


# FCC SAR TEST REPORT

Report No: STS1707008H01

Issued for

Prodrone Technology(Shenzhen)Co., Ltd  
11th floor, Tower 1, Novel Park, 4078 Dong Bin Road,  
Nanshan District, Shenzhen China

<b>Product Name:</b>	GDU Remote Controller
<b>Brand Name:</b>	
<b>Model Name:</b>	CME03-O2
<b>Series Model:</b>	N/A
<b>FCC ID:</b>	2AKIE-PD-RC03-0301
<b>Test Standard:</b>	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1
	FCC 47 CFR Part 2 ( 2.1093)
	IEEE 1528: 2013
<b>Max. SAR (10g):</b>	Limb-worn: 0.435 W/kg
<b>Max. SAR (1g)</b>	Body: 0.776 W/kg

Any reproduction of this document must be done in full. No single part of this document may be reproduced without permission from BZT, All Test Data Presented in this report is only applicable to presented Test sample.

BZT Testing Technology Co., Ltd

Add. : Buliding 17, Xinghua Road Xingwei industrial Park Fuyong,  
Baoan District, Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

TEL: +86-755 3307 1680 FAX: +86-755 27341758 E-mail:bruce@bzt.cn

## Test Report Certification

**Applicant's name** ..... : Prodrone Technology(Shenzhen)Co., Ltd  
**Address** ..... : 11th floor, Tower 1, Novel Park, 4078 Dong Bin Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China  
**Manufacture's Name**..... : Prodrone Technology(Shenzhen)Co., Ltd  
**Address** ..... : 11th floor, Tower 1, Novel Park, 4078 Dong Bin Road, Nanshan District, Shenzhen China

### Product description

**Product name** ..... : GDU Remote Controller

**Trademark** ..... :



**Model and/or type reference** : CME03-O2

**Series Model**..... : N/A

**Standards**..... : ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992  
 FCC 47 CFR Part 2 ( 2.1093)  
 IEEE 1528: 2013

The device was tested by Shenzhen BZT Test Services Co., Ltd. in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in KDB 865664 The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

**Date of Test**..... :

**Date (s) of performance of tests**..... : 09 Jul. 2017

**Date of Issue**..... : 10 Jul. 2017

**Test Result**..... : **Pass**

Testing Engineer :

*Aaron Bu*

( Aaron Bu)

Technical Manager :

*John Zou*

(John Zou)

Authorized Signatory :

*Vita Li*

(Vita Li)


## Table of Contents

<b>1.General Information</b>	<b>4</b>
1.1 EUT Description	4
1.2 Test Environment	5
1.3 Test Factory	5
<b>2.Test Standards And Limits</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>3. SAR Measurement System</b>	<b>7</b>
3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)	7
3.2 SAR System	7
<b>4. Tissue Simulating Liquids</b>	<b>10</b>
4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check	10
<b>5. SAR System Validation</b>	<b>11</b>
5.1 Validation System	11
5.2 Validation Result	11
<b>6. SAR Evaluation Procedures</b>	<b>12</b>
<b>7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch</b>	<b>13</b>
7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table	13
<b>8. EUT Test Position</b>	<b>14</b>
8.1 Define Two Imaginary Lines On The Handset	14
<b>9. Uncertainty</b>	<b>15</b>
9.1 Measurement Uncertainty	15
9.2 System validation Uncertainty	17
<b>10. Conducted Power Measurement</b>	<b>19</b>
10.1 Test Result	19
10.2 Tune-up Power	19
<b>11. EUT And Test Setup Photo</b>	<b>20</b>
11.1 EUT Photo	20
11.2 Setup Photo	23
<b>12. SAR Result Summary</b>	<b>25</b>
12.1 Body-worn SAR	25
<b>13. Equipment List</b>	<b>27</b>
<b>Appendix A. System Validation Plots</b>	<b>28</b>
<b>Appendix B. SAR Test Plots</b>	<b>32</b>
<b>Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report</b>	<b>37</b>

## 1. General Information

Environmental evaluation measurements of specific absorption rate (SAR) distributions in emulated human head and body tissues exposed to radio frequency (RF) radiation from wireless portable devices for compliance with the rules and regulations of the U.S. Federal Communications Commission (FCC).

