

Kymeta Corp.

u8 Hawk

FCC 2.1091:2023

802.11b/g/n (2x2 MIMO), Cellular, and Satellite (14 GHz) Radios

Report: KYME0082.2, Issue Date: July 17, 2023




TABLE OF CONTENTS



| Section | Page Number |
|------------------------------|-------------|
| | |
| Certificate of Evaluation | |
| Revision History | 4 |
| Accreditations | |
| Facilities | 6 |
| Product Description | 7 |
| Exposure Condition | |
| Maximum Permissible Exposure | 9 |
| End of Report | 13 |

CERTIFICATE OF EVALUATION



Last Date of Evaluation: June 27, 2023 Kymeta Corp. EUT: U8 Hawk

RF Exposure Evaluation

Standards

| Specification | Method |
|-----------------|---|
| FCC 2.1091:2023 | FCC 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06 |

Results

| Method Clause | Description | Applied | Results | Comments |
|------------------|------------------------------|---------|---------|----------|
| 7.2 | Maximum Permissible Exposure | Yes | Pass | None |

Deviations From Evaluation Standards

None

Approved By:

Donald Facteau, Process Architect

Product compliance is the responsibility of the client; therefore, the tests and equipment modes of operation represented in this report were agreed upon by the client, prior to testing. The results of this test pertain only to the sample(s) tested. The specific description is noted in each of the individual sections of the test report supporting this certificate of test. This report reflects only those tests from the referenced standards shown in the certificate of test. It does not include inspection or verification of labels, identification, marking or user information. As indicated in the Statement of Work sent with the quotation, Element's standard process is to always use the latest published version of the test methods even when earlier versions are cited in the test specification. Issuance of a purchase order was de facto acceptance of this approach. Otherwise, the client would have advised Element in writing of the specific version of the test methods they wanted applied to the subject testing

REVISION HISTORY



| Revision Number | Description | Date (yyyy-mm-dd) | Page Number |
|--------------------|-------------|----------------------|-------------|
| 00 | None | | |

ACCREDITATIONS AND AUTHORIZATIONS



United States

FCC - Designated by the FCC as a Telecommunications Certification Body (TCB). Certification chambers, Open Area Test Sites, and conducted measurement facilities are listed with the FCC.

A2LA - Each laboratory is accredited by A2LA to ISO / IEC 17025, and as a product certifier to ISO / IEC 17065 which allows Element to certify transmitters to FCC and IC specifications.

Canada

ISED - Recognized by Innovation, Science and Economic Development Canada as a Certification Body (CB) and as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

European Union

European Commission - Recognized as an EU Notified Body validated for the EMCD and RED Directives.

United Kingdom

BEIS - Recognized by the UK as an Approved Body under the UK Radio Equipment and UK EMC Regulations.

Australia/New Zealand

ACMA - Recognized by ACMA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Korea

MSIT / RRA - Recognized by KCC's RRA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Japan

VCCI - Associate Member of the VCCI. Conducted and radiated measurement facilities are registered.

Taiwan

BSMI – Recognized by BSMI as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

NCC - Recognized by NCC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Singapore

IDA - Recognized by IDA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Israel

MOC - Recognized by MOC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Hong Kong

OFCA - Recognized by OFCA as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

Vietnam

MIC - Recognized by MIC as a CAB for the acceptance of test data.

SCOPE

For details on the Scopes of our Accreditations, please visit:

