

# **FCC SAR TEST REPORT**

APPLICANT: Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.

PRODUCT NAME : Tablet PC

**MODEL NAME** : TM800W630L, CT811, H811

TRADE NAME : Nuvision Tmax

BRAND NAME : Nuvision

FCC ID : 2AABK-TM800W630L

**STANDARD(S)** : 47CFR 2.1093 | IEEE 1528-2013;

**ISSUE DATE** : 2017-03-28

#### SHENZHEN MORLAB COMMUNICATIONS TECHNOLOGY Co., Ltd.

NOTE: This document is issued by MORLAB, the test report shall not be reproduced except in full without prior written permission of the company. The test results apply only to the particular sample(s) tested and to the specific tests carried out which is available on request for validation and information confirmed at our website.





# DIRECTORY

TEST REPORT DECLARATION						4
1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION				•••••		5
1.1 IDENTIFICATION OF APPLICANT		•••••	•••••	•••••	•••••	5
1.2 IDENTIFICATION OF MANUFACTURER ····						
1.3 EQUIPMENT UNDER TEST (EUT)		•••••	•••••		•••••	5
1.3.1 PHOTOGRAPHS OF THE EUT						
1.3.2 IDENTIFICATION OF ALL USED EUT						6
1.4 APPLIED REFERENCE DOCUMENTS						
1.5 DEVICE CATEGORY AND SAR LIMITS		••••••	•••••	•••••		6
2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)		•••••		••••••		7
2.1 Introduction ·····						7
2.2 SAR DEFINITION						
3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP		•••••		•••••		8
3.1 THE MEASUREMENT SYSTEM			•••••	•••••	•••••	8
3.2 PROBE						
3.3 PROBE CALIBRATION PROCESS						
3.3.1 DOSIMETRIC ASSESSMENT PROCEDURE						
3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure						
3.3.3 TEMPERATURE ASSESSMENT PROCEDUR						
3.4 PHANTOM						
3.5 DEVICE HOLDER						
4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS		•••••	•••••	•••••		12
the AE SLA	Me	O.B	RLAR	MORE	We.	OB.
5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT		•••••		•••••		14
AN OLIVERATION AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AND AN	AB W	RLAS	MORLE	Moss	ob an	اه
5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR I	FIIT CAP	TFST	•••••			14
5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERF						
5.2 ONCERTAINT I FOR STOTEMITERF	OMMAN	L CHECK.		ald		13



6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION	••••••	17
6.1 SYSTEM SETUP	•••••	17
6.2 VALIDATION RESULTS	•••••	18
7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST	••••••	19
7.1 BODY-WORN CONFIGURATIONS	•••••	19
7.2 MEASUREMENT PROCEDURE		
7.3 DESCRIPTION OF INTERPOLATION/EXTRAPOLATION SCHEME	•••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••••	20
8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION		<u>21</u>
B ORLAN MORE THE LAB ORLAN	MORE MIC	AB RLAD
9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER	•••••	23
10. TEST RESULTS LIST	•••••	24
11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT		25
THE AR SELAN MORE WE AR	RLAE MORE	Me
12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED		26
13 ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS		27
14 ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION		27
14 ALVIER B GENERALE IN ORIGINAL	MO, OB	GLAS MOR
15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA		27
IS AUGUA COUSTEM CHECK DATA	AB QLAS	
16 ANNEX D SETUP PHOTOS		20
TO DITTED D BETOIT HOTOD		20

Change History		
Issue	Date	Reason for change
1.0 2017-03-28 First edition		



## **TEST REPORT DECLARATION**

Applicant	Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.		
Applicant Address	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong		
Manufacturer	Shenzhen Chua	angwei Electronic Ap	opliance Tech Co., Ltd.
Manufacturer Address	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District, Shenzhen, Guangdong		
Product Name	Tablet PC		
Model Name	TM800W630L, CT811, H811		
Brand Name	Nuvision		
HW Version	V1.0		
SW Version	Windows 10		
Test Standards	47 CFR 2.1093; IEEE 1528-2013;		
Test Date	2017-03-27		
The Highest Reported 1g-SAR(W/kg)	Body	0.415W/kg	Limit(W/kg): 1.6W/kg

Reviewed by :	Lin Jun	
RIAE IN STORLAR	Liu Jun	
Approved by .	Peng Hu	
B ORLAN MOR	Peng Huarui	Mos





# 1.TECHNICAL INFORMATION

Note: the Following data is based on the information by the applicant.

