

# **FCC SAR EVALUATION REPORT**

# In accordance with the requirements of FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093), ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992 and IEEE Std 1528-2013

**Product Name**: Tablet

Trademark: Blackview/OSCAL

Model Name: Tab 80

Family Model: Pad 12

FCC ID: 2A7DX-TAB80

**Report No.**: S23121808301006

#### Prepared for

DOKE COMMUNICATION (HK) LIMITED

RM 1902 EASEY COMM BLDG 253-261 HENNESSY ROAD WANCHAI HK CHINA

#### Prepared by

Shenzhen NTEK Testing Technology Co., Ltd.

1&5/F, Building C, 1&2/F, Building E, Fenda Science Park, Sanwei Community,
Hangcheng Street, Baoan District, Shenzhen ,Guangdong, China
Tel. 400-800-6106, 0755-2320 0050, 0755-2320 0090

Website: http://www.ntek.org.cn





## **TEST RESULT CERTIFICATION**

Applicant's name.....: DOKE COMMUNICATION (HK) LIMITED

RM 1902 EASEY COMM BLDG 253-261 HENNESSY ROAD

WANCHAI HK CHINA

Manufacturer's Name.....: Shenzhen DOKE Electronic Co.,Ltd

801, Building3, 7th Industrial Zone, Yulv Community, Yutang Road,

... Guangming District, Shenzhen, China.

**Product description** 

Product name.....: Tablet

Trademark .....: Blackview/OSCAL

Model Name .....: Tab 80

Family Model..... Pad 12

FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093)

ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992

IEEE Std 1528-2013

Published RF exposure KDB procedures

This device described above has been tested by Shenzhen NTEK. In accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013 and KDB 865664 D01. Testing has shown that this device is capable of compliance with localized specific absorption rate (SAR) specified in FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992. The test results in this report apply only to the tested sample of the stated device/equipment. Other similar device/equipment will not necessarily produce the same results due to production tolerance and measurement uncertainties.

This report shall not be reproduced except in full, without the written approval of Shenzhen NTEK, this document may be altered or revised by Shenzhen NTEK, personal only, and shall be noted in the revision of the document.

**Date of Test** 

Date (s) of performance of tests...........: Jun. 19, 2023 ~ Jun. 28, 2023

Date of Issue ...... Dec. 27, 2023

Test Result ..... Pass

Note: All test data of this report are based on the original test report

S23061601001001 dated by Jul 12, 2023

Prepared Jack Li

Jack Li (Project Engineer) Reviewed

Aaron Chen

Approved

Alex Li (Manager)







# % % Revision History % %


REV.	DESCRIPTION ISSUED DATE		REMARK	
Rev.1.0	Initial Test Report Release	Jul. 12, 2023	Jack Li	
Rev.2.0	Added adapter	Dec. 27, 2023	Jack Li	





# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1.	General Ir	nformation	6
	1.1. RF	exposure limits	6
	1.2. St	atement of Compliance	7
	1.3. EU	JT Description	7
	1.4. Te	st specification(s)	8
	1.5. Ar	nbient Condition	8
2.	SAR Meas	surement System	9
	2.1. SA	ATIMO SAR Measurement Set-up Diagram	9
	2.2. Ro	bbot	10
	2.3. E-	Field Probe	11
	2.3.1.	E-Field Probe Calibration	11
	2.4. SA	AM phantoms	12
	2.4.1.	Technical Data	13
	2.5. De	evice Holder	14
	2.6. Te	st Equipment List	15
3.	SAR Meas	surement Procedures	17
	3.1. Po	ower Reference	17
	3.2. Ar	rea scan & Zoom scan	17
	3.3. De	escription of interpolation/extrapolation scheme	19
	3.4. Vo	olumetric Scan	19
	3.5. Po	ower Drift	19
4.	System Vo	erification Procedure	20
	4.1. Ti	ssue Verification	20
	4.1.1.	Tissue Dielectric Parameter Check Results	21
	4.2. Sy	stem Verification Procedure	22
	4.2.1.	System Verification Results	23
5.	SAR Meas	surement variability and uncertainty	24
	5.1. SA	AR measurement variability	24
	5.2. SA	AR measurement uncertainty	24
6.	RF Exposu	ure Positions	25
	1.3. Ta	ablet host platform exposure conditions	25
7.	RF Outpu	t Power	26
	7.1. W	LAN & Bluetooth Output Power	26
	7.1.1.	Output Power Results Of WLAN	26
	7.1.2.	Output Power Results Of Bluetooth	27
8.	Antenna I	Location	28
9.	Stand-alo	ne SAR test exclusion	30
10.	SAR Res	sults	31
	10.1.	SAR measurement results	31







MRA		
The state of	ACCREDITED	Page 5
ulin		. ago c

	Certificate #4298.01	
	10.1.1. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 2.4G	31
	10.1.2. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 5.2G	31
	10.1.3. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 5.8G	31
	10.2. SAR Summation Scenario	31
11.	Appendix A. Photo documentation	32
12.	Appendix B. System Check Plots	33
13.	Appendix C. Plots of High SAR Measurement	40
14.	Appendix D. Calibration Certificate	47





#### 1. General Information

#### 1.1. RF exposure limits

(A).Limits for Occupational/Controlled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.4	8.0	20.0

(B).Limits for General Population/Uncontrolled Exposure (W/kg)

Whole-Body	Partial-Body	Hands, Wrists, Feet and Ankles
0.08	1.6	4.0

NOTE: Whole-Body SAR is averaged over the entire body, partial-body SAR is averaged over any 1 gram of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube. SAR for hands, wrists, feet and ankles is averaged over any 10 grams of tissue defined as a tissue volume in the shape of a cube.

#### **Occupational/Controlled Environments:**

Are defined as locations where there is exposure that may be incurred by people who are aware of the potential for exposure, (i.e. as a result of employment or occupation).

## **General Population/Uncontrolled Environments:**

Are defined as locations where there is the exposure of individuals who have no knowledge or control of their exposure.

NOTE
TRUNK LIMIT
1.6 W/kg
APPLIED TO THIS EUT





## 1.2. Statement of Compliance

The maximum results of Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) found during testing for Tab 80 are as follows.

	Max Reported SAR Value(W/kg)	
Band	1-g Body	
	(Separation distance of 0mm)	
WLAN 2.4G	0.717	
WLAN 5.2G	0.444	
WLAN 5.8G	0.541	

Note: The Max Simultaneous Tx is calculated based on the same configuration and test position. This device is in compliance with Specific Absorption Rate (SAR) for general population/uncontrolled exposure limits (1.6 W/kg) specified in FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093) and ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992, and had been tested in accordance with the measurement methods and procedures specified in IEEE Std 1528-2013 & KDB 865664 D01.

#### 1.3. EUT Description

Device Information						
Product Name	Tablet					
Trade Name	Blackview/OSCAL					
Model Name	Tab 80					
Family Model	Pad 12					
Martal Difference	Only the logo is different, the me	emory is different, the	model name is			
Model Difference	different, and the rest is the sam	ne				
FCC ID	2A7DX-TAB80					
Device Phase	Identical Prototype					
Exposure Category	General population / Uncontrolle	ed environment				
Antenna	PIFA Antenna					
Battery	DC 3.85V, 7680mAh					
Hardware version	T30-T616-V2.0	T30-T616-V2.0				
Software version	Tab80_EEA_T30_V1.0					
Device Operating Configura	ations					
Supporting Mode(s)	WLAN 2.4G/5G, Bluetooth					
Test Modulation	WLAN(DSSS/OFDM), Bluetoo	th(GFSK, π/4-DQPSI	K, 8DPSK)			
Device Class	В	·	·			
	Band	Tx (MHz)	Rx (MHz)			
Operating Fraguency	WLAN 2.4G	2412-	2462			
Operating Frequency Range(s)	WLAN 5.2G	5180-	5240			
Range(s)	WLAN 5.8G	N 5.8G 5745-5825				
	Bluetooth 2402-2480					





1.4. Test specification(s)

FCC 47 CFR Part 2(2.1093)

ANSI/IEEE C95.1-1992

IEEE Std 1528-2013

KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz

KDB 865664 D02 RF Exposure Reporting

KDB 447498 D01 General RF Exposure Guidance

KDB 248227 D01 802.11 Wi-Fi SAR

KDB 616217 D04 SAR for laptop and tablets

#### 1.5. Ambient Condition


Ambient temperature	20°C – 24°C		
Relative Humidity	30% – 70%		





## 2. SAR Measurement System

#### 2.1. SATIMO SAR Measurement Set-up Diagram



These measurements were performed with the automated near-field scanning system OPENSAR from SATIMO. The system is based on a high precision robot (working range: 901 mm), which positions the probes with a positional repeatability of better than ±0.03 mm. The SAR measurements were conducted with dosimetric probe (manufactured by SATIMO), designed in the classical triangular configuration and optimized for dosimetric evaluation.

The first step of the field measurement is the evaluation of the voltages induced on the probe by the device under test. Probe diode detectors are nonlinear. Below the diode compression point, the output voltage is proportional to the square of the applied E-field; above the diode compression point, it is linear to the applied E-field. The compression point depends on the diode, and a calibration procedure is necessary for each sensor of the probe.