<u>California</u> <u>Minnesota</u> <u>Oregon</u> <u>Texas</u> <u>Washington</u>


FACILITIES







| California Labs OC01-17 41 Tesla Irvine, CA 92618 (949) 861-8918 | Minnesota Labs MN01-11 9349 W Broadway Ave. Brooklyn Park, MN 55445 (612) 638-5136 | Oregon Texas Labs EV01-12 Labs TX01-09 6775 NE Evergreen Pkwy #400 3801 E Plano Pkwy Hillsboro, OR 97124 Plano, TX 75074 (503) 844-4066 (469) 304-5255 | | Washington Labs NC01-05 19201 120 th Ave NE Bothell, WA 98011 (425) 984-6600 | | |
|--|--|--|-------------------|--|--|--|
| | | A2LA | | | | |
| Lab Code: 3310.04 | Lab Code: 3310.05 | Lab Code: 3310.02 | Lab Code: 3310.03 | Lab Code: 3310.06 | | |
| | Innovation, Sci | ence and Economic Develop | ment Canada | | | |
| 2834B-1, 2834B-3 | 2834E-1, 2834E-3 | 2834D-1 | 2834G-1 | 2834F-1 | | |
| | | BSMI | | | | |
| SL2-IN-E-1154R | SL2-IN-E-1152R | SL2-IN-E-1017 | SL2-IN-E-1158R | SL2-IN-E-1153R | | |
| VCCI | | | | | | |
| A-0029 | A-0109 | A-0108 | A-0201 | A-0110 | | |
| Recognized Phase I CAB for ISED, ACMA, BSMI, IDA, KCC/RRA, MIC, MOC, NCC, OFCA | | | | | | |
| US0158 | US0175 | US0017 | US0191 | US0157 | | |



PRODUCT DESCRIPTION



Client and Equipment Under Evaluation Information

| Company Name: | Kymeta Corp. |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Address: | 12034 134th Court NE, Ste. 102 |
| City, State, Zip: | Redmond, WA 98052 |
| Evaluation Requested By: | Michael Olsen |
| EUT: | u8 Hawk |
| Date of Evaluation: | 6/27/2023 |

Information Provided by the Party Requesting the Evaluation

Functional Description of the Equipment:

The Kymeta u8 is a flat panel electronically beam steered Satellite earth Station which has been integrated with Cellular (LTE) and WIFI (802.11 b/g/n 2x2 MIMO) modem capabilities into a complete turnkey terminal. The intent of the product is to switch between Cellular and Satellite communications depending on the availability of a cellular network. The user connects to the network via LAN cable or WIFI connection. The cellular modem has FCC ID: N7NEM75S.

Objective:

To demonstrate compliance with FCC requirements for RF exposure for 2.1091 mobile/fixed devices

RF EXPOSURE CONDITION



| The following RF Exposure conditions were used for the assessment documented in this report: | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Intended Use | Mobile | | | | |
| Location on Body (if applicable) | N/A | | | | |
| How is the Device Used | The radios are located more than 20 cm from the user | | | | |
| Radios Contained in the Same Host Device | 802.11b/g/n (2x2 MIMO) | | | | |
| | Cellular | | | | |
| | Satellite (14 GHz) | | | | |
| Simultaneous Transmitting Radios | 802.11b/g/n (2x2 MIMO), Cellular | | | | |
| | 802.11b/g/n (2x2 MIMO), Satellite (14 GHz) | | | | |
| Body Worn Accessories | N/A | | | | |
| Environment | General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure | | | | |



OVERVIEW

Human exposure to RF emissions from mobile devices (47 CFR §2.1091) may be evaluated based on the MPE limits adopted by the FCC for electric and magnetic field strength and/or power density, as appropriate, since exposures are assumed to occur at distances of 20 cm or more from persons. ANSI C95.1:2005 + Amd 1:2010 specifies a minimum separation distance of 20 cm for performing reliable field measurements to determine adherence to MPE limits. If the minimum separation distance between a transmitter and nearby persons is more than 20 cm under normal operating conditions, compliance with MPE limits may be determined at such distance from the transmitter. When applicable, operation instructions and prominent warning labels may be used to alert the exposed persons to maintain a specified distance from the transmitter or to limit their exposure durations and usage conditions to ensure compliance. If the use of warning labels on a transmitter is not effective or desirable, the alternative of performing SAR evaluation with the device at its closest range to persons under normal operating conditions may be used. The field strength and power density limits adopted by the FCC are based on whole-body averaged exposure and the assumption of RF field levels relate most accurately to estimating whole-body averaged SAR. This means some local values of exposures exceeding the stated field strength and power density limits may not necessarily imply non-compliance if the spatial average of spatially averaged RF fields over the exposed portions of a person's body does not exceed the limits.