# 1.1 Identification of Applicant

Company Name:	Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.	
Address:	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District,	
S ORLA MORE	Shenzhen, Guangdong	

# 1.2 Identification of Manufacturer

Company Name:	Shenzhen Chuangwei Electronic Appliance Tech Co., Ltd.		
Address:	4/F,6/F South, Skyworth Industrial Park, Shiyan Bao'an District,		
E N. SLAB JORLA	Shenzhen, Guangdong		

# 1.3 Equipment Under Test (EUT)

Model Name:	TM800W630L, CT811, H811
Trade Name:	Nuvision、Tmax
Brand Name:	Nuvision
Hardware Version:	V1.0
Software Version:	Windows 10
Tx Frequency Bands:	802.11 b/g/n: 2412-2462 MHz; Bluetooth 2.1+EDR; 2402-2480 MHz; BLE 4.0; 2402-2480 MHz;
Uplink Modulations:	WIFI 802.11b: DSSS; WIFI 802.11g/n: OFDM; Bluetooth 2.1+EDR: GFSK/π/4-DQPSK/8-DPSK; Bluetooth4.0: GFSK
Antenna type:	Fixed Internal Antenna
Development Stage:	Identical prototype

# 1.3.1 Photographs of the EUT

Please refer to the External Photos for the Photos of the EUT





#### 1.3.2 Identification of all used EUT

The EUT identity consists of numerical and letter characters, the letter character indicates the test sample, and the Following two numerical characters indicate the software version of the test sample.

EUT Identity	Hardware Version	Software Version
1#	V1.0	Windows 10

# 1.4 Applied Reference Documents

Leading reference documents for testing:

No.	Identity	Document Title	
1 IRLAE	IEEE 1528-2013	IEEE Recommended Practice for Determining the Peak Spatial-Average Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) in the Human Body from Wireless Communications Devices: Measurement Techniques	
2	KDB 447498 D01v06	General RF Exposure Guidance	
3	KDB 616217 D04v01r02	SAR for laptop and Tablets	
4	KDB 248227 D01v02r02	SAR Measurement Guidance for IEEE 802.11 Transmitters	
5	KDB 865664 D01v01r04	SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz	
6	KDB 865664 D02v01r02	SAR Reporting	

## 1.5 Device Category and SAR Limits

This device belongs to portable device category because its radiating structure is allowed to be used within 20 centimeters of the body of the user. Limit for General Population/Uncontrolled exposure should be applied for this device, it is 1.6 W/kg as averaged over any 1 gram of tissue.





# 2. SPECIFIC ABSORPTION RATE (SAR)

#### 2.1 Introduction

SAR is related to the rate at which energy is absorbed per unit mass in an object exposed to a radio field. The SAR distribution in a biological body is complicated and is usually carried out by experimental techniques or numerical modeling. The standard recommends limits for two tiers of groups, occupational/controlled and general population/uncontrolled, based on a person's awareness and ability to exercise control over his or her exposure. In general, occupational/controlled exposure limits are Middle than the limits for general population/uncontrolled.

#### 2.2 SAR Definition

The SAR definition is the time derivative (rate) of the incremental energy (dW) absorbed by (dissipated in) an incremental mass (dm) contained in a volume element (dv) of a given density. (p). The equation description is as below:

$$SAR = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{dm} \Big) = \frac{d}{dt} \Big( \frac{dW}{\rho dv} \Big)$$

SAR is expressed in units of Watts per kilogram (W/kg)

SAR measurement can be either related to the temperature elevation in tissue by,

$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

Where C is the specific body capacity,  $\delta T$  is the temperature rise and  $\delta t$  the exposure duration, or related to the electrical field in the tissue by

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

Where  $\sigma$  is the conductivity of the tissue,  $\rho$  is the mass density of the tissue and |E| is the rms electrical field strength.

However for evaluating SAR of low power transmitter, electrical field measurement is typically applied.



# 3. SAR MEASUREMENT SETUP

## 3.1 The Measurement System

Comosar is a system that is able to determine the SAR distribution inside a phantom of human being according to different standards. The Comosar system consists of the Following items:

- Main computer to control all the system
- 6 axis robot
- Data acquisition system
- Miniature E-field probe
- Phone holder
- Body simulating tissue

The Following figure shows the system.



The EUT under test operating at the maximum power level is placed in the phone holder, under the phantom, which is filled with body simulating liquid. The E-Field probe measures the electric field inside the phantom. The OpenSAR software computes the results to give a SAR value in a 1g or 10g mass.

