

From: Karl Knoblock

To: Doug Young

Date: April 22, 2008

Subject: Request for Info - File #0098-EX-PL-2008

---

Message:

I am responding to the following questions.

1. Is this application at all related to HM's previous application, file # 0002-EX-PL-2003? If so, could this have been filed as a renewal to that application?

This is related to the previous application. The 2.4GHZ portion was added to this so we assumed we would have to do a new application.

2. The entire application and the exhibit have been marked as confidential. What part of the application should be withheld from public inspection, given that we must allow info necessary to interference analysis (location and frequency, at least) to be viewable by the public?

After further discussions with the engineers here we don't see the need to mark it as confidential.

3. If you still desire that portions of your application be withheld from public inspection, you must separate out all confidential material from the rest of the application and submit an item-by-item justification for the confidentiality request to answer the nine subsections of Part 0.459(b) of the FCC's rules.

We have no desire to withhold portions of this application and mark them as confidential.