

## SAR EVALUATION REPORT

For

## **SHENZHEN SAMHOO SCI&TECH CO., LTD.**

Room 406 Floor 4th, Building 16th, Shangsha Innovation Sci &Tech Park,

Binhe Road, Shenzhen, China

## **FC CC ID: 2 2ABUBG GC7149 68**



Note: This test report is prepared for the customer shown above and for the device described herein. It may not be duplicated or used in part without prior written consent from Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp.



**The results and statements contained in this report pertain only to the device(s) evaluated.** 

## **TABLE OF CONTENTS**



**SAR Evaluation Report** 



## **DOCUMENT REVISION HISTORY**



This is a CIIPC application of the device, the differences between the original device and the current one are as follows:

- 1. Removing the digital keys, "\*" key and "#" key in the front in new products, they have the same main board between the new models and original models.
- 2. Changing the model number from "SPH6040" to "SPH6040SK".
- 3. Changing the adapter model from XY-1201050-C to SA/12PA/05FUS120100.
- 4. Changing the applicant's address from Room 406 Floor 4th, Building 16th, Shangsha Innovation Sci &Tech Park, Binhe Road, Shenzhen, China to Room 601, Building 2th, Huaqiangyun Industrial Park, No.1-1 Meixiu Road, Meilin Industrial Park, Futian District, Shenzhen, China.

For the change made to the device, all the worse case configuration was performed.

## **EUT DESCRIPTION**

This report has been prepared on behalf of SHENZHEN SAMHOO SCI&TECH CO., LTD. and their product and their product, FCC ID: 2ABUBGC714968, Model: SPH6040SK or the EUT(Equipment Under Test) as referred to in the rest of this report.

## **Technical Specification**



## **REFERENCE, STANDARDS, AND GUILDELINES**

## **FCC:**

The Report and Order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 1.6 mW/g as recommended by the ANSI/IEEE standard C95.1-1992 [6] for an uncontrolled environment (Paragraph 65). According to the Supplement C of OET Bulletin 65 "Evaluating Compliance with FCC Guide-lines for Human Exposure to Radio frequency Electromagnetic Fields", released on Jun 29, 2001 by the FCC, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in North America is 1.6 mW/g average over 1 gram of tissue mass.

### **CE:**

The order requires routine SAR evaluation prior to equipment authorization of portable transmitter devices, including portable telephones. For consumer products, the applicable limit is 2 mW/g as recommended by EN62209-1 for an uncontrolled environment. According to the Standard, the device should be evaluated at maximum output power (radiated from the antenna) under "worst-case" conditions for normal or intended use, incorporating normal antenna operating positions, device peak performance frequencies and positions for maximum RF energy coupling.

This report describes the methodology and results of experiments performed on wireless data terminal. The objective was to determine if there is RF radiation and if radiation is found, what is the extent of radiation with respect to safety limits. SAR (Specific Absorption Rate) is the measure of RF exposure determined by the amount of RF energy absorbed by human body (or its parts) – to determine how the RF energy couples to the body or head which is a primary health concern for body worn devices. The limit below which the exposure to RF is considered safe by regulatory bodies in Europe is 2 mW/g average over 10 gram of tissue mass.

The test configurations were laid out on a specially designed test fixture to ensure the reproducibility of measurements. Each configuration was scanned for SAR. Analysis of each scan was carried out to characterize the above effects in the device.

## **SAR Limits**



#### FCC Limit (1g Tissue)

### CE Limit (10g Tissue)



 Population/Uncontrolled Environments are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individual who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

 Occupational/Controlled Environments are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

 Occupational/Controlled environments Spatial Peak limit 8.0W/kg (FCC/IC) & 10 W/kg (CE) applied to the EUT.

## **FACILITIES**

The test site used by Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) to collect data is located at 6/F, the 3rd Phase of WanLi Industrial Building, Shi Hua Road, Fu Tian Free Trade Zone, Shenzhen, Guangdong, P.R. of China

## **DESCRIPTION OF TEST SYSTEM**

These measurements were performed with ALSAS 10 Universal Integrated SAR Measurement system from APREL Laboratories.

#### **ALSAS-10U System Description**

ALSAS-10-U is fully compliant with the technical and scientific requirements of IEEE 1528, IEC 62209, CENELEC, ARIB, ACA, and the Federal Communications Commission. The system comprises of a six axes articulated robot which utilizes a dedicated controller. ALSAS-10U uses the latest methodologies. And FDTD modeling to provide a platform which is repeatable with minimum uncertainty.

