

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP11913492-2216A V2.0

Manufacturer Raspberry Pi (Trading) Ltd

Model No. Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+

FCC ID 2ABCB-RPI3BP

Technology : Bluetooth - Basic Rate & EDR

: FCC Parts 15.207, 15.209(a) & 15.247 Test Standard(s)

This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD. 1.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 2.0 supersedes all previous versions.

> Date of Issue: 06 March 2018

Checked by: Willens.

Sarah Williams

Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Company Signatory:

Ian Watch

Senior Test Engineer, Radio Laboratory

UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

The Bluetooth® word mark and logos are owned by the Bluetooth SIG, Inc. and any use of such marks by UL VS LTD is under licence. Other trademarks and trade names are those of their respective owners.

Telephone: +44 (0)1256 312000 Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 58 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information		4
2.1. General Information 2.2. Summary of Test Resul 2.3. Methods and Procedure 2.4. Deviations from the Tes	es	5 5 5 5 5
 Equipment Under Test (EU' 3.1. Identification of Equipm 3.2. Description of EUT 3.3. Modifications Incorpora 3.4. Additional Information F 3.5. Support Equipment 	ited in the EUT	6 6 6 7 7
 Operation and Monitoring of 4.1. Operating Modes 4.2. Configuration and Peripheration 	of the EUT during Testing	
5.1. General Comments 5.2. Test Results 5.2.1. Transmitter AC Co 5.2.2. Transmitter 20 dB 5.2.3. Transmitter Carrie 5.2.4. Transmitter Numb Occupancy 5.2.5. Transmitter Maxin 5.2.6. Transmitter Radia	er Frequency Separation oer of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of mum Peak Output Power	11 11 12 12 17 22 26 29 36 44
6. Measurement Uncertainty .		57
7. Report Revision History		58

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 58

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Raspberry Pi (Trading) Ltd
Address:	30 Station Road Cambridge CB1 2JH United Kingdom

Page 4 of 58 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.247
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Section 15.247
Specification Reference:	47CFR15.207 and 47CFR15.209
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Sections 15.207 and 15.209
Site Registration:	209735
Location of Testing:	UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom
Test Dates:	28 November 2017 to 14 December 2017

2.2. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 15.207	Transmitter AC Conducted Emissions	Ø
Part 15.247(a)(1)	Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth	Ø
Part 15.247(a)(1)	Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation	Ø
Part 15.247(a)(1)(iii)	Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy	②
Part 15.247(b)(1)	Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power	Ø
Part 15.247(d)/15.209(a)	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	②
Part 15.247(d)/15.209(a)	Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	②
Key to Results	•	•
= Complied = Did no	ot comply	

2.3. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.10-2013
Title:	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices
Reference:	KDB 174176 D01 Line Conducted FAQ v01r01 June 3, 2015
Title:	AC Power-Line Conducted Emissions Frequently Asked Questions

2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Raspberry Pi
Model Name or Number:	Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Radiated sample)
Hardware Version:	V1.0
Software Version:	4.4
FCC ID:	2ABCB-RPI3BP

Brand Name:	Raspberry Pi
Model Name or Number:	Raspberry Pi 3 Model B+
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Conducted sample with RF port)
Hardware Version:	V1.0
Software Version:	4.4
FCC ID:	2ABCB-RPI3BP

3.2. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test was a single board computer. It contains a *Bluetooth* and 2.4 GHz WLAN module powered from an AC/DC power supply. The antenna is integral.