COMPLIANCE WITH FCC 2.1091

47 CFR §1.1307

"(b)(1) Requirements. (i) With respect to the limits on human exposure to RF provided in §1.1310 of this chapter, applicants to the Commission for the grant or modification of construction permits, licenses or renewals thereof, temporary authorities, equipment authorizations, or any other authorizations for radiofrequency sources must either:

- (A) Determine that they qualify for an exemption pursuant to §1.1307(b)(3);
- (B) Prepare an evaluation of the human exposure to RF radiation pursuant to §1.1310 and include in the application a statement confirming compliance with the limits in §1.1310; or
- (C) Prepare an Environmental Assessment if those RF sources would cause human exposure to levels of RF radiation in excess of the limits in §1.1310.

47 CFR §2.1091

"A mobile device is defined as a transmitting device designed to be used in other than fixed locations and to generally be used in such a way that a separation distance of at least 20 centimeters is normally maintained between the RF source's radiating structure(s) and the body of the user or nearby persons. In this context, the term "fixed location" means that the device is physically secured at one location and is not able to be easily moved to another location while transmitting. Transmitting devices designed to be used by consumers or workers that can be easily re-located, such as wireless devices associated with a personal desktop computer, are considered to be mobile devices if they meet the 20-centimeter separation requirement."

The device will only be used with a separation distance between the antenna and the body of the user or nearby persons as shown in the table below and can therefore be considered a mobile transmitter per 47 CFR 2.1091(b).

COMPLIANCE WITH FCC KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06

"KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance v06" provides the procedures, requirements, and authorization policies for mobile and portable devices.



Devices operating in standalone mobile device exposure conditions may contain a single transmitter or multiple transmitters that do not transmit simultaneously are covered in section 7.1.

Devices containing multiple transmitters capable of simultaneous transmissions are covered in section 7.2.

LIMITS

Limits for General Population /Uncontrolled Exposure: 47 CFR 1.1310

| Frequency Range (MHz) | Electric Field Strength (V/m) | Magnetic Field Strength (A/m) | Power Density (mW/cm²) | Averaging Time (minutes) |
|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| 0.3 - 1.34 | 614 | 1.63 | *(100) | 30 |
| 1.34 - 30 | 824/f | 2.19/f | *(180/f²) | 30 |
| 30 - 300 | 27.5 | 0.073 | 0.2 | 30 |
| 300 - 1500 | | | f/1500 | 30 |
| 1500 - 100000 | | | 1 | 30 |

f = frequency in MHz

ASSESSMENT

The exposure level for the radio is evaluated at a 20 cm distance from the radio's transmitting antenna using the general equation:

$$S = \frac{P * G}{4 * \pi * R^2}$$

Where: $S = power density (mW/cm^2)$

P = power input to the antenna (mW)

G = numeric power gain relative to an isotropic radiator

R = distance to the center of the radiation of the antenna (20 cm = limit for MPE estimates)

P*G = EIRP

Solving for S, the maximum power density 20 cm from the transmitting antenna is determined. This level is then compared to the applicable limit for the transmit frequency. If limits were not met at the 20 cm boundary the evaluation distance is increased until the limit is met as shown in the table below.

For co-located radios, the ratio of the calculated level to the limit is determined. The ratios for each co-located radio are summed. If the sum is less than or equal to one, then the device is excluded from testing and is deemed compliant.