#### **Applications**

 Predefined measurement procedures compliant with the guidelines of CENELEC, IEEE, IEC, FCC, etc are utilized during the assessment for the device. Automatic detection for all SAR maxima are embedded within the core architecture for the system, ensuring that peak locations used for centering the zoom scan are within a 1mm resolution and a 0.05mm repeatable position. System operation range currently available up-to 6 GHz in simulated tissue.

#### **Area Scans**

 Area scans are defined prior to the measurement process being executed with a user defined variable spacing between each measurement point (integral) allowing low uncertainty measurements to be conducted. Scans defined for FCC applications utilize a 10mm2 step integral, with 1mm interpolation used to locate the peak SAR area used for zoom scan assessments.



#### **Zoom Scan (Cube Scan Averaging)**

 The averaging zoom scan volume utilized in the ALSAS-10U software is in the shape of a cube and the side dimension of a 1 g or 10 g mass is dependent on the density of the liquid representing the simulated tissue. A density of 1000 kg/m3 is used to represent the head and body tissue density and not the phantom liquid density, in order to be consistent with the definition of the liquid dielectric properties, i.e. the side length of the 1 g cube is 10mm, with the side length of the 10 g cube 21,5mm.

When the cube intersects with the surface of the phantom, it is oriented so that 3 vertices touch the surface of the shell or the center of a face is tangent to the surface. The face of the cube closest to the surface is modified in order to conform to the tangent surface.

The zoom scan integer steps can be user defined so as to reduce uncertainty, but normal practice for typical test applications (including FCC) utilize a physical step of 5x5x8 (8mmx8mmx5mm) providing a volume of  $32 \text{mm}$  in the  $\overline{X} \& Y$  axis, and  $35 \text{mm}$  in the Z axis.



#### **ALSAS-10U Interpolation and Extrapolation Uncertainty**

The overall uncertainty for the methodology and algorithms the used during the SAR calculation was evaluated using the data from IEEE 1528 based on the example f3 algorithm:

$$
f_3(x, y, z) = A \frac{a^2}{\frac{a^2}{4} + x'^2 + y'^2} \cdot \left( e^{-\frac{2z}{a}} + \frac{a^2}{2(a + 2z)^2} \right)
$$

#### **Isotropic E-Field Pr robe**

The isotropic E-Field probe has been fully calibrated and assessed for isotropicity, and boundary effect within a controlled environment. Depending on the frequency for which the probe is calibrated the method utilized for calibration will change.

The E-Field probe utilizes a triangular sensor arrangement as detailed in the diagram below:



SAR is assessed with a calibrated probe which moves at a default height of 5mm from the center of the diode, which is mounted to the sensor, to the phantom surface (in the  $\overline{Z}$  Axis). The 5mm offset height has been selected so as to minimize any resultant boundary effect due to the probe being in close proximity to the phantom surface.

The following algorithm is an example of the function used by the system for linearization of the output from the probe when measuring complex modulation schemes.

$$
V_t = U_t + U_t^2 \cdot \frac{cf}{dcp_t}
$$

### **Isotropic E-Field Probe Specification**



#### **Boundary Detection Unit and Probe Mounting Device**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a boundary detection unit with a sensitivity of 0.05mm for detecting all types of surfaces. The robust design allows for detection during probe tilt (probe normalize) exercises, and utilizes a second stage emergency stop. The signal electronics are fed directly into the robot controller for high accuracy surface detection in lateral and axial detection modes  $(X, Y, \& Z)$ .

The probe is mounted directly onto the Boundary Detection unit for accurate tooling and displacement calculations controlled by the robot kinematics. The probe is connect to an isolated probe interconnect where the output stage of the probe is fed directly into the amplifier stage of the Daq-Paq.

### **Daq-Paq (Analog to Digital Electronics)**

ALSAS-10U incorporates a fully calibrated Daq-Paq (analog to digital conversion system) which has a 4 channel input stage, sent via a 2 stage auto-set amplifier module. The input signal is amplified accordingly so as to offer a dynamic range from 5µV to 800mV. Integration of the fields measured is carried out at board level utilizing a Co-Processor which then sends the measured fields down into the main computational module in digitized form via an RS232 communications port. Probe linearity and duty cycle compensation is carried out within the main Daq-Paq module.