The Keithley multimeter reads the voltage of each sensor and send these three values to the PC. The corresponding E field value is calculated using the probe calibration factors, which are stored in the working directory. This evaluation includes linearization of the diode characteristics. The field calculation is done separately for each sensor. Each component of the E field is displayed on the "Dipole Area Scan Interface" and the total E field is displayed on the "3D Interface"



#### 2.2. Robot

The SATIMO SAR system uses the high precision robots from KUKA. For the 6-axis controller system, the robot controller version (KUKA) from KUKA is used. The KUKA robot series have many features that are important for our application:



- High precision (repeatability ±0.03 mm)
- High reliability (industrial design)
- · Jerk-free straight movements
- Low ELF interference (the closed metallic construction shields against motor control fields)





#### 2.3. E-Field Probe

This E-field detection probe is composed of three orthogonal dipoles linked to special Schottky diodes with low detection thresholds. The probe allows the measurement of electric fields in liquids such as the one defined in the IEEE and CENELEC standards.

For the measurements the Specific Dosimetric E-Field Probe SN 08/16 EPGO287 with following specifications is used



- Dynamic range: 0.01-100 W/kg

- Tip Diameter: 2.5 mm

- Distance between probe tip and sensor center: 1 mm

- Distance between sensor center and the inner phantom surface: 2 mm (repeatability better than ±1 mm).

Probe linearity: ±0.08 dBAxial isotropy: ±0.01 dB

- Hemispherical Isotropy: ±0.01 dB

- Calibration range: 650MHz to 5900MHz for head & body simulating liquid.

- Lower detection limit: 8mW/kg

Angle between probe axis (evaluation axis) and surface normal line: less than 30°.

#### 2.3.1. E-Field Probe Calibration

Each probe needs to be calibrated according to a dosimetric assessment procedure with accuracy better than ±10%. The spherical isotropy shall be evaluated and within ±0.25dB. The sensitivity parameters (Norm X, Norm Y, and Norm Z), the diode compression parameter (DCP) and the conversion factor (Conv F) of the probe are tested. The calibration data can be referred to appendix D of this report.



## 2.4. SAM phantoms

## Photo of SAM phantom SN 16/15 SAM119




The SAM phantom is used to measure the SAR relative to people exposed to electro-magnetic field radiated by mobile phones.



Page 13 of 81 Report No.: S23121808301006

#### 2.4.1. **Technical Data**

Serial Number	Shell thickness	Filling volume	Dimensions	Positionner Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent
SN 16/15 SAM119	2 mm ±0.2 mm	27 liters	Length:1000 mm Width:500 mm Height:200 mm	Gelcoat with fiberglass	3.4	0.02



Serial Number	Left Head(mm)		Right Head(mm)		Flat Part(mm)	
	2	2.02	2	2.08	1	2.09
	3	2.05	3	2.06	2	2.06
	4	2.07	4	2.07	3	2.08
	5	2.08	5	2.08	4	2.10
SN 16/15 SAM119	6	2.05	6	2.07	5	2.10
	7	2.05	7	2.05	6	2.07
	8	2.07	8	2.06	7	2.07
	9	2.08	9	2.06	-	-


The test, based on ultrasonic system, allows measuring the thickness with an accuracy of 10  $\mu$ m.





# 2.5. Device Holder

The positioning system allows obtaining cheek and tilting position with a very good accuracy. In compliance with CENELEC, the tilt angle uncertainty is lower than 1 degree.



Serial Number	Holder Material	Permittivity	Loss Tangent	
SN 16/15 MSH100	1 16/15 MSH100 Delrin		0.005	





## 2.6. Test Equipment List

This table gives a complete overview of the SAR measurement equipment.

Devices used during the test described are marked  $\boxtimes$ 

	_	Nome of			Calib	ration
	Manufacturer	Name of Equipment	Type/Model	Serial Number	Last	Due
		Equipment			Cal.	Date
$\boxtimes$	MVG	E FIELD PROBE	SSE2	SN 08/16 EPGO287	Jan. 10,	Jan. 09,
	WVG	E FIELD PROBE	SSEZ	3N 00/10 EPGO207	2023	2024
	MVG	750 MHz Dipole	SID750	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVG	750 WII 12 DIPOIE	310730	0G750-355	2021	2024
	MVG	835 MHz Dipole	SID835	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVO	000 WII IZ DIPOIE	31D033	0G835-347	2021	2024
	MVG	900 MHz Dipole	SID900	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVG	900 WII 12 DIPOIE	310900	0G900-348	2021	2024
	MVG	1800 MHz	SID1800	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	IVIVO	Dipole	3101000	1G800-349	2021	2024
	MVG	1900 MHz	SID1900	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVO	Dipole	3101900	1G900-350	2021	2024
	MVG	2000 MHz	SID2000	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	IVIVO	Dipole	31D2000	2G000-351	2021	2024
	MVG 2300 MHz Dipole	2300 MHz	SID2300	SN 03/16 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
		Dipole	0102000	2G300-358	2021	2024
$\boxtimes$	MVG	2450 MHz	SID2450	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVG	Dipole	3102430	2G450-352	2021	2024
	MVG	2600 MHz	SID2600	SN 03/15 DIP	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVO	Dipole	31D2000	2G600-356	2021	2024
$\boxtimes$	MVG	5000 MHz	SWG5500	SN 13/14 WGA 33	Mar. 01,	Feb. 28,
	WVO	Dipole	00000	ON 19/14 WOA 99	2021	2024
$\boxtimes$	MVG	Liquid	SCLMP	CN 04/45 00D0 70	NOD	NOD
	IVI V O	measurement Kit	OOLIVII	SN 21/15 OCPG 72	NCR	NCR
	MVG	Power Amplifier	N.A	AMPLISAR_28/14_003	NCR	NCR
	KEITHLEY	Millivoltmeter	2000	4072790	NCR	NCR
		Universal radio			Mov 20	Mov 29
	☐ R&S	communication	CMU200	117858	May 29,	May 28,
		tester			2023	2024
		Wideband radio			May 20	May 29
	R&S	communication	CMW500	103917	May 29,	May 28,
		tester			2023	2024
$\boxtimes$	HP	Network	8753D	3410J01136	May 29,	May 28,





Page 16 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006

		Analyzer			2023	2024
	Agilent	MXG Vector	NEAGOA	NAV 47070047	May 29,	May 28,
	Aglient	Signal Generator	N5182A	MY47070317	2023	2024
	Agilent	Dower motor	E4440D	MV45402520	May 29,	May 28,
	rigilorit	Power meter	E4419B	MY45102538	2023	2024
		Dowersons		May 29,	May 28,	
	rigilorit	Power sensor	E9301A	MY41495644	2023	2024
	Agilent	Power sensor	ensor E9301A US39212148		May 29,	May 28,
		Power sensor	E9301A	0339212146	2023	2024
	MCLI/USA	Directional	CB11-20	0D2L51502	Jul. 17,	Jul. 16,
		Coupler	CB11-20	0D2L31302	2020	2023
	N/A	Th	NI/A	150,005	Mar. 27,	Mar. 26,
	IN/A	Thermometer	N/A	LES-085	2023	2026
$\boxtimes$	MVG	SAM Phantom	SSM2	SN 16/15 SAM119	NCR	NCR
$\boxtimes$	MVG	Device Holder	SMPPD	SN 16/15 MSH100	NCR	NCR
	Shenzhen					
	Tianxu			Head 2450	NCR	
	Communication	Human	Head 2450			NCR
	Technology	Simulating Liquid				
	Co., Ltd.					
	Shenzhen					
	Tianxu					
$\boxtimes$	Communication	Human	Head 5200	Head 5200	NCR	NCR
	Technology	Simulating Liquid				
	Co., Ltd.					
	Shenzhen					
	Tianxu					
$\boxtimes$	Communication	Human	Head 5800	Head 5800	NCR	NCR
	Technology	Simulating Liquid				
	Co., Ltd.					





#### 3. SAR Measurement Procedures

The measurement procedures are as follows:

#### <Conducted power measurement>

- (a) For WWAN power measurement, use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in conducted connection with RF cable, at maximum power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- (b) Read the WWAN RF power level from the base station simulator.
- (c) For WLAN/Bluetooth power measurement, use engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/Bluetooth continuously transmission, at maximum RF power in each supported wireless interface and frequency band.
- (d) Connect EUT RF port through RF cable to the power meter, and measure WLAN/Bluetooth output power.

#### <SAR measurement>

- (a) Use base station simulator to configure EUT WWAN transmission in radiated connection, and engineering software to configure EUT WLAN/Bluetooth continuously transmission, at maximum RF power, in the highest power channel.
- (b) Place the EUT in the positions as Appendix A demonstrates.
- (c) Set scan area, grid size and other setting on the OPENSAR software.
- (d) Measure SAR results for the highest power channel on each testing position.
- (e) Find out the largest SAR result on these testing positions of each band.
- (f) Measure SAR results for other channels in worst SAR testing position if the reported SAR of highest power channel is larger than 0.8 W/kg.

