#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

Calibration File No: DC-1602 Project Number: BAC-dipole-cal-5779

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories
Part number: ALS-D-2450-S-2
Frequency: 2450 MHz
Serial No: 220-00758

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2014 Released on: 9<sup>th</sup> October, 2014

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

ite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Kanata, ONTARIO CANADA K2K 3J1 Division of APREL Lab. TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 97 of 116

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5°C Temperature of the Tissue: 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

#### Attestation

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Maryna Nesterova Calibration Engineer

### **Primary Measurement Standards**

 Instrument
 Serial Number
 Cal due date

 Tektronix USB Power Meter
 11C940
 May 14, 2015

 Network Analyzer Anritsu 37347C
 002106
 Feb. 20, 2015

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 98 of 116

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**


Length:52.4 mmHeight:30.3 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	2450 MHz	1.014 U	-45.184 dB	50.006Ω
Body	2450 MHz	1.070 U	-29.453 dB	50.672 Ω

### **System Validation Results**

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	2450 MHz	54.916	25.327	111.97
Body	2450 MHz	52.418	24.691	103.91



3

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 99 of 116

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 220-00758. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 30 MHz to 6 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 225.

#### References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure

SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 1: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 300 MHz to 3 GHz)" IEC-62209 "Human exposure to radio frequency fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices – Human models, instrumentation, and procedures"

Part 2 Draft: "Procedure to determine the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for handheld devices used in close proximity of the ear (frequency range of 30 MHz to 6 GHz)"

#### Conditions

Dipole 220-00758 was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory:  $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,^{+/-} \,^{0.5^{\circ}\text{C}}$ Temperature of the Tissue:  $20 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \,^{+/-} \,^{0.5^{\circ}\text{C}}$ 

#### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

Mechanical1%Positioning Error1.22%Electrical1.7%Tissue2.2%Dipole Validation2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 100 of 116

Division of APREL Laboratories.

# **Dipole Calibration Results**

## **Mechanical Verification**

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
51.5 mm	30.4 mm	52.4 mm	30.3 mm

**Electrical Specification** 

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	2450 MHz	1.014 U	-45.184 dB	50.006Ω
Body	2450 MHz	1.070 U	-29.453 dB	50.672 Ω

## **Tissue Validation**

	Dielectric constant, 6r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 2450MHz	37.26	1.84
Body Tissue 2450MHz	53.61	1.90

5

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 101 of 116

Division of APREL Laboratories.


The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### **S11 Parameter Return Loss**





## Body; Frequency Range 2.400 to 2.516 GHz



6


Report No: RSZ150928006-20


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

102 of 116 SAR Evaluation Report

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### **SWR**






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 103 of 116

7

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Smith Chart Dipole Impedance**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 104 of 116

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2014.


9

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 105 of 116

# APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





**Body-worn Back Setup Photo (0mm)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 106 of 116

# **Body-worn Left Setup Photo (0mm)**



**Body-worn Top Setup Photo (0mm)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 107 of 116

# **APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS**

**EUT – Front View(White)** 

Report No: RSZ150928006-20



**EUT – Back View(White)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 108 of 116

# **EUT -Right Side View(White)**



**EUT – Left Side View(White)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 109 of 116

# **EUT – Top View(White)**



**EUT – Bottom View(White)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 110 of 116

## **EUT – Uncover View 1(White)**

Report No: RSZ150928006-20



**EUT – Uncover View 2(White)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 111 of 116

Report No: RSZ150928006-20



**EUT – Back View(Black)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 112 of 116

Report No: RSZ150928006-20



**EUT – Left Side View(Black)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 113 of 116

## **EUT – Top View(Black)**



**EUT – Bottom View(Black)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 114 of 116

## **EUT – Uncover View 1(Black)**

Report No: RSZ150928006-20



**EUT – Uncover View 2(Black)** 



SAR Evaluation Report 115 of 116

### APPENDIX F INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

Report No: RSZ150928006-20

- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-\_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 116 of 116 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM \_ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\*

SAR Evaluation Report 116 of 116