## 3.2 Probe

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 37/08 EP80 with Following specifications is used

- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 6.5 mm





- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 2.5mm


 Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 4 mm (repeatability better than +/- 1mm)

Probe linearity: <0.25 dB</li>
Axial Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>
Spherical Isotropy: <0.25 dB</li>

- Calibration range: 835to 2500MHz for body & body simulating liquid.

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°

Probe calibration is realized, in compliance with CENELEC EN 62209 and IEEE 1528 std, with CALISAR, Antennessa proprietary calibration system. The calibration is performed with the EN 622091 annex technique using reference guide at the five frequencies.



$$SAR = \frac{4\left(P_{fw} - P_{bw}\right)}{ab\delta} \cos^{2}\left(\pi \frac{y}{a}\right) e^{-(2z/\delta)}$$

Where:

Pfw = Forward Power Pbw = Backward Power

a and b = Waveguide dimensions

= Skin depth





#### Keithley configuration:

Rate = Medium; Filter =ON; RDGS=10; FILTER TYPE =MOVING AVERAGE; RANGE AUTO After each calibration, a SAR measurement is performed on a validation dipole and compared with a NPL calibrated probe, to verify it.

The calibration factors, CF(N), for the 3 sensors corresponding to dipole 1, dipole 2 and dipole 3 are:

$$CF(N)=SAR(N)/VIin(N)$$

(N=1,2,3)

The linearised output voltage Vlin(N) is obtained from the displayed output voltage V(N) using

$$Vlin(N)=V(N)*(1+V(N)/DCP(N))$$

(N=1,2,3)

Where DCP is the diode compression point in mV.

#### 3.3 Probe Calibration Process

#### 3.3.1 Dosimetric Assessment Procedure

Each E-Probe/Probe Amplifier combination has unique calibration parameters. SATIMO Probe calibration procedure is conducted to determine the proper amplifier settings to enter in the probe parameters. The amplifier settings are determined for a given frequency by subjecting the probe to a known E-field density (1 mW/cm²) using an with CALISAR, Antenna proprietary calibration system.

#### 3.3.2 Free Space Assessment Procedure

The free space E-field from amplified probe outputs is determined in a test chamber. This calibration can be performed in a TEM cell if the frequency is below 1 GHz and in a waveguide or other methodologies above 1 GHz for free space. For the free space calibration, the probe is placed in the volumetric center of the cavity and at the proper orientation with the field. The probe is rotated 360 degrees until the three channels show the maximum reading. The power density readings equates to 1 mW/cm<sup>2</sup>.

#### 3.3.3 Temperature Assessment Procedure

E-field temperature correlation calibration is performed in a flat phantom filled with the appropriate simulating body tissue. The E-field in the medium correlates with the temperature rise in the dielectric medium. For temperature correlation calibration a RF transparent thermistor-based temperature probe is used in conjunction with the E-field probe.

Where:

 $\delta t = \text{exposure time (30 seconds)},$ 





$$SAR = C\left(\frac{\delta T}{\delta t}\right)$$

C = heat capacity of tissue (brain or muscle),

 $\delta T$  = temperature increase due to RF exposure.

SAR is proportional to  $\Delta T/\Delta t$ , the initial rate of tissue heating, before thermal diffusion takes place. The electric field in the simulated tissue can be used to estimate SAR by equating the thermally derived SAR to that with the E- field component.

Where:

$$SAR = \frac{\sigma |E|^2}{\rho}$$

 $\sigma$  = simulated tissue conductivity,


 $\rho$  = Tissue density (1.25 g/cm<sup>3</sup> for brain tissue)

#### 3.4 Phantom

For the measurements the Specific Anthropomorphic Mannequin (SAM) defined by the IEEE SCC-34/SC2 group is used. The phantom is a polyurethane shell integrated in a wooden table. The thickness of the phantom amounts to 2mm +/- 0.2mm. It enables the dosimetric evaluation of left and right phone usage and includes an additional flat phantom part for the simplified performance check. The phantom set-up includes a cover, which prevents the evaporation of the liquid.

#### 3.5 Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is Middle than 1°.



Device holder

System Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
Delrin	3.7	0.005





# 4. TISSUE SIMULATING LIQUIDS

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. For body SAR testing, the liquid height from the center of the flat phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm. The nominal dielectric values of the tissue simulating liquids in the phantom and the tolerance of 5% are listed in below table.