3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

Page 6 of 58

3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

Tested Technology:	Bluetooth		
Power Supply Requirement:	Nominal	al 5.0 VDC	
Type of Unit:	Transceiver		
Channel Spacing:	1 MHz	1 MHz	
Mode:	Basic Rate	Basic Rate Enhanced Data Rate	
Modulation:	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8DPSK
Packet Type: (Maximum Payload)	DH5	2DH5	3DH5
Data Rate (Mbps):	1	2	3
Maximum Conducted Output Power:	11.6 dBm		
Antenna Gain:	3.5 dBi		
Transmit Frequency Range:	2402 MHz to 2480 MHz		
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel ID	Channel Number	Channel Frequency (MHz)
	Bottom	0	2402
	Middle	39	2441
	Тор	78	2480

3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	LCD Monitor
Brand Name:	Asus
Model Name or Number:	PA238
Serial Number:	D9LMTF114809

Description:	USB Mouse
Brand Name:	Microsoft
Model Name or Number:	MS1119
Serial Number:	CN-093H7Y-73826-54B-03W0

Description:	USB Keyboard
Brand Name:	Microsoft
Model Name or Number:	600
Serial Number:	0065806454108

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 58

Support Equipment (continued)

Description:	Power Supply. 230 VAC Input / 5 VDC output	
Brand Name:	Strontronics Ltd	
Model Name or Number:	DSA-12CA-05	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	16 GB Micro SD card	
Brand Name:	SanDisk	
Model Name or Number:	HCI	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	HDMI cable. Quantity 1. Length 1.9 metres	
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
[n	Fi	
Description:	Ethernet cable. Quantity 1. Length 1.0 metres	
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	USB cable. Quantity 2. Length 3.0 metres	
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Certai Namber.	Not marked of stated	
Description:	USB Hub	
Brand Name:	Hama	
Model Name or Number:	00078498	
Serial Number:	09825891600	
Description:	Ethernet Router	
Brand Name:	Netgear	
Model Name or Number:	GS605	
Serial Number:	1YG194390218E	

Page 8 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

VERSION NO. 2.0

Support Equipment (continued)

Description:	HDMI hub
Brand Name:	Sumvision
Model Name or Number:	Cyclone Micro
Serial Number:	SUM091104017

Description:	USB dongle
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 58

4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

- Continuously transmitting at maximum power on bottom, middle and top channels in Basic Rate (DH5 packets) or EDR (2DH5 or 3DH5 packets) as required.
- Continuously transmitting at maximum power in hopping mode on all channels in Basic Rate (DH5 packets) or EDR (2DH5 or 3DH5 packets) as required.

4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

- The customer's test application and supplied instructions were used to place the EUT into Bluetooth
 test mode. The supplied commands were entered into the console menu on the EUT. Test
 commands stated in the bt_testing.sh file located on the /home/pi drive of the EUT were used to
 configure the EUT to enable a continuous transmission and to select the test channels as required.
- The EUT was powered via an AC/DC switch mode power supply.
- Both EDR/Basic rate modes were compared and tests were performed with the mode that presented the worst case result. For output power, bandwidth, band edge and channel separation, all modes were tested.
- AC conducted emissions test was tested with the EUT transmitting on the Middle channel using DH5
 packet type, as this mode was found to transmit the highest power.
- Radiated spurious emissions were performed with the EUT in the X plane (worst case) while connected to its power supply. Tests were performed with the EUT connected to its AC adaptor and USB cable. All other ports were terminated with suitable terminations.
- The LCD monitor was connected to the EUT using a 2 metre long HDMI cable.
- The keyboard and mouse were connected to the USB port on the EUT.
- The EUT radiated sample was used for AC conducted emissions and radiated spurious emissions tests
- The EUT conducted sample was used for all other tests.

Page 10 of 58 UL VS LTD

5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

5.1. General Comments

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to *Section 6. Measurement Uncertainty* for details.

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

UL VS LTD Page 11 of 58

5.2. Test Results

5.2.1. Transmitter AC Conducted Spurious Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Doug Freegard	Test Date:	14 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Radiated sample)		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.207
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.2 / FCC KDB 174176 and notes below


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	22
Relative Humidity (%):	34

Note(s):

- 1. The EUT was connected to a DC power supply which supplied the unit with 5.0 VDC. The DC power supply was connected to 120 VAC 60 Hz single phase supply via a LISN.
- 2. In accordance with FCC KDB 174176 Q4, tests were performed with a 240 VAC 60 Hz single phase supply as this was within the voltage range marked on the 5.0 V DC power supply.
- 3. A pulse limiter was fitted between the LISN and the test receiver.
- 4. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest live and neutral measured levels. Final measurements were performed on the marker frequencies and the results entered into the tables below.

