

TEST REPORT

Test Report No.: UL-RPT-RP11287331JD07A

Manufacturer : Raspberry Pi (Trading) Ltd

Model No. : v1.1

FCC ID : 2ABCB-RPI0W

Technology : WLAN

Test Standard(s) : FCC Parts 15.209(a) & 15.247

1. This test report shall not be reproduced in full or partial, without the written approval of UL VS LTD.

- 2. The results in this report apply only to the sample(s) tested.
- 3. The sample tested is in compliance with the above standard(s).
- 4. The test results in this report are traceable to the national or international standards.

5. Version 2.0 supersedes all previous versions.

Date of Issue: 21 December 2016

Checked by:

Ian Watch

Senior Engineer, Radio Laboratory

Company Signatory:

Sarah Williams

Senior Engineer, Radio Laboratory
UL VS LTD



This laboratory is accredited by UKAS. The tests reported herein have been performed in accordance with its terms of accreditation.

Facsimile: +44 (0)1256 312001

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

VERSION 2.0

This page has been left intentionally blank.

Page 2 of 56 UL VS LTD

Table of Contents

1. Customer Information	. 4
2. Summary of Testing	. 5 5 5 5
3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)	. 6 6 6 7 8
4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing	9 9 9
5.1. General Comments 5.2. Test Results 5.2.1. Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth 5.2.2. Transmitter Duty Cycle 5.2.3. Transmitter Power Spectral Density 5.2.4. Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power 5.2.5. Transmitter Radiated Emissions	10 11 11 16 22 27 34 42
6. Measurement Uncertainty	55
7. Report Revision History5	56

UL VS LTD Page 3 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

1. Customer Information

Company Name:	Raspberry Pi (Trading) Ltd
Address:	30 Station Road Cambridge CB1 2JH United Kingdom

Page 4 of 56 UL VS LTD

2. Summary of Testing

2.1. General Information

Specification Reference:	47CFR15.247	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) - Section 15.247	
Specification Reference:	47CFR15.209	
Specification Title:	Code of Federal Regulations Volume 47 (Telecommunications): Part 15 Subpart C (Intentional Radiators) – Section 15.209	
Site Registration:	209735	
Location of Testing:	UL VS LTD, Unit 3 Horizon, Wade Road, Kingsland Business Park, Basingstoke, Hampshire, RG24 8AH, United Kingdom	
Test Dates:	22 September 2016 to 28 September 2016	

2.2. Summary of Test Results

FCC Reference (47CFR)	Measurement	Result
Part 15.247(a)(2)	Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth	②
Part 15.35(c)	Transmitter Duty Cycle	Note 1
Part 15.247(e)	Transmitter Power Spectral Density	Ø
Part 15.247(b)(3)	Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power	Ø
Part 15.247(d) / 15.209(a)	Transmitter Radiated Emissions	Ø
Part 15.247(d) / 15.209(a)	Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions	Ø
Key to Results		•
_		

Note(s):

= Complied

1. The measurement was performed to assist in the calculation of the level of maximum conducted output power, power spectral density and emissions. The EUT cannot transmit continuously and sweep triggering/signal gating cannot be implemented.

2.3. Methods and Procedures

Reference:	ANSI C63.10-2013
Title:	American National Standard of Procedures for Compliance Testing of Unlicensed Wireless Devices
Reference:	KDB 558074 D01 DTS Meas Guidance v03r05 April 8, 2016
Title:	Guidance for Performing Compliance Measurements on Digital Transmission Systems (DTS) Operating Under §15.247

2.4. Deviations from the Test Specification

Did not comply

For the measurements contained within this test report, there were no deviations from, additions to, or exclusions from the test specification identified above.

UL VS LTD Page 5 of 56

3. Equipment Under Test (EUT)

3.1. Identification of Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Brand Name:	Raspberry Pi Zero W
Model Name or Number:	v1.1
Test Sample Serial Number:	UL Sample ID # 3 (Radiated sample)
Hardware Version:	1.1
Software Version:	4.4
FCC ID:	2ABCB-RPI0W

Brand Name:	Raspberry Pi Zero W	
Model Name or Number:	v1.1	
Test Sample Serial Number:	3F09ED53 (Conducted sample with RF port)	
Hardware Version:	1.1	
Software Version:	4.4	
FCC ID:	2ABCB-RPI0W	

3.2. Description of EUT

The Equipment Under Test was a single board computer. It contains a Bluetooth and 2.4 GHz WLAN module. It is powered from an AC/DC power supply.