The following table gives the recipes for tissue simulating liquids

Frequency Band (MHz)	2450
Tissue Type	Body
Ingredients (% by weight)	MO, WE
Deionised Water	73.20
Salt(NaCl)	0.10
Sugar	0.00
Tween 20	0.00
HEC	0.00
Bactericide	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00
DGBE	26.70
Diethylenglycol monohexylether	0.00
Measured dielectric paran	neters
Dielectric Constant	52.70
Conductivity (S/m)	1.95
AT W	

Note: Please refer to the validation results for dielectric parameters of each frequency band.

The dielectric properties of the tissue simulating liquids were verified prior to the SAR evaluation using an Agilent 85033E Dielectric Probe Kit and an Agilent Network Analyzer.





# **Table 1: Dielectric Performance of Tissue Simulating Liquid**

Temperature: 22.0~23.8°C, humidity: 54~60%.								
Date	Freq.(MHz)	Liquid Parameters	Meas.	Target	Delta(%)	Limit±(%)		
0047/00/07 D- 1- 0450		Relative Permittivity(cr):	52.48	52.70	-0.42	ORLE 5		
2017/03/27	Body 2450	Conductivity(σ):	1.96	1.95	0.51	5		





# 5. UNCERTAINTY ASSESSMENT

The Following table includes the uncertainty table of the IEEE 1528. The values are determined by Antennessa.

# **5.1 UNCERTAINTY EVALUATION FOR EUT SAR TEST**

		. 90	100				- 0	الدور	
a mortage in mortage	b more	C	d	e= f(d,k)	f MORLA	g	h= c*f/e	i= c*g/ e	k
Uncertainty Component	Sec.	Tol (+- %)	Prob Dist.	Div.	Ci (1g)	Ci (10g)	1g Ui (+-%)	10g Ui (+- %)	Vi
Measurement System	A.E.	ORLAN	170	a a	MO.	AB	ORLAN	/0)	Oglin
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1.08	1 🐠	1	4.76	4.7	∞
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	∞
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	∞
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,0	0.58	0.5	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 🚜	1	2.89	2.8	∞
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1 PLAN	0.58	0.5	∞
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1 110	1	1	0.02	0.0	∞
Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1, 1	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1 ala	1.73	1.7	∞ 1
Probe positioner  Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	Î <sup>NO</sup>	1.15	1.1 5	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,B	0.03	0.0	∞
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	AB WE	1 MORLAR	2.89	2.8 9	8
Test sample Related	A	UOLET.	dille	NB NB		RLAL	MORL	THI THI	
Test sample positioning	E.4.2.	0.03	N	1 <sub>MORE</sub>	1 M	1 AE	0.03	0.0	N- 1
Device Holder Uncertainty	E.4.1.	5.00	N	1 110	1 💸	1	5.00	5.0	N-



	2	. 40		100	~~		70,		
2LAB CORL	1	VB In.	al.P	300	L	More	" B W.	0	1
Output power Power drift -	6.6.2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1	2.33	2.3	∞
SAR drift measurement	"B W	CLAP		RLA	Mole	B W	LAB	3	ORL
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	MOL	.0	LAB	.(	RLA	MOL	0 1	
Phantom Uncertainty	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1,	1 1 N	OF	0.0	∞
(Shape and thickness tolerances)	NOTE OF	AB III	MORLA	3 MOF	L.R. A	Morr	0.03	3	0
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
deviation from target value	AL	MORIE	2 11	AB	,	QLAP.	MORL	3	
Liquid conductivity -	E.3.3	5.00	N	1,108	0.64	0.43	3.20	2.1	М
measurement uncertainty	MORT	Mo	. 0	3	LAR	MORL	MO.	5	8
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
deviation from target value	Mo	A.B		QLAB	MORL	Mc	O.B	4	الله
Liquid permittivity -	E.3.3	10.0	N 🐠	1 6	0.6	0.49	6.00	4.9	М
measurement uncertainty	o.B	0	LAB	MORL	Me			0	- 0
Combined Standard	ORL	Mo	RSS	9	LAB	JORL	11.55	10.	3
Uncertainty		AB	NORLE	MO	_	9	aLAE	67	
Expanded Uncertainty	Mo.	.0	K=2	alaB	*OBI	Mc	23.11	21.	210
(95% Confidence interval)	AB	ORLA	17/	000	B <sub>1</sub>	LAB	ORLA	33 🕔	Ole

