NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

-	PREL ength	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
16	1.0 mm	89.8 mm	162.2 mm	89.4 mm

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-35.395 dB	1.0417 U	49.020Ω
Body	-25.454 dB	1.1177 U	55.435Ω

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, o [S/m]
Head Tissue 835MHz	41.78	0.92
Body Tissue 835MHz	56.37	0.95


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


5

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


6

SAR Evaluation Report 72 of 96


NCL Calibration Laboratories Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR

Head









This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 73 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance





This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 74 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011.

9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 75 of 96

835MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20

Mechanical Verification

APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
161.0 mm	89.8 mm	161.1 mm	89.7 mm


Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-33.135 dB	51.898 Ω
Body	-25.362 dB	50.604 Ω

Test Graphs:

Head Tissue

Return Loss:









Body Tissue

Return Loss:

Impedance:





SAR Evaluation Report 76 of 96

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

Calibration File No: DC-1331 Project Number: BAC-dipole -cal-5615

CERTIFICATE OF CALIBRATION

It is certified that the equipment identified below has been calibrated in the NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES by qualified personnel following recognized procedures and using transfer standards traceable to NRC/NIST.

Validation Dipole (Head & Body)

Manufacturer: APREL Laboratories Part number: ALS-D-1900-S-2 Frequency: 1900 MHz Serial No: 210-00710

Customer: Bay Area Compliance Laboratory

Calibrated: 25th August, 2011 Released on: 25th August, 2011

This Calibration Certificate is Incomplete Unless Accompanied with the Calibration Results Summary

Released By:

NCL CALIBRATION LABORATORIES

 Suite 102, 303 Terry Fox Dr.
 Division of APREL Lab.

 Kanata, ONTARIO
 TEL: (613) 435-8300

 CANADA K2K 3J1
 FAX: (613)435-8306

SAR Evaluation Report 77 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was received in good condition and was a re-calibration.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: $22 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$ Temperature of the Tissue: $21 \,^{\circ}\text{C} \, +/- \, 0.5 \,^{\circ}\text{C}$

We the undersigned attest that to the best of our knowledge the calibration of this device has been accurately conducted and that all information contained within this report has been reviewed for accuracy.

Stuart Nicol

C. Teodorian

Primary Measurement Standards Instrument Serial Number Cal due date Power meter Anritsu MA2408A 245025437 Nov.4, 2011 Power Sensor Anritsu MA2481D 103555 Nov 4, 2011 Attenuator HP 8495A (70dB) 1 944A10711 Aug.8, 2012 Network Analyzer Agilent E5071C 1334746J Feb. 8, 2012 Secondary Measurement Standards -506 MY55182336 Signal Generator Agilent E4438C June 7, 2012

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 78 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Calibration Results Summary

The following results relate the Calibrated Dipole and should be used as a quick reference for the user.

Mechanical Dimensions


Length: 67.1 mm **Height:** 38.9 mm

Electrical Specification

Tissue	Frequency	SWR:	Return Loss	Impedance
Head	1900MHz	1.0417 U	-35.395dB	49.020 Ω
Body	1900MHz	1.1177 U	-25.424dB	55.435 Ω

System Validation Results

Tissue	Frequency	1 Gram	10 Gram	Peak
Head	1900 MHz	39.648	20.311	73.365
Body	1900 MHz	39.769	20.176	75.866



3

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 79 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Introduction

This Calibration Report has been produced in line with the SSI Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-018-ALSAS. The results contained within this report are for Validation Dipole 210-00710. The calibration routine consisted of a three-step process. Step 1 was a mechanical verification of the dipole to ensure that it meets the mechanical specifications. Step 2 was an Electrical Calibration for the Validation Dipole, where the SWR, Impedance, and the Return loss were assessed. Step 3 involved a System Validation using the ALSAS-10U, along with APREL E-020 130 MHz to 26 GHz E-Field Probe Serial Number 212.

References

SSI-TP-018-ALSAS Dipole Calibration Procedure SSI-TP-016 Tissue Calibration Procedure

IEEE 1528 "Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body Due to Wireless Communications Devices: Experimental Techniques"

Conditions

Dipole 210-00710 was new taken from stock.

Ambient Temperature of the Laboratory: 22 °C +/- 0.5 °C Temperature of the Tissue: 20 °C +/- 0.5 °C

Dipole Calibration uncertainty

The calibration uncertainty for the dipole is made up of various parameters presented below.

 Mechanical
 1%

 Positioning Error
 1.22%

 Electrical
 1.7%

 Tissue
 2.2%

 Dipole Validation
 2.2%

TOTAL 8.32% (16.64% K=2)

4

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 80 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Dipole Calibration Results

Mechanical Verification

APREL	APREL	Measured	Measured
Length	Height	Length	Height
68.0 mm	39.5 mm	67.1mm	38.9 mm

Electrical Validation

Tissue Type	Return Loss:	SWR:	Impedance:
Head	-29.360 dB	1.0732 U	47.869 Ω
Body	-22.799 dB	1.1566 U	48.022 Ω

Tissue Validation

	Dielectric constant, ε _r	Conductivity, σ [S/m]
Head Tissue 1900MHz	38.4	1.43
Body Tissue 1900MHz	51.87	1.59

5


This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 81 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

The Following Graphs are the results as displayed on the Vector Network Analyzer.

S11 Parameter Return Loss






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.