### 1.1 EUT Description

Equipment	GDU Remote Controller
Brand Name	
Model No.	CME03-O2
Series Model	N/A
FCC ID	2AKIE-PD-RC03-0301
Model Difference	N/A
Battery	Rated Voltage: 7.6V Charge Limit: 8.7V Capacity: 1200mAh
Hardware Version	ITM -AP12 V3.0
Software Version	V1.1
Frequency Range	2.4G: 2412~2462MHz 5.8G: 5745~5875MHz
Max. Reported SAR(10g):	Limb-worn: 2.4G: 0.435 W/kg 5.8G: 0.389 W/kg
Max. Reported SAR(1g):	Body: 2.4G: 0.776 W/kg 5.8G: 0.536 W/kg 2.4G+5G: 1.312 W/kg
Operating Mode:	DSSS, OFDM
Antenna Specification:	2.4GHz WLAN/5GHz WLAN: PIFA Antenna
Hotspot Mode:	Not Support
DTM Mode:	Not Support

## 1.2 Test Environment

Ambient conditions in the SAR laboratory:

Items	Required
Temperature (°C)	18-25
Humidity (%RH)	30-70

## 1.3 Test Factory

BZT Testing Technology Co., Ltd

Add. : Buliding 17, Xinghua Road Xingwei industrial Park Fuyong, Baoan District,  
Shenzhen, Guangdong, China

FCC Registration No.: 701733

## 2. Test Standards And Limits

No.	Identity	Document Title
1	47 CFR Part 2	Frequency Allocations and Radio Treaty Matters; General Rules and Regulations
2	ANSI/IEEE Std. C95.1-1992	IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz
3	IEEE Std. 1528-2013	Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques
4	Health Canada's Safety Code 6	Limits of Human Exposure to Radiofrequency Electromagnetic Energy in the Frequency Range from 3KHz to 300 GHz - Safety Code 6 (2009)
5	RSS 102 Issue 5, March 2015	Radio Frequency (RF) Exposure Compliance of Radio communication Apparatus (All Frequency Bands)
6	FCC KDB 447498 D01 v06	Mobile and Portable Device RF Exposure Procedures and Equipment Authorization Policies
7	FCC KDB 865664 D01 v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz
8	FCC KDB 865664 D02 v01r02	RF Exposure Reporting
9	FCC KDB 941225 D07 v01r02	UMPC Mini Tablet
10	FCC KDB 248227 D01 Wi-Fi SAR v02r02	SAR Considerations for 802.11 Devices

### (A). Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

### (B). Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

#### **Population/Uncontrolled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

#### **Occupational/Controlled Environments:**

are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

### 3. SAR Measurement System

#### 3.1 Definition Of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR)

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are higher than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy ( $dW$ ) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass ( $dm$ ) contained in a volume element ( $dv$ ) of a given density ( $\rho$ ). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{dm} \right) = \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \right)$$


SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg) SAR measurement can be related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma E^2}{\rho}$$

Where:  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  
 $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and  $E$  is the RMS electrical field strength.