According to the test standard, the recommended procedure for assessing the peak spatial-average SAR value consists of the following steps:

- (a) Power reference measurement
- (b) Area scan
- (c) Zoom scan
- (d) Power drift measurement

#### 3.1. Power Reference

The Power Reference Measurement and Power Drift Measurements are for monitoring the power drift of the device under test in the batch process. The minimum distance of probe sensors to surface determines the closest measurement point to phantom surface. This distance cannot be smaller than the distance of sensor calibration points to probe tip as defined in the probe properties.

#### 3.2. Area scan & Zoom scan

The area scan is a 2D scan to find the hot spot location on the DUT. The zoom scan is a 3D scan above the hot spot to calculate the 1g and 10g SAR value.





Measurement of the SAR distribution with a grid of 8 to 16 mm \* 8 to 16 mm and a constant distance to the inner surface of the phantom. Since the sensors cannot directly measure at the inner phantom surface, the values between the sensors and the inner phantom surface are extrapolated. With these values the area of the maximum SAR is calculated by an interpolation scheme. Around this point, a cube of 30 \* 30 \*30 mm or 32 \* 32 \* 32 mm is assessed by measuring 5 or 8 \* 5 or 8 \* 4 or 5 mm. With these data, the peak spatial-average SAR value can be calculated.

From the scanned SAR distribution, identify the position of the maximum SAR value, in addition identify the positions of any local maxima with SAR values within 2 dB of the maximum value that will not be within the zoom scan of other peaks; additional peaks shall be measured only when the primary peak is within 2 dB of the SAR compliance limit (e.g., 1 W/kg for 1,6 W/kg 1 g limit, or 1,26 W/kg for 2 W/kg, 10 g limit).

Area scan & Zoom scan scan parameters extracted from FCC KDB 865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz.

			≤ 3 GHz	> 3 GHz	
Maximum distance fro (geometric center of pr			5 ± 1 mm	$\frac{1}{2} \cdot \delta \cdot \ln(2) \pm 0.5 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum probe angle surface normal at the n			30° ± 1°	20° ± 1°	
				$3 - 4 \text{ GHz:} \le 12 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz:} \le 10 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum area scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Area}$ , $\Delta y_{Area}$		When the x or y dimension o measurement plane orientation the measurement resolution r x or y dimension of the test d measurement point on the test	on, is smaller than the above, must be $\leq$ the corresponding evice with at least one		
Maximum zoom scan s	Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution: $\Delta x_{Zoom}$ , $\Delta y_{Zoom}$		$\leq$ 2 GHz: $\leq$ 8 mm 2 – 3 GHz: $\leq$ 5 mm <sup>*</sup>	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \le 5 \text{ mm}^*$ $4 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \le 4 \text{ mm}^*$	
	uniform	grid: Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (n)	≤ 5 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz}: \le 4 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz}: \le 3 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz}: \le 2 \text{ mm}$	
Maximum zoom scan spatial resolution, normal to phantom surface	graded	Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (1): between 1 <sup>st</sup> two points closest to phantom surface	≤ 4 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \le 3 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz: } \le 2.5 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \le 2 \text{ mm}$	
Surface	grid	Δz <sub>Zoom</sub> (n>1): between subsequent points	$\leq 1.5 \cdot \Delta z_{Zoom}(n-1)$		
Minimum zoom scan volume	x, y, z		≥ 30 mm	$3 - 4 \text{ GHz: } \ge 28 \text{ mm}$ $4 - 5 \text{ GHz: } \ge 25 \text{ mm}$ $5 - 6 \text{ GHz: } \ge 22 \text{ mm}$	

Note:  $\delta$  is the penetration depth of a plane-wave at normal incidence to the tissue medium; see draft standard IEEE P1528-2011 for details.

<sup>\*</sup> When zoom scan is required and the <u>reported</u> SAR from the <u>area scan based 1-g SAR estimation</u> procedures of KDB 447498 is  $\leq 1.4 \text{ W/kg}$ ,  $\leq 8 \text{ mm}$ ,  $\leq 7 \text{ mm}$  and  $\leq 5 \text{ mm}$  zoom scan resolution may be applied, respectively, for 2 GHz to 3 GHz, 3 GHz to 4 GHz and 4 GHz to 6 GHz.





#### 3.3. Description of interpolation/extrapolation scheme

The local SAR inside the phantom is measured using small dipole sensing elements inside a probe body. The probe tip must not be in contact with the phantom surface in order to minimise measurements errors, but the highest local SAR will occur at the surface of the phantom.

An extrapolation is using to determinate this highest local SAR values. The extrapolation is based on a fourth-order least-square polynomial fit of measured data. The local SAR value is then extrapolated from the liquid surface with a 1 mm step.

The measurements have to be performed over a limited time (due to the duration of the battery) so the step of measurement is high. It could vary between 5 and 8 mm. To obtain an accurate assessment of the maximum SAR averaged over 10 grams and 1 gram requires a very fine resolution in the three dimensional scanned data array.

#### 3.4. Volumetric Scan

The volumetric scan consists to a full 3D scan over a specific area. This 3D scan is useful form multi Tx SAR measurement. Indeed, it is possible with OpenSAR to add, point by point, several volumetric scan to calculate the SAR value of the combined measurement as it is define in the standard IEEE1528 and IEC62209.

#### 3.5. Power Drift

All SAR testing is under the EUT install full charged battery and transmit maximum output power. In OpenSAR measurement software, the power reference measurement and power drift measurement procedures are used for monitoring the power drift of EUT during SAR test. Both these procedures measure the field at a specified reference position before and after the SAR testing. The software will calculate the field difference in V/m. If the power drifts more than ±5%, the SAR will be retested.





# 4. System Verification Procedure

#### 4.1. Tissue Verification

The following tissue formulations are provided for reference only as some of the parameters have not been thoroughly verified. The composition of ingredients may be modified accordingly to achieve the desired target tissue parameters required for routine SAR evaluation.

Ingredients (% of weight)		Head Tissue								
Frequency Band (MHz)	750	835	900	1800	1900	2000	2450	2600	5200	5800
Water	34.40	34.40	34.40	55.36	55.36	57.87	57.87	57.87	65.53	65.53
NaCl	0.79	0.79	0.79	0.35	0.35	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00
1,2-Propanediol	64.81	64.81	64.81	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	30.45	30.45	19.97	19.97	19.97	24.24	24.24
DGBE	0.00	0.00	0.00	13.84	13.84	22.00	22.00	22.00	10.23	10.23
Ingredients (% of weight)					Body <sup>-</sup>	Tissue				
Frequency Band (MHz)	750	835	900	1800	1900	2000	2450	2600	5200	5800
Water	50.30	50.30	50.30	69.91	69.91	71.88	71.88	71.88	79.54	79.54
NaCl	0.60	0.60	0.60	0.13	0.13	0.16	0.16	0.16	0.00	0.00
1,2-Propanediol	49.10	49.10	49.10	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
Triton X-100	0.00	0.00	0.00	9.99	9.99	19.97	19.97	19.97	11.24	11.24
DGBE	0.00	0.00	0.00	19.97	19.97	7.99	7.99	7.99	9.22	9.22

For SAR measurement of the field distribution inside the phantom, the phantom must be filled with homogeneous tissue simulating liquid to a depth of at least 15 cm. For head SAR testing, the liquid depth from the ear reference point (ERP) of the phantom to the liquid top surface is larger than 15 cm.









#### 4.1.1. Tissue Dielectric Parameter Check Results

The simulating liquids should be checked at the beginning of a series of SAR measurements to determine of the dielectric parameter are within the tolerances of the specified target values. The measured conductivity and relative permittivity should be within ±5% of the target values.

T:	Measured	Target T	issue	Measure	d Tissue			
Tissue Type	Frequency (MHz)	εr (±5%)	σ (S/m) (±5%)	εr	σ (S/m)	Liquid Temp.	Test Date	
Head	2450	39.20	1.80	37.96	1.77	21.4 °C	Jun. 28, 2023	
2450	2450	(37.24~41.16)	(1.71~1.89)	37.90	1.77	21.4 C	Juli. 20, 2023	
Head	5200	36.00	4.66	35.13	4.53	21.7 °C	Jun. 22, 2023	
5200	5200	(34.20~37.80)	(4.43~4.89)	33.13	4.55	21.7 C	Juli. 22, 2023	
Head	5800	35.30	5.27	34.32	5.19	21.8 °C	Jun. 19, 2023	
5800	3600	(33.54~37.07)	(5.01~5.53)	34.32	5.19	21.0 C	Juli. 19, 2023	

NOTE: The dielectric parameters of the tissue-equivalent liquid should be measured under similar ambient conditions and within 2 °C of the conditions expected during the SAR evaluation to satisfy protocol requirements.






#### 4.2. System Verification Procedure

The system verification is performed for verifying the accuracy of the complete measurement system and performance of the software. The dipole is connected to the signal source consisting of signal generator and amplifier via a directional coupler, N-connector cable and adaption to SMA. It is fed with a power of 100mW (below 5GHz) or 100mW (above 5GHz). To adjust this power a power meter is used. The power sensor is connected to the cable before the system verification to measure the power at this point and do adjustments at the signal generator. At the outputs of the directional coupler both return loss as well as forward power are controlled during the system verification to make sure that emitted power at the dipole is kept constant. This can also be checked by the power drift measurement after the test (result on plot).

