

From: Steven Doiron

To: Doug Young

Date: October 02, 2007

Subject: Request for Info - STA File #0482-EX-ST-2007

Message:

In correspondence dated September 25, 2007, OET posed two questions regarding the pending application, filed by HNS License Sub, LLC. Responses to these questions follow --

Q1: The application was filed under the name Hughes Network Systems, Inc. yet the last several applications for this license have been under HNS License Sub, LLC and the recent transfer of control application file number 0023-EX-TU-2007 requests HNS License Sub, LLC also. Please explain the discrepancy in the name. Also, clarify the proper names for the other STAs just filed, 0483-EX-ST-2007, 0484-EX-ST-2007 and 0485-EX-ST-2007.

A1: The correct name of the applicant with respect to each application is HNS License Sub, LLC. In several of the cases referred to (e.g., File Nos. 0483-EX-ST-2007 and 0484-EX-ST-2007), the name of the licensee was changed following the grant of the most recent license or modification application included in OET's database, so that when the licensee information from these files was pulled into the online STA form, the original name appeared rather than the current name. The applicant name in each file has now been corrected.

Q2: This application also used 0211-EX-PL-2003 as a template. The license for this call sign has been modified twice since that filing and consequently does not represent what was most recently granted. Please explain.

A2: In the case of File No. 0482-EX-ST-2007, the STA application should have used existing File No. 0026-EX-ML-2005 as the template (instead of 0211-EX-PL-2003). (This is also why the licensee name appeared as Hughes Network Systems, Inc., as noted in the previous question). This application should reflect the technical characteristics granted in File No. 0026-EX-ML-2005 (which was renewed last year in File No. 0088-EX-RR-2006). Please let us know if we need to make a formal correction to specify the modified technical parameters, or if this can be corrected internally in light of the fact that no change to the technical facility is actually being requested in the STA request.

Please let us know if there are any other questions regarding this application.

Respectfully submitted,

David S. Keir
Leventhal Senter & Lerman PLLC
2000 K Street, N.W., Suite 600
Washington, D.C. 20006-1809
p: 202-429-8970
f: 202-293-7783
e: dkeir@lsl-law.com