

John Kennedy

From: Eric Smith [esmithmail@gmail.com]
Sent: Friday, July 27, 2007 10:53 AM
To: John Kennedy
Subject: Re: FCC File # 0088-EX-ML-2006 [Reference Number: 5262]

Yes, absolutely. That will work fine for me.

Thanks,
Eric

On 7/27/07, John Kennedy <John.Kennedy@fcc.gov> wrote:

> Mr. Eric Smith,
>
> Do you concur to the following area of operation:
>
> At or near 704 Banner Ave, Edmond, OK 73013 or at a temporary location
> within CONUS west of 94 degrees West Longitude but not farther than
> 1900 km from 35-37-12 NL; 97-28-13 WL.
>
> -----Original Message-----
> From: Eric Smith [mailto:esmithmail@gmail.com]
> Sent: Friday, July 27, 2007 9:24 AM
> To: John Kennedy
> Subject: Fwd: FCC File # 0088-EX-ML-2006 [Reference Number: 5262]
>
> John,
>
> Pursuant to our phone conversation this morning: my intentions are to
> NEVER operate this transmitter east of the longitude of the
> Oklahoma/Arkansas border, nor will I ever operate it outside of the
> United States of America.
>
> I am very appreciative of this grant and will go to the greatest
> lengths possible to operate appropriately so as not to risk losing the license.
>
> Thank you and please email me if you need more info.
>
> Thank you!
> Eric Smith KD5UWL/WD2XFX
>
> ----- Forwarded message -----
> From: Eric Smith <esmithmail@gmail.com>
> Date: Fri, 27 Jul 2007 07:16:57 -0500
> Subject: Re: FCC File # 0088-EX-ML-2006 [Reference Number: 5262]
> To: Generic Office of Engineering Technology
> <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov>
>
> John,
>
> Thank you for your email. I'll explain the 1900 km here but please
> keep in mind that, if even after my explanation you cannot approve
> with the 1900 km radius, please reduce the radius to the maximum km
> that you can approve.
>
> The reasons for the 1900 km operating radius are two-fold:
>
> - to allow me to continue to operate under this license even if I
> move to a new house (which wouldn't be far as I'm tied to my employer)
> - to allow me to operate in RARE circumstances from a remote
> location, like while camping, etc. The 1900 km was specifically
> chosen to allow me to operate the transmitter temporarily from as far

> away as Death Valley. Such a remote operation would be EXTREMELY
> rare, I would be camping at the transmitter site, and the maximum time
> for such an operation would be 14 days (to coincide with the amount of
> time one can stay at a campsite on public land).
>
> Those are my reasons for asking for the 1900 km. Please note that the
> greatest majority of the time the transmitter will be operated from my
> house, and the primary reason for an operational radius is so I can
> move domiciles within the same general area and not have to apply for
> another modification.
>
> Thank you for your consideration. I'm very excited to have my mod
> granted. Again, if the 1900 km is just too much to allow then PLEASE,
> rather than deny my modification please reduce the radius as you see
> fit.
>
> And thank you!!
>
> Eric Smith KD5UWL / WD2XFX
>
> On 7/26/07, Generic Office of Engineering Technology
> <oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov> wrote:
> > Mr. Eric Smith,
> >
> > You have reported a radius of 1900 kilometers. Is this correct, or
> > did you interpret the radius to be the distance of propagation?
> > Please be aware that the distance should refer to the radius of mobile
> > operations or radius of transport of the transmitter about the
> > reported geographical coordinates and that a more accurate distance in
> > kilometers should be reported in your response to this correspondence.
> > If the transmitter is fixed, then confirm that the radius of mobile or
> > transportable operations is zero kilometers.
> >
> >
> > Confirmation Number: EL600500
> > John.Kennedy@fcc.gov
> > =====
> > The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can
> > continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the
> > requested information within 30 days of 07/26/2007 may result in
> > application dismissal pursuant to Section 5.67 and forfeiture of the
> > filing fee pursuant to Section 1.1108.
> >
> > DO NOT Reply to this email by using the 'Reply' button. In order
> > for
> > your response to be processed expeditiously, you must upload your
> > response via the Internet at
> > <https://gullfoss2.fcc.gov/prod/oet/cf/els/index.cfm> by clicking on the
> > 'Reply to Correspondence' hyperlink.
> >
> > All references to this correspondence must contain reference number
> > 5262
> >
>