

Behnam Ghaffari

From: Jim Carroccia [jcarroccia@kencast.com]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:32 PM
To: Behnam Ghaffari
Subject: RE: File Number: 0415-EX-ST-2008

Yes I confirm.

-----Original Message-----

From: Behnam Ghaffari [mailto:Behnam.Ghaffari@fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 1:12 PM
To: Jim Carroccia
Subject: RE: File Number: 0415-EX-ST-2008

Do you concur for dismissal of 0415-EX-ST-2008?

-----Original Message-----

From: Jim Carroccia [mailto:jcarroccia@kencast.com]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 9:09 AM
To: Behnam Ghaffari
Subject: RE: File Number: 0415-EX-ST-2008

Ben,

I just looked over the two applications and there is a difference between the two. 0415-EX-ST-2008 contains a WAP 400 which is the correct WAP. 0421-EX-ST-2008 contains the old WAP 600 which is not being used. Would you like me to amend 0421 to the correct WAP?

The valid WAP is WAP 400.

Jim

-----Original Message-----

From: Behnam Ghaffari [mailto:Behnam.Ghaffari@fcc.gov]
Sent: Friday, August 15, 2008 7:30 AM
To: Jim Carroccia
Subject: File Number: 0415-EX-ST-2008
Importance: High

Mr. Carroccia,

Since your recent filling for file No. 0415-EX-ST-2008 is a duplicate of STA No. 0421-EX-ST-2008, do you concur to withdrawal STA No. 0415-EX-ST-2008?

Ben Ghaffari
Office of Engineering and Technology
Federal Communications Commission
Experimental Licensing Branch
(202) 418-7928