3.3. Modifications Incorporated in the EUT

No modifications were applied to the EUT during testing.

Page 6 of 56

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

3.4. Additional Information Related to Testing

Technology Tested:	WLAN (IEEE 802.11b,g,n) / Digital Transmission System		
Type of Unit:	Transceiver		
Modulation Type:	DBPSK, DQPSK, BPSK, QPSK, 16QAM & 64QAM		
Data Rates:	802.11b 1, 2, 5.5 & 11 Mbps		3
	802.11g	6, 9, 12, 18, 24, 36,	48 & 54 Mbps
	802.11n HT20	MCS0 to MCS7	
Power Supply Requirement(s):	Nominal 5 VDC from AC/DC power supply		power supply
Antenna Gain:	2.0 dBi		
Channel Spacing:	20 MHz		
Transmit Frequency Range:	2412 MHz to 2462 MHz		
Transmit Channels Tested:	Channel	RF Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)
	Bottom	1	2412
	Middle	6	2437
	Тор	11	2462

UL VS LTD Page 7 of 56

3.5. Support Equipment

The following support equipment was used to exercise the EUT during testing:

Description:	Power Supply. 120 VAC Input / 5 VDC output	
Brand Name:	Strontronics Ltd	
Model Name or Number:	DSA-12CA-05	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	LCD Monitor	
Brand Name:	Asus	
Model Name or Number:	PA238	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	USB Keyboard	
Brand Name:	Microsoft	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	USB Hub	
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
Description:	HDMI B to HDMI C cable	
Brand Name:	Not marked or stated	
Model Name or Number:	Not marked or stated	
Serial Number:	Not marked or stated	
-	_	
Description:	Cyclone Micro Media Player Adaptor	
Brand Name:	Sumvision	
Model Name or Number:	Cyclone Micro	
Serial Number:	SUM091104017	

Page 8 of 56 UL VS LTD

4. Operation and Monitoring of the EUT during Testing

4.1. Operating Modes

The EUT was tested in the following operating mode(s):

Continuously transmitting with a modulated carrier at maximum power on the bottom, middle and top
channels as required using the supported data rates/modulation types.

4.2. Configuration and Peripherals

The EUT was tested in the following configuration(s):

- Controlled using a terminal application, either remotely or on the EUT, to select and configure the
 chipset manufacturer's test commands which are built into the driver. They enabled the test engineer
 to start a continuous transmission mode and to select the test channels, data rates and modulation
 schemes as required.
- The radiated spurious emissions test was performed with the EUT in the worst-case orientation/position. The Cyclone Micro Media Player Adaptor was used as a termination for the HDMI cable. All other ports were terminated with suitable terminations.
- The LCD monitor was connected to the EUT using a 2 metre long HDMI cable.
- The keyboard and mouse were connected to the USB hub and the hub connected to the USB port on the EUT.
- The EUT was powered via an AC/DC switch mode power supply for all tests.
- For minimum 6 dB bandwidth, duty cycle, power spectral density and maximum (average) power tests, the EUT was tested in all supported 802.11 modes on top middle and bottom channels. Only the worst-case modes are presented in this report, these are based on the highest measured level of all three channels. Results for other modes are archived on the UL VS LTD IT server and available for inspection upon request.
- For radiated spurious emissions tests, the EUT was tested in the mode which was found to give the highest level emissions:
 - o 802.11b- DQPSK / 2 Mbps
- For band edge radiated emissions tests, the EUT was tested in the modes that exhibited the highest power and widest bandwidths on the channels closest to the band edge:
 - o 802.11b DQPSK / 2 Mbps
 - 802.11g QPSK / 12 Mbps
 - 802.11g 64QAM / 54 Mbps
 - o 802.11n / HT20 16QAM / MCS3
- The conducted sample with serial number 3F09ED53 was used for minimum 6 dB bandwidth, duty cycle, maximum output power and power spectral density tests.
- The EUT radiated sample UL Sample ID # 3 was used for all other tests.

UL VS LTD Page 9 of 56

5. Measurements, Examinations and Derived Results

5.1. General Comments

Measurement uncertainties are evaluated in accordance with current best practice. Our reported expanded uncertainties are based on standard uncertainties, which are multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor to provide a statistical confidence level of approximately 95%. Please refer to Section 6. Measurement Uncertainty for details.