#### 5.2 UNCERTAINTY FOR SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK

a West Late	b work	С	d	e=	f	g	h=		k
	a.B	RLAL	-11	f(d,k)	Mic	, als	c*f/e	c*g/	ORL
AB ALAP OR	4	NO.	.0	al Alb	٠.٥	21	Mo.	е	
<b>Uncertainty Component</b>	Sec.	Tol	Prob	Div.	Ci	Ci	1g Ui	10g	Vi
	Nor	(+-	·	, o <sup>R</sup>	(1g)	(10g)	(+-%)	Ui	8
	ORI	%)	Dist.	B	LAF	.0	RLA	(+-	
	BIND	LAB	.0	RLA	MOLE	BIN	AB	%)	RLA
Measurement System	Like	NOFE	9 111	LAB	.0	RLA	MORE	2 1/1	
Probe calibration	E.2.1	4.76	N	1,101	1, 1	1 1	4.76	4.7	8
Axial Isotropy	E.2.2	2.5	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.01	1.0	8
Hemispherical Isotropy	E.2.2	4.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.7	0.7	1.62	1.6	8
Boundary effect	E.2.3	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1.0	0.58	0.5	8
Linearity	E.2.4	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 110	1 💦	2.89	2.8	8
System detection limits	E.2.5	1.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	108	0.58	0.5	8
Readout Electronics	E.2.6	0.02	N	1,5	1 1 AE	1	0.02	0.0	8




Reponse Time	E.2.7	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,10	1.73	1.7	∞
Integration Time	E.2.8	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 21.0	1	1.15	1.1	∞
RF ambient Conditions	E.6.1	3.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,0	1.73	1.7	∞
Probe positioner  Mechanical Tolerance	E.6.2	2.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 11	1	1.15	1.1	∞
Probe positioning with respect to Phantom Shell	E.6.3	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1,110	0.03	0.0	8
Extrapolation, interpolation and integration Algoritms for Max. SAR Evaluation	E.5.2	5.0	R	$\sqrt{3}$	110°	1 and the second	2.89	2.8	8
Dipole	OR	Library	Mole	S III	, A	3	RLA	Mole	
Dipole axis to liquid Distance	8,E.4. 2	1.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	1	1 PLAE M	0.58	0.5 8	∞
Input power and SAR drift measurement	8,6.6. 2	4.04	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1 M	1 MORLA	2.33	2.3	∞
Phantom and Tissue Para	meters	All	NORT	luc.	0.5	3	RLA.	MORIE	
Phantom Uncertainty (Shape and thickness tolerances)	E.3.1	0.05	R	$\sqrt{3}$	1,10 P. H.C	1 III	0.03	0.0	8
Liquid conductivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	4.57	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.69	1.1	∞
Liquid conductivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	5.00	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.64	0.43	1.85	1.2	М
Liquid permittivity - deviation from target value	E.3.2	3.69	R	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	1.28	1.0	8
Liquid permittivity - measurement uncertainty	E.3.3	10.0	N	$\sqrt{3}$	0.6	0.49	3.46	2.8	М
Combined Standard Uncertainty	, D	MORLA	RSS	RLAB	en.	RLAB	8.83	8.3	OF
Expanded Uncertainty (95% Confidence interval)	OPLA	AE HO	K=2	, m <sup>o</sup>	LAB	MORLA	17.66	16. 73	3 11



## 6. SAR MEASUREMENT EVALUATION

## 6.1 System Setup

In the simplified setup for system evaluation, the DUT is replaced by a calibrated dipole and the power source is replaced by a continuous wave which comes from a signal generator. The calibrated dipole must be placed beneath the flat phantom section of the SAM twin phantom with the correct distance holder. The distance holder should touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The system check verifies that the system operates within its specifications. It is performed daily or before every SAR measurement. The system check uses normal SAR measurements in the flat section of the phantom with a matched dipole at a specified distance. The system verification setup is shown as below



The validation dipole is placed beneath the flat phantom with the specific spacer in place. The distance spacer is touch the phantom surface with a light pressure at the reference marking and be oriented parallel to the long side of the phantom. The power meter PM1 measures the forward power at the location of the system check dipole connector. The signal generator is adjusted for the desired forward power (250 mW is used for 700 MHz to 3 GHz,100 mW is used for 3.5 GHz to



6 GHz) at the dipole connector and the power meter PM2 is read at that level. After connecting the cable to the dipole, the signal generator is readjusted for the same reading at power meter PM2.

# 6.2 Validation Results

After system check testing, the SAR result will be normalized to 1W forward input power and compared with the reference SAR value derived from validation dipole certificate report. The deviation of system check should be within 10 %.

Frequency	2450MHz(B)				
Target value 1W (1g)	50.93 W/Kg				
Test value 1g (100 mW input power)	5.123W/Kg				
Normalized to 1W value(1g)	51.23 W/Kg				

Note: System checks the specific test data please the Annex C.