^{* =} Plane-wave equivalent power density



The standalone MPE and summed MPE ratios are summarized in the following table(s):

| Radio | Transmit Frequency (MHz) | Rated Output Power | Power Tolerance (dB) | Duty Cycle | Antenna Assembly Gain (dBi) | Minimum Separation Distance (cm) | Power Density (mW/cm²) | Limit (mW/cm²) | Ratio |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Cellular: LTE Band 66 | 1710 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 4.4 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.14 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 5 | 824 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 2.6 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.17 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 48 | 3600 | 22 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 3.4 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.09 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 41 | 2496 | 22 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 3.4 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.09 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 4 | 1710 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 4.4 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.14 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 26 | 814 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 2.6 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.17 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 2 | 1850 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 4.4 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.14 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 13 | 777 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 2.6 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.18 |
| Cellular: LTE Band 12 | 699 | 23 dBm | 1.0 | 100.0% | 2.6 | 20 | 0.1 | 0.5 | 0.20 |
| Max Ratio | | | | | | | | | 0.20 |

The information in the table above was obtained from:

The rated value was used in these calculations. From customer supplied information: AirPrime EM7565 Product Technical Specification and Ethertronics_Embedded_LTE_LPWA_P822601-2. A worst case 100% duty cycle was used in calculations. The cellular radio has FCC ID: N7NEM75S.

| Radio | Transmit Frequency (MHz) | Conducted Output Power | | Duty Cycle | Antenna Assembly Gain (dBi) | Minimum Separation Distance (cm) | Power Density (mW/cm²) | Limit (mW/cm²) | Ratio |
|--------------------------------|--------------------------------|------------------------------|-----|------------|-----------------------------------|---|------------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| 802.11b/g/n: Chain 1 | 2412 | 69.2 mW | 2.0 | 100.0% | 3.2 | 20 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 0.05 |
| 802.11b/g/n: Chain 0 | 2412 | 83.2 mW | 2.0 | 100.0% | 2.9 | 20 | 0.1 | 1.0 | 0.03 |
| Max Ratio (Sum of MIMO Chains) | | | | | | | 0.08 | | |

The information in the table above was obtained from:

A measured value was used in these calculations. From customer supplied information and Element report No. KYME0082.0 Rev. 1. A worst case 100% duty cycle was used in calculations.



| Radio | Transmit Frequency (MHz) | Rated Power | Power Tolerance (dB) | Duty Cycle | Antenna Assembly Gain (dBi) | Power Density (mW/cm2) | Limit (mW/cm²) | Ratio |
|----------------------|--------------------------------|----------------|----------------------------|---------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------------|-------------------|-------|
| Satellite: 14 GHz | 14000 – 14500 | 47 dBW | 0.5 | 30.0% | 35.1 | 0.02 | 1.0 | 0.02 |
| | | | | | | | Max Ratio | 0.02 |

The information in the table above was obtained from:

Kymeta RF Safety Analysis and MPE evaluation by Kymeta as part of the Radio Station Authorization. Measurements were taken of the power density as a function of separation distance and orientation to the u8 Terminal.

The u8 Terminal is designed to be mounted on the roof of a bus, train, RV or other industrial vehicle. All representations of power density in this report assume the extreme case of a 30% duty cycle (the Radio Station Authorization limits the duty cycle to 30%). Per the Kymeta RF Safety Analysis: The radiofrequency fields may exceed FCC limits for the public within 1.55 m of the edge of the Terminal. The Radio Station Authorization requires labelling, signage, and a physical barrier to prevent the public from accessing the Terminal within 1.55 m.

This assessment considers the co-location of the Satellite (14 GHz) radio with the 802.11 b/g/n 2x2 MIMO radio or the cellular modem from the inside of the vehicle. The back-side radiation of the Antenna mounted on the roof of a VMES is significantly lower than that of the forward directed (main lobe) radiation, producing power densities much less than the uncontrolled MPE limits. The highest power density **measured** from the back-side of the Terminal is considered.

| Sum of Maximum Ratios | Limit | Compliant |
|-----------------------|-------|-----------|
| 0.28 | 1 | Yes |

Sum of 802.11b/g/n (2x2 MIMO) and Cellular radios (worst case).

Evaluator: Nolan De Ramos



End of Test Report