In accordance with UKAS requirements all the measurement equipment is on a calibration schedule. All equipment was within the calibration period on the date of testing.

Page 10 of 56 UL VS LTD

5.2. Test Results

5.2.1. Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Philip Harrison	Test Date:	28 September 2016
Test Sample Serial Number:	3F09ED53		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(a)(2)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 8.1


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	46

Note(s):

- 1. All configurations supported by the EUT were investigated on one channel in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 8.1 Option 1 measurement procedure. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used. The DTS bandwidth was measured at 6 dB down from the peak of the signal. The span was set to 30 MHz, sweep time was set to auto and the trace mode was Max Hold. The data rates that produced the narrowest bandwidth and therefore deemed worst-case were:
 - o 802.11b DBPSK / 1 Mbps
 - o 802.11g BPSK / 6 Mbps
 - 802.11n HT20 BPSK / MCS0
- 2. Final measurements were performed using the above configurations on the bottom, middle and top channels in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 8.1 Option 1 measurement procedure.
- 3. Plots for all data rates are archived on the UL VS LTD IT server and available for inspection upon request.
- 4. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.

Test setup:





UL VS LTD Page 11 of 56

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 802.11b / DBPSK / 1 Mbps


Channel	6 dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	8162	≥500	7662	Complied
Middle	9117	≥500	8617	Complied
Тор	9117	≥500	8617	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel


Page 12 of 56 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)


Results: 802.11g / BPSK / 6 Mbps

Channel	6dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	15152	≥500	14652	Complied
Middle	15195	≥500	14695	Complied
Тор	15195	≥500	14695	Complied



Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel


UL VS LTD Page 13 of 56


VERSION 2.0

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Results: 802.11n / BPSK / MCS0


Channel	6dB Bandwidth (kHz)	Limit (kHz)	Margin (kHz)	Result
Bottom	15195	≥500	14695	Complied
Middle	15195	≥500	14695	Complied
Тор	15195	≥500	14695	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

Page 14 of 56 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	02 Apr 2017	12
M1883	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV-30	103084	09 May 2017	12
G0614	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMB100A	177687	01 May 2017	36
A2143	20 dB Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-20	081120-23	Calibrated before use	-

UL VS LTD Page 15 of 56

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

5.2.2. Transmitter Duty Cycle

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Philip Harrison	Test Date:	28 September 2016
Test Sample Serial Number:	3F09ED53		

FCC Reference:	Part 15.35(c)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 6.0

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	46

Note(s):


1. In order to assist with the determination of the average level of fundamental and spurious emissions field strength, measurements were made of duty cycle to determine the transmission duration and the silent period time of the transmitter. The transmitter duty cycle was measured using a signal analyser in the time domain and the duty cycle correction figure calculated by using the following calculation:

10 log (1 / (On Time / [Period or 100 ms whichever is the lesser])

For all 802.11 devices the period is always less than 100 ms and therefore the calculation simplifies to:

10 log (Period / On Time)


Test setup:



Page 16 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps

Channel	Pulse Width (ms)	Pulse Period (ms)	Duty Cycle Correction factor (dB)
Middle	4.1881	4.2411	0.0




Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 17 of 56

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 11 Mbps

Channel	Pulse Width (ms)	Pulse Period (ms)	Duty Cycle Correction factor (dB)
Middle	0.8418	0.8835	0.2




Middle Channel

Page 18 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps

Channel	Pulse Width (ms)	Pulse Period (ms)	Duty Cycle Correction factor (dB)
Middle	0.7054	0.7483	0.3




Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 19 of 56

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps

Channel	Pulse Width (ms)	Pulse Period (ms)	Duty Cycle Correction factor (dB)
Middle	0.1755	0.2158	0.9




Middle Channel

Page 20 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11n / 16QAM / MCS3

Channel	Pulse Width (ms)	Pulse Period (ms)	Duty Cycle Correction factor (dB)
Middle	0.3550	0.3972	0.5



Middle Channel

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	02 Apr 2017	12
M1883	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV-30	103084	09 May 2017	12
G0614	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMB100A	177687	01 May 2017	36
A2143	20 dB Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-20	081120-23	Calibrated before use	-

UL VS LTD Page 21 of 56

5.2.3. Transmitter Power Spectral Density

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Philip Harrison	Test Date:	28 September 2016	
Test Sample Serial Number:	3F09ED53			