### **Axis Articulated Robot**

ALSAS-10U utilizes a six axis articulated robot, which is controlled using a Pentium based real-time movement controller. The movement kinematics engine utilizes proprietary (Thermo CRS) interpolation and extrapolation algorithms, which allow full freedom of movement for each of the six joints within the working envelope. Utilization of joint 6 allows for full probe rotation with a tolerance better than 0.05mm around the central axis.





### **ALSAS Universal Workstation**

ALSAS Universal workstation allows for repeatability and fast adaptability. It allows users to do calibration, testing and measurements using different types of phantoms with one set up, which significantly speeds up the measurement process.

### **Universal Device Positioner**

The universal device positioner allows complete freedom of movement of the EUT. Developed to hold a EUT in a free-space scenario any additional loading attributable to the material used in the construction of the positioner has been eliminated. Repeatability has been enhanced through the linear scales which form the design used to indicate positioning for any given test scenario in all major axes. A 15° tilt indicator is included for the of aid cheek to tilt movements for head SAR analysis. Overall uncertainty for measurements have been reduced due to the design of the Universal device positioner, which allows positioning of a device in as near to a free-space scenario as possible, and by providing the means for complete repeatability.

Report No: RSZ140801007-20A1



### **Phantom Types**

The ALSAS-10U allows the integration of multiple phantom types. SAM Phantoms fully compliant with IEEE 1528, Universal Phantom, and Universal Flat.

### **APREL S SAM Phant toms**

The SAM requiremen interchangeable, transparent and include the IEEE 1528 grid with visible NF and MB lines. phantoms nts for both developed u IEEE 1528 using the IE and FCC S EEE SAM C Supplement C CAD file. T C. Both the They are ful left and rig lly complian ght SAM ph nt with the hantoms are



### **APREL Laboratories Universal Phantom**

The Universal Phantom is used on the ALSAS-10U as a system validation phantom. The Universal Phantom has been fully validated both experimentally from 30MHz to 6GHz and numerically using XFDTD numerical software.

The shell thickness is 2mm overall, with a 4mm spacer located at the NF/MB intersection providing an overall thickness of 6mm in line with the requirements of IEEE-1528.

The design allows for fast and accurate measurements, of handsets, by allowing the conservative SAR to be evaluated at on frequency for both left and right head experiments in one measurement.



#### **Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body Phantoms**

The head tissue dielectric parameters recommended by the IEEE SCC-34/SC-2 in P1528 have been incorporated in the following table. These head parameters are derived from planar layer models simulating the highest expected SAR for the dielectric properties and tissue thickness variations in a human head. Other head and body tissue parameters that have not been specified in P1528 are derived from the tissue dielectric parameters computed from the 4-Cole-Cole equations described in Reference [12] and extrapolated according to the head parameters specified in P1528.



#### **Recommended Tissue Dielectric Parameters for Head and Body**



## **EQUIPMENT LIST AND CALIBRATION**

## **Equipments List & Calibration Information**



## **SAR MEASUREMENT SYSTEM VERIFICATION**

## **Liquid Verification**



Liquid Verification Setup Block Diagram

## **Liquid Verification Results**



*\*Liquid Verification was performed on 2014-08-14.* 

Please refer to the following tables.



### **System Accuracy Verification**

Prior to the assessment, the system validation kit was used to test whether the system was operating within its specifications of  $\pm 10$ %. The validation results are tabulated below. And also the corresponding SAR plot is attached as well in the SAR plots files.

#### **System Verification Setup Block Diagram**



#### **Probe and dipole antenna List and Detail**



#### **System Accuracy Check Results**



\*All SAR values are normalized to 1 Watt forward power.

## **SAR SYSTEM VALIDATION DATA**

**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)** 

#### **System Performance Check 450 MHz Head Liquid**

#### **Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503**



#### Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen) Report No: RSZ140801007-20A1





**450 MHz System Validation with Head Tissue** 

#### **System Performance Check 450 MHz Body Liquid**

#### **Dipole 450 MHz; Type: ALS-D-450-S-2; S/N: 175-00503**









## **EUT TEST STRATEGY AND METHODOLOGY**

#### **Test Positions for Device Operating Next to a Person's Ear**

This category includes most wireless handsets with fixed, retractable or internal antennas located toward the top half of the device, with or without a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover. The handset should have its earpiece located within the upper ¼ of the device, either along the centerline or off-centered, as perceived by its users. This type of handset should be positioned in a normal operating position with the "test device reference point" located along the "vertical centerline" on the front of the device aligned to the "ear referen of the earp and bottom edges. A "ear reference point" is located on the outer surface of the head phantom on each ear spacer. It is located 1.5 cm above the center of the ear canal entrance in the "phantom reference plane" defined by the three lines joining the center of each "ear reference point" (left and right) and the tip of the mouth. nce point". piece region. The "test d The "vert device refere tical centerlin nce point" s ne" should b hould be loc bisect the fro cated at the s ont surface o same level a of the hands as the center et at its top