# 7. OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS DURING TEST

# 7.1 Body-worn Configurations

The body-worn configurations shall be tested with the supplied accessories (belt-clips, holsters, etc.) attached to the device in normal use configuration.

For body-worn and other configurations a flat phantom shall be used which is comprised of material with electrical properties similar to the corresponding tissues.



**Illustration for Body Worn Position** 

#### 7.2 Measurement procedure

The Following steps are used for each test position

- Establish a call with the maximum output power with a base station simulator. The connection between the mobile and the base station simulator is established via air interface.
- Measurement of the local E-field value at a fixed location. This value serves as a reference value for calculating a possible power drift.
- 3. Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme.
- 4. Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \* 30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8 \* 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.





## 7.3 Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimize measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.






## 8. ANTENNA LOCATION AND TEST POSITION

For tablets with a display and overall diagonal dimension 45cm >20cm, the SAR procedure in KDB 447498 should be used. The tablet procedures required by KDB 447498 generally do not require separate hotspot mode testing.

According to KDB 447498 D01, the bottom face (back of the device) is required to be tested touching the flat phantom. Per KDB 447498, SAR testing applies for the tablet edges with antenna located within 5cm of each tablet edge closet to the user.



Diagonal Dimension:235mm



Note:

#### SAR test exclusion table distance is ≤ 50mm

Per KDB 447498 D01v06, the 1-g and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]  $\cdot [\sqrt{f(GHz)}] \le 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\le 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR

f(GHz) is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz

Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation

		AV
Exposure	Wireless Interface	WLAN 2.4GHz
Position	Calculated Frequency (MHz)	2480
	Tune-up Maximum power (dBm)	12.500
	Antenna to user (mm)	0
Rear	SAR exclusion threshold	5.60
	SAR testing required?	Yes
	Antenna to user (mm)	0
Edge B	SAR exclusion threshold	5.600
	SAR testing required?	Yes

#### SAR test exclusion table distance is > 50mm

Per KDB 447498 D01v06, at 100 MHz to 6 GHz and for test separation distances > 50 mm, the SAR test exclusion threshold is determined according to the following

a) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm)-(f(MHz)/150)] mW, at 100 MHz to 1500MHz

b) [Threshold at 50 mm in step 1) + (test separation distance - 50 mm)·10] mW at > 1500 MHz and ≤ 6 GHz

_	Wireless Interface	WLAN
Exposure Position		2.4GHz
1 Osition	Calculated Frequency (MHz)	2480
	Tune-up Maximum power (dBm)	12.500
	Antenna to user (mm)	59
Edge A	SAR exclusion threshold	95.600
	SAR testing required?	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	71
Edge C	SAR exclusion threshold	215.600
	SAR testing required?	No
	Antenna to user (mm)	215
Edge D	SAR exclusion threshold	1655.600
	SAR testing required?	No

Assessment		SAR Tes	t Positon			
				AB RL	Test distance	e: 0mm
Antennas	Back	Front	Edge A	Edge B	Edge C	Edge D
WLAN&BT	Yes	No	No	Yes	No No	No



#### 9. MEASUREMENT OF CONDUCTED OUTPUT POWER

# 1. WiFi Average output power

		Frequency	(	Output Power(dE	3m)
Band	Channel	(MHz)	802.11b	802.11g	802.11n20
		(2)	(DSSS)	(OFDM)	(OFDM)
Mo.	1 21 1	2412	12.26	10.17	9.76
WiFi	6	2437	11.83	9.89	9.29
	11	2462	11.61	9.73	9.24

# 2. BT peak output power

Band Chann		Frequency	Output Power(dBm)				
Danu	Channel	(MHz)	GFSK	π/4-DQPSK	8-DPSK		
RLAB	0	2402	0.43	2.76	2.80		
BT2.1+EDR	39	2441	0.23	2.20	2.26		
LAP	78	2480	0.21	2.01	2.05		

			Output		
Band	Channel	Frequency	Power(dBm)		
Dana		(MHz)	GFSK		
PELA NI	0 //	2402	2.69		
BT4.0	19	2441	3.90		
MORL	39	2480	4.30		



# 10. TEST RESULTS LIST

Summary of Measurement Results (WLAN 802.11b Band)