SAR Evaluation Report 82 of 96

6

Division of APREL Laboratories.

SWR






This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 83 of 96


Division of APREL Laboratories.

Smith Chart Dipole Impedance

Head



Body



This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

8

SAR Evaluation Report 84 of 96

Division of APREL Laboratories.

Test Equipment

The test equipment used during Probe Calibration, manufacturer, model number and, current calibration status are listed and located on the main APREL server R:\NCL\Calibration Equipment\Instrument List 2011

9

This page has been reviewed for content and attested to by signature within this document.

SAR Evaluation Report 85 of 96

1900MHz Dipole Calibration By BACL at 2013-12-20

Mechanical Verification


APREL Length	APREL Height	Measured Length	Measured Height
68.0 mm	39.4 mm	68.3 mm	39.2 mm

Tissue Type	Measured Return Loss	Measured Impedance
Head	-28.083 dB	$47.477~\Omega$
Body	-22.022 dB	48.076 Ω

Test Graphs:

Head Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:



Body Tissue

Return Loss:




Impedance:




SAR Evaluation Report 86 of 96

APPENDIX D EUT TEST POSITION PHOTOS





Body-worn Back Setup Photo (10mm)



SAR Evaluation Report 87 of 96

Body-worn Left Setup Photo (10mm)



Body-worn Right Setup Photo (10mm)




SAR Evaluation Report 88 of 96

Body-worn Bottom Setup Photo (10mm)



Left Head Touch Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 89 of 96

Left Head Tilt Setup Photo



Right Head Touch Setup Photo




SAR Evaluation Report 90 of 96

Right Head Tilt Setup Photo



SAR Evaluation Report 91 of 96

APPENDIX E EUT PHOTOS





EUT – Back View



SAR Evaluation Report 92 of 96

EUT –Left Side View



EUT – Right Side View



SAR Evaluation Report 93 of 96

EUT - Top View



EUT – Bottom View



SAR Evaluation Report 94 of 96

EUT – Uncover View



SAR Evaluation Report 95 of 96

APPENDIX G INFORMATIVE REFERENCES

- [1] Federal Communications Commission, \Report and order: Guidelines for evaluating the environmental effects of radiofrequency radiation", Tech. Rep. FCC 96-326, FCC, Washington, D.C. 20554, 1996.
- [2] David L. Means Kwok Chan, Robert F. Cleveland, \Evaluating compliance with FCC guidelines for human exposure to radiofrequency electromagnetic fields", Tech. Rep., Federal Communication Commission, O ce of Engineering & Technology, Washington, DC, 1997.
- [3] Thomas Schmid, Oliver Egger, and Niels Kuster, \Automated E-_eld scanning system for dosimetricPage 96 of 96 assessments", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, pp. 105{113, Jan. 1996.
- [4] Niels Kuster, Ralph K.astle, and Thomas Schmid, \Dosimetric evaluation of mobile communications equipment with known precision", IEICE Transactions on Communications, vol. E80-B, no. 5, pp. 645 (652, May 1997.
- [5] CENELEC, \Considerations for evaluating of human exposure to electromagnetic fields (EMFs) from mobile telecommunication equipment (MTE) in the frequency range 30MHz 6GHz", Tech. Rep., CENELEC, European Committee for Electrotechnical Standardization, Brussels, 1997.
- [6] ANSI, ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992: IEEE Standard for Safety Levels with Respect to Human Exposure to Radio Frequency Electromagnetic Fields, 3 kHz to 300 GHz, The Institute of Electrical and Electronics Engineers, Inc., New York, NY 10017, 1992.
- [7] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \Robust setup for precise calibration of E-field probes in tissue simulating liquids at mobile communications frequencies", in ICECOM _ 97, Dubrovnik, October 15 {17, 1997, pp. 120-24.
- [8] Katja Pokovic, Thomas Schmid, and Niels Kuster, \E-field probe with improved isotropy in brain simulating liquids", in Proceedings of the ELMAR, Zadar, Croatia, 23 {25 June, 1996, pp. 172-175.
- [9] Volker Hombach, Klaus Meier, Michael Burkhardt, Eberhard K. uhn, and Niels Kuster, \The depen-dence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 900 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, vol. 44, no. 10, pp. 1865-1873, Oct. 1996.
- [10] Klaus Meier, Ralf Kastle, Volker Hombach, Roger Tay, and Niels Kuster, \The dependence of EM energy absorption upon human head modeling at 1800 MHz", IEEE Transactions on Microwave Theory and Techniques, Oct. 1997, in press.
- [11] W. Gander, Computermathematik, Birkhaeuser, Basel, 1992.
- [12] W. H. Press, S. A. Teukolsky, W. T. Vetterling, and B. P. Flannery, Numerical Recepies in C, The Art of Scientific Computing, Second Edition, Cambridge University Press, 1992. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 9
- [13] NIS81 NAMAS, \The treatment of uncertainty in EMC measurement", Tech. Rep., NAMAS Executive, National Physical Laboratory, Teddington, Middlesex, England, 1994.
- [14] Barry N. Taylor and Christ E. Kuyatt, \Guidelines for evaluating and expressing the uncertainty of NIST measurement results", Tech. Rep., National Institute of Standards and Technology, 1994. Dosimetric Evaluation of Sample device, month 1998 10.

***** END OF REPORT *****

SAR Evaluation Report 96 of 96