A handset should be initially positioned with the earpiece region pressed against the ear spacer of a head phantom. For the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom, the device should be positioned parallel to the "N-F" line defined alo phantoms, "test devic centerline" maintaining positions for evaluating SAR: ong the bas the device s e reference p  $"$  is aligned t g these three se of the ea should be po point" is alig to the "phant e alignments ar spacer th ositioned par gned to the " tom referenc s, the body o at contains rallel to the c "ear referenc ce plane". T of the handse the "ear re cheek for ma ce point" on This is calle et is graduall ference poin aximum RF the head ph ed the "initia ly adjusted t nt". For in energy coup hantom and t al ear positio to each of th nterim head pling. The he "vertical on". While he following





**N**

### **Cheek/Touch Position**

The device is brought toward the mouth of the head phantom by pivoting against the "ear reference point" or along the "N-F" line for the SCC-34/SC-2 head phantom.

This test position is established:

- o When any point on the display, keypad or mouthpiece portions of the handset is in contact with the phantom.
- o (or) When any portion of a foldout, sliding or similar keypad cover opened to its intended self-adjusting normal use position is in contact with the cheek or mouth of the phantom.

For existing head phantoms – when the handset loses contact with the phantom at the pivoting point, rotation should continue until the device touches the cheek of the phantom or breaks its last contact from the ear spacer.

#### **Cheek /Touch Position**



### **Ear/Tilt P Position**

With the handset aligned in the "Cheek/Touch Position":

1) If the earpiece of the handset is not in full contact with the phantom's ear spacer (in the "Cheek/Touch position") correspond by rotating it away from the mouth until the earpiece is in full contact with the ear spacer. and the peak ds to the earp k SAR locati piece region ion for the "C of the hands Cheek/Touch set, the devic h" position i ce should be is located at returned to t the ear space the "initial e er region or ar position"

2) (otherwise) The handset should be moved (translated) away from the cheek perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" (note: one of these ear reference points may not physically exist on a split head model) for approximate 2-3 cm. While it is in this position, the device handset is tilted away from the mouth with respect to the "test device reference point" until the inside angle between the vertical centerline on the front surface of the phone and the horizontal line passing through the ear reference point isby 15 80°. After the tilt, it is then moved (translated) back toward the head perpendicular to the line passes through both "ear reference points" until the device touches the phantom or the ear spacer. If the antenna touches the head first, the positioning process should be repeated with a tilt angle less than 15° so that the device and its antenna would touch the phantom simultaneously. This test position may require a device holder or positioner to achieve the translation and tilting with acceptable positioning repeatability.

#### Bay Area Compliance Laboratories Corp. (Shenzhen)

If a device is also designed to transmit with its keypad cover closed for operating in the head position, such positions s right side o configurati configurations should be tested at the high, middle and low frequency channels of each operating mode; for example, A configurati SAR limit, testing at the high and low channels is optional for such test configuration(s). If the transmission band of the test device is less than 10 MHz, testing at the high and low frequency channels is optional. hould also b of the head ion should be AMPS, CDM ion (left, righ be considered phantom in e tested with MA, and T ht, Cheek/To d in the SAR the "Cheek h the antenna TDMA. If ouch, Tile/Ea R evaluation k/Touch" and in its fully e the SAR m ar, extended n. The devi d "Ear/Tilt" extended and measured at and retracte ice should b positions. d fully retract the middle ed) is at leas be tested on When appli ted positions channel fo st 2.0 dB low the left and icable, each s. These test or each test wer than the

#### **Ear /Tilt 15<sup>o</sup> Pos sition**



#### **Test positions for body-worn and other configurations**

Body-worn operating configurations should be tested with the belt-clips and holsters attached to the device and positioned against a flat phantom in normal use configurations. Devices with a headset output should be tested with a headset connected to the device. When multiple accessories that do not contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device may be tested with only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body. When multiple accessories that contain metallic components are supplied with the device, the device must be tested with each accessory that contains a unique metallic component. If multiple accessories share an identical metallic component (e.g., the same metallic belt-clip used with different holsters with no other metallic components), only the accessory that dictates the closest spacing to the body must be tested.

