Jose Trevino

From: Jose Trevino
Sent: Thursday, November 08, 2007 3:03 PM
To: 'Schuemann, Vance W.'
Subject: RE: FCC file number 0009-EX-ML-2007 [Reference Number: 5737]

Mr. Schuemann,

It's possible if none of the evaluators object to the use of the frequencies for non-contractual purposes. For example, a military band(s) may not be approved if it is not being used under a contract.

Jose Trevino

-----Original Message-----
From: Schuemann, Vance W. [mailto:vanceschuemann@paulhastings.com]
Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2007 12:23 PM
To: Jose Trevino
Subject: RE: FCC file number 0009-EX-ML-2007 [Reference Number: 5737]

Jose:

Can you coordinate and issue a second license under a different call sign and class of station--with technical parameters identical to those on the current license under WD2XXQ--to cover the non contractual activity?

Vance

-----Original Message-----
From: Jose Trevino [mailto:Jose.Trevino@fcc.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, November 07, 2007 11:08 AM
To: Schuemann, Vance W.
Subject: RE: FCC file number 0009-EX-ML-2007 [Reference Number: 5737]

Mr. Schuemann,

As you may have noticed, each license is granted with one and only one "Class of Station" which is either XC, XE, XD or XR. Accordingly, only one station class is coordinated within and without the FCC. There are no XCXD, XEXR, XEXD nor XCXR station classes, it should be either one Class of Station or the other, but not combinations of them.

-----Original Message-----
From: Schuemann, Vance W. [mailto:vanceschuemann@paulhastings.com]
Sent: Tuesday, November 06, 2007 4:41 PM
To: Jose Trevino
Cc: fred.berrong@ngc.com; Siddall, David R.
Subject: FW: FCC file number 0009-EX-ML-2007 [Reference Number: 5737]

Dear Jose:

Is there is a specific rule in the Commission's rules that prohibits a licensee from using an experimental authorization for both a contractual and internal research and development puposes, or is it just a new policy of your branch?

The reason why I ask is because in the past we have been told that the FCC would only issue one authorization for a specific location or area of operation with the same frequency and parameters. Has this changed?
Rather than applying to modify its existing authorization with covers the specific contract to add the IRD activity (e.g., File No.
0009-EX-ML-2007), should Northrop Grumman have applied for a separate, but indential authorization, to cover the internal research and development puposes?

Thanks,

Vance

____
____

Vance W. Schuemann, Government Affairs Policy Advisor | Paul, Hastings, Janofsky & Walker LLP | 875 15th Street, N.W., Washington, D.C. 20005 |
direct: 202 551-1913 | main: 202 551 1700 | fax: 202 551 0313 |
vanceschuemann@paulhastings.com |

-----Original Message-----
From: Generic Office of Engineering Technology [mailto:oetech@fccsun27w.fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, November 02, 2007 9:49 AM
To: Berrong, Fred
Subject: FCC file number 0009-EX-ML-2007 [Reference Number: 5737]

Dear Mr. Fred,

Experimental licensees applications should not be combine contract with non-contract. What would you prefer to have this experimental, as contract or non-contract?

Confirmation Number: EL790540
Jose.Trevino@fcc.gov
==
The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of 11/02/2007 may result in application dismissal pursuant to Section 5.67 and forfeiture of the filing fee pursuant to Section 1.1108.

DO NOT Reply to this email by using the 'Reply' button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must upload your response via the Internet at https://fjallfoss.fcc.gov/oetcf/els/index.cfm by clicking on the 'Reply to Correspondence' hyperlink.

All references to this correspondence must contain reference number 5737

IRS Circular 230 Disclosure: As required by U.S. Treasury Regulations governing tax practice, you are hereby advised that any written tax advice contained herein was not written or intended to be used (and cannot be used) by any taxpayer for the purpose of avoiding penalties that may be imposed under the U.S. Internal Revenue Code.