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(e)
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Sections 10.3 &10.5


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	46

Note(s):

- 1. All configurations supported by the EUT were investigated. The configurations that produced the highest power spectral density and therefore deemed worst-case were:
 - o 802.11b DQPSK / 2 Mbps
 - o 802.11g 64QAM / 54 Mbps
 - o 802.11n HT20 16QAM / MCS3
- Final measurements were performed using the above configurations on the bottom, middle and top channels. Results for all other modes are archived on the UL VS LTD IT server and are available for inspection if required.
- 3. For modes where the EUT was transmitting at ≥98% duty cycle and testing was performed in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 10.3 Method AVGPSD-1. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set manually to perform trace averaging over 300 traces. The span was set to >1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The highest peak of the measured signal was recorded.
- 4. For modes where the EUT was transmitting at <98% duty cycle and testing was performed in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 10.5 Method AVGPSD-2. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set manually to perform trace averaging over 300 traces. The span was set to >1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The highest peak of the measured signal was recorded. The duty cycle calculated in Section 5.2.2 of this test report was added to the measured average power spectral density in order to compute the average power spectral density during the actual transmission time.
- The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable.An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.


Test setup:




Page 22 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps


Channel	Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Limit (dBm/3kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	-2.2	0.0	-2.2	8.0	10.2	Complied
Middle	-2.1	0.0	-2.1	8.0	10.1	Complied
Тор	-2.5	0.0	-2.5	8.0	10.5	Complied





Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel

UL VS LTD Page 23 of 56

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps


Channel	Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Corrected Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Limit (dBm/3kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	-7.1	0.9	-6.2	8.0	14.2	Complied
Middle	-4.6	0.9	-3.7	8.0	11.7	Complied
Тор	-8.2	0.9	-7.3	8.0	15.3	Complied



-4.57 dBr 2.4357410 GH 10 dBmmanning manning -10 dBm--60 dBm-Span 30.0 MHz CF 2.437 G 1287331 ate: 28.SEP.2016 18:23:02

Bottom Channel

Middle Channel




Top Channel

Page 24 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11n / 16QAM / MCS3


Channel	Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Corrected Output Power (dBm/100 kHz)	Limit (dBm/3kHz)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	-7.0	0.5	-6.5	8.0	14.5	Complied
Middle	-4.5	0.5	-4.0	8.0	12.0	Complied
Тор	-8.0	0.5	-7.5	8.0	15.5	Complied



-4.52 dBr 2.4357410 GF www.managaman CF 2.437 G 1287331 ite: 28.SEP.2016 19:00:05

Bottom Channel

Middle Channel



Top Channel

UL VS LTD Page 25 of 56

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	02 Apr 2017	12
M1883	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV-30	103084	09 May 2017	12
G0614	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMB100A	177687	01 May 2017	36
A2143	20 dB Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-20	081120-23	Calibrated before use	-

Page 26 of 56 UL VS LTD

5.2.4. Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	Philip Harrison	Test Date:	28 September 2016	
Test Sample Serial Number:	3F09ED53			

FCC Reference:	Part 15.247(b)(3)		
Test Method Used:	FCC KDB 558074 Section 9.2.2.4		


Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	24
Relative Humidity (%):	46

Note(s):

- 1. All configurations supported by the EUT were investigated. The configurations that produced the highest power and therefore deemed worst-case were:
 - o 802.11b DQPSK / 11 Mbps
 - 802.11g QPSK / 12 Mbps
 - 802.11n HT20 16QAM / MCS3
- Measurements were performed using the above configurations on the bottom, middle and top channels. Results for all other modes are archived on the UL VS LTD IT server and are available for inspection if required.
- 3. For the worst-case configurations shown above, the EUT was transmitting at <98% duty cycle and testing was performed in accordance with KDB 558074 Section 9.2.2.4 Method AVGSA-2. The signal analyser's integration function was used to integrate across the 99% occupied bandwidth. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 300 kHz and video bandwidth 1 MHz for 802.11b measurements. The signal analyser resolution bandwidth was set to 500 kHz and video bandwidth 2 MHz for 802.11g / 802.11n measurements. An RMS detector was used and sweep time set to auto. The span was set to >1.5 times the 99% occupied bandwidth. The duty cycle calculated in Section 5.2.2 of this test report was added to the measured average power in order to compute the average power density during the actual transmission time.
- 4. The signal analyser was connected to the RF port on the EUT using suitable attenuation and RF cable. An RF level offset was entered on the signal analyser to compensate for the loss of the attenuator and RF cable.