The system verification is shown as below picture:







## 4.2.1. System Verification Results

Comparing to the original SAR value provided by SATIMO, the verification data should be within its specification of ±10%. Below table shows the target SAR and measured SAR after normalized to 1W input power. The table below indicates the system performance verification can meet the variation criterion and the plots can be referred to Appendix B of this report.

	Target SA	.R (1W)	Measur	ed SAR		Delta (%)			
System	(±10°	%)	(Normaliz	ed to 1W)	Liquid	Delta	Della (76)		
Verification	1-g (W/Kg)	10-g (W/Kg)	1-g (W/Kg)	10-g (W/Kg)	Temp.	1-g (±10%)	10-g (±10%)	Test Date	
2450MHz	53.69 (48.33~59.05)	23.94 (21.55~26.33)	52.22	24.5	21.4 °C	-2.74%	2.34%	Jun. 28, 2023	
5200MHz	162.34 (146.11~178.57)	55.42 (49.88~60.96)	176.19	53.91	21.7 °C	8.53%	-2.72%	Jun. 22, 2023	
5800MHz	178.89 (161.01~196.77)	59.32 (53.39~65.25)	163.99	59.34	21.8 °C	-8.33%	0.03%	Jun. 19, 2023	





## 5. SAR Measurement variability and uncertainty

#### 5.1. SAR measurement variability

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, SAR measurement variability must be assessed for each frequency band, which is determined by the SAR probe calibration point and tissue-equivalent medium used for the device measurements. The additional measurements are repeated after the completion of all measurements requiring the same head or body tissue-equivalent medium in a frequency band. The test device should be returned to ambient conditions (normal room temperature) with the battery fully charged before it is re-mounted on the device holder for the repeated measurement(s) to minimize any unexpected variations in the repeated results.

- 1) Repeated measurement is not required when the original highest measured SAR is < 0.80 W/kg; steps 2) through 4) do not apply.
- 2) When the original highest measured SAR is ≥ 0.80 W/kg, repeat that measurement once.
- 3) Perform a second repeated measurement only if the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original and first repeated measurements is > 1.20 or when the original or repeated measurement is  $\ge 1.45$  W/kg ( $\sim 10\%$  from the 1-g SAR limit).
- 4) Perform a third repeated measurement only if the original, first or second repeated measurement is ≥1.5 W/kg and the ratio of largest to smallest SAR for the original, first and second repeated measurements is > 1.20.

#### 5.2. SAR measurement uncertainty

Per KDB865664 D01 SAR Measurement 100 MHz to 6 GHz, when the highest measured 1-g SAR within a frequency band is < 1.5 W/kg, the extensive SAR measurement uncertainty analysis described in IEEE Std 1528-2013 is not required in SAR reports submitted for equipment approval. The equivalent ratio (1.5/1.6) is applied to extremity and occupational exposure conditions.





# 6. RF Exposure Positions

#### 1.3. Tablet host platform exposure conditions

Refer to KDB616217 D04, when the modular approach is used, transmitters and modules must be initially tested for standalone operations in generic host conditions according to the following minimum test separation distance and antenna installation requirements for incorporation in the tablet platform. The separation distance required for incorporation in qualified hosts is described in KDB 447498; item 5) of section 4.1 and item 1) of section 5.2.2 etc.

- $\leq$  5 mm between the antenna and user for both back surface and edge exposure conditions
- the antennas used by the host must have been tested for equipment approval or qualify for SAR test
  exclusion
- the antenna polarization, physical orientation, rotation and installation configurations used by the host must have been tested for compliance or qualify for test exclusion
- when the SAR Test Exclusion Threshold in KDB 447498 applies, a test separation distance of 5 mm is required to determine test exclusion for the tablet platform

The antennas embedded in tablets are typically  $\leq 5$ mm from the outer housing. The required antenna to user test separation distance is a "not to exceed test" distance required to apply the modular approach. Instead of the typical zero gap tablet edge test requirement between the edge of a tablet and the user, when an antenna has been tested at  $\leq 5$  mm according to the modular approach it can be incorporated into tablets with at least twice the tested distance from the outer housing of the tablet edge; otherwise, the tablet edge zero gap test requirement applies. When the dedicated host approach is applied, the back surface and edges of the tablet should be tested for SAR compliance with the tablet touching the phantom.





# 7. RF Output Power

## 7.1. WLAN & Bluetooth Output Power

## 7.1.1. Output Power Results Of WLAN

Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Tune-up (dBm)	Output Power (dBm)
	1	2412	16.50	15.77
802.11b	6	2437	16.50	16.48
	11	2462	16.50	15.13
	1	2412	14.00	13.58
802.11g	6	2437	14.00	13.94
	11	2462	14.00	13.69
	1	2412	12.00	11.71
802.11n HT20	6	2437	12.00	11.62
	11	2462	12.00	11.12
	3	2422	11.00	10.49
802.11n HT40	6	2437	11.00	10.88
	9	2452	11.00	10.75

NOTE: Power measurement results of WLAN 2.4G.

Mode	Channel	Channel Frequency (MHz)		Output Power (dBm)
	36	5180	11.50	10.81
802.11a	40	5200	11.50	11.04
	48	5240	11.50	10.47
	36	5180	11.50	11.00
802.11n HT20	40	5200	11.50	10.43
	48	5240	11.50	10.84
000 44 × UT40	38	5190	11.00	10.66
802.11n HT40	46	5230	11.00	10.22
	36	5180	11.00	10.84
802.11ac VHT20	40	5200	11.00	10.29
	48	5240	11.00	10.68
000 44 co VIIIT40	38	5190	11.00	10.61
802.11ac VHT40	46	5230	11.00	10.21
802.11ac VHT80	42	5210	11.00	10.58

NOTE: Power measurement results of WLAN 5.2G.





	177	ertificate #4298.01		
Mode	Channel	Frequency (MHz)	Tune-up (dBm)	Output Power (dBm)
	149	5745	11.00	10.81
802.11a	157	5785	11.00	10.46
	165	5825	11.00	10.45
	149	5745	11.00	10.70
802.11n HT20	157	5785	11.00	10.34
	165	5825	11.00	10.45
802.11n HT40	151	5755	14.00	13.73
002.111111140	159	5795	14.00	13.51
	149	5745	12.00	11.81
802.11ac VHT20	157	5785	12.00	11.50
	165	5825	12.00	11.45
902 1100 VUT40	151	5755	14.00	13.56
802.11ac VHT40	159	5795	14.00	13.58
802.11ac VHT80	155	5775	14.00	13.53

NOTE: Power measurement results of WLAN 5.8G.

## 7.1.2. Output Power Results Of Bluetooth

	Output Power (dBm)						
	Channel	Tune-up Data Rates					
BR+EDR	Chamer	(dBm)	1M	2M	3M		
DK+EDK	0CH	6.00	5.31	5.05	5.38		
	39CH	6.00	5.89	5.59	5.96		
	78CH	6.00	4.70	4.80	5.20		

	Channel	Tune-up	Output Power (dBm)		
	Charmer	(dBm)	(dBm) 1M 2M		
BLE	0CH	-2.00	-2.74	-2.85	
	19CH	-2.00	-2.01	-2.11	
	39CH	-3.00	-3.52	-3.65	





Page 28 of 81 Report No.: S23121808301006

# 8. Antenna Location



**Bottom Side** 

Note: Since the confidentiality request of EUT, the antenna location example diagram see as above.

	Distance of the Antenna to the EUT surface/edge							
Antennas	Antennas Front Side Back Side Left Side Right Side Top Side Bottom Side							
WLAN & Bluetooth	WLAN & Bluetooth 5 5 124 87 5 141							

Note: When the minimum separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

Positions for SAR tests						
Test separation distances ≤ \$	50 mm					
· · ·	Tune-up Maximum p	power of WLAN 2.4G				
Exposure Positions	16.50	)dBm				
	Antenna to user(mm)	5				
Front Side	SAR exclusion threshold	14.02				
	SAR testing required?	YES				
	Antenna to user(mm)	5				
Back Side	SAR exclusion threshold	14.02				
	SAR testing required?	YES				
	Antenna to user(mm)	5				
Top Side	SAR exclusion threshold	14.02				
	SAR testing required?	YES				





Exposure Positions	Tune-up Maximum power of WLAN 5.2G				
Exposure Positions	11.50dBm				
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Front Side	SAR exclusion threshold	6.47			
	SAR testing required?	YES			
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Back Side	SAR exclusion threshold	6.47			
	SAR testing required?	YES			
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Top Side	SAR exclusion threshold	6.47			
	SAR testing required?	YES			
Francisco Designati	Tune-up Maximum power of WLAN 5.8G				
Exposure Positions	14.00dBm				
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Front Side	SAR exclusion threshold	12.13			
	SAR testing required?	YES			
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Back Side	SAR exclusion threshold	12.13			
	SAR testing required?	YES			
	Antenna to user(mm)	5			
Top Side	SAR exclusion threshold	12.13			
	SAR testing required?	YES			

NOTE: Refer to section 4.3.1 of KDB 447498 D01.