Test setup:



Page 12 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Live / Quasi Peak / 120 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBμV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.150	Live	58.1	66.0	7.9	Complied
0.231	Live	52.8	62.4	9.6	Complied
0.281	Live	48.1	60.8	12.7	Complied
0.398	Live	43.7	57.9	14.2	Complied
0.677	Live	31.2	56.0	24.8	Complied
11.153	Live	26.8	60.0	33.2	Complied

Results: Live / Average / 120 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBμV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.465	Live	30.4	46.6	16.2	Complied
1.037	Live	17.6	46.0	28.4	Complied
1.329	Live	17.2	46.0	28.8	Complied
1.644	Live	16.4	46.0	29.6	Complied
11.279	Live	19.7	50.0	30.3	Complied
25.058	Live	19.9	50.0	30.1	Complied

Results: Neutral / Quasi Peak / 120 VAC 60 Hz


Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.155	Neutral	57.3	65.8	8.5	Complied
0.173	Neutral	55.8	64.8	9.0	Complied
0.294	Neutral	48.0	60.4	12.4	Complied
0.510	Neutral	37.9	56.0	18.1	Complied
0.591	Neutral	35.3	56.0	20.7	Complied
11.351	Neutral	31.5	60.0	28.5	Complied

Results: Neutral / Average / 120 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBμV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.150	Neutral	31.8	56.0	24.2	Complied
0.465	Neutral	27.1	46.6	19.5	Complied
1.329	Neutral	14.6	46.0	31.4	Complied
6.014	Neutral	18.1	50.0	31.9	Complied
11.220	Neutral	23.6	50.0	26.4	Complied
25.058	Neutral	24.0	50.0	26.0	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 13 of 58

Results: 120 VAC 60 Hz



Note: These plots are pre-scans and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying tables.

Page 14 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Live / Quasi Peak / 240 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBμV)	Limit (dB _µ V)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.150	Live	52.6	66.0	13.4	Complied
0.177	Live	50.4	64.6	14.2	Complied
0.272	Live	43.0	61.1	18.1	Complied
0.398	Live	35.0	57.9	22.9	Complied
1.460	Live	29.3	56.0	26.7	Complied
11.027	Live	27.4	60.0	32.6	Complied

Results: Live / Average / 240 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dB _µ V)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.515	Live	30.9	46.0	15.1	Complied
0.893	Live	24.8	46.0	21.2	Complied
2.018	Live	23.3	46.0	22.7	Complied
4.943	Live	21.0	46.0	25.0	Complied
11.301	Live	19.9	50.0	30.1	Complied
25.058	Live	20.6	50.0	29.4	Complied

Results: Neutral / Quasi Peak / 240 VAC 60 Hz


Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dB _µ V)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.155	Neutral	52.6	65.8	13.2	Complied
0.173	Neutral	51.0	64.8	13.8	Complied
0.267	Neutral	43.7	61.2	17.5	Complied
0.389	Neutral	35.9	58.1	22.2	Complied
1.437	Neutral	23.4	56.0	32.6	Complied
11.180	Neutral	30.1	60.0	29.9	Complied


Results: Neutral / Average / 240 VAC 60 Hz

Frequency (MHz)	Line	Level (dBµV)	Limit (dBµV)	Margin (dB)	Result
0.155	Neutral	29.0	55.8	26.8	Complied
0.515	Neutral	24.9	46.0	21.1	Complied
0.893	Neutral	20.7	46.0	25.3	Complied
1.383	Neutral	17.4	46.0	28.6	Complied
1.977	Neutral	16.9	46.0	29.1	Complied
10.946	Neutral	20.7	50.0	29.3	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 15 of 58

Results: 240 VAC 60 Hz





Note: These plots are pre-scans and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying tables.

