

To: Nathan zambon
E-Mail: nzambon@filterminder.com
From: Doug Young
Date: September 18, 2020

Subject: Request for Info - File # 0547-EX-CN-2020

Message:

1. Your confidentiality justification is not in the proper format. You must submit an item-by-item justification for the confidentiality request to answer the nine subsections of Part 0.459(b) of the FCC's rules. All non-confidential material must be separated from the confidential material and must be viewable by the public, as must the confidentiality justification.
2. I still believe that there are too many units necessary for a trial. Why can't the efficacy of the devices be proven in a smaller trial. Trials such as this should be as limited as possible to prove usefulness.
3. The emission designator still hasn't been entered properly. There are lines of data for bandwidth and other lines for emission. These should be combined as one entry.
4. The area of operation should not be nationwide. It should be defined as an area as small as necessary to prove that the product works.
5. Could this trial not be done under 47C.F.R.§2.805(d)(2)(ii), eliminating the need for an experimental license?
6. Why can't this equipment be submitted for equipment authorization? We do not like to have the experimental licensing process used as a way to bypass the equipment authorization process.

The items indicated above must be submitted before processing can continue on the above referenced application. Failure to provide the requested information within 30 days of September 18, 2020 may result in application dismissal pursuant to Section 5.67 and forfeiture of the filing fee pursuant to Section 1.1108.

DO NOT Reply to this email by using the 'Reply' button. In order for your response to be processed expeditiously, you must upload your response via the Internet at <https://apps.fcc.gov/oetcf/els/index.cfm> by clicking on the "Reply to Correspondence" hyperlink.

Responses to this correspondence must contain the Reference number : 57418