Positions for SAR tests					
Test separation distances > 50	mm				
Eveneure Desitions	Tune-up Maximum p	oower of WLAN 2.4G			
Exposure Positions	16.50 dBm	44.67 mW			
	Antenna to user(mm)	124			
Left Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	836			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	141			
Bottom Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	1006			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	87			
Right Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	466			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
Function Desiries	Tune-up Maximum p	power of WLAN 5.2G			
Exposure Positions	11.50 dBm	14.13 mV			





Page 30 of 81 Report No.: S23121808301006

	Antenna to user(mm)	124			
Left Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	806			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	141			
Bottom Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	976			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	87			
Right Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	436			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
Francisco Designati	Tune-up Maximum power of WLAN 5.8G				
Exposure Positions	14.00 dBm	25.12 mV			
	Antenna to user(mm)	124			
Left Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	802			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	141			
Bottom Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	972			
	SAR testing required?	NO			
	Antenna to user(mm)	87			
Right Side	SAR exclusion threshold(mW)	432			
	SAR testing required?	NO			

NOTE: Refer to section 4.3.1 of KDB 447498 D01.

#### 9. Stand-alone SAR test exclusion

Refer to FCC KDB 447498D01, the 1-g SAR and 10-g SAR test exclusion thresholds for 100 MHz to 6 GHz at test separation distances ≤ 50 mm are determined by:

[(max. power of channel, including tune-up tolerance, mW)/(min. test separation distance, mm)]·[ $\sqrt{f_{(GHZ)}}$ ]  $\leq 3.0$  for 1-g SAR and  $\leq 7.5$  for 10-g extremity SAR, where:

- f<sub>(GHZ)</sub> is the RF channel transmit frequency in GHz
- · Power and distance are rounded to the nearest mW and mm before calculation
- The result is rounded to one decimal place for comparison

When the minimum test separation distance is < 5 mm, a distance of 5 mm is applied to determine SAR test exclusion.

Mode	$P_{max}$	$P_{\text{max}}$	Distance	f	Calculation	SAR Exclusion	SAR test
ivioue	(dBm)	(mW)	(mm)	(GHz)	Result	threshold	exclusion
Bluetooth	6.00	3.98	5	2.480	1.25	3	Yes

NOTE: Standalone SAR test exclusion for Bluetooth.





#### 10. SAR Results

#### 10.1. SAR measurement results

#### 10.1.1. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 2.4G

Test Position	Test	Test		Value ⁄kg)	Power Drift	Conducted	Tune-up	Scaled SAR	Date	Plot
of Body with 0mm	/Freq.	Mode	1-g	10-g	(±5%)	power (dBm)	(dBm)	1g (W/Kg)	Date	PIOL
Front Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.438	0.179	-2.94	16.48	16.50	0.440	2023/6/28	
Back Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.714	0.291	3.81	16.48	16.50	0.717	2023/6/28	1#
Top Side	6/2437	802.11b	0.187	0.081	0.88	16.48	16.50	0.188	2023/6/28	

NOTE: Body SAR test results of WLAN 2.4G

#### 10.1.2. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 5.2G

Test Position of	Test			Value /kg)	Power	Conducted	Tune-up Power	Scaled SAR	Date	Plot
Body with 0mm	channel /Freq	Mode	1-g	10-g	Drift(%)	Power (dBm)	(dBm)	1-g (W/Kg)	Date	Piot
Front Side	40/5200	802.11a	0.258	0.072	3.15	11.04	11.50	0.287	2023/6/22	
Back Side	40/5200	802.11a	0.399	0.115	0.78	11.04	11.50	0.444	2023/6/22	3#
Top Side	40/5200	802.11a	0.102	0.027	-2.28	11.04	11.50	0.113	2023/6/22	

NOTE: Body SAR test results of WLAN 5.2G

#### 10.1.3. SAR measurement Result of WLAN 5.8G

Test Position of	Position of	Mode	SAR Value (W/kg)		Power	Conducte	Tune-up	Scaled SAR 1-g	Date	Plot
Body with 0mm	channel /Freq	iviode	1-g	10-g	Drift(%)	d Power (dBm)	Power (dBm)	(W/Kg)	Date	Piol
Front Side	151/5755	802.11n HT40	0.324	0.078	1.61	13.73	14.00	0.345	2023/6/19	
Back Side	151/5755	802.11n HT40	0.508	0.128	-1.33	13.73	14.00	0.541	2023/6/19	2#
Top Side	151/5755	802.11n HT40	0.112	0.030	-1.70	13.73	14.00	0.119	2023/6/19	

NOTE: Body SAR test results of WLAN 5.8G

#### 10.2. SAR Summation Scenario

NO simultaneous transmissions are possible for this device of Bluetooth and WLAN2.4/5G





Refer to appe	endix Test Setup phot	toSAR		





# 12. Appendix B. System Check Plots

Table of contents	
MEASUREMENT 1 System Performance Check - 2450MHz	
MEASUREMENT 2 System Performance Check - 5200MHz	
MEASUREMENT 3 System Performance Check - 5800MHz	







# **MEASUREMENT 1**


Date of measurement: 28/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	<u>Dipole</u>
<u>Band</u>	<u>CW2450</u>
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
Signal	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	1.98

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	2450.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	37.958860
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	12.989405
Conductivity (S/m)	1.768002
Variation (%)	-3.640000






**VOLUME SAR** 


Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=-1.00 SAR Peak: 9.64 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	2.450231
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	5.222129













# **MEASUREMENT 2**


Date of measurement: 22/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 2.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm
Phantom	Validation plane
<b>Device Position</b>	<u>Dipole</u>
Band	<u>CW5200</u>
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	<u>1.80</u>

# **B. SAR Measurement Results**

Frequency (MHz)	5200.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.128088
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	15.673492
Conductivity (S/m)	4.527898
Variation (%)	-2.960000





Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=6.00 SAR Peak: 40.06 W/kg


SAR 10g (W/Kg)	5.391168
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	17.619132







Z (m m) SA R (W/ Kg)	0.00 37.8 03	2.00 22.3 59	4.00 11.3 54	5.66 88	2.82 67	10.0 0 1.40 93	12.0 0 0.71 44	14.0 0 0.36 61	16.0 0 0.18 45	18.0 0 0.10 85	20.0 0 0.05 45	22.0 0 0.03 26
			00 - 00		6 8	10 12 Z	14 16 (mm)	18 20	0 22 2	4 26		







# **MEASUREMENT 3**


Date of measurement: 19/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

<u> </u>	<del>-</del>
Area Scan	dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 2.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane
<b>Device Position</b>	<u>Dipole</u>
Band	<u>CW5800</u>
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
<u>Signal</u>	CW (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	2.07

# **B. SAR Measurement Results**

Frequency (MHz)	5800.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	34.323516
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	16.092511
Conductivity (S/m)	5.185365
Variation (%)	-2.800000





**VOLUME SAR** 


Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=6.00 SAR Peak: 57.37 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	5.934255
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	16.399047





Z 0.00 2.00 4.00 6.00 8.00 10.0 12.0 14.0 16.0 18.0 20.0 22.0 0 0 0 0 (m 0 0 0 m) 54.0 31.9 16.1 8.17 4.08 2.05 1.03 0.51 0.27 0.15 0.07 0.04 SA **52** 53 84 16 **76** 71 82 90 07 34 11 10 R (W/ Kg) 54.0-40.0-30.0-뙻 20.0· 10.0-0.0-12 14 16 18 20 22 24 26 Z (mm)







# 13. Appendix C. Plots of High SAR Measurement

Table of contents	
MEASUREMENT 1 WLAN 2.4G Body	
MEASUREMENT 2 WLAN 5.8G Body	
MEASUREMENT 3 WLAN 5.2G Body	





# **MEASUREMENT 1**


Date of measurement: 28/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=12mm dy=12mm, h= 5.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x7,dx=5mm dy=5mm dz=5mm
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane
Device Position	Body
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11b ISM</u>
Channels	<u>Middle</u>
Signal	IEEE802.11b (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	1.98

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	2437.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	38.010960
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	12.907905
Conductivity (S/m)	1.747587
Variation (%)	3.810000






**VOLUME SAR** 


Maximum location: X=6.00, Y=28.00 SAR Peak: 1.47 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.290650
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.713564













# **MEASUREMENT 2**


Date of measurement: 19/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 2.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm
Phantom	Validation plane
Device Position	<u>Body</u>
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11n U-NII</u>
<u>Channels</u>	Low
Signal	IEEE802.11n (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	2.07

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	5755.000000
Relative permittivity (real part)	34.500294
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	16.074284
Conductivity (S/m)	5.139306
Variation (%)	-1.330000





**VOLUME SAR** 

Maximum location: X=-9.00, Y=-2.00 SAR Peak: 1.71 W/kg

SAR 10g (W/Kg)	0.127763
SAR 1g (W/Kg)	0.508457

18 20

Report No.: S23121808301006




0.0-



Z 0.00 4.00 6.00 8.00 10.0 12.0 14.0 16.0 18.0 20.0 22.0 24.0 0 0 (m 0 0 0 0 0 0 m) 1.68 0.54 0.22 0.09 0.03 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 SA 47 24 24 **79** 56 64 25 09 09 07 80 R 62 (W/ Kg) 1.7-1.4 1.2-1.0-(%) 1.0-(%) 0.8. ₩ 0.6-0.4-0.2-