Test setup:



UL VS LTD Page 27 of 56

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 11 Mbps

Conducted Limit Comparison

Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Corrected Conducted Power (dBm)	Conducted Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	14.5	0.2	14.7	30.0	15.3	Complied
Middle	14.1	0.2	14.3	30.0	15.7	Complied
Тор	14.1	0.2	14.3	30.0	15.7	Complied


De Facto EIRP Limit Comparison

Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	14.7	2.0	16.7	36.0	19.3	Complied
Middle	14.3	2.0	16.3	36.0	19.7	Complied
Тор	14.3	2.0	16.3	36.0	19.7	Complied


Page 28 of 56 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)


Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 11 Mbps



Bottom Channel



Top Channel



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 29 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps

Conducted Peak Limit Comparison

Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Corrected Conducted Power (dBm)	Conducted Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	12.2	0.3	12.5	30.0	17.5	Complied
Middle	14.6	0.3	14.9	30.0	15.1	Complied
Тор	11.3	0.3	11.6	30.0	18.4	Complied


De Facto EIRP Limit Comparison

Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)	EIRP (dBm)	De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	12.5	2.0	14.5	36.0	21.5	Complied
Middle	14.9	2.0	16.9	36.0	19.1	Complied
Тор	11.6	2.0	13.6	36.0	22.4	Complied


Page 30 of 56 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)


Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps







Top Channel



Middle Channel

UL VS LTD Page 31 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)

Results: 802.11n / 16QAM / MCS3

Conducted Peak Limit Comparison

Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Duty Cycle Correction (dB)	Corrected Conducted Power (dBm)	Conducted Power Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	11.9	0.5	12.4	30.0	17.6	Complied
Middle	14.3	0.5	14.8	30.0	15.2	Complied
Тор	10.8	0.5	11.3	30.0	18.7	Complied

De Facto EIRP Limit Comparison


Channel	Conducted Power (dBm)	Declared Antenna Gain (dBi)		De Facto EIRP Limit (dBm)	Margin (dB)	Result
Bottom	12.4	2.0	14.4	36.0	21.6	Complied
Middle	14.8	2.0	16.8	36.0	19.2	Complied
Тор	11.3	2.0	13.3	36.0	22.7	Complied


Page 32 of 56 UL VS LTD

VERSION 2.0

Transmitter Maximum (Average) Output Power (continued)


Results: 802.11n / 16QAM / MCS3





Bottom Channel





Top Channel

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2001	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45041824	02 Apr 2017	12
M1883	Signal Analyser	Rohde & Schwarz	FSV-30	103084	09 May 2017	12
G0614	Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz	SMB100A	177687	01 May 2017	36
A2143	20 dB Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18-20	081120-23	Calibrated before use	-

UL VS LTD Page 33 of 56

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

5.2.5. Transmitter Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	28 September 2016
Test Sample Serial Number:	UL Sample ID # 3		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.5
Frequency Range	30 MHz to 1000 MHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	23
Relative Humidity (%):	45

Note(s):


- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. The preliminary scans showed similar emission levels below 1 GHz, for each channel of operation. Therefore final radiated emissions measurements were performed with the EUT set to the middle channel only.
- 3. All other emissions shown on the pre-scan plots were investigated and found to be ambient, or >20 dB below the applicable limit or below the measurement system noise floor and therefore not recorded.
- 4. Measurements below 1 GHz were performed in a semi-anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0001) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 80 cm above the reference ground plane in the centre of the chamber turntable. Maximum emission levels were determined by height searching the measurement antenna over the range 1 metre to 4 metres.
- 5. Pre-scans were performed and markers placed on the highest measured levels. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold.
- 6. Final measurements were performed on the marker frequencies and the results entered into the table below. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 120 kHz, using a CISPR quasi-peak detector and span wide enough to see the whole emission.