#### 3.2 SAR System

SATIMO SAR System Diagram:



Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Head simulating tissue

The following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with head simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

### 3.2.1 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 45/15 EPGO281 with following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg
  - Tip Diameter: 2.5 mm
  - Length of Individual Dipoles: 2 mm
  - Maximum external diameter: 8 mm
  - Distance between dipoles / probe extremity: 2.7 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)
  - Probe linearity:  $0 \pm 2.60\%$  ( $\pm 0.11$  dB)
  - Axial Isotropy: < 0.25 dB
  - Spherical Isotropy: < 0.25 dB
  - Calibration range: 450MHz to 6GHz for head & body simulating liquid.
- Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°



**Figure 1** – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E-field Dipole



### 3.2.2 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.




Figure-SN 32/14 SAM115



Figure-SN 32/14 SAM116

### 3.2.3 Device Holder



The SAR in the phantom is approximately inversely proportional to the square of the distance between the source and the liquid surface. For a source at 5 mm distance, a positioning uncertainty of  $\pm 0.5$  mm would produce a SAR uncertainty of  $\pm 20$  %. Accurate device positioning is therefore crucial for accurate and repeatable measurements. The positions in which the devices must be measured are defined by the standards.

## 4. Tissue Simulating Liquids

### 4.1 Simulating Liquids Parameter Check

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.

Frequency (MHz)	Bactericide	DGBE	HEC	NaCl	Sucrose	1,2-Propanediol	X100	Water	Conductivity	Permittivity
	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	%	$\sigma$	$\epsilon_r$
750	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
835	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
900	/	/	/	0.79	/	64.81	/	34.40	0.97	41.8
1800	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
1900	/	13.84	/	0.35	/	/	30.45	55.36	1.38	41.0
2000	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.55	41.1
2450	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3
2600	/	7.99	/	0.16	/	/	19.97	71.88	1.88	40.3

Tissue dielectric parameters for head and body phantoms				
Frequency	$\epsilon_r$		$\sigma$ S/m	
	Head	Body	Head	Body
300	45.3	58.2	0.87	0.92
450	43.5	58.7	0.87	0.94
900	41.5	55.0	0.97	1.05
1450	40.5	54.0	1.20	1.30
1800	40.0	53.3	1.40	1.52
2450	39.2	52.7	1.80	1.95
3000	38.5	52.0	2.40	2.73
5800	35.3	48.2	5.27	6.00

### LIQUID MEASUREMENT RESULTS

**Date:** 09 Jul. 2017 **Ambient condition:** Temperature 23.8°C **Relative humidity:** 61%


Body Simulating Liquid		Parameters	Target	Measured	Deviation[%]	Limited[%]
Frequency	Temp. [°C]					
2450 MHz	23.5	Permittivity:	52.70	52.63	-0.14	± 5
		Conductivity:	1.95	2.03	4.07	± 5
5800 MHz	23.5	Permittivity:	48.20	48.68	1.00	± 5
		Conductivity:	6.00	5.84	-2.67	± 5

## 5. SAR System Validation

### 5.1 Validation System

Each SATIMO system is equipped with one or more system validation kits. These units, together with the predefined measurement procedures within the SATIMO software, enable the user to conduct the system performance check and system validation. System kit includes a dipole, and dipole device holder.

The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It's performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurement in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system validation setup is shown as below.



### 5.2 Validation Result

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the validation data should be within its specification of 10 %.

**Ambient condition:** Temperature 23.8°C Relative humidity: 61%

Freq.(MHz)	Power(mW)	Tested Value (W/Kg)	Normalized SAR (W/kg)	Target(W/Kg)	Tolerance(%)	Date
2450 Body	100	5.162	51.615	55.65	-7.25	2017-07-09
5800 Body	100	18.281	182.813	183.06	-0.14	2017-07-09

Note: The tolerance limit of System validation  $\pm 10\%$ .

## 6. SAR Evaluation Procedures

The procedure for assessing the average SAR value consists of the following steps:

The following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \* 30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8\*4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.


Area Scan & Zoom Scan:

First Area Scan is used to locate the approximate location(s) of the local peak SAR value(s). The measurement grid within an Area Scan is defined by the grid extent, grid step size and grid offset. Next, in order to determine the EM field distribution in a three-dimensional spatial extension, Zoom Scan is required. The Zoom Scan is performed around the highest E-field value to determine the averaged SAR -distribution over 10 g. Area scan and zoom scan resolution setting follows KDB 865664 D01v01r01 quoted below.