Z (mm)







# **MEASUREMENT 3**


Date of measurement: 22/6/2023


A. Experimental conditions.

Area Scan	dx=10mm dy=10mm, h= 2.00 mm
<u>ZoomScan</u>	7x7x12,dx=4mm dy=4mm dz=2mm
<u>Phantom</u>	Validation plane
Device Position	<u>Body</u>
<u>Band</u>	<u>IEEE 802.11a U-NII</u>
<u>Channels</u>	<u>Middle</u>
Signal	IEEE802.11a (Crest factor: 1.0)
ConvF	1.80

**B. SAR Measurement Results** 

Frequency (MHz)	5200.000000		
Relative permittivity (real part)	35.128088		
Relative permittivity (imaginary part)	15.673492		
Conductivity (S/m)	4.527898		
Variation (%)	0.780000		





**VOLUME SAR** 

Maximum location: X=0.00, Y=8.00 SAR Peak: 1.24 W/kg


SAR 10g (W/Kg) 0.115092 SAR 1g (W/Kg) 0.398820





0.00 Z 4.00 6.00 8.00 10.0 12.0 14.0 16.0 18.0 20.0 22.0 24.0 0 0 0 0 (m 0 0 0 0 m) 0.03 1.26 0.42 0.19 0.08 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 SA 49 **78** 10 47 81 **73 78** 35 20 12 11 09 R (W/ Kg) 1.3-1.0-(% 7kg) (% / kg) (% 0.6. 왕 0.4. 0.2-0.0-12 14 16 18 20 22 24

Z (mm)







# 14. Appendix D. Calibration Certificate

Table of contents
E Field Probe - SN 08/16 EPGO287
2450 MHz Dipole - SN 03/15 DIP 2G450-352
5000-6000 MHz Dipole - SN 13/14 WGA 33
Extended Calibration Certificate







## **COMOSAR E-Field Probe Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

# SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E-FIELD PROBE

SERIAL NO.: SN 08/16 EPGO287

#### Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 01/10/2023



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited COMOSAR E-Field Probe calibration performed at MVG, using the CALIPROBE test bench, for use with a MVG COMOSAR system only. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).





Page 49 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	1/10/2023	JES
Checked by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	1/10/2023	JS
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	1/10/2023	Gann Toutain


Mode d'emplei 2023.01.10 11:27:33 +01'00'

	Customer Name
Distribution :	SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	1/10/2023	Initial release









#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

I	Dev	ice Under Test4	
2	Proc	luct Description	
	2.1	General Information	4
3	Mea	surement Method	
	3.1	Linearity	4
	3.2	Sensitivity	
	3.3	Lower Detection Limit	5
	3.4	Isotropy	5
	3.1	Boundary Effect	5
4	Mea	surement Uncertainty	
5	Cali	bration Measurement Results6	
	5.1	Sensitivity in air	6
	5.2	Linearity	7
	5.3	Sensitivity in liquid	8
	5.4	Isotropy	9
6	List	of Equipment	







#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

#### DEVICE UNDER TEST

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR DOSIMETRIC E FIELD PROBE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SSE2	
Serial Number	SN 08/16 EPGO287	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	
Frequency Range of Probe	0.15 GHz-6GHz	
Resistance of Three Dipoles at Connector	Dipole 1: R1=0.211 MΩ	
	Dipole 2: R2=0.199 MΩ	
	Dipole 3: R3=0.199 MΩ	

#### PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 2.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR E field Probes are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.



Figure 1 – MVG COMOSAR Dosimetric E field Dipole

Probe Length	330 mm
Length of Individual Dipoles	2 mm
Maximum external diameter	8 mm
Probe Tip External Diameter	2.5 mm
Distance between dipoles / probe extremity	1 mm

#### MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDB865664 D01, CENELEC EN62209 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide recommended practices for the probe calibrations, including the performance characteristics of interest and methods by which to assess their affect. All calibrations / measurements performed meet the fore mentioned standards.

#### 3.1 LINEARITY

The evaluation of the linearity was done in free space using the waveguide, performing a power sweep to cover the SAR range 0.01W/kg to 100W/kg.

Page: 4/10



Page 52 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 3.2 SENSITIVITY

The sensitivity factors of the three dipoles were determined using a two step calibration method (air and tissue simulating liquid) using waveguides as outlined in the standards.

#### 3.3 LOWER DETECTION LIMIT

The lower detection limit was assessed using the same measurement set up as used for the linearity measurement. The required lower detection limit is 10 mW/kg.

#### 3.4 ISOTROPY

The axial isotropy was evaluated by exposing the probe to a reference wave from a standard dipole with the dipole mounted under the flat phantom in the test configuration suggested for system validations and checks. The probe was rotated along its main axis from 0 to 360 degrees in 15-degree steps. The hemispherical isotropy is determined by inserting the probe in a thin plastic box filled with tissue-equivalent liquid, with the plastic box illuminated with the fields from a half wave dipole. The dipole is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-180^{\circ})$  in  $15^{\circ}$  increments. At each step the probe is rotated about its axis  $(0^{\circ}-360^{\circ})$ .

#### 3.1 BOUNDARY EFFECT

The boundary effect is defined as the deviation between the SAR measured data and the expected exponential decay in the liquid when the probe is oriented normal to the interface. To evaluate this effect, the liquid filled flat phantom is exposed to fields from either a reference dipole or waveguide. With the probe normal to the phantom surface, the peak spatial average SAR is measured and compared to the analytical value at the surface.

The boundary effect uncertainty can be estimated according to the following uncertainty approximation formula based on linear and exponential extrapolations between the surface and  $d_{be}$  +  $d_{step}$  along lines that are approximately normal to the surface:

$$\mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{uncertainty}} \left[\%\right] = \delta \mathrm{SAR}_{\mathrm{be}} \, \frac{\left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right)^2}{2d_{\mathrm{step}}} \frac{\left(e^{-d_{\mathrm{be}}/(\delta \beta)}\right)}{\delta/2} \quad \text{for } \left(d_{\mathrm{be}} + d_{\mathrm{step}}\right) < 10 \; \mathrm{mm}$$

where

SAR<sub>uncertainty</sub> is the uncertainty in percent of the probe boundary effect

dbe is the distance between the surface and the closest zoom-scan measurement

point, in millimetre

 $\Delta_{\text{step}}$  is the separation distance between the first and second measurement points that

are closest to the phantom surface, in millimetre, assuming the boundary effect

at the second location is negligible

 $\delta$  is the minimum penetration depth in millimetres of the head tissue-equivalent

liquids defined in this standard, i.e.,  $\delta \approx 14$  mm at 3 GHz;

△SAR<sub>be</sub> in percent of SAR is the deviation between the measured SAR value, at the

distance dbe from the boundary, and the analytical SAR value.



Page 53 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

The measured worst case boundary effect SARuncertainty[%] for scanning distances larger than 4mm is 1.0% Limit ,2%).

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, OET 65 Bulletin C, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty associated with an E-field probe calibration using the waveguide technique. All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

Uncertainty analysis of the probe calibration in waveguide					
ERROR SOURCES	Uncertainty value (%)	Probability Distribution	Divisor	ci	Standard Uncertainty (%)
Expanded uncertainty 95 % confidence level k = 2					14 %

#### CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

Calibration Parameters		
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C	
Lab Humidity	30-70 %	

#### 5.1 SENSITIVITY IN AIR

		Normz dipole
$1 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$2 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$	$3 (\mu V/(V/m)^2)$
0.72	0.66	0.77


DCP	dipole 1	DCP dipole 2	DCP dipole 3
	mV)	(mV)	(mV)
	107	110	110

Calibration curves ei=f(V) (i=1,2,3) allow to obtain E-field value using the formula:

$$E = \sqrt{{E_1}^2 + {E_2}^2 + {E_3}^2}$$

Page: 6/10








#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A






Dipole 1 Dipole 2 Dipole 3

#### LINEARITY

## Linearity



Linearity:+/-1.90% (+/-0.08dB)





Page 55 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### SENSITIVITY IN LIQUID 5.3

<u>Liquid</u>	Frequency (MHz +/- 100MHz)	<u>ConvF</u>
HL750	750	1.49
HL850	835	1.50
HL900	900	1.61
HL1800	1800	1.73
HL1900	1900	1.91
HL2000	2000	1.97
HL2300	2300	1.92
HL2450	2450	1.98
HL2600	2600	1.87
HL3300	3300	1.79
HL3500	3500	1.85
HL3700	3700	1.79
HL3900	3900	2.07
HL4200	4200	2.21
HL4600	4600	2.25
HL4900	4900	2.05
HL5200	5200	1.80
HL5400	5400	2.05
HL5600	5600	2.16
HL5800	5800	2.07

LOWER DETECTION LIMIT: 8mW/kg





Page 56 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006




#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

#### 5.4 ISOTROPY

#### **HL1800 MHz**



Isotropy:+/-0.24% (+/-0.01dB)





Page 57 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### COMOSAR E-FIELD PROBE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.1.21.MVGB.A

### 6 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet				
Equipment Manufacturer / Identification No.  Model Identification No.		Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-20/09-SAM71	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2022	05/2025
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2022	05/2025
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2022	02/2025
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2022	04/2025
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2022	05/2025
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.
Waveguide	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-712	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Transition	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Waveguide Termination	Mega Industries	069Y7-158-13-701	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023







## **SAR Reference Dipole Calibration Report**

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

# SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA MVG COMOSAR REFERENCE DIPOLE

> FREQUENCY: 2450 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 03/15 DIP2G450-352

#### Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/01/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference dipole calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).





