

"Exhibit"

Carl Huie

From: John Forrester [jforrester@qualcomm.com]
Sent: Wednesday, February 25, 2004 1:21 PM
To: Carl Huie
Subject: RE: 0108-EX-ST-2004 and 0109-EX-ST-2004

Hi Carl-

As for the purpose of operation for 0109-EX-ST-2004 per our phone conversation:

"A new Omni system will be commercially deployed in the future across the Continental US, Alaska, Hawaii, and US Territories. The system must be tested in all of the satellite coverage areas to verify performance quality."

On file 0109-EX-ST-2004, I've confirmed that the Boatracs system can only be used with about 100 miles of the coast, and is restricted by the satellite's beam. The actual distances various with the geographic location. Based on this, do you feel that this STA can also be absorbed into file 0045-EX-ST-2004 providing the STA includes the surrounding waters?

Thanks
-John Forrester

At 06:31 AM 2/24/2004, you wrote:

>Give me a call (202) 418-2430

>

>-----Original Message-----

>From: John Forrester [mailto:jforrester@qualcomm.com]

>Sent: Monday, February 23, 2004 4:59 PM

>To: Carl Huie

>Subject: RE: 0104-EX-ST-2004 Interference Info

>

>

>Thank's Carl.

>

>We're going to be keeping you busy this week. Just as a heads up,
>here's what else is coming:

>

>1) OmniTracs 2W STA (CONUS, Alaska, Hawaii)

>2) BoatTracs 2W STA (Oceans)

>3) OmniTracs San Diego Earth Station STA (new antenna)

>4) Form 442 for file 0225-EX-PL-2001 to add frequencies from STA

>0104-EX-ST-2004

>

>This is hopefully the end of it for awhile.

>

>Thanks

>-John

>

>

>

>At 01:40 PM 2/23/2004, you wrote:

> >And application is granted...

> >

> >-----Original Message-----

> >From: John Forrester [mailto:jforrester@qualcomm.com]

> >Sent: Monday, February 23, 2004 4:25 PM

> >To: Carl Huie

> >Subject: 0104-EX-ST-2004 Interference Info