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2013	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046424	20 Jun 2018	12
A649	LISN	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z5	825562/008	09 Aug 2018	12
A1830	Pulse Limiter	Rohde & Schwarz	ESH3-Z2	100668	09 May 2018	12
M1263	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB7	100265	13 Nov 2018	12
A2953	Power Supply	Tacima	SC 5467	Not stated	Calibrated before use	-
M1229	Multimeter	Fluke	179	87640015	12 May 2018	12

Page 16 of 58 UL VS LTD

5.2.2. Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Doug Freegard	Test Dates:	04 December 2017 & 05 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Conducted sample with RF port)		RF port)

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.9.2


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	21 to 25
Relative Humidity (%):	32 to 38

Note(s):

- 1. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth 100 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and the trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 3 MHz. Normal and delta markers were placed 20 dB down from the peak of the carrier.
- 2. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

Test setup:





UL VS LTD Page 17 of 58

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results DH5:


Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	994.200
Middle	1002.900
Тор	985.500





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

Page 18 of 58 UL VS LTD


VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results 2DH5:

Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	1354.600
Middle	1358.900
Тор	1358.900





Bottom Channel

-16.75 dBm 2.47927060 GH: -0.34 dE 1.35890 MH: -D2[1] 1913492 e: 4.DEC.2017 15:58:28


Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 19 of 58

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results 3DH5:


Channel	20 dB Bandwidth (kHz)
Bottom	1311.100
Middle	1311.100
Тор	1315.500





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

Page 20 of 58 UL VS LTD

Transmitter 20 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	22 Feb 2018	12
M1873	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV30	103074	13 Jun 2018	12
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
G0606	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 03B	832870 / 054	16 Feb 2018	12
M199	Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS	827023/075	11 Apr 2018	24
M1267	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z52	100155	15 Apr 2018	24

UL VS LTD Page 21 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

5.2.3. Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Doug Freegard	Test Date:	05 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	ple Serial Number: Not marked or stated (Conducted sample with RF port)		RF port)

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 7.8.2


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	32

Note(s):

1. The 20 dB bandwidth measured for the middle channel operating at 2441 MHz was used to calculate the limit.

Test setup:



Page 22 of 58 UL VS LTD

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (²/₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
1001.400	668.600	332.800	Complied




UL VS LTD Page 23 of 58

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: 2DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (² / ₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
998.600	905.933	92.667	Complied




Page 24 of 58 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Carrier Frequency Separation (continued)

Results: 3DH5

Carrier Frequency	Limit (² / ₃ of 20 dB BW)	Margin	Result
Separation (kHz)	(kHz)	(kHz)	
1001.400	874.067	127.333	Complied



Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	22 Feb 2018	12
M1873	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV30	103074	13 Jun 2018	12
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
G0606	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 03B	832870 / 054	16 Feb 2018	12
M199	Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS	827023/075	11 Apr 2018	24
M1267	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z52	100155	15 Apr 2018	24

UL VS LTD Page 25 of 58

5.2.4. Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Doug Freegard	Test Date:	05 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Conducted sample with RF port)		RF port)

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(1)(iii)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 7.8.3 & 7.8.4


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	32

Note(s):

- 1. Tests were performed to identify the average time of occupancy in number of channels (79) x 0.4 seconds. The calculated period is 31.6 seconds.
- 2. The signal analyser was set up for the Number of Hopping Frequencies measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 83.5 MHz.
- 3. The signal analyser was set up for the Emission Width measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used and sweep time was set to auto with a span of zero Hz. The signal analyser was set to trigger at 0.5 ms, with a marker placed at the start of the emission and a delta marked place at the end of the emission. The emission width is recorded in the table below
- 4. The signal analyser was set up for the Number of Hopping Frequencies in 32 seconds measurement as follows: the resolution bandwidth was set to 30 kHz and video bandwidth of 100 kHz. A peak detector was used and sweep time was set to 32 seconds. The EUT was set to transmit in a hopping frequency mode with zero span. The total number of hopping frequencies was recorded in the table below.
- 5. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.