C MIC LAB	Temperatu	re: 21.0~23.8	8°C, humidity:	54~60%.	MORL
Phantom Configurations	Device Test Positions	Device Test channel	SAR(W/Kg) , 1g Peak	Scaling Factor	Scaled SAR (W/Kg), 1g
Body	Back upward	MORLA	0.393	A 0500 RLA	0.415
(0mm Separation)	Edge B	E MOR'	0.050	1.056	0.053

#### Notes:

- Adjust SAR for OFDM is 0.393\*11.83/12.26=0.379W/Kg<1.2, so SAR is not required for OFDM modes.
- we use the dedicated software to control the EUT continuous transmission. And the duty cycle is 100%.
- 3. SAR is measured for 2.4 GHz 802.11b DSSS using either the fixed test position or, when applicable, the initial test position procedure. SAR test reduction is determined according to the following:
  - 1) When the reported SAR of the highest measured maximum output power channel for the
  - 2) exposure configuration is  $\leq$  0.8 W/kg, no further SAR testing is required for 802.11b DSSS in that exposure configuration.
  - 3) When the reported SAR is > 0.8 W/kg, SAR is required for that position using the next
  - 4) highest measured output power channel. When any reported SAR is > 1.2 W/kg, SAR is
  - 5) required for the third channel; i.e., all channels require testing.
- 4. 2.4 GHz 802.11 g/n OFDM are additionally evaluated for SAR if the highest reported SAR for 802.11b, adjusted by the ratio of the OFDM to DSSS specified maximum output power, is > 1.2 W/kg. When SAR is required for OFDM modes in 2.4 GHz band, the Initial Test Configuration Procedures should be followed.
- 5. Justification for test configurations for WLAN per KDB Publication 248227 D01DR02-41929 for 2.4 GHz WIFI single transmission chain operations, the highest measured maximum output power channel for OFDM was selected for SAR measurement. SAR for DSSS modes (2.4 GHz 802.11b/n) was not required due to the maximum allowed powers and the highest reported DSSS SAR.



# 6. Scaling Factor calculation

Band	Tune-up power tolerance(dBm)	SAR test channel Power (dBm)	Scaling Factor
WiFi 2.4GHz	Max output power =12+-0.5	12.26	1.056

#### 11. REPEATED SAR MEASUREMENT

In accordance with published RF Exposure KDB procedure 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz. These additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same body or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is ≥ 1.45 W/kg (~ 10% from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.



#### 12. BLUETOOTH EXCLUSIONS APPLIED

Test distance	e: 0mm	THE AR SELAR MORE ME	OB S
Band	Highest power(mW) per tune up	1-g SAR test threshold	Test required?
BT2.1	1.90	[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)] • [√f(GHz)] ≤	No
BT4.0	2.69	3.0 for 1-g SAR	No

The SAR test for BT is not required.

The BT stand-alone SAR is not required, the standalone SAR must be estimated according to following to determine simultaneous transmission SAR test exclusion:

(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f(GHz)/x}$ ] W/kg for test separation distances  $\leq 50$  mm;

where x = 7.5 for 1-g SAR, and x = 18.75 for 10-g SAR.

(Max power=2.82 mW; min. test separation distance= 5mm for Head; f=2.4GHz)

BT estimated Body SAR =0.118W/Kg (1g)

## Note:

WLAM/Bluetooth combination antenna. This WLAN/Bluetooth co-existence mechanism is to ensure that the WLAN and Bluetooth transmitters would not simultaneously operate.





# **13ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS**

14ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION

15 ANNEX C SYSTEM CHECK DATA

**16 ANNEX D SETUP PHOTOS** 





#### **ANNEX A PLOTS OF SAR TEST RESULTS**

#### **MEASUREMENT 1**

Type: Table PC measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.03.27

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 32 seconds


#### A. Experimental conditions.


DOTHING TO THE TOTAL OF THE TOT	an a large
Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat 1000
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11g
Channels	Low
Signal	DSSS

# **B. SAR Measurement Results**

Middle Band SAR (Channel 1)

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power drift (%)	-1.240000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1:1 MOT










Maximum location: X=15.00, Y=-30.00 SAR Peak: 0.90 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.140378		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.393282		

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.9168	0.4048	0.0980	0.0368	0.0105	0.0089	0.0010
NOR.	0.9-			762			MORLAN
	0.8-	$\top$				A.	
	(%/kg) (%/kg)	+					
	& 0.4- ¥8	+				W.	
	0.2-	++					
	0.0- 0	02.55.07.5	12.5 17.	.5 22.5 2	27.5 32.5	40.0	
	S	02.33.01.3		Z (mm)	21.0 02.0	40.0	
			Dr.	-66-v	alle		