When the 1-g SAR of the highest peak is within 2 dB of the SAR limit, additional zoom scans are required for other peaks within 2 dB of the highest peak that have not been included in any zoom scan to ensure there is no increase in SAR.

## 7. EUT Antenna Location Sketch

It is a Remote Controller, support WIFI mode.



### 7.1 SAR test exclusion consider table

Antenna	Test position configurations					
	Front	Back	Right edge	Left edge	Top edge	Bottom edge
2.4GHz [0]	<25mm	<25mm	127MM	55MM	/	90mm
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
2.4GHz [1]	<25mm	<25mm	55mm	127mm	/	90mm
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
2.4GHz MIMO	<25mm	<25mm	55mm	55mm	/	90mm
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
5GHz [0]	<25mm	<25mm	89mm	55mm	/	85mm
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
5GHz [1]	<25mm	<25mm	55mm	89mm	/	85mm
	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No

#### Note:

1. The EUT in normal use, the Top side and Bottom side will not contact with the torso and arms, so top and bottom side without assessment.
2. Per KDB 941225 D07 UMPC Mini Tablet v01r02: UMPC mini-tablet devices must be tested for 1-g SAR on all surfaces and side edges with a transmitting antenna located at  $\leq 25\text{mm}$  from that surface or edge.

## 8. EUT Test Position


This EUT was tested in Front Face and Rear Face.

### 8.1 Define Two Imaginary Lines On The Handset

(1) The vertical centerline passes through two points on the front side of the handset: the midpoint of the width  $w_t$  of the handset at the level of the acoustic output, and the midpoint of the width  $w_b$  of the handset.


(2) The horizontal line is perpendicular to the vertical centerline and passes through the center of the acoustic output. The horizontal line is also tangential to the face of the handset at point A.

(3) The two lines intersect at point A. Note that for many handsets, point A coincides with the center of the acoustic output; however, the acoustic output may be located elsewhere on the horizontal line. Also note that the vertical centerline is not necessarily to the front face of the handset, especially for clamshell handsets, handsets with flip covers, and other irregularly shaped handsets.



#### Body-worn Position Conditions:

Body-worn accessory exposure is typically related to voice mode operations when handsets are carried in body-worn accessories. The body-worn accessory procedures in KDB Publication 447498 D01 should be used to test for body-worn accessory SAR compliance, without a headset connected to it. When the same wireless transmission configuration is used for testing body-worn accessory and hotspot mode SAR, respectively, in voice and data mode, SAR results for the most conservative *test separation distance* configuration may be used to support both SAR conditions. When the *reported SAR* for a body-worn accessory, measured without a headset connected to the handset, is  $> 1.2 \text{ W/kg}$ , the highest *reported SAR* configuration for that wireless mode and frequency band should be repeated for the body-worn accessory with a headset attached to the handset.



## 9. Uncertainty

### 9.1 Measurement Uncertainty

The following measurement uncertainty levels have been estimated for tests performed on the EUT as specified in IEEE 1528: 2013. This uncertainty represents an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of  $k=2$ .

NO	Source	Tol(%)	Prob. Dist.	Div. k	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	1gUi	10gUi	Veff
Measurement System <input type="checkbox"/>									
1	Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	$\infty$
2	Axial isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	$(1-cp)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	$\infty$
3	Hemispherical isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	$\infty$
4	Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	$\infty$
5	Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	$\infty$
6	System Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	$\infty$
7	Readout electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	$\infty$
8	Response time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0	0	$\infty$
9	Integration time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	$\infty$
10	Ambient noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	$\infty$
11	Ambient reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	$\infty$
12	Probe positioner mech. restrictions	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	$\infty$
13	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	$\infty$
14	Max.SAR evaluation	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	$\infty$
Test sample related									
15	Device positioning	2.6	N	1	1	1	2.6	2.6	11