Page 34 of 56 UL VS LTD


Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test setup for radiated measurements:

Semi-anechoic chamber



Anechoic chamber




UL VS LTD Page 35 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Middle Channel / 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps

Frequency	Antenna	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
125.015	Vertical	23.6	43.5	19.9	Complied



Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1625	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.06	None stated	11 Jan 2017	12
K0001	5m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	12 Jan 2017	12
A1834	Attenuator	Hewlett Packard	8491B	10444	30 Mar 2017	12
G0543	Amplifier	Sonoma	310N	230801	09 Dec 2016	6
M1273	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESIB26	100275	11 Apr 2017	12
A2959	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	VULB 9163	9163-967	08 Sep 2017	12

Page 36 of 56 UL VS LTD

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Date:	27 September 2016
Test Sample Serial Number:	UL Sample ID # 3		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Sections 6.3 and 6.6
Frequency Range	1 GHz to 25 GHz

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	25
Relative Humidity (%):	47

Note(s):

- 1. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 2. All emissions shown on the precscan plots were investigated and found to be >20 dB below the applicable limit, therefore the highest peak and average noise floor readings of the measuring receiver were recorded as shown in the tables below.
- 3. The emission shown approximately at 2437 MHz on the 1 GHz to 3 GHz plot is the EUT fundamental.
- 4. Pre-scans above 1 GHz were performed in a fully anechoic chamber (Asset Number K0002) at a distance of 3 metres. The EUT was placed at a height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor in the centre of the chamber turntable. All measurement antennas were placed at a fixed height of 1.5 metres above the test chamber floor, in line with the EUT.
- 5. Pre-scans were performed and a marker placed on the highest measured level of the appropriate plot. The test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and video bandwidth 3 MHz. The sweep time was set to auto.

UL VS LTD Page 37 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: Peak


Frequency (MHz)	Antenna Polarity	Peak Level (dBμV/m)	Peak Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2836.000	Vertical	55.5	74.0	18.5	Complied


Results: Average


Frequency	Antenna	Average Level	Average Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	Polarity	(dBμV/m)	(dBμV/m)	(dB)	
2985.500	Vertical	43.6	54.0	10.4	Complied


Page 38 of 56 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)












UL VS LTD Page 39 of 56

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)







Page 40 of 56 UL VS LTD

Transmitter Radiated Emissions (continued)

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M1656	Thermohygrometer	JM Handelspunkt	30.5015.13	Not stated	02 Apr 2017	12
K0002	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford EMC	N/A	N/A	21 Dec 2016	12
M1886	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU26	100554	21 May 2017	12
A1534	Pre Amplifier	Hewlett Packard	8449B	3008A00405	19 Dec 2016	12
A1818	Antenna	EMCO	3115	00075692	17 Dec 2016	12
A253	Antenna	Flann Microwave	12240-20	128	17 Dec 2016	12
A254	Antenna	Flann Microwave	14240-20	139	17 Dec 2016	12
A255	Antenna	Flann Microwave	16240-20	519	17 Dec 2016	12
A256	Antenna	Flann Microwave	18240-20	400	17 Dec 2016	12
A436	Antenna	Flann Microwave	20240-20	330	19 Dec 2016	12
A1396	Attenuator	Huber & Suhner	6810.17.B	757987	26 Apr 2017	12
A1975	High Pass Filter	AtlanTecRF	AFH-03000	090424010	26 Apr 2017	12

UL VS LTD Page 41 of 56

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

5.2.6. Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions

Test Summary:

Test Engineer:	David Doyle	Test Dates:	22 September 2016, 23 September 2016 & 28 September 2016
Test Sample Serial Number:	UL Sample ID # 3		

FCC Reference:	Parts 15.247(d) & 15.209(a)
Test Method Used:	ANSI C63.10 Section 6.10 & FCC KDB 558074 Sections 11, 12 & 13

Environmental Conditions:

Temperature (°C):	23 to 27
Relative Humidity (%):	45 to 51

Note(s):