Test setup:




Page 26 of 58 UL VS LTD


Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy (continued) Results:

Emission Width (μs)	Number of Hops in 31.6 Seconds	Average Time of Occupancy (s)	Limit (s)	Margin (s)	Result
2920.290	114	0.333	0.4	0.067	Complied





Number of Hopping Frequencies



Number of Hopping Frequencies in 32 s

UL VS LTD Page 27 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

<u>Transmitter Number of Hopping Frequencies and Average Time of Occupancy (continued)</u> <u>Test Equipment Used:</u>

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	22 Feb 2018	12
M1873	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV30	103074	13 Jun 2018	12
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
G0606	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 03B	832870 / 054	16 Feb 2018	12
M199	Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS	827023/075	11 Apr 2018	24
M1267	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z52	100155	15 Apr 2018	24

Page 28 of 58 UL VS LTD

5.2.5. Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Doug Freegard	Test Date:	05 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Conducted sample with RF port)		RF port)

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(b)(1)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 7.8.5


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	32

Note(s):

- 1. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 2 MHz (>20 dB bandwidth) and video bandwidth of 10 MHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The span was set to 5.0 MHz (approximately five times the 20 dB bandwidth). A marker was placed at the peak of the signal and the results recorded in the tables below.
- 2. The declared antenna gain was added to the conducted peak power to obtain the EIRP.
- 3. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF offset level was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.

Test setup:



UL VS LTD Page 29 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Maximum Peak Output Power (continued)


Results: DH5

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	11.4	30.0	18.6	Complied
Middle	11.6	30.0	18.4	Complied
Тор	10.2	30.0	19.8	Complied


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	11.4	3.5	14.9	36.0	21.1	Complied
Middle	11.6	3.5	15.1	36.0	20.9	Complied
Тор	10.2	3.5	13.7	36.0	22.3	Complied

Page 30 of 58


Results: DH5







Top Channel



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 31 of 58


Results: 2DH5

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	9.8	21.0	11.2	Complied
Middle	9.7	21.0	11.3	Complied
Тор	8.3	21.0	12.7	Complied


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	9.8	3.5	13.3	27.0	13.7	Complied
Middle	9.7	3.5	13.2	27.0	13.8	Complied
Тор	8.3	3.5	11.8	27.0	15.2	Complied

Page 32 of 58 UL VS LTD


Results: 2DH5



Bottom Channel



Top Channel



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 33 of 58

Results: 3DH5


Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Conducted Peak Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	10.1	21.0	10.9	Complied
Middle	10.0	21.0	11.0	Complied
Тор	8.7	21.0	12.3	Complied

Channel	Conducted Peak Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	10.1	3.5	13.6	27.0	13.4	Complied
Middle	10.0	3.5	13.5	27.0	13.5	Complied
Тор	8.7	3.5	12.2	27.0	14.8	Complied


Page 34 of 58

Results: 3DH5





Bottom Channel



Middle Channel

Top Channel

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2002	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041825	22 Feb 2018	12
M1873	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV30	103074	13 Jun 2018	12
A2527	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-20	832828#2	Calibrated before use	-
G0606	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMIQ 03B	832870 / 054	16 Feb 2018	12
M199	Power Meter	Rohde & Schwarz	NRVS	827023/075	11 Apr 2018	24
M1267	Power Sensor	Rohde & Schwarz	NRV-Z52	100155	15 Apr 2018	24

UL VS LTD Page 35 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

5.2.6. Transmitter Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	30 November 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Radiated sample)		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz

Environmental Conditions:


Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	31

Note(s):

- 1. Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in DH5 mode as this was found to transmit the highest power and therefore deemed worst case.
- 2. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 3. The preliminary scans showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz, for each channel of operation. Therefore final radiated emissions measurements were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 4. All other emissions shown on the pre-scans were investigated and found to be ambient, or > 20 dB below the appropriate limit or below the measurement system noise floor and therefore not recorded.
- 5. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 6. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest measured levels. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. The sweep time was set to auto. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold.
- 7. Final measurements were performed on the marker frequencies and the results entered into the table below. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 120 kHz, using a CISPR quasi-peak detector and span big enough to see the whole emission.