16	Device holder	3	N	1	1	1	3.0	3.0	7
17	Drift of output power	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	$\infty$
Phantom and set-up									
18	Phantom uncertainty	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	$\infty$
19	Liquid conductivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
20	Liquid conductivity (meas)	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
21	Liquid Permittivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	$\infty$
22	Liquid Permittivity (meas)	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	$\infty$
Combined standard			RSS	$U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$			10.63%	10.54%	
Expanded uncertainty (P=95%)		$U = k U_c, k=2$					21.26%	21.08%	



## 9.2 System validation Uncertainty

NO	Source	Tol(%)	Prob. Dist.	Div. k	ci (1g)	ci (10g)	1gUi	10gUi	Veff
Measurement System □									
1	Probe calibration	5.8	N	1	1	1	5.8	5.8	∞
2	Axial isotropy	3.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	$(1-c_p)^{1/2}$	1.43	1.43	∞
3	Hemispherical isotropy	5.9	R	$\sqrt{3}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	$\sqrt{C_p}$	2.41	2.41	∞
4	Boundary effect	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
5	Linearity	4.7	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.71	2.71	∞
6	System Detection limits	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.58	0.58	∞
7	Modulation response	0	N	1	1	1	0	0	∞
8	Readout electronics	0.5	N	1	1	1	0.50	0.50	∞
9	Response time	0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0	0	∞
10	Integration time	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
11	Ambient noise	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
12	Ambient reflections	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.73	1.73	∞
13	Probe positioner mech. restrictions	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
14	Probe positioning with respect to phantom shell	1.4	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.81	0.81	∞
15	Max.SAR evaluation	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	0.6	0.6	∞
Dipole									
16	Deviation of experimental source from	4	N	1	1	1	4.00	4.00	∞

17	Input power and SAR drit measurement	5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.89	2.89	$\infty$
18	Dipole Axis to liquid Distance	2	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1			$\infty$
Phantom and set-up									
19	Phantom uncertainty	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.31	2.31	$\infty$
20	Uncertainty in SAR correction for deviation(in	2.0	N	1	1	0.84	2	1.68	$\infty$
21	Liquid conductivity (target)	2	N	1	1	0.84	2.00	1.68	$\infty$
22	Liquid conductivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
23	Liquid conductivity (meas)	4	N	1	0.23	0.26	0.92	1.04	5
24	Liquid Permittivity (target)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	$\infty$
25	Liquid Permittivity (temperature uncertainty)	2.5	N	1	0.78	0.71	1.95	1.78	5
26	Liquid Permittivity (meas)	5.0	N	1	0.23	0.26	1.15	1.30	$\infty$
Combined standard			RSS	$U_c = \sqrt{\sum_{i=1}^n C_i^2 U_i^2}$			10.15%	10.05%	
Expanded uncertainty (P=95%)		$U = k U_c, k=2$					20.29%	20.10%	

## 10. Conducted Power Measurement

### 10.1 Test Result

#### 2.4G band

Mode	Channel Number	Frequency (MHz)	Average Power (dBm)		
			Ant 0	Ant 1	Ant 0+1
b	1	2412	15.0	14.7	N/A
	6	2437	15.1	14.8	N/A
	11	2462	14.9	14.5	N/A
g	1	2412	15.3	14.9	N/A
	6	2437	15.4	14.9	N/A
	11	2462	15.3	14.9	N/A
n-HT20	1	2412	15.2	14.8	18.01
	6	2437	15.5	14.8	18.17
	11	2462	15.1	14.7	17.91

#### 5.8G band

Mode	Frequency (MHz)	Average EIRP Power(dBm)	
		Ant 0	Ant 1
5.8G Hz	5745	12.11	11.43
	5777	12.22	11.56
	5810	12.60	11.54