Body-worn accessories may not always be supplied or available as options for some devices that are intended to device and circumstances. Other separation distances may be used, but they should not exceed 2.5 cm. In these cases, the device may use body-worn accessories that provide a separation distance greater than that tested for the device provided however that the accessory contains no metallic components. o be authoriz d a flat ph zed for body hantom is r y-worn use. recommende A separati ed for testin on distance ng body-wo  $\overline{of}$  1.5 cm b orn SAR co between the ompliance u back of the under such



Figure 5 - Test positions for body-worn devices

### **SAR Evaluation Procedure**

The evaluation was performed with the following procedure:

- Step 1: Measurement of the SAR value at a fixed location above the ear point or central position was used as a reference value for assessing the power drop. The SAR at this point is measured at the start of the test and then again at the end of the testing.
- Step 2: The SAR distribution at the exposed side of the head was measured at a distance of 4 mm from the inner surface of the shell. The area covered the entire dimension of the head or EUT and the horizontal grid spacing was 10 mm x 10 mm. Based on these data, the area of the maximum absorption was determined by spline interpolation. The first Area Scan covers the entire dimension of the EUT to ensure that the hotspot was correctly identified.
- Step 3: Around this point, a volume of 35 mm x 35 mm x 35 mm was assessed by measuring 7x 7 x 7 points. On the basis of this data set, the spatial peak SAR value was evaluated under the following procedure:
	- 1) The data at the surface were extrapolated, since the center of the dipoles is 1.2 mm away from the tip of the probe and the distance between the surface and the lowest measuring point is 1.3 mm. The extrapolation was based on a least square algorithm. A polynomial of the fourth order was calculated through the points in z-axes. This polynomial was then used to evaluate the points between the surface and the probe tip.
	- 2) The maximum interpolated value was searched with a straightforward algorithm. Around this maximum the SAR values averaged over the spatial volumes  $(1 g or 10 g)$  were computed by the 3D-Spline interpolation algorithm. The 3D-Spline is composed of three one dimensional splines with the "Not a knot"-condition (in x, y and z-directions). The volume was integrated with the trapezoidal-algorithm. One thousand points  $(10 \times 10 \times 10)$ were interpolated to calculate the averages.

 All neighboring volumes were evaluated until no neighboring volume with a higher average value was found.

Step 4: Re-measurement of the SAR value at the same location as in Step 1. If the value changed by more than 5%, the evaluation was repeated.

#### **Test methodology**

IEEE1528:2013 KDB 447498 D01 v05r02 KDB 865664 D01 v01r03 KDB 643646 D01 v01r01

## **CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER MEASUREMENT**

#### **Provision Applicable**

The measured peak output power should be greater and within 5% than EMI measurement.

#### **Test Procedure**

The RF output of the transmitter was connected to the input of the Signal Analyzer through sufficient attenuation.



### **Maximum Output Power among production units**



### **Test Results:**



## **SAR MEASUREMENT RESULTS**

This page summarizes the results of the performed dosimetric evaluation.

### **SAR Test Data**

#### **Environmental Conditions**



*\* Testing was performed by Wilson Chen on 2014-08-14* 

### **Test Result:**

### **Digital (Modulation 4FSK; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):**



### **Analog (Modulation FM; Channel Spacing 12.5 kHz):**



#### **Note**:

- *1. When the 1-g SAR tested using the default battery and default accessories is ≤ 3.5W/Kg (corrected by Multiplying 50% for FM mode), testing for other channels are optional.*
- *2. For a analog PTT, only simplex communication technology was supported, so the SAR value need to be corrected by Multiplying 50%.*
- *3. Passive body-worn and audio accessories generally do not apply to the head SAR of PTT radios.*
- *4. The whole antenna and radiating structures that may contribute to the measured SAR or influence the SAR distribution has been included in the area scan.*
- *5. Due to worn sack producing a wider test separation than belt clip, only worst SAR was tested for eut with worn sack.*

## **SAR Plots (Summary of the Highest SAR Values)**

**Test Laboratory: Bay Area Compliance Lab Corp. (Shenzhen)** 

#### **Face-Up 2.5cm (Digital 12.5k-400.0125 MHz)**



Zoom Scan Peak SAR : 5.102 W/kg

**Plot 1#** 



#### **Back-Worn 0.0cm (Digital 12.5k-400.0125 MHz)**







#### **Face-Up 2.5cm (Analog 12.5k-400.0125 MHz)**



**Plot 3#** 



### **Back-Worn 0.0cm (Analog 12.5k-400.0125 MHz)**





## **APPENDIX A – MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY**

The uncertainty budget has been determined for the measurement system and is given in the following Table.