Page 36 of 58 UL VS LTD

Test setup for radiated measurements:



UL VS LTD Page 37 of 58


Test setup for radiated measurements (continued):



Page 38 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Quasi-Peak / DH5

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
126.442	Horizontal	25.6	43.5	17.9	Complied



Note: This plot is a pre-scan and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying table.

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Feb 2018	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	14 Apr 2018	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	13 Apr 2018	12
A2888	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	VULB 9163	9163-941	25 Apr 2018	12
A2147	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-06	09020206-06	25 Apr 2018	12
A2131	Low Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFL-0200	JFB 1004-002	27 Feb 2018	12

UL VS LTD Page 39 of 58

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	29 November 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Radiated sample)		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.6
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 25 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	32

Note(s):

- 1. Transmitter radiated spurious emissions tests were performed with the EUT transmitting in DH5 mode as this was found to transmit the highest power and therefore deemed worst case.
- 2. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 3. The emission shown on the 1 GHz to 3 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental.
- 4. *In accordance with ANSI C63.10 Section 6.6.4.3 (Note 1), if the peak measured value complies with the average limit, it is unnecessary to perform an average measurement.
- 5. All other emissions shown on the pre-scans were investigated and found to be ambient, or > 20 dB below the appropriate limit or below the noise floor of the measurement system.
- 6. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0017) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. All measurement antennas were placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor, in line with the EUT. Final measurements above 1 GHz at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 m above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 7. Pre-scans were performed and a marker placed on the highest measured level of the appropriate plot. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. The sweep time was set to auto. Peak and average measurements were performed with their own appropriate detectors during the pre-scan measurements.

Page 40 of 58 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

VERSION NO. 2.0

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Bottom Channel / DH5

Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Average Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
4803.529	Vertical	51.8*	54.0	2.2	Complied

Results: Middle Channel / DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Average Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
4882.336	Vertical	50.0*	54.0	4.0	Complied


Results: Top Channel / DH5


Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Average Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
4959.450	Vertical	47.8*	54.0	6.2	Complied


Results: Hopping Mode / DH5


Frequency	Antenna	Peak Level	Average Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
4804.286	Vertical	52.6*	54.0	1.4	Complied

UL VS LTD Page 41 of 58









Note: These plots are pre-scans and for indication purposes only. For final measurements, see accompanying tables.

Page 42 of 58 UL VS LTD

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Туре No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Feb 2018	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	14 Feb 2018	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	13 Apr 2018	12
A2863	Pre Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02100	11 Apr 2018	12
A2891	Pre Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9718	9718-306	11 Apr 2018	12
A2893	Pre Amplifier	Schwarzbeck	BBV 9721	9721-021	11 Apr 2018	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120 B	BBHA 9120 B 653	11 Apr 2018	12
A2890	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	HWRD 750	014	11 Apr 2018	12
A2892	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9170	9170-727	11 Apr 2018	12
A2916	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	03 Mar 2018	12
A2914	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	2155	06 Mar 2018	12
A2947	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-07000	1601900001	18 May 2018	12
M1269	Multimeter	Fluke	179	90250210	02 May 2018	12
S0538	Bench Power Supply	TTI	PL154	250135	Calibrated before use	-

UL VS LTD Page 43 of 58

5.2.7. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Dates:	28 November 2017 & 01 December 2017
Test Sample Serial Number:	Not marked or stated (Radiated sample)		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.10

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	32 to 36

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The lower band edge falls within a non-restricted band. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker and corresponding reference level line were placed on the peak of the carrier. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent band (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
- 3. The upper band edge falls within a restricted band. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. Peak and average measurements were performed with their respective detectors, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent band (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
- 4. There is a restricted band 10 MHz below the lower band edge. The test receiver was set up as follows: the RBW set to 1 MHz, the VBW set to 3 MHz, with the sweep time set to auto couple. Peak and average measurements were performed with their respective detectors. Markers were placed on the highest point on each trace.
- 5. * -20 dBc limit.