### 10.2 Tune-up Power


Band	Mode	Average Power (dBm)		
		Ant 0	Ant 1	Ant 0+1
2.4GHz	b	15±1	14±1	N/A
	g	15±1	14±1	N/A
	n-HT20	15±1	14±1	17.3±1

Band	Mode	Average Power (dBm)
5.8GHz	FHSS	12±1

# 11. EUT And Test Setup Photo

## 11.1 EUT Photo


Front side



Back side



Top side



Bottom side



Left side



Right side



## 11.2 Setup Photo

Body front side




Body Back side



Body Back side (the antennas at 90 degrees)



Liquid depth (15 cm)





## 12. SAR Result Summary

### 12.1 Body-worn SAR

#### 802.11b:

Band	Test Position	Ant	Freq. (MHz)	Result SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Result SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max. Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas. Output Power(dBm)	Duty cycle (%)	Scaled SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Scaled SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
2.4 GHz	Front Side	0	2437	0.522	0.294	2.15	16	15.1	100%	<b>0.642</b>	<b>0.362</b>	1
	Back side	0	2437	0.217	0.132	2.16	16	15.1	100%	0.267	0.162	/
	Back side 90 degrees	0	2437	0.081	0.045	1.74	16	15.1	100%	0.100	0.055	/
2.4 GHz	Front Side	1	2437	0.485	0.262	-2.11	15	14.8	100%	<b>0.508</b>	<b>0.274</b>	2
	Back side	1	2437	0.173	0.102	-1.75	15	14.8	100%	0.181	0.107	/
	Back side 90 degrees	1	2437	0.066	0.034	2.08	15	14.8	100%	0.069	0.036	/

#### 802.11 n (HT20):

Band	Test Position	Ant	Freq. (MHz)	Result SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Result SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max. Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas. Output Power(dBm)	Duty cycle (%)	Scaled SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Scaled SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
2.4 GHz	Front Side	0+1	2437	0.753	0.422	-1.48	18.3	18.17	100%	<b>0.776</b>	<b>0.435</b>	3
	Back side	0+1	2437	0.252	0.147	2.09	18.3	18.17	100%	0.260	0.151	/
	Back side 90 degrees	0+1	2437	0.097	0.049	2.24	18.3	18.17	100%	0.100	0.050	/

#### 5.8G WIFI:

Band	Test Position	Ant	Freq. (MHz)	Result SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Result SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Power Drift(%)	Max. Turn-up Power(dBm)	Meas. Output Power(dBm)	Duty cycle (%)	Scaled SAR <sub>1-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Scaled SAR <sub>10-g</sub> (W/Kg)	Meas. No.
5.8 GHz	Front Side	0	5810	0.489	0.245	-1.58	13	12.60	100%	<b>0.536</b>	<b>0.269</b>	4
	Back side	0	5810	0.177	1.004	1.88	13	12.60	100%	0.194	1.101	/
	Back side 90 degrees	0	5810	0.064	0.030	0.77	13	12.60	100%	0.070	0.033	/
5.8 GHz	Front Side	1	5777	0.434	0.242	-0.91	12	11.56	100%	<b>0.480</b>	<b>0.268</b>	5
	Back side	1	5777	0.165	0.098	1.32	12	11.56	100%	0.183	0.108	/
	Back side 90 degrees	1	5777	0.061	0.028	-2.07	12	11.56	100%	0.068	0.031	/

#### Note:

1. The test separation of all above table is 0mm.
2. Per KDB 248227 D01- When the highest reported SAR for DSSS is adjusted by the ratio of OFDM(802.11g )to DSSS specified maximum output power and the adjusted SAR is  $0.688 \text{ W/Kg} \leq 1.2 \text{ W/Kg}$ . So OFDM(802.11g ) SAR test is not required.