## **Measurement Uncertainty for 300MHz to 6GHz**



## **APPENDIX B – PROBE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES**

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Calibration File No.: PC-1537

Task No: BACL-5745

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

> Equipment: Miniature Isotropic RF Probe Record of Calibration **Head and Body** Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Model No.: E-020 Serial No.: 500-00283

Calibration Procedure: D01-032-E020-V2, D22-012-Tissue, D28-002-Dipole Project No: BACL-5745

Calibrated: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013<br>Released on: 8<sup>th</sup> October 2013

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

**NCL** CALIBRATION LABORATORIES Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr. Division of APREL Lab.<br>CITTAWA, ONTARIO TEL: (613) 435-8300 FAX: (613) 435-8306 CANADA K2K 3J1

Division of APREL Inc.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report reproduces the results of the calibration performed in line with the references listed below. Calibration is performed using accepted methodologies as per the references listed below. Probes are calibrated for air, and tissue and the values reported are the results from the physical quantification of the probe through meteorgical practices.

#### **Calibration Method**

Probes are calibrated using the following methods.

 $<$ 1000MHz TEM Cell for sensitivity in air Standard phantom using temperature transfer method for sensitivity in tissue

 $>1000$ MHz

Wavequide\* method to determine sensitivity in air and tissue "Waveguide is numerically (simulation) assessed to determine the field distribution and power

The boundary effect for the probe is assessed using a standard flat phantom where the probe output is compared against a numerically simulated series of data points

#### **References**

IEEE Standard 1528  $\alpha$ 

IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Head from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques

EN 62209-1 o.

Human Exposure to RF Fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless communication devices - Human models. instrumentation, and procedures-Part 1: Procedure to measure the Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for hand-held mobile wireless devices IEC 62209-2

 $\circ$ 

Human exposure to RF fields from hand-held and body-mounted wireless devices - Human models, instrumentation, and procedures - Part 2: specific absorption rate (SAR) for wireless communication devices (30 MHz - 6 GHz)

- o TP-D01-032-E020-V2 E-Field probe calibration procedure
- o D22-012-Tissue dielectric tissue calibration procedure
- D28-002-Dipole procedure for validation of SAR system using a dipole
- o IEEE 1309 Standard for Calibration of Electromagnetic Field Sensors and Probes, Excluding Antennas, from 9kHz to 40GHz

Page 2 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Conditions**

Probe 500-00283 was a recalibration.



**Primary Measurement Standards** 



#### **Secondary Measurement Standards**



#### **Attestation**

The below named signatories have conducted the calibration and review of the data which is presented in this calibration report.

> We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

Division of APREL Inc.

**Probe Summary** 



**Sensitivity in Air** 



Page 4 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

Calibration for Tissue (Head H, Body B)



Page 5 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Boundary Effect:**

Uncertainty resulting from the boundary effect is less than 2.1% for the distance between the tip of the probe and the tissue boundary, when less than 0.58mm.

#### **Spatial Resolution:**

The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.5% for 4.9mm diameter probe. The spatial resolution uncertainty is less than 1.0% for 2.5mm diameter probe.

#### **DAQ-PAQ Contribution**

To minimize the uncertainty calculation all tissue sensitivity values were calculated using a load impedance of  $5 M\Omega$ .

Page 6 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

#### **Receiving Pattern Air**



Page 7 of 10  $\,$  This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

### **Isotropy Error Air**



**Isotropicity Tissue:** 

 $0.10dB$ 

Page 8 of 10

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

## **Dynamic Range**



Page 9 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

Division of APREL Inc.

### **Video Bandwidth**





#### **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2013.

Page 10 of 10 This page has been reviewed for content and attested to on Page 2 of this document.