Page 59 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JE
Checked by :	Jérôme LUC	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	Jes
Approved by :	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/1/2021	Gann Toutain


2021.03.01 13:13:40 +01'00'

	Customer Name
	SHENZHEN NTEK
Distribution:	TESTING
Distribution:	TECHNOLOGY
	CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme LE GALL	3/1/2021	Initial release









Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

1	Intro	duction4
2	Dev	ce Under Test4
3	Prod	uct Description4
	3.1	General Information
4	Mea	surement Method
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements
5		surement Uncertainty
	5.1	Return Loss
	5.2	Dimension Measurement
	5.3	Validation Measurement
6	Cali	oration Measurement Results
	6.1	Return Loss and Impedance
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions
7	Vali	dation measurement
	7.1	Measurement Condition
	7.2	Head Liquid Measurement
	7.3	Measurement Result
8	List	of Equipment

MVG





SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21 MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

#### INTRODUCTION 1

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference dipoles used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### DEVICE UNDER TEST 2

Device Under Test		
Device Type	COMOSAR 2450 MHz REFERENCE DIPOLE	
Manufacturer	MVG	
Model	SID2450	
Serial Number	SN 03/15 DIP2G450-352	
Product Condition (new / used)	Used	

#### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### GENERAL INFORMATION 3.1

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Dipoles are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards. The product is designed for use with the COMOSAR test bench only.



Figure 1 - MVG COMOSAR Validation Dipole







Ref: ACR 60 8 21 MVGB A

#### MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference dipoles used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### 4.1 RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS

The dipole used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -20 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

#### MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical components and dimensions of the validation dipoles, with the dimension's frequency and phantom shell thickness dependent. The COMOSAR test bench employs a 2 mm phantom shell thickness therefore the dipoles sold for use with the COMOSAR test bench comply with the requirements set forth for a 2 mm phantom shell thickness. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

#### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss	
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN	

#### 5.2 DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length
0 - 300	0.20 mm
300 - 450	0.44 mm

#### 5.3 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528, FCC KDBs, CENELEC EN50361 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty
-------------	----------------------

Page: 5/10










Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

1 g	19 % (SAR)
10 g	19 % (SAR)

#### CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

## RETURN LOSS AND IMPEDANCE



Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
2450	-23.18	-20	56.3 Ω - 2.9 jΩ

#### 6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency MHz	Lm	L mm		h mm		d mm	
	required	measured	required	measured	required	measured	
300	420.0 ±1 %.		250.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.		
450	290.0 ±1 %.		166.7 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.		
750	176.0 ±1 %.		100.0 ±1 %.		6.35 ±1 %.		
835	161.0 ±1 %.		89.8 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
900	149.0 ±1 %.		83.3 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1450	89.1 ±1 %.		51.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1500	80.5 ±1 %.		50.0 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1640	79.0 ±1 %.		45.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1750	75.2 ±1 %.		42.9 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1800	72.0 ±1 %.		41.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1900	68.0 ±1 %.		39.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
1950	66.3 ±1 %.		38.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
2000	64.5 ±1 %.		37.5 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
2100	61.0 ±1 %.		35.7 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
2300	55.5 ±1 %.		32.6 ±1 %.		3.6 ±1 %.		
2450	51.5 ±1 %.	-	30.4 ±1 %.	-	3.6 ±1 %.	-	

Page: 6/10









Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

2600	48.5 ±1 %.	28.8 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3000	41.5 ±1 %.	25.0 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3500	37.0±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	
3700	34.7±1 %.	26.4 ±1 %.	3.6 ±1 %.	

#### VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528, FCC KDBs and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference dipole meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed against a liquid filled flat phantom, with the phantom constructed as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. Per the standards, the dipole shall be positioned below the bottom of the phantom, with the dipole length centered and parallel to the longest dimension of the flat phantom, with the top surface of the dipole at the described distance from the bottom surface of the phantom.

#### 7.1 MEASUREMENT CONDITION

Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values: eps': 41.9 sigma: 1.88
Distance between dipole center and liquid	10.0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=5mm/dy=5mm/dz=5mm
Frequency	24502450 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %

#### 7.2 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative per	Relative permittivity (ε,΄)		ity (σ) S/m
	required	measured	required	measured
300	45.3 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
450	43.5 ±10 %		0.87 ±10 %	
750	41.9 ±10 %		0.89 ±10 %	
835	41.5 ±10 %		0.90 ±10 %	
900	41.5 ±10 %		0.97 ±10 %	
1450	40.5 ±10 %		1.20 ±10 %	
1500	40.4 ±10 %		1.23 ±10 %	
1640	40.2 ±10 %		1.31 ±10 %	
1750	40.1 ±10 %		1.37 ±10 %	
1800	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1900	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
1950	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	
2000	40.0 ±10 %		1.40 ±10 %	

Page: 7/10





Page 65 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

2100	39.8 ±10 %		1.49 ±10 %	
2300	39.5 ±10 %		1.67 ±10 %	
2450	39.2 ±10 %	41.9	1.80 ±10 %	1.88
2600	39.0 ±10 %		1.96 ±10 %	
3000	38.5 ±10 %		2.40 ±10 %	
3500	37.9 ±10 %		2.91 ±10 %	

#### 7.3 MEASUREMENT RESULT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements should produce the SAR values shown below (for phantom thickness of 2 mm), within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W forward power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency MHz	1 g SAR (W/kg/W)		10 g SAR	(W/kg/W)
	required	measured	required	measured
300	2.85		1.94	
450	4.58		3.06	
750	8.49		5.55	
835	9.56		6.22	
900	10.9		6.99	
1450	29		16	
1500	30.5		16.8	
1640	34.2		18.4	
1750	36.4		19.3	
1800	38.4		20.1	
1900	39.7		20.5	
1950	40.5		20.9	
2000	41.1		21.1	
2100	43.6		21.9	
2300	48.7		23.3	
2450	52.4	53.69 (5.37)	24	23.94 (2.39)
2600	55.3		24.6	
3000	63.8		25.7	
3500	67.1		<b>2</b> 5	






Page 66 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A







Page 67 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE DIPOLE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.8.21.MVGB.A

## 8 LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
SAM Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022	
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022	
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022	
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023	
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023	





# SAR Reference Waveguide Calibration Report

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

# SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

BUILDING E, FENDA SCIENCE PARK, SANWEI COMMUNITY, XIXIANG STREET, BAO'AN DISTRICT, SHENZHEN GUANGDONG, CHINA SATIMO COMOSAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE

> FREQUENCY: 5000-6000 MHZ SERIAL NO.: SN 13/14 WGA33

#### Calibrated at MVG

Z.I. de la pointe du diable Technopôle Brest Iroise – 295 avenue Alexis de Rochon 29280 PLOUZANE - FRANCE

Calibration date: 03/01/2021



Accreditations #2-6789 and #2-6814 Scope available on www.cofrac.fr

#### Summary:

This document presents the method and results from an accredited SAR reference waveguide calibration performed at MVG, using the COMOSAR test bench. The test results covered by accreditation are traceable to the International System of Units (SI).





Page 69 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A


	Name	Function	Date	Signature
Prepared by :	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JE
Checked by:	Jérôme Luc	Technical Manager	3/1/2021	JE
Approved by:	Yann Toutain	Laboratory Director	3/1/2021	Gann Toutain

9 2021.03.0 1 13:15:44 +01'00'

Customer Name SHENZHEN NTEK TESTING Distribution: TECHNOLOGY CO., LTD.

Issue	Name	Date	Modifications
A	Jérôme Luc	3/1/2021	Initial release







#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

#### TABLE OF CONTENTS

l	Intro	duction4	
2	Dev	ice Under Test	
3	Prod	luct Description	
	3.1	General Information	4
		surement Method	
	4.1	Return Loss Requirements	4
	4.2	Mechanical Requirements	4
		surement Uncertainty5	
	5.1	Return Loss	5
	5.2	Dimension Measurement	5
		Validation Measurement	
		bration Measurement Results	
	6.1	Return Loss	5
	6.2	Mechanical Dimensions	6
7	Vali	dation measurement	
	7.1	Head Liquid Measurement	8
	7.2	Measurement Result	
		of Equipment	







#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

Report No.: S23121808301006

#### INTRODUCTION

This document contains a summary of the requirements set forth by the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards for reference waveguides used for SAR measurement system validations and the measurements that were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.

#### DEVICE UNDER TEST 2

	Device Under Test
Device Type	COMOSAR 5000-6000 MHz REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE
Manufacturer	MVG
Model	SWG5500
Serial Number	SN 13/14 WGA33
Product Condition (new / used)	Used

#### 3 PRODUCT DESCRIPTION

#### 3.1 GENERAL INFORMATION

MVG's COMOSAR Validation Waveguides are built in accordance to the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards.

#### MEASUREMENT METHOD

The IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards provide requirements for reference waveguides used for system validation measurements. The following measurements were performed to verify that the product complies with the fore mentioned standards.


## 4.1 <u>RETURN LOSS REQUIREMENTS</u>

The waveguide used for SAR system validation measurements and checks must have a return loss of -8 dB or better. The return loss measurement shall be performed with matching layer placed in the open end of the waveguide, with the waveguide and matching layer in direct contact with the phantom shell as outlined in the fore mentioned standards. A direct method is used with a network analyser and its calibration kit, both with a valid ISO17025 calibration.

#### 4.2 MECHANICAL REQUIREMENTS

The IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards specify the mechanical dimensions of the validation waveguide, the specified dimensions are as shown in Section 6.2. Figure 1 shows how the dimensions relate to the physical construction of the waveguide. A direct method is used with a ISO17025 calibrated caliper.







#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

#### MEASUREMENT UNCERTAINTY

All uncertainties listed below represent an expanded uncertainty expressed at approximately the 95% confidence level using a coverage factor of k=2, traceable to the Internationally Accepted Guides to Measurement Uncertainty.

#### 5.1 RETURN LOSS

The following uncertainties apply to the return loss measurement:

Frequency band	Expanded Uncertainty on Return Loss
400-6000MHz	0.08 LIN

#### DIMENSION MEASUREMENT

The following uncertainties apply to the dimension measurements:

Length (mm)	Expanded Uncertainty on Length	
0 - 300	0.20 mm	


#### VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The guidelines outlined in the IEEE 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards were followed to generate the measurement uncertainty for validation measurements.

Scan Volume	Expanded Uncertainty	
1 g	19 % (SAR)	
10 g	19 % (SAR)	

#### CALIBRATION MEASUREMENT RESULTS

#### 6.1 RETURN LOSS



Page: 5/11



Page 73 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

Frequency (MHz)	Return Loss (dB)	Requirement (dB)	Impedance
5200	-9.15	-8	$21.17 \Omega + 13.26 j\Omega$
5400	-13.75	-8	$68.57 \Omega + 6.68 j\Omega$
5600	-16.65	-8	35.76 Ω - 2.15 jΩ
5800	-14.30	-8	$54.74 \Omega + 18.27 j\Omega$

## 6.2 MECHANICAL DIMENSIONS

Frequency	L (1	mm)	W(	mm)	Lf (	mm)	Wf (	mm)
(MHz)	Required	Measured	Required	Measured	Required	Measured	Required	Measured
5800	40.39 ± 0.13	i.	20.19 ± 0.13		81.03 ± 0.13	1176	61.98 ± 0.13	5




Figure 1: Validation Waveguide Dimensions

## 7 VALIDATION MEASUREMENT

The IEEE Std. 1528 and CEI/IEC 62209 standards state that the system validation measurements must be performed using a reference waveguide meeting the fore mentioned return loss and mechanical dimension requirements. The validation measurement must be performed with the matching layer placed in the open end of the waveguide, with the waveguide and matching layer in direct contact with the phantom shell.





Page 74 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

#### Measurement Condition

Measurement Condition	,
Software	OPENSAR V5
Phantom	SN 13/09 SAM68
Probe	SN 41/18 EPGO333
Liquid	Head Liquid Values 5200 MHz: eps' :34.06 sigma : 4.70
	Head Liquid Values 5400 MHz: eps' :33.39 sigma : 4.91
	Head Liquid Values 5600 MHz: eps' :32.77 sigma : 5.13
	Head Liquid Values 5800 MHz: eps' :32.40 sigma : 5.34
Distance between dipole waveguide and liquid	0 mm
Area scan resolution	dx=8mm/dy=8mm
Zoon Scan Resolution	dx=4mm/dy=4m/dz=2mm
Frequency	5200 MHz
	5400 MHz
	5600 MHz
	5800 MHz
Input power	20 dBm
Liquid Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Temperature	20 +/- 1 °C
Lab Humidity	30-70 %



Page 75 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

#### 7.1 HEAD LIQUID MEASUREMENT

Frequency MHz	Relative per	mittivity (εr')	Conductivity (σ) S/m	
	required	measured	required	measured
5000	36.2 ±10 %		4.45 ±10 %	
5100	36.1 ±10 %		4.56 ±10 %	
5200	36.0 ±10 %	34.06	4.66 ±10 %	4.70
5300	35.9 ±10 %		4.76 ±10 %	
5400	35.8 ±10 %	33.39	4.86 ±10 %	4.91
5500	35.6 ±10 %		4.97 ±10 %	
5600	35.5 ±10 %	32.77	5.07 ±10 %	5.13
5700	35.4 ±10 %		5.17 ±10 %	
5800	35.3 ±10 %	32.40	5.27 ±10 %	5.34
5900	35.2 ±10 %		5.38 ±10 %	
6000	35.1 ±10 %		5.48 ±10 %	

#### 7.2 MEASUREMENT RESULT

At those frequencies, the target SAR value can not be generic. Hereunder is the target SAR value defined by Satimo, within the uncertainty for the system validation. All SAR values are normalized to 1 W net power. In bracket, the measured SAR is given with the used input power.

Frequency (MHz)	1 g SAR (W/kg)		10 g SAR (W/kg)		
	required	measured	required	measured	
5200	159.00	162.34 (16.23)	56.90	55.42 (5.54)	
5400	166.40	168.48 (16.85)	58.43	57.03 (5.70)	
5600	173.80	174.92 (17.49)	59.97	58.63 (5.86)	
5800	181.20	178.89 (17.89)	61.50	59.32 (5.93)	







#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A






## SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5400 MHz



## SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5600 MHz



Page: 9/11

Template\_ACR.DDD.N.YY.MVGB.ISSUE\_SAR Reference Waveguide vG

This document shall not be reproduced, except in full or in part, without the written approval of MVG. The information contained herein is to be used only for the purpose for which it is submitted and is not to be released in whole or part without written approval of MVG.





Page 77 of 81


Report No.: S23121808301006




#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

## SAR MEASUREMENT PLOTS @ 5800 MHz









Page 78 of 81

Report No.: S23121808301006



#### SAR REFERENCE WAVEGUIDE CALIBRATION REPORT

Ref: ACR.60.10.21.MVGB.A

### LIST OF EQUIPMENT

Equipment Summary Sheet					
Equipment Description	Manufacturer / Model	Identification No.	Current Calibration Date	Next Calibration Date	
Flat Phantom	MVG	SN-13/09-SAM68	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
COMOSAR Test Bench	Version 3	NA	Validated. No cal required.	Validated. No cal required.	
Network Analyzer	Rohde & Schwarz ZVM	100203	05/2019	05/2022	
Network Analyzer – Calibration kit	Rohde & Schwarz ZV-Z235	101223	05/2019	05/2022	
Calipers	Mitutoyo	SN 0009732	10/2019	10/2022	
Reference Probe	MVG	EPGO333 SN 41/18	05/2020	05/2021	
Multimeter	Keithley 2000	1160271	02/2020	02/2023	
Signal Generator	Rohde & Schwarz SMB	106589	04/2019	04/2022	
Amplifier	Aethercomm	SN 046	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Power Meter	NI-USB 5680	170100013	05/2019	05/2022	
Directional Coupler	Narda 4216-20	01386	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	Characterized prior to test. No cal required.	
Temperature / Humidity Sensor	Testo 184 H1	44220687	05/2020	05/2023	





#### <Justification of the extended calibration>


If dipoles are verified in return loss (<-20dB, within 20% of prior calibration for below 3GHz, and <-8dB, within 20% of prior calibration for 5GHz to 6GHz), and in impedance (within 5 ohm of prior calibration), the annual calibration is not necessary and the calibration interval can be extended.

#### <Head 2450MHz>


Return Loss (dB)	Delta (%)	Impedance	Delta(ohm)	Date of Measurement
-23.18	-	56.30	-	Mar. 01, 2021
-23.39	0.91	56.342	0.042	Feb. 28, 2022
-26.296	13.44	54.99	1.310	Feb. 20, 2023


The return loss is <-20dB, within 20% of prior calibration; the impedance is within 5 ohm of prior calibration. Therefore the verification result should support extended calibration.
















<Head 5200MHz>

TICAA SEGGIIII IE				
Return Loss (dB)	Delta (%)	Impedance	Delta(ohm)	Date of Measurement
-9.15	-	21.17	-	Mar. 01, 2021
-9.1819	0.35	21.191	0.021	Feb. 28, 2022
-8.317	9.10	25.673	4.503	Feb. 20, 2023

The return loss is <-8dB, within 20% of prior calibration; the impedance is within 5 ohm of prior calibration. Therefore the verification result should support extended calibration.








#### <Head 5800MHz>

Return Loss (dB)	Delta (%)	Impedance	Delta(ohm)	Date of Measurement
-14.30	-	54.74	-	Mar. 01, 2021
-14.349	0.34	55.115	0.375	Feb. 28, 2022
-12.808	10.43	55.289	0.549	Feb. 27, 2023

The return loss is <-8dB, within 20% of prior calibration; the impedance is within 5 ohm of prior calibration. Therefore the verification result should support extended calibration.



END \_\_\_\_\_