**Simultaneous Multi-band Transmission Evaluation:**

Application Simultaneous Transmission information:

Position	Simultaneous state
Body	1. WLAN + 5G

## NOTE:

1. For simultaneous transmission at body exposure position, 2 transmitters simultaneous transmission was the worst state.
2. Based upon KDB 447498 D01, BT SAR is excluded as below table.
3. If the test separation distance is <5mm, 5mm is used for excluded SAR calculation.
4. For minimum test separation distance  $\leq 50\text{mm}$ , Bluetooth standalone SAR is excluded according to  $[(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot \sqrt{f} (\text{GHz}) / x] \leq 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\leq 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR
5. The reported SAR summation is calculated based on the same configuration and test position.
7. KDB 447498 / 4.3.2 (2) when standalone SAR test exclusion applies to an antenna that transmits simultaneously with other antennas, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:
  - a)  $(\text{max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW}) / (\text{min. test separation distance, mm}) \cdot \sqrt{f} (\text{GHz}) / x \leq 1.6 \text{ W/kg}$  for test separation distances  $\leq 50 \text{ mm}$ ;  
Where  $x = 7.5$  for 1-g SAR, and  $x = 18.75$  for 10-g SAR.
  - b)  $0.4 \text{ W/Kg}$  for 1-g SAR and  $1.0 \text{ W/Kg}$  for 10-g SAR, when the separation distance is  $>50\text{mm}$ .

Simultaneous Mode	Position	Mode	Max. 1-g SAR (W/kg)	1-g Sum SAR (W/kg)
WLAN + 5G	Body	WLAN	0.776	1.312
		5G	0.536	

Simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion is determined for each operating configuration and exposure condition according to the reported standalone SAR of each applicable simultaneous transmitting antenna.

When the sum of SAR 1g of all simultaneously transmitting antennas in an operating mode and exposure condition combination is within the SAR limit (SAR-1g 1.6 W/kg), the simultaneous transmission SAR is not required. When the sum of SAR 1g is greater than the SAR limit (SAR-1g 1.6 W/kg), SAR test exclusion is determined by the SPLSR.

### 13. Equipment List

Kind of Equipment	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Last Calibration	Calibrated Until
2450MHz Dipole	SATIMO	SID2450	SN 30/14 DIP2G450-335	2014.09.01	2017.08.31
Waveguide	SATIMO	SWG5500	SN 13/14 WGA32	2014.09.01	2017.08.31
E-Field Probe	SATIMO	SSE2	SN 45/15 EPCG281	2017.02.04	2018.02.03
Dielectric Probe Kit	SATIMO	SCLMP	SN 32/14 OCPG67	2016.12.05	2017.12.04
Antenna	SATIMO	ANTA3	SN 07/13 ZNTA52	N/A	N/A
Phantom1	SATIMO	SAM	SN 32/14 SAM115	2014.09.01	N/A
Phantom2	SATIMO	SAM	SN 32/14 SAM116	2014.09.01	N/A
Phone holder	SATIMO	N/A	SN 32/14 MSH97	2014.09.01	N/A
Laptop holder	SATIMO	N/A	SN 32/14 LSH29	2014.09.01	N/A
Network Analyzer	Agilent	8753ES	US38432810	2017.03.16	2018.03.15
Multi Meter	Keithley	Multi Meter 2000	4050073	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Signal Generator	Agilent	N5182A	MY50140530	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Wireless Communication Test Set	Agilent	8960-E5515C	MY48360751	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Power Amplifier	DESAY	ZHL-42W	9638	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Power Meter	R&S	NRP	100510	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Power Meter	Agilent	E4418B	GB43312526	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Power Sensor	R&S	NRP-Z11	101919	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
Power Sensor	Agilent	E9301A	MY41497725	2016.10.23	2017.10.22
9dB Attenuator	Agilent	99899	DC-18GHz	2017.05.10	2018.05.09
11dB Attenuator	Agilent	8494B	DC-18GHz	2017.05.10	2018.05.09
110dB Attenuator	Agilent	8494B	DC-18GHz	2017.05.10	2018.05.09
Dual Directional Coupler	Agilent	SHWPDI- 1080S	N/A	2017.05.09	2018.05.08
Temperature & Humidity	MiEO	HH660	N/A	2016.10.25	2017.10.24