## **APPENDIX C – DIPOLE CALIBRATION CERTIFICATES**

#### **NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES**

Calibration File No: DC-1426 Project Number: BACL-5672

## CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-450-S-2 Frequency: 450 MHz Serial No: 175-00503

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Head and Body Calibration

Calibrated: 31st July 2012<br>Released on: 2<sup>nd</sup> August 2012

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

**CALIBRATION LABORATORIES** 303 Terry Fox Drive, Suite 102<br>Kanata, Onfario<br>CANADA K2K 3J1

Division of APREL<br>TFI : (613) 435-8300<br>FAX: (613) 435-8305

Division of APREL Laboratories.

### Conditions

Dipole 175-00503 was taken from stock for an original calibration..

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: Temperature of the Tissue:

22 °C +/- 0.5°C 21 °C +/- 0.5°C

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this subject has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within the results pages have been reviewed for accuracy.

Art Brennan, Quality Manager

Dan Brooks, Test Engineer

#### **Calibration Results Summary**

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

#### **Mechanical Dimensions**

Length: 270.0 mm Height: 166.7 mm

#### **Electrical Specification**



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **System Validation Results Head**





#### **System Validation Results Body**





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

3

Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole RFE-362. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

#### **References**

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

#### **Conditions**

Original calibration.



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

 $\overline{4}$ 

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Dipole Calibration Results**

#### **Mechanical Verification**

![](_page_49_Picture_49.jpeg)

**Tissue Validation** 

![](_page_49_Picture_50.jpeg)

#### Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

![](_page_49_Picture_51.jpeg)

**TOTAL** 

8.32% (16.64% K=2)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

5

# **NCL Calibration Laboratories**<br>Division of APREL Laboratories.

#### **Electrical Calibration**

![](_page_50_Picture_63.jpeg)

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

#### **S11 Parameter Return Loss**

![](_page_50_Figure_8.jpeg)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

Division of APREL Laboratories.

![](_page_51_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_51_Figure_5.jpeg)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

 $\tau$ 

Division of APREL Laboratories.

![](_page_52_Figure_4.jpeg)

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

Division of APREL Laboratories.

## **Test Equipment**

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:WCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List May 2012.

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

## **450MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20**

### **Mechanical Verification**

![](_page_54_Picture_79.jpeg)

## **Test Graphs**:

Head Tissue

Return Loss : Impedance :

![](_page_54_Figure_8.jpeg)

Body Tissue

Return Loss : Impedance :

![](_page_54_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_13.jpeg)

![](_page_54_Figure_15.jpeg)

## **APPENDIX D – EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS**

### **Liquid depth ≥ 15cm**

![](_page_55_Picture_4.jpeg)

**Face-Up 2.5 cm Separation to Flat Phantom** 

![](_page_55_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_56_Picture_2.jpeg)

**Body-Back 0.0 cm Separation to Flat Phantom (Belt Clip)** 

**Body-Back 0.0 cm Separation to Flat Phantom (worn sack)** 

![](_page_56_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **APPENDIX E – EUT PHOTOS**

### **EUT – Front View**

![](_page_57_Picture_4.jpeg)

#### **EUT – Back View**

![](_page_57_Picture_6.jpeg)

SAR Evaluation Report 58 of 64

![](_page_58_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **EUT–Right View**

![](_page_58_Picture_4.jpeg)

**EUT–Left View** 

#### **EUT–Top View**

![](_page_59_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **EUT–Bottom View**

![](_page_59_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_60_Picture_2.jpeg)

#### **EUT–Uncover View**

#### **EUT Battery View**

![](_page_60_Picture_5.jpeg)

SAR Evaluation Report 61 of 64

**EUT–Antenna View** 

![](_page_61_Picture_3.jpeg)

#### **EUT–Headset View**

![](_page_61_Picture_5.jpeg)

SAR Evaluation Report 62 of 64

![](_page_62_Picture_2.jpeg)

### **EUT–Belt Clip View**

#### **EUT worn-sack View**

![](_page_62_Picture_5.jpeg)

## **APPENDIX G – INFORMATIVE REFERENCES**

[1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.

[2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O\_ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.

[3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E- eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 64 of 64 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.

[4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645{652, May 1997.

[5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz - 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.

[6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.

[7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM 97, Dubrovnik, October 15{17, 1997, pp. 120-24.

[8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23{25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.

[9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.

[10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.

[11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.

[12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky,W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992.Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9

[13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainity in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.

[14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

[15] FCC OET KDB643646 SAR Test Reduction Considerations for Occupational PTT Radios.

#### **\*\*\*\*\* END OF REPORT \*\*\*\*\***