- 1. Tests were performed in the following modes as they produced the highest power, highest power spectral density, and widest occupied bandwidth:
 - o 802.11b DQPSK / 2 Mbps
 - o 802.11g QPSK / 12 Mbps
 - o 802.11g 64QAM / 54 Mbps
 - o 802.11n HT20 16QAM / MCS3
- 2. The final measured value, for the given emission, in the table below incorporates the calibrated antenna factor and cable loss.
- 3. As the lower band edge falls within a non-restricted band, only peak measurements are required. In accordance with FCC KDB 558074 Section 11.1, the test method in Section 11.3 was followed: the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 100 kHz and video bandwidth 300 kHz. A peak detector was used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker and corresponding reference level line were placed on the peak of the carrier. As the maximum conducted (average) output power was measured using an RMS detector in accordance with FCC KDB 558074 Section 9.2.2.4 an out-of-band limit line was placed 30 dB (FCC KDB 558074 Section 11.1(b)) below the peak level. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent non-restricted band of operation (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.
 - NOTE: The lower band edge plot for 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps incorrectly shows the limit line at -20 dBc. The result table shows the correct limit.
- 4. As the upper band edge falls within a restricted band, both peak and average measurements were recorded by placing a marker at the edge of the band. For peak measurements the test receiver resolution bandwidth was set to 1 MHz and the video bandwidth 3 MHz. A peak and average detectors were used, sweep time was set to auto and trace mode was Max Hold. The test receiver was left to sweep for a sufficient length of time in order to maximise the carrier level and out-of-band emissions. A marker was placed on the band edge spot frequencies and a second marker placed on the highest emission level in the adjacent restricted band of operation (where a higher level emission was present). Marker frequencies and levels were recorded.

Page 42 of 56 UL VS LTD

Note(s):

5. *The integration method was used in accordance with FCC KDB 558074 Section 13.3.2, in order to meet the average limit when transmitting in 802.11g and 802.11n modes. As the EUT had a duty cycle <98%, in some configurations, the duty cycle correction factor has been applied to the band edge and 2310 to 2390 MHz restricted band average results. The corrected average levels are shown below and in the result tables:

Integration method result + duty cycle = Corrected band edge level

802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps at 2483.5 MHz: 52.1 + 0.3 dB = 52.4 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps at 2483.5 MHz: 51.3 + 0.9 dB = 52.2 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3 at 2483.5 MHz: 51.1 + 0.5 dB = 51.6

Integration method result + duty cycle = Corrected restricted band level

802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps at 2483.5 MHz: 50.8 + 0.3 dB = 51.1 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps at 2483.5 MHz: 48.8 + 0.9 dB = 49.7 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3 at 2483.5 MHz: 53.0 + 0.5 dB = 53.5

UL VS LTD Page 43 of 56

VERSION 2.0 ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps / Lower Band Edge

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBμV/m)	-30 dBc Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.519	59.8	71.7	31.9	Complied
2400.000	57.1	71.7	34.6	Complied

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	58.1	74.0	15.9	Complied
2491.272	60.1	74.0	13.9	Complied

Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Average

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	50.8	54.0	3.2	Complied
2491.192	53.6	54.0	0.4	Complied


Results: 2310 to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Peak Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2386.538	57.0	74.0	17.0	Complied


Frequency (MHz)	Average Level (dΒμV/m)	Average Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2383.974	51.5	54.0	2.5	Complied

Page 44 of 56 UL VS LTD


Results: 802.11b / DQPSK / 2 Mbps




Lower Band Edge Peak Measurement



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band



Upper Band Edge Peak Measurement



Upper Band Edge Average Measurement

UL VS LTD Page 45 of 56

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps / Lower Band Edge

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBμV/m)	-30 dBc Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.840	67.4	69.3	1.9	Complied
2400.000	66.5	69.3	2.8	Complied

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	70.5	74.0	3.5	Complied
2483.580	71.1	74.0	2.9	Complied

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Average

Frequency	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2483.500	52.4*	54.0	1.6	Complied


Results: 2310 to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Peak Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2386.154	72.6	74.0	1.4	Complied


Frequency (MHz)	Average Level (dBµV/m)	Average Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2388.590	51.1*	54.0	2.9	Complied

Page 46 of 56 UL VS LTD


Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps




Lower Band Edge Peak Measurement



Upper Band Edge Peak Measurement




2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band



Upper Band Edge Average / Integrated

UL VS LTD Page 47 of 56

Results: 802.11g / QPSK / 12 Mbps



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band Average / Integrated

Page 48 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps / Lower Band Edge

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBμV/m)	-30 dBc Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2400.000	67.9	69.9	2.0	Complied

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	68.8	74.0	5.2	Complied
2484.702	73.4	74.0	0.6	Complied

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps / Upper Band Edge / Average

Frequency	Level	Limit	Margin	Result
(MHz)	(dBµV/m)	(dBµV/m)	(dB)	
2483.500	52.2*	54.0	1.8	Complied

Results: 2310 to 2390 MHz Restricted Band


Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Peak Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2388.333	73.3	74.0	0.7	Complied

