

From: Andrew Farnsworth

To: Behnam Ghaffari

Date: March 08, 2018

Subject: FCC File No. 0428-EX-ST-2018

---

Message:

- (1) Identification of the specific information for which confidential treatment is sought;  
Well, I am not sure if it is confidential, so I submitted it as such. This radar unit is the property of the Swiss Ornithological Institute, and they have used it publicly previously, so I was just being safe with the specifications.
- (2) Identification of the Commission proceeding in which the information was submitted or a description of the circumstances giving rise to the submission;  
See above - I was exercising the utmost care, and it may not be necessary
- (3) Explanation of the degree to which the information is commercial or financial, or contains a trade secret or is privileged;  
The organization is a not for profit and I do not believe there will be commercial or trade secrets, again just being safe
- (4) Explanation of the degree to which the information concerns a service that is subject to competition;  
There is probably some competition among radar manufacturers but this modification may not fit the bill for that/
- (5) Explanation of how disclosure of the information could result in substantial competitive harm;  
It is possible that someone wanting to purchase one of these if they were for sale might make amendments to the unit based on the specifications PDF I sent.
- (6) Identification of any measures taken by the submitting party to prevent unauthorized disclosure;  
I preemptively filed as confidential but it may NOT be required at all
- (7) Identification of whether the information is available to the public and the extent of any previous disclosure of the information to third parties;  
It is available to the public I believe in several peer reviewed publications, I think
- (8) Justification of the period during which the submitting party asserts that material should not be available for public disclosure; and  
Perhaps the study period, until October 2018 is complete.
- (9) Any other information that the party seeking confidential treatment believes may be useful in assessing whether its request for confidentiality should be granted.  
Again, this may NOT be necessary at all, I was just being abundantly cautious.