

# Qingdao Intelligent&Precise Electronics Co., Ltd

## **MPE ASSESSMENT REPORT**

**Report Type:**  
FCC MPE assessment report

**Model:**  
ZDGF7618BU-C

**REPORT NUMBER:**  
190201350SHA-004

**ISSUE DATE:**  
February 27, 2019

**DOCUMENT CONTROL NUMBER:**  
TTRFFCCMPE-01\_V1 © 2018 Intertek



**Applicant:** Qingdao Intelligent&Precise Electronics Co., Ltd  
No.218, Qianwangang Road, Qingdao Economic&Technological  
Development Zone, Shandong, China.

**Manufacturer:** Qingdao Intelligent&Precise Electronics Co., Ltd  
No.218, Qianwangang Road, Qingdao Economic&Technological  
Development Zone, Shandong, China.

**Factory 1:** Qingdao Intelligent&Precise Electronics Co., Ltd  
No.218, Qianwangang Road, Qingdao Economic&Technological  
Development Zone, Shandong, China.

**FCC:** 2AJVQ-7618BUC

## SUMMARY:

The equipment complies with the requirements according to the following standard(s) or Specification:
KDB447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06 FCC Part2.1091, FCC Part2.1093 FCC Part1.1307(b)

## PREPARED BY:



Project Engineer  
Nemo Li

## REVIEWED BY:



Reviewer  
Daniel Zhao

This report is for the exclusive use of Intertek's Client and is provided pursuant to the agreement between Intertek and its Client. Intertek's responsibility and liability are limited to the terms and conditions of the agreement. Intertek assumes no liability to any party, other than to the Client in accordance with the agreement, for any loss, expense or damage occasioned by the use of this report. Only the Client is authorized to permit copying or distribution of this report and then only in its entirety. Any use of the Intertek name or one of its marks for the sale or advertisement of the tested material, product or service must first be approved in writing by Intertek. The observations and test results in this report are relevant only to the sample tested. This report by itself does not imply that the material, product, or service is or has ever been under an Intertek certification program.

### Revision History

Report No.	Version	Description	Issued Date
190201350SHA-004	Rev. 01	Initial issue of report	February 27, 2019

## 1 GENERAL INFORMATION

### 1.1 Description of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Product name:	Wireless Module
Type/Model:	ZDGF7618BU-C
Description of EUT:	EUT is a Wireless Module with WiFi function, and has only one model.
Rating:	DC 5V
EUT type:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Table top <input type="checkbox"/> Floor standing
Software Version:	/
Hardware Version:	/
Sample received date:	January 14, 2019
Date of test:	January 14, 2019 ~ February 22, 2019

### 1.2 Technical Specification

Frequency Range:	2400MHz ~ 2483.5MHz
Support Standards:	IEEE 802.11b, IEEE 802.11g, IEEE 802.11n(HT20), IEEE 802.11n(HT40)
Type of Modulation:	IEEE 802.11b: DSSS (CCK, DQPSK, DBPSK) IEEE 802.11g: OFDM (64-QAM, 16-QAM, QPSK, BPSK) IEEE 802.11n(HT20): OFDM (64-QAM, 16-QAM, QPSK, BPSK) IEEE 802.11n(HT40): OFDM (64-QAM, 16-QAM, QPSK, BPSK)
Channel Number:	11 Channels for 802.11b, 802.11g and 802.11n(HT20) 7 Channels for 802.11n(HT40)
Channel Separation:	5 MHz

Frequency Range:	5150 ~ 5250MHz 5250 ~ 5350MHz 5470 ~ 5725MHz 5725 ~ 5850MHz
Support Standards:	802.11a, 802.11n/ac(HT20), 802.11n/ac(HT40), 802.11ac(VHT80)
Type of Modulation:	OFDM (BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM, 64QAM, 256QAM)
Channel Number:	For 5150 ~ 5250MHz band: Channel 36 - 48 For 5250 ~ 5350MHz Band: Channel 52 - 64 For 5470 ~ 5725MHz Band: Channel 100 - 140 For 5725 ~ 5850MHz band: Channel 149 - 165

**TEST REPORT**

**1.3 Description of Test Facility**

Name:	Intertek Testing Services Shanghai
Address:	Building 86, No. 1198 Qinzhou Road(North), Shanghai 200233, P.R. China
Telephone:	86 21 61278200
Telefax:	86 21 54262353

The test facility is recognized, certified, or accredited by these organizations:	CNAS Accreditation Lab Registration No. CNAS L0139
	FCC Accredited Lab Designation Number: CN1175
	IC Registration Lab CAB identifier.: CN0051
	VCCI Registration Lab Registration No.: R-14243, G-10845, C-14723, T-12252
	A2LA Accreditation Lab Certificate Number: 3309.02

## 2 MPE Assessment

Test result: Pass

### 2.1 MPE Assessment Limit

Mobile device exposure for standalone operations:

Frequency range	E-field strength (V/m)	H-field strength (A/m)	B-field (uT)	Equivalent plane wave power density $S_{eq}$ (W/m <sup>2</sup> )
0-1 Hz	-	$3,2 \times 10^4$	$4 \times 10^4$	-
1-8 Hz	10 000	$3,2 \times 10^4/f^2$	$4 \times 10^4/f^2$	-
8-25 Hz	10 000	4 000/f	5 000/f	-
0,025-0,8 kHz	250/f	4/f	5/f	-
0,8-3 kHz	250/f	5	6,25	-
3-150 kHz	87	5	6,25	-
0,15-1 MHz	87	0,73/f	0,92/f	-
1-10 MHz	$87/f^{1/2}$	0,73/f	0,92/f	-
10-400 MHz	28	0,073	0,092	2
400-2 000 MHz	$1,375 f^{1/2}$	$0,0037 f^{1/2}$	$0,0046 f^{1/2}$	f/200
2-300 GHz	61	0,16	0,20	10

Mobile device exposure for simultaneous transmission operations: **the sum of the MPE ratios for all simultaneously transmitting antennas incorporated in a host device is  $\leq 1.0$**

**TEST REPORT**

**2.2 Assessment Results**

Power density (S) is calculated according to the formula:

$$S = P / (4\pi R^2)$$

Where S = power density in mW/cm<sup>2</sup>

P = Radiated transmit power in mW

G = numeric gain of transmit antenna

R = distance (cm)

As we can see from the test report 190201350SHA-001 and 190201350SHA-002:

The calculations in the table below use the highest gain of antenna for client EUT. These calculations represent worst case in terms of the exposure levels.

The 2.4G and 5G Band cannot support simultaneous transmission.

Frequency band (MHz)	Power dBm	Directional Gain dBi	R (cm)	S (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )	Limits (mW/cm <sup>2</sup> )
2400 -2483.5	17.41	2.34	20	0.0188	1
5150-5250	16.81	3.41	20	0.0209	1
5250-5350	16.71	3.41	20	0.0205	1
5470-5725	17.08	3.41	20	0.0223	1
5725-5850	17.10	3.41	20	0.0224	1

Note: 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup> from 1.310 Table 1

**Appendix I**

Definition below must be outlined in the User Manual:

To satisfy FCC RF exposure requirements, a separation distance of 20 cm or more should be maintained between the antenna of this device and persons during device operation.

To ensure compliance, operations at closer than this distance is not recommended.

\*\*\*\*\* END \*\*\*\*\*