

Behnam Ghaffari

From: Payne Steve-SPAYNE1 [spayne1@motorolasolutions.com]
Sent: Tuesday, August 16, 2011 11:24 AM
To: Behnam Ghaffari
Subject: 6month timeframe vs. two years
Importance: High

Benham,

In response to the STA option, I believe that the experimental license is the preferred way for these tests. We actually have functional hardware ready to test and are just waiting for the final approval from your Branch to test outdoors, the optimization phase could take longer than 6 months, but being an optimist I was hoping for the best results. I did speak to Nancy Hey and she said considering the queue, the experimental license is probably my best option. If experimental licenses are only granted for a period of two years, I am certain we could make engineering improvements during that window of time.

Motorola could reapply at the conclusion of this phase of testing if more time is needed but, we will have to see how the data looks. Also depending on our personnel, if we are authorized in the near term, we could expedite our test effort.

Regards,

Steve Payne

RF Principle Staff Engineer

Motorola Solutions

Canopy