## Appendix A. System Validation Plots

### System Performance Check Data (2450MHz Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm


Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017-07-09

Measurement duration: 14 minutes 23 seconds

### Experimental conditions.


Device Position	Validation plane
Band	2450 MHz
Channels	-
Signal	CW
Frequency (MHz)	2450
Relative permittivity	52.63
Conductivity (S/m)	2.03
Power drift (%)	2.11
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Crest factor:	1:1



Maximum location: X=3.00, Y=1.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.232548
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.162458

### Z Axis Scan



## System Performance Check Data(5800MHz Body)

Type: Phone measurement (Complete)


Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017-07-09

### Experimental conditions.




Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	-
Band	5800MHz
Channels	-
Signal	CW
Frequency (MHz)	5800MHz
Relative permittivity	48.68
Conductivity (S/m)	5.84
Power drift (%)	0.78
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.60
Crest factor:	1:1



Maximum location: X=6.00, Y=2.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	8.892456
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	18.281432

### Z Axis Scan



## Appendix B. SAR Test Plots


Plot 1: DUT: GDU Remote Controller; EUT Model: CME03-O2

Test Date	2017-07-09
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=5mm dy=5mm, h=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body front side
Antenna	0
Band	2.4GHz
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0
Frequency (MHz)	2437
Relative permittivity	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95
Variation (%)	2.15

Maximum location: X=-7.00, Y=-8.00

SAR Peak: 0.92 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.294235
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.522389






## Plot 2: DUT: GDU Remote Controller; EUT Model: CME03-O2

Test Date	2017-07-09
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=5mm dy=5mm, h=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body Front side
Antenna	1
Band	2.4GHz
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0
Frequency (MHz)	2437
Relative permittivity	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95
Variation (%)	-2.11

Maximum location: X=-7.00, Y=16.00

SAR Peak: 0.81 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.262254
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.485215




Plot 3: DUT: GDU Remote Controller; EUT Model: CME03-O2

Test Date	2017-07-09
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.28
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	5x5x7,dx=8mm dy=8mm dz=5mm, Complete/ndx=5mm dy=5mm, h=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body Front side
Antenna	0+1
Band	2.4GHz
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0
Frequency (MHz)	2437
Relative permittivity	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95
Variation (%)	-1.48

Maximum location: X=-9.00, Y=15.00

SAR Peak: 1.39 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.421829
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.752524




Plot 4: DUT: GDU Remote Controller; EUT Model: CME03-O2

Test Date	2017-07-09
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.60
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	4x4x2,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=4mm dy=4mm, h=2mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body front side
Antenna	0
Band	5.8GHz
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0
Frequency (MHz)	5810
Relative permittivity	48.20
Conductivity (S/m)	6.00
Variation (%)	-1.58

Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=29.00

SAR Peak: 0.88 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.245482
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.489356




Plot 5: DUT: GDU Remote Controller; EUT Model: CME03-O2

Test Date	2017-07-09
Probe	SN 45/15 EPGO281
ConvF	2.60
Area Scan	dx=8mm dy=8mm, h= 5.00 mm
ZoomScan	4x4x2,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm, Complete/ndx=4mm dy=4mm, h=2mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	Body front side
Antenna	1
Band	5.8GHz
Signal	Crest factor: 1.0
Frequency (MHz)	5777
Relative permittivity	48.20
Conductivity (S/m)	6.00
Variation (%)	-0.91

Maximum location: X=8.00, Y=-33.00

SAR Peak: 0.76 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.242215
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.434216



## Appendix C. Probe Calibration And Dipole Calibration Report

Refer the appendix Calibration Report.

※※※※END OF THE REPORT※※※※