#### **MEASUREMENT 2**

Type: Table PC measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=8mm, dy=8mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.03.27

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 32 seconds


#### A. Experimental conditions.


Phantom File	surf_sam_plan.txt
Phantom	Flat 10 TLAN
Device Position	Body
Band	802.11g
Channels	Low
Signal	OFDM

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

High Band SAR (Channel 1)

Frequency (MHz)	2412.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	48.294381
Conductivity (S/m)	5.743260
Power drift (%)	2.080000
Ambient Temperature:	22.0°C
Liquid Temperature:	21.8°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	0RL 11 11 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1










Maximum location: X=11.00, Y=35.00 SAR Peak: 0.30 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.023485		
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.050376		

Z (mm)	0.00	4.00	9.00	14.00	19.00	24.00	29.00
SAR (W/Kg)	0.0965	0.0511	0.0264	0.0302	0.0208	0.0094	0.0032
(11/119)	A.B	ARLA.	"lok"		A.G.	RLA	Mogra
	0.10-						
	0.08-						
		$  \setminus    $					
	. 60.06 (∦/kg) (∦/kg)	$\overline{}$				100	
		$  \cdot   \setminus  $					
	ු ජූ 0.04- ූ හි						
	0.02-			$\downarrow \downarrow \downarrow \downarrow$		A.S	
	0.00-			ļ			
	0	.02.55.07.5	12.5 1		27.5 32.5	40.0	
				Z (mm)			
0.	A. Par	OFF	all .	0.	- P.	OR	alo.







# ANNEX B GENERAL INFORMATION

# 1. Identification of the Responsible Testing Laboratory

Company Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd.
Department:	Morlab Laboratory
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong Province, P. R. China
Responsible Test Lab Manager:	Mr. Su Feng
Telephone:	+86 755 36698555
Facsimile:	+86 755 36698525

# 2. Identification of the Responsible Testing Location

Name:	Shenzhen Morlab Communications Technology Co., Ltd. Morlab Laboratory	
Address:	FL.3, Building A, FeiYang Science Park, No.8 LongChang	
	Road, Block 67, BaoAn District, ShenZhen, GuangDong	
	Province, P. R. China	





# 3. List of Test Equipments

No.	Instrument	Туре	Cal. Date	Cal. Due
1	PC	Dell (Pentium IV 2.4GHz, SN:X10-23533)	(n.a)	(n.a)
2	Network Emulator	Aglient (8960, SN:10752)	2016-6-7	1year
3	Network Analyzer	Agilent(E5071B ,SN:MY42404762 )	2016-7-8	1year
4	Voltmeter	Keithley (2000, SN:1000572)	2016-7-8	1year
5	Signal Generator	Rohde&Schwarz (SMP_02)	2016-7-8	1year
6	Power Amplifier	PRANA (Ap32 SV125AZ)	2016-7-8	1year
7	Power Meter	Agilent (E4416A, SN:MY45102093)	2016-7-8	1year
8	Power Sensor	Agilent (N8482A, SN:MY41091706)	2016-7-8	1year
9	Directional coupler	Giga-tronics(SN:1829112)	2016-7-24	1year
10	Probe	Satimo (SN:SN 37/08 EP80)	2016-7-5	1year
11	Dielectric Probe Kit	Agilent (85033E)	2016-7-5	1year
12	Phantom	Satimo (SN:SN_36_08_SAM62)	N/A	N/A
13	Liquid	Satimo(Last Calibration: 2017-03-27)	N/A	N/A
14	Dipole 2450MHz	Satimo (SN 30/13 DIP2G450-263)	2016-7-5	1year



#### ANNEX C SYSTEM PERFORMANCE CHECK DATA

# System Performance Check Data(Body)

Type: Table PC measurement (Complete)

Area scan resolution: dx=8mm,dy=8mm

Zoom scan resolution: dx=5mm, dy=5mm, dz=5mm

Date of measurement: 2017.03.27

Measurement duration: 13 minutes 27 seconds


#### A. Experimental conditions.


File surf_sam_plan.txt
n Validation plane
ition (5)
2450MHz
S & JLA HORE MO NE SLAE
CW CW
i

#### **B. SAR Measurement Results**

# **Band SAR**

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	52.480397
Conductivity (S/m)	1.958859
Power Drift (%)	0.630000
Ambient Temperature:	22.9°C
Liquid Temperature:	22.1°C
ConvF:	4.96
Crest factor:	1.1 HO









#### Maximum location: X=7.00, Y=6.00

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.642158
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.123275

# **Z Axis Scan**

