for calibration (additional assessments outside the scope of SCS0108)

**ONETECH Corp.**: 43-14, Jinsaegol-gil, Chowol-eup, Gwangju-si, Gyeonggi-do, 12735, Korea (TEL: 82-31-799-9500, FAX: 82-31-799-9599)



## **APPENDIX E: SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION**

Per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D02v01r02, SAR system validation status should be documented to confirm measurement accuracy. The SAR systems (including SAR probes, system components and software versions) used for this device were validated against its performance specifications prior to the SAR measurements. Reference dipoles were used with the required tissue-equivalent media for system validation, according to the procedures outlined in FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 and IEEE 1528-2013. Since SAR probe calibrations are frequency dependent, each probe calibration point was validated at a frequency within the valid frequency range of the probe calibration point, using the system that normally operates with the probe for routine SAR measurements and according to the required tissue-equivalent media.

A tabulated summary of the system validation status including the validation date(s), measurement frequencies, SAR probes and tissue dielectric parameters has been included.

	Freq. (MHz)	Date	Probe <b>SN</b>				Perm. $(\epsilon r)$	<b>CW VALIDATION</b>			<b>MOD. VALIDATION</b>		
<b>SAR</b> <b>System</b>				<b>Probe Cal</b>	<b>Point</b>	Cond. $(\sigma)$		<b>SENSITIVITY</b>	<b>PROBE</b> <b>LINEARITY</b>	<b>PROBE</b> <b>ISOTROPY</b>	MOD. <b>TYPE</b>	<b>DUTY</b> <b>FACTO</b> R	<b>PAR</b>
4	750	2019.03.04	3832	750	Head	0.898	42.449	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	900	2019.03.09	3832	900	Head	0.972	42.118	Pass	Pass	Pass	<b>GMSK</b>	<b>PASS</b>	N/A
$\overline{4}$	1750	2019.03.06	3832	1750	Head	342. ا	39.217	Pass	Pass	Pass	N/A	N/A	N/A
4	1950	2019.03.07	3832	1950	Head	<b>.430</b>	39.014	Pass	Pass	Pass	<b>GMSK</b>	Pass	N/A
4	2450	2019.03.08	3832	2450	Head	.825	38.782	Pass	Pass	Pass	OFDM/TDD	Pass	N/A

**Table E-1 SAR System Validation Summary – 1g** 

Note: Wile the probes have been calibrated for both CW and modulated signals, all measurements were performed using communication systems calibrated for CW signals only. Modulations in the table above represent test configurations for which the measurement system has been validated per FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04 for scenarios when CW probe calibrations are used with other signal types. SAR systems were validated for modulated signals with a periodic duty cycle, such as GMSK, or with a high peak to average ratio (> 5 dB), such as OFDM according to FCC KDB Publication 865664 D01v01r04.