Page 44 of 58 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Static Mode / DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2394.551	Vertical	50.1	87.3*	37.2	Complied
2400.0	Vertical	48.3	87.3*	39.0	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	57.7	74.0	16.3	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	49.3	54.0	4.7	Complied
2487.026	Vertical	51.2	54.0	2.8	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2375.897	Vertical	53.5	74.0	20.5	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2375.897	Vertical	48.4	54.0	5.6	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 45 of 58

Results: Static Mode / DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 46 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Hopping Mode / DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.439	Vertical	48.2	87.1*	38.9	Complied
2400.0	Vertical	46.5	87.1*	40.6	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	52.9	74.0	21.1	Complied
2483.821	Vertical	55.2	74.0	18.8	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	48.2	54.0	5.8	Complied
2487.266	Vertical	50.1	54.0	3.9	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.154	Vertical	54.2	74.0	19.8	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.026	Vertical	49.2	54.0	4.8	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 47 of 58

Results: Hopping Mode / DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 48 of 58 UL VS LTD

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Static Mode / 2DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.920	Vertical	48.3	83.1*	34.8	Complied
2400.0	Vertical	47.3	83.1*	35.8	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	52.5	74.0	21.5	Complied
2483.580	Vertical	54.6	74.0	19.4	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	47.2	54.0	6.8	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2375.641	Vertical	53.2	74.0	20.8	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.026	Vertical	49.1	54.0	4.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 49 of 58

Results: Static Mode / 2DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 50 of 58 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Hopping Mode / 2DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	45.0	83.0*	38.0	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	51.6	74.0	22.4	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	45.3	54.0	8.7	Complied
2486.304	Vertical	47.2	54.0	6.8	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2376.026	Vertical	54.2	74.0	19.8	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.410	Vertical	49.1	54.0	4.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 51 of 58

Results: Hopping Mode / 2DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 52 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Static Mode / 3DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	48.7	82.7*	34.0	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	52.3	74.0	21.7	Complied
2483.821	Vertical	54.5	74.0	19.5	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	46.9	54.0	7.1	Complied
2488.308	Vertical	47.7	54.0	6.3	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2376.154	Vertical	53.5	74.0	20.5	Complied


Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.410	Vertical	49.1	54.0	4.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 53 of 58

Results: Static Mode / 3DH5





Lower Band Edge



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Upper Band Edge

Page 54 of 58 UL VS LTD

Results: Hopping Mode / 3DH5

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.0	Vertical	44.0	83.0*	39.0	Complied
2483.5	Vertical	51.2	74.0	22.8	Complied
2483.740	Vertical	52.8	74.0	21.2	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Average Level (dBμV/m)	Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.5	Vertical	45.9	54.0	8.1	Complied
2485.103	Vertical	47.7	54.0	6.3	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2375.769	Vertical	52.9	74.0	21.1	Complied

Results: 2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band / Average


Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2376.154	Vertical	49.1	54.0	4.9	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 55 of 58

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018


Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Hopping Mode / 3DH5





Lower Band Edge



Upper Band Edge

2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Туре No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Feb 2018	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford	N/A	N/A	14 Apr 2018	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	13 Apr 2018	12
A2863	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02100	11 Apr 2018	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120 B	BBHA 9120 B 653	11 Apr 2018	12
A2916	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	03 Mar 2018	12

Page 56 of 58 UL VS LTD

6. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
AC Conducted Spurious Emissions	0.15 MHz to 30 MHz	95%	±4.69 dB
Conducted Maximum Peak Output Power	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Carrier Frequency Separation	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Average Time of Occupancy	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±3.53 ns
20 dB Bandwidth	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±5.65 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 26.5 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

UL VS LTD Page 57 of 58

VERSION NO. 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 06 MARCH 2018

7. Report Revision History

Version	Revision Details				
Number	Page No(s)	Clause	Details		
1.0	-	-	Initial Version		
2.0	38	-	Test setup diagram added		

⁻⁻⁻ END OF REPORT ---

Page 58 of 58