Frequency (MHz)	Average Level (dBµV/m)	Average Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2389.231	49.7*	54.0	4.3	Complied


UL VS LTD Page 49 of 56


Transmitter Band Edge Radiated Emissions (continued)

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps




Lower Band Edge Peak Measurement






2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band



Upper Band Edge Average / Integrated

Page 50 of 56 UL VS LTD

Results: 802.11g / 64QAM / 54 Mbps



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band Average / Integrated

UL VS LTD Page 51 of 56

Results: 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3 / Lower Band Edge

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBμV/m)	-30 dBc Limit (dBμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2399.840	68.8	70.2	1.4	Complied
2400.000	67.8	70.2	2.4	Complied

Results: 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3 / Upper Band Edge / Peak

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	70.5	74.0	3.5	Complied
2484.221	71.8	74.0	2.2	Complied

Results: 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3 / Upper Band Edge / Average

Frequency (MHz)	Level (dBµV/m)	Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2483.500	51.6*	54.0	2.4	Complied


Results: 2310 to 2390 MHz Restricted Band

Frequency (MHz)	Peak Level (dBµV/m)	Peak Limit (dΒμV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2387.436	72.4	74.0	1.6	Complied


Frequency (MHz)	Average Level (dBµV/m)	Average Limit (dBµV/m)	Margin (dB)	Result
2389.615	53.5*	54.0	0.5	Complied

Page 52 of 56 UL VS LTD


Results: 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3




Lower Band Edge Peak Measurement



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band




Upper Band Edge Peak Measurement



Upper Band Edge Average / Integrated

UL VS LTD Page 53 of 56

Results: 802.11n HT20 / 16QAM / MCS3



2310 MHz to 2390 MHz Restricted Band Average / Integrated

Test Equipment Used:

Asset No.	Instrument	Manufacturer	Type No.	Serial No.	Date Calibration Due	Cal. Interval (Months)
M2003	Thermohygrometer	Testo	608-H1	45046641	22 Apr 2017	12
K0017	3m RSE Chamber	Rainford	N/A	N/A	17 May 2017	12
M1995	Test Receiver	Rohde & Schwarz	ESU40	100428	21 Mar 2017	12
A2863	Pre-Amplifier	Agilent	8449B	3008A02100	07 Jan 2017	12
A2889	Antenna	Schwarzbeck	BBHA 9120 B	BBHA 9120 B 653	07 Apr 2017	12
A2916	Attenuator	AtlanTecRF	AN18W5-10	832827#1	19 May 2017	12

Page 54 of 56 UL VS LTD

6. Measurement Uncertainty

No measurement or test can ever be perfect and the imperfections give rise to error of measurement in the results. Consequently the result of a measurement is only an approximation to the value of the measurand (the specific quantity subject to measurement) and is only complete when accompanied by a statement of the uncertainty of the approximation.

The expression of uncertainty of a measurement result allows realistic comparison of results with reference values and limits given in specifications and standards.

The uncertainty of the result may need to be taken into account when interpreting the measurement results.

The reported expanded uncertainties below are based on a standard uncertainty multiplied by an appropriate coverage factor such that a confidence level of approximately 95% is maintained. For the purposes of this document "approximately" is interpreted as meaning "effectively" or "for most practical purposes".

Measurement Type	Range	Confidence Level (%)	Calculated Uncertainty
Minimum 6 dB Bandwidth	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±4.59 %
Duty Cycle	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.14 %
Spectral Power Density	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Conducted Maximum Output Power	2.4 GHz to 2.4835 GHz	95%	±1.13 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	30 MHz to 1 GHz	95%	±5.65 dB
Radiated Spurious Emissions	1 GHz to 25 GHz	95%	±2.94 dB

The methods used to calculate the above uncertainties are in line with those recommended within the various measurement specifications. Where measurement specifications do not include guidelines for the evaluation of measurement uncertainty the published guidance of the appropriate accreditation body is followed.

UL VS LTD Page 55 of 56

ISSUE DATE: 21 DECEMBER 2016

VERSION 2.0

7. Report Revision History

Version	Revision Details			
Number	Page No(s)	c) Clause Details		
1.0	-	-	Initial Version	
2.0	1 6	-	Changed Model No. to v1.1 Changed Model No. to v1.1 & Brand name to Raspberry Pi Zero W	

⁻⁻⁻ END OF REPORT ---

Page 